

7
23-7236
No.

7
ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

APR 13 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Sandra Black PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Naomi Friedrichsen et AL RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

7th Circuit Court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Sandra Black
(Your Name)

1408 S. Maple St. Apt. 102
(Address)

Marion, IN 46953
(City, State, Zip Code)

(765) 662-1068
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

The ISSUE:

Should a black prose litigant with paupers status genuinely & fairly be heard in an American court of law?

Without question, this proceeding has been the most horrendous intentional mis-carriage of justice where the civil courts have returned to Jim Crow rulings... refusing a prose, intigent, elderly disabled African American her day in court.

Questions:

1. Is it an accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings for the judge to comb the docket seeking evidence to harm the black litigant favoring the white litigants FALSLY!?

Note: Both the defendants and plaintiff agree that the grandchildren ARE on the lease. The judge is wrong.

Notes Cont'd

B. The state court order states that the grandchildren are on the lease making the judges finding false.

C. The lease states the grandchildren are on the lease.

D. Nowhere to plaintiff's knowledge does the defendants ever claim that the grandchildren are not on the lease.

E. HUD, section 8 paid rent for the grandchildren on the lease.

However, the judges all maintained against the evidence that the grandchildren were NOT on the lease ^s living illegally in the ^{FALSLY} home. This supported white defendants claim of "unauthorized occupants" against a pro se African American.

Questions Cont'd

2. Is it an accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings for a judge to refuse to remove a harmful ERRONEOUS finding placing whites falsely in the right and the black litigant FALSLEY in the wrong?
3. Are "opinions" and "correctness" the same as "factual" or "FACT BASED"?
4. For the black pro se litigant... is it an accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings that court orders are meaningless against white corporations represented by attorneys in a nationwide firm with unlimited resources.

5. Why does it REQUIRE the Supreme court of the land to stop 5 years of Karen judges from practising Jim Crow in 2024? The Supreme Court is Required to STOP THE LIE.

IS the African American automatically in the wrong against State court orders to save white defendants?

LIST OF PARTIES

Pages 14 & 15

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

* See Next Page after - pages 14/15
related cases

RELATED CASES

1. 7th circuit Court of Appeals

Case NO
23-2902

Published

2. Northern Indiana District Court
Ft. Wayne, IN

1:19-cv-307

Published PACER

3. Superior Court
Grant County

27D03-1706-PL-14

Published mycase.gov.in

4. Monroe Circuit Court

53C08-1703-MI-630

Related Cases Cont'd

4. Monroe Court Order 53CO8-1703-M1-630
Published mycase.gov

5. Writ of Mandamus and/or prohibition
filed on August 26, 2021 21-5893

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1	(21)
JURISDICTION.....	32	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	23	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	24	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	40	Details 25-39
CONCLUSION.....	41	
<u>Proposed Order for Remedy</u> - - - - -	42-50	
<u>Affidavit</u> - - - - -	52-56	
INDEX TO APPENDICES		
APPENDIX A 7 th Circuit Appeals Final order - - - - -	57-59	
APPENDIX B 7 th Circuit Judicial Misconduct - - - - -	60-62	
APPENDIX C Indiana Northern District - Final order	63-83	
APPENDIX D Grant County Denial of Defendants	84-92	
APPENDIX E Grant Count Denial to Proceed to Appeal	93-94	
APPENDIX F ORDER ECF 28 - - - - -	95-102	
G ORDER ECF 80 - - - - -	103-128	
H Order ECF 86 - - - - -	129-135	
I District Order 4/19/21 - - -	136-145	

District →

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix J ECF Order 141 - - - 146-147
 District

Appendix K Request to Substantiate Order 148-165

Appendix L Monroe Court ORDER AND
 Defendants' 30 Day Vacate 166-168
 4/19/2017 SAME DAY

Never Allowed in any
 American Court to date

Appendix M The Lease occupants 169-171

Appendix N Defendants' original complaint 172-175

Appendix O - Responses to requests 177-180
 for help getting public
 attention - Absolute little
 TO NO HELP FOR BLACKS

Appendix P - photo of son known to defendants
 and photo of VO seen 4-19-2017 181

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

ORDERS

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

State Court	- - - - -	84 - 94
district court	- - - - -	63 - 83
Appellate Court	- - - - -	57 - 59
Appellate Court	- - - - -	60 - 62
commission on Misconduct	- - - - -	
Monroe Court	- - - - -	167

STATUTES AND RULES

- Violation of Black's constitutional right, 7th amendment to go before a jury and ~~to~~ have access to a trial. FACTS are FACTS ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF THE LAW.
- RULE 201 Judges instruct Juries to accept established facts. Judges must accept established facts.
- Judge ^{Indiana} Codes of Conduct - Cannon 1, Rule 1.1, 1.2, 1.3
OTHER Cannon 2 Rule 2.2 impartiality & fairness 2.3
No Bias, Prejudice, and harassment, 2.5 diligence & cooperation
2.7 Refused to hear Black's matters fairly & refused to disqualify

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at Pacer ; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at Pacer ; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at Monroe County mycase.in.gov ; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Grant County Superior 3 court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at mycase.in.gov ; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was February 9, 2024

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

Reconsideration was DENIED
A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 2-9-24, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

7th constitutional right to a trial by jury was denied by judges refusing facts of evidence presented by a black prose litigant and Presenting fabricated and misleading opinions all throughout the orders to favor white defendants against the black plaintiff.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. State Court ORDERED that the defendants did not prove that "unauthorized occupants" exist.
It also includes that petitioners' grandchildren ARE ON THE LEASE
Judges REFUSE to consider Court Ruling
2. Federal Judges LIE and Refuse to remove the LIE that Sandra Black had unauthorized occupants living in her home, namely her grandchildren.
3. This falsely places Sandra Black in the wrong
4. This case sets precedence that judges are free to fabricate false evidence. Worse, litigants can not remove it.

Statement of the Case

DETAILS

Table of Contents

Pages

1. Background F.A.C.T.S.	1-4
2. Indiana Civil Rights Commission	4-5
3 30-Day Vacate Letter	5
4. Monroe County Court Order	6-7
5. Grant County Order	7
6. Indiana Northern District Court	7-13
7. Appellate Court	14

Page Count

14

Word Count

4007

STATEMENT OF THE CASE DETAILS

BACKGROUND FACTS:

Sandra Black and her son Kemuel Shem entered into a lease agreement with Hunters Run November of 2015. Sandra Black's grandchildren are listed on the lease Chrisdeon Ogunbuyide, Victoria Goree, and Christian Goree. From the onset, Naomi Friedrichsen NF, the senior staff of Interstate Realty Management Co. and Erika Holiday, EH her assistant seemed to represent my normal experience of living black and less than, but not as if it were a genuine problem as this is typical treatment and not seen as intentional racism. I never raised my voice or spoke disrespectful to them at any time no matter their behavior towards me as I had planned for this to be my last home. I was always courteous and respectful to the very end. There are no claims of bad behavior from the defendants until the very end when they LIED on me that I had "UNAUTHORIZED OCCUPANTS" living in my home making it an impossibility for me to keep my home.

In the beginning of tenancy, I was told to move out not one week of being in the home for having an aggressive pet which I agreed to move, but that they would need to help pay for the move as I reported that the dog was a 60 pounds mutt and Erika never mentioned any concern to me. I wasn't giving up my dog, it wasn't aggressive. N. Friedrichsen then said the dog had to have a statement from the vet that it was not aggressive which happened, and I continued my occupancy. Such types of issues often happened from my not being allowed privacy to my home, not being allowed timely repairs intentionally,

disrespectful, and belittling speech primarily from NF. I never felt it a problem as I had learned how to play my hand as a black person and let bad treatment towards me have no retaliation of any disrespectful treatment back from me. This worked out always with my landlords before. This is not saying that all white landlords were racist, but just like PARENTS they do feel they can talk to you as if you're a child and it's life suck it up.

A few months into tenancy around March, 2016, I had been issued a blinds violation and a remove my mothers' sign violation, which I promptly addressed and believed all was okay. The court order states that I did not promptly remove the blinds and other false accusations against my character WHICH I MOTIONED the state court to reconsider or substantiate the evidence. NO REPLY was allowed. An Appeal was attempted, but I became deathly ill, hospitalized in intensive care as I can't withstand constant pressure.

At this time, I did not know that "FRIENDLY REMINDERS EXISTED" I will find that out in court as the defendants fraudulently presented these **AFTER THE FACT**, but I now use these as what I should have RIGHTFULLY RECEIVED if defendants had not planned for my eviction from day one unbeknownst to me because it seemed normal treatment. Instead of my deserved "friendly reminder" I was actually violated with no right to a "friendly reminder." There is no reference to a prior friendly reminder on the two violations that were received, because there was no friendly reminder allowed to me. THIS FRAUDULENT presentation of "friendly reminders" by NF was pointed out **IMMEDIATELY**, I objected and the court overruled it without any evidence proving these violations took place. **THE VIOLATIONS WERE NEVER SERVED**. DEFENDANTS MADE THEM UP TO FABRICATE MORE GROUNDS FOR AN EVICTION AS THERE WAS SO VERY LITTLE WRONGDOING FABRICATED

THAT FIRMLY SUBSTANTIATED GENUINE CAUSE FOR AN EVICTION PROCESS. Landlords do not typically evict good paying tenants who well maintain their property for no reason other than a broken blinds and a first time overstaying of visitors as claimed by the defendants to evict, thus fabrication of the "friendly reminders".

AS MATTER OF FACT LANDLORDS DID NOT PRESENT ONE SINGLE WHITE TENANT TREATED THIS WAY WHICH COULD BE ENOUGH FOR THIS COURT TO SWAY AN OPINION THAT I WAS NOT TREATED EQUALLY TO WHITES. PLEASE CHECKOUT PRODUCTION SUBMITTED BY THE DEFENDANTS WHERE YOU WIL SEE IT A FACT THAT NO WHITE SECTION 8 TENANTS WERE PRESENTED DENYING THEIR VIOLATIONS. It should be found reasonable that without doubt some white section 8 tenants do occasionally violate their lease. The defendants submitted BAD tenant lease violators or ALL white section 8 lease violator they could find, but NOT ONE white person evicted denied the defendants' claim.

AS SUCH in handwriting at the end of the remedy sought (remedy sought is already sent to the printer), I also ask that the court to add an ORDER that discrimination has occurred or a statement of opinion that given not one white section 8 tenant was found to be treated similar to Black, the court finds that defendants' treatment was therefore not the same treatment as given to whites to favor Black at trial as a fact in the case up to this point. However, if the defendants can find at least one white tenant treated similarly as Black was treated in proven unfounded violations 1. Pet mess: Final Warning and 2. UO, then the decision shall be left for a jury to decide.

December 5, 2016 was the violation that sealed it in my head that I cannot see this as regular white treatment of blacks. This was racism without a doubt. The Violation stated... FINAL WARNING PET MESS. For one years I had did everything perfect other than my blinds and my mother's sign in the window. I NEVER LEFT A PET MESS. As matter of fact the residents, my neighbors called the office and told EH that I was the only one who ALWAYS cleaned up behind my pet. EH would eventually sign "DISREGARD" "REMOVED FROM FILE" This is discussed in the state order F. page 7 as being misleading as it was presented to the court as if it was a violation even the **DEFENDANTS** STRONGLY RETRACTED THE VIOLATION AS NEVER HAVING OCCURRED, **REMOVED FROM FILE**. We humans just do not REMOVE this and make it DISAPPEAR for anyone with a FINAL WARNING unless it genuinely is not what they did... unless we know IT WAS UNFAIR and WRONG.

Indiana Civil Rights Commission:

After the "FINAL WARNING" given to me for absolutely no reason, I immediately opened a complaint with the ICRC as **now I knew they were targeting me for an eviction.** The ICRC is the most impossible place to get your complaint filed. There are mostly blacks hired there at that time all programed to do nothing but take a complaint, get a reply and rule in favor of the corporation. I believe this is where the judge placed me in the wrong with no grandchildren on the lease, having UO. **If so this proves it a fact they did not investigate as they did not who was authorized to live in the home.** They're incompetent. If this is not where the judges made up the fabrication that my grandchildren

were not on the lease, Then the judges OUT RIGHT MADE IT UP FROM NO WHERE. But in any case, it is **FACT** that my grandchildren are on AND THERE ARE NO (UO). See my affidavit statement oath for this Writ of Certiorari.

The defendants did not learn of the ICRC filing until April 25, 2017 when they were served with a CEASE and DESIST notice that mentioned the ICRC filing. I am rightfully angry after all the groveling I did and still they fabricate a lie to take my home. Absolutely no more butt kissing! I'm showing them who I can be. In retaliation for my filing with the ICRC and for my refusal to grovel at their feet after our meeting, the defendants planned to file the frivolous eviction lawsuit. THE DEFENDANTS HAD AGREED to remove the LIE (NF admitted under oath in court) but changed their minds because of my actions having filed with the ICRC. I was no longer willing to grovel because it was clear that they would never stop, NEVER, no matter how nice I was.

30-DAY VACATE LETTER ISSUED by RESPONDANTS, APRIL 19, 2017 -

Defendants fabricate that visitors to my home on this day had always personally been seen living in the home a month or so. All of these days claimed observation of UO lease violation, there are no pictures, no screen shots from the surveillance video, NO DOCUMENTATION IN HOUSE nor WITH HUD/SECTION 8 prior to this date has ever been submitted.

Monroe County Circuit court ORDER issued APRIL 19, 2017 - This court order FORCED two white minor females, Jacee Barber age 15 and Naomee Shem baby (daughter of Jacee Barber and Black's son Kemuel Shem – though she's visibly clearly white skinned, Shem signed the birth certificate, legally Naomee Shem's father) into the custody of a strange BLACK male that the defendants did not recognize as my son due to the fact that he had cut off his dreadlocks down to his butt and drove a different vehicle. The defendants' plan was to lie and claim that the people had always been seen going in and out my home, but they had no idea that the people they witnessed entering my home **REQUIRED A SHERIFF TO GET ACCESS TO THESE TWO MINORS**. See the picture of my son, (last page) they KNEW and my son the "unauthorized occupant" they LIED and claimed drove recklessly.

My daughter, I and EH watched the surveillance monitor to view the so-called reckless driving all together. Only the time-frame of the car leaving the parking lot HAD BEEN DELETED believed by NF as EH agreed to show us the vehicle leaving the parking lot and she was shocked to see the footage missing. It had been deleted.

BOTH DEFENDANTS, NF and EH HAVE LIED UNDER OATH HERE IN FEDERAL COURT AGAIN. THIS TIME NAMING THE "SEVERAL" UO as Jacee Barber, my son's wife and Felicia Ogunbuyide, my daughter, submitted by EH, While NF claimed Jacee Barber, Felicia Ogunbuyide, and A CHILD. NOTE: **BOTH JACEE and Naomee were in an active CHINS case and living with their mom/grandmom under the watch of DCS**. There is no way Jacee could leave her town to live in my house... missing school March ending to April 19, 2017, **while my son's house is in BLOOMINGTON** at IU, but she's living in my home. THIS

IS OUTRIGHT PROVABLE PERJURY through DCS and common-sense reasoning of the order forcing the minors away from Jacee's mother. Defendants both lied under oath in their discovery submission claiming to have personally witnessed these people living in my home.

Grant County State Superior Court 3

May 26, 2017 the respondents filed a frivolous malicious lawsuit knowing it was a LIE that UO lived in my home. They NEVER saw the people they claimed to see ever before that very ONE DAY they issued the 30-day vacate April 19. 2017. Judge Haas fully heard their evidence regarding UO for their claim on June 8, 2017 and DENIED THEIR CLAIM stating that the defendants Hunters Run did not prove there case. The defendants did not appeal and the case is now ripe for res judicata. The matter on UO MAY NOT BE PURSUED FURTHER between the parties IT IS NOW FACT that the defendants did not have a case that supported UO living in the home.

INDIANA NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT

This case from the beginning throughout to the very end was ruled and fact founded in most every ORDER, PERHAPS EVERY SINGLE ORDER, departing FAR from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings.

The complaint filed by Sandra Black 1:19-cv-222 clearly discussed housing wrongful eviction, harassment, and discrimination and was dismissed due to judges assuming that as a pro se litigant I did not want to be heard in a the federal court. Therefore it was evident

that I wanted a discussion on the fourteenth amendment and not on housing discrimination therefore the case was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction instead of ordered to cure the deficiency of lack of jurisdiction. However, many times over and over again motion that clearly literally state "SUBSTANTIATE THE ORDER" with a discussion that ERROR'S HAVE OCCURRED does not mean show your evidence for the finding that my grandchildren are not on the lease is FALSE. Judge(s) conclude this can't be literal because the assumed interpretation is that i want to be blocked from access of being heard. It means an objection to an opinion and the word ERROR or err does not mean error when stated by a black pro se litigant.

All submissions by Sandra Black are interpreted as the BEST WAY she is not allowed to be heard in court. Her evidence, pretend it never happened.

This court was **DUTY BOUND to have found favor for the petitioner/plaintiff to rule with the court order from the state that there was NO EVIDENCE OF UO. As such the court for the sake of justice should have offered an attorney to assure that the elderly, disabled, PAUPERS STATUS, pro se litigant would have a fair chance against two corporations where one corporation is nationwide having hired one of the leading law firms in America, Gordan and Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP that brags of law offices/attorneys in all 50 states.** It doesn't get more unjust/unfair/unequal than this, but for a black litigant she not only battles defendants she's judicially lynched to battle corrupt judge bias for years. DENIED ASSESS TO A FAIR PLEADING. Failure to appoint an attorney would mean that most no one in American should qualify for a civil court appointed attorney.

Collins claimed that my chemical engineering degree and capability to write numerous papers proved that I was equivalent to an attorney and did not need an attorney. These reasonings ALL FAR DEPART FROM ACCEPTED AND USUAL COURSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

ECF 28 page 6 bottom of the page foot note states, "THE MAIN DISPUTE BETWEEN THE PARTIES AT THAT POINT IN TIME WAS THE **FACT** THAT BLACK HAD HER SON AND HIS CHILDREN LIVING IN THE APARTMENT WITH HER, EVEN THOUGH THE LEASE AGREEMENT LIMITED THE NUMBER OF OCCUPANT ALLOWED TO RESIDE THERE."

How can it be justified that the main dispute was not about the **FACT** that the defendants' attempted an UNFOUNDED eviction at the state level court and as such the motive may or may not have been discrimination? Appoint an attorney and allow discovery for the black litigant as well as the white litigants.

WRONGLY REMOVING MY LEVERAGE OF BEING IN THE RIGHT DESTROYED ANY CHANCE OF SETTLING THE CASE IN MY FAVOR. At the end of the order ECF 28, page 32 white defendants were instructed to submit a notice or brief, but Sandra Black **SHALL NOT RESPOND TO THE DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OR BRIEF**. Is this accepted and usual judicial proceedings?

I NOW KNOW FOR FACT, I'M DEALING WITH JIM CROW - a black person is automatically IN THE WRONG AGAINST WHITES

I had ignored all the wrongful rulings up until ECF 80 trying not to prejudice the judge. I didn't catch ECF 28 because I saw the defendants had been denied and my disability

(anxiety, severe panic attacks) makes it extremely difficult to even open mail let alone read it from a court and defendants. I only know how to tell the truth and tell my story. I couldn't expose my weakness until I knew the players in my court. Now it seems they (Collins and Rykovich) knew my weakness all the time as they tag teamed each other for how and what direction of corruption they could use against me to CHANGE MY NARRATIVES, MY EVIDENCE FAVORING ME NARRATIVES, HARASS ME, BLOCK ME FROM ASSESS TO DISCOVERY AND FAIR PLAY, GREATLY UNNECESSARILY BURDEN ME WITH FALSE FINDINGS PLACING ME IN THE WRONG, ASSURING THAT ABSOLUTELY NO EVIDENCE, NO MOTION, NO REQUEST IS CONSIDERED NOR ALLOWABLE.

Example of a narratives that present would be typically discussed regularly in society of how badly blacks are killed by white cops, but I now understand that my society accepts this narrative as JUST THE WAY LIFE MUST BE. So I promised myself to flip the script. I do not present the narrative the expected societal way as it seals the DOOM of any genuine consideration for how SERIOUSLY HARMFUL and IGNORED black suffering is experienced. JUST AS HAPPENED IN MY COURT EXPERIENCE: **The facts, fair play, evidence did not matter at all in my court litigation because of racism. There's absolutely no way these judges are not understanding a factual ERROR, from an opinion or “correctness.”**

We must consider the fact that **ABSOLUTELY NO BLACK COP EVER** gets to murder an innocent UNARMED WHITE PERSON and go totally free, absolutely not one at the very least the black cop's fellow white cops got him fired. But it's our culture that it's just unfortunate

for innocent unarmed blacks because white cops were in fear for their life. HOW IS IT NEVER OK for a black cop, but white cops get the green light often and can't be held accountable for innocent loss of life blacks or whites? My court would claim this reasoning to be unintelligible. However, I claim it to be BEYOND REASONABLE at showing how extremely skewed racism is far beyond society recognizes.

So when I ask for production showing **a good to excellent functioning** WHITE SECTION 8 TENANT similar to Sandra Black's behavior as tenant from the duration of Naomi Friedrichsens entire employment (about 8 years) THE DEFENDANTS and JUDGE claim this request is UNINTELLIGIBLE and BURDENSOME. The good-faith response to production would be there is not one white section 8 tenant who was treated similarly to your tenancy. Instead, both the court and defendants PRETEND (bad-faith reasoning) that the 8 years would be so burdensome to come up with the numerous BURDENSOME to produce so many whites over those years. This is simply NOT TRUE. THERE WOULD NOT BE ONE WHITE PERSON. WHY NOT JUST PRODUCE IT IN THE STATEMENT? It's all about my narrative in front of a jury would make me a black person WIN over white defendants.

As matter of fact, this is extreme evidence that DISCRIMINATION has occurred!!!

The defendants in bad faith PRODUCED PRODUCTION towards the VERY end of the discovery schedule at their leisure. I was sanctioned for a delay of a deposition after I SUBMITTED ALL DISCOVERY production, admissions, and interrogatories in good-faith and the defendants refused to submit their production. The defendants intentionally mislead the court submitting ONLY100% of production that I already had in my possession such as booklets of tenant rules, violations, etc. However, anything that would lead to discovery

was unintelligible and burdensome and the JUDGE AGREED. This was argued in the motion to SUBSTANTIATE THE ORDER that was ruled an objection NOT a request to show evidence as the motion requests. When the defendants were sure that no follow-up, no investigation could happen due to time lost, they then in bad-faith submitted ONLY POORLY FUNCTIONING white sec 8 tenants going against what had been requested. Defendants produced what they wanted and not what I asked for, but I can use it against them.

EVERY SINGLE white tenant produced by the defendants was PROFOUNDLY genuinely VERY BAD TENANTS absolutely nothing like I behaved. **There were absolutely NONE of these whites complaining that they were ever ONCE falsely accused.**

I am proven FACT that I am falsely accused TWICE, 1. The FINAL WARNING PET MESS, “disregard” “removed from file” see state court order, June 14, 2017 page 7f. and 2. UNAUTHORIZED OCCUPANTS, not proven to exist state court order **see page 4, 8A.** FIRST PAGE ALSO STATES, “**The court DENIES the Rule to Show Cause SOUGHT (UO) by the plaintiff, Hunters Run against the defendant Sandra Black the ‘tenant’ .” BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED June 8, 2017... I am also claiming several violations that defendants presented against me are meritless and they have zero evidence as to them being valid violations.**

This proves it reasonable by the preponderance of the evidence that I was NOT treated equal to whites because **NO WHITES EVER were once proven FACTUALLY wrongly violated and evicted; where I had been proven in a court of law wrongly violated TWICE.** Though the standard narrative is to show numerous blacks treated like the victim

of discrimination after NOW KNOWING OVER YEARS OF BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST that this narrative is now a norm to be accepted, not discrimination. Our society is desensitized to the ill-treatment of blacks, it's just their accepted lot in life. However, THE **HORRID TREATMENT NEVER HAPPENS TO WHITES, NEVER!!!** This proves it reasonable by the preponderance of the evidence that blacks are not treated equal to whites so why not accept this narrative?

BECAUSE IT PROFOUNDLY PROVES DISCRIMINATION, and it hurts the ego to admit how HORRENDOUSLY FACTUAL this wicked evil happens solely due to skin color and race. Then to own, it's your own race that's responsible for such undeserved pain, suffering, and agony; they then HEAP COALS ON HELL'S FIRE and cover-up, hide, DENY the truth of it ever existing blind to the fact that there is GOD AND HE'S THE JUDGE WHO SEE'S THE ACTS OF US ALL.

Blacks first hurt and hated whites over 2000 years ago, (Job chapter 30) and for that evil... blacks and our CHILDREN have suffered for centuries/millennia all over the world. It's the JUSTICE of GOD. We've served our sentence, I hope. **TELL THE TRUTH, RENDER JUSTICE JUSTLY, DON'T END UP LIKE BLACK PEOPLE IN THE COURT OF GOD, that God may have mercy on you and your bloodline.** This statement is necessary as so many judges so far have lied refusing me my right to be heard in a court of law.

Judge William Lee appears to have been taken advantage of by his magistrate ASSISTANT Susan Collins. He is elderly from his picture online. Called the clerk and he still renders judgments. Here's the facts. 1. **Marshals were ordered out on my behalf** to

serve defendants trying to dodge being served. He could have just terminated the case on failure to service defendants or refused their addition to my complaint. If this is mandatory then it is not an example of help for me and should be disregarded. 2. In our last hearing, the defendants' attorney, Rykovich asked Magistrate Susan Collins for their order to **WRONGLY** collect sanctions against me, Judge Lee refused to sign. This was July 29, 2021.

APPELLATE COURT:

The appellate court refused to appoint an attorney. Denied motion request for change of venue to overcome bias that the Chief Judge Sykes is involved in misconduct as such they could not fairly rule against their own chief. I had no way to overcome courts placing me automatically in the wrong with no rights to be heard. I knew it was a fact that I had no knowledge of how to overcome the LIE that I had to be automatically FALSELY in the wrong and how to overcome that state court orders in my favor could not be recognized. How to overcome a CHIEF JUDGE over the appellate court mandates me judicially lynched? To this very date absolutely no court recognized the Monroe County Court order **FORCING** white people into my house the very same day the defendants fabricated UO violation.

I have not been heard in a court of law and I seek to have this case heard fairly and lawfully adjudicated as pleaded in the PROPOSED order for **remedies sought after**. It is my 7th amendment right to be heard FAIRLY BY LAW without changing my narrative, nor the narrative of court orders in my favor. Fabricating evidence falsely placing the litigant in the wrong and refusing to remove it for nearly **FIVE YEARS NOW**, A FACT that has happened AND is not the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings.


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. The absolute only way to allow an African American her right to ① BE PLACED IN THE RIGHT and ^{② to} be heard by a jury is By Granting this petition.
2. If Petition is not granted it Proves it a fact that Jim Crow is the law of the land EMBEDDED FOR AFRICAN AMERICANS.
3. Failure to Grant Petition Sets Precedence that judges are free to fabricate evidence, WORSE, they are free to refuse to remove false erroneous findings/conclusions.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sandra Black". The signature is fluid and cursive, with "Sandra" on top and "Black" on the bottom, both underlined.

Date: April 10, 2024

42
10

Remedies Sought After IN PROPOSED Order

Pages 7

word Count - 1723

7

1723

REMEDIES SOUGHT AFTER IN PROPOSED ORDER:

The Supreme Court hereby orders mandated to the lower court namely Northern Indiana District Court in favor of Sandra Black that this court finds it factual that Sandra Black was denied her constitutional rights to a fair and just court adjudicated litigation to be fully fairly lawfully heard. Facts supporting Black's claim and fairness did not happen. As a result of this finding, the District Court is ordered to ACT and to FIND the following:

1. The Court finds that district court order ECF 236 be terminated due to failure to remove erroneous claimed harmful facts disputed for years, and that this court finds depraved Black of an opportunity to be heard in a court of law.
2. That Sandra Black is a pro se African American elderly disabled litigant qualifying for paupers' status AND the courts' support to help balance the litigation obviously presenting from the onset a burden of unfair insufficiencies most likely to result in a failed opportunity to be heard. Based off of the June 14, 2017 state order in Black's favor that the defendants did not prove that unauthorized occupants existed, Black should have been offered an attorney. Therefore an attorney and accommodating reasonable resources, and any other relief the court shall deem fair to all parties are to be GRANTED.
3. The court finds that Black is entitled to a court appointed attorney to either assist or represent her case.

4. The court finds that it is without doubt fact that an erroneous fact was ordered and refused correction by both courts District and 7th Circuit, ECF 28, 80, 236 and that the appellate court DENIED the appointment of an attorney necessary for a fair briefing and that the commission on misconduct refused to consider the erroneous facts, failed to consider evidence favoring Black such as the narrative of two state court orders in favor of Black's position against the respondents, and failed investigation/consideration of Black's reported harassment of the district judges and defense counsel presented to both district and circuit courts by the petitioner.
5. It is ruled a FACT that the defendants/RESPONDENTS filed an original complaint solely of violation of "unauthorized occupants" (UO) and were DENIED by the state court that UO had not been proven to exist. The order further clearly states and lists petitioner's son and grandchildren on the lease agreement between the parties.
6. Judge William Lee, Magistrate Susan Collins, Judge Theresa Springmann and the 7th circuit commission on misconduct falsely claimed there were no errors in stating that Black's grandchildren were not on the lease falsely justifying defendants' claim of UO going against the state order. The courts ordered that Black wrongly had UO (See ECF 28, 80, 86, 236, many others) that Black was simply dissatisfied with the court's opinion, and no errors existed ECF 86 AND 7th circuit committee on misconduct agreed with district see their order on page_____ ordering the erroneous facts a mere issue of "correctness of the order".

THIS FINDING IS FACTUALLY WRONG.

7. The Court also finds that 7th district agreed with the factually wrongful finding in two separate complaints filed by Black and DENIED her based on correctness of the order. This court finds that asking for the lease or simply reading the state order bared very little hardship for any judge to pursue justice of the facts. 7th circuit commission on misconduct did not seek out the facts and justice for Black's complaint. It is misconduct for a judge to justify white litigants' complaint against a black litigant with a FALSE ALLEGATION and then REFUSE to remove it by claiming the request to remove the error as an objection to the order. Black filed a **Motion to Substantiate the Order** and a **Motion to Remove the Erroneous Conclusions of the Order** both denied by district FALSELY as objections to the order. See also Motion to End Conspiracy to Enable Racism submitted on October 28, 2022 and PART TWO JIM CROW COURT: Motion for Judge Springmann to Disqualify or Remove Discriminatory, Bias, and Fraudulent acts... submitted on or about June 30, 2023.

8. District is ordered to appoint and pay an expert specialist to the case namely Dr. Joy DeGruy as the first choice or her recommendation approved by Sandra Black if unavailable. Second choice expert is Dr. Jacqueline Battalora, third choice Dr. Carol Anderson.

9. The expert specialist together with the NAACP and Sandra Black shall comb the docket throughout its entirety to officially report any and all wrongful and/or mistaken acts by all judges involved. Black claims “numerous acts of bad-faith practice, harassment, omission of duty, discrimination, harmful acts, and sanctioning has taken place throughout the four years by federal judges”. The judges that Black claims are involved include Judges William Lee, Susan Collins, Theresa Springmann, Diane Sykes, and any others along with the defendants’ attorneys as well. The report shall be served to the bar, a circuit court on misconduct other than 7th circuit court, this Supreme Court, and the public by press release and other viable option for transparency purposes. Any recommendations as to how to avoid a future occurrence would be beneficial. The newly chosen appellate court shall be chosen by the parties for remedy of all future issues.

10. Sandra Black may use these findings at trial as the defendants’ and their attorney knew that the grandchildren were on the lease and that they were in agreement that the grandchildren were on the lease. The defendants took absolutely no actions in a timely manner to stop the injustice depriving black of her timely adjudication in court. Simply reporting to the court immediately that the grandchildren were on the lease in a timely manner could have possibly stopped all wrongdoing. As attorney members of the bar, defense counsel was duty-bound to act in good-faith. Immediate reporting to the court that the grandchildren were on the lease did not harm the defendants claim of UO. This false finding that defendants AGREE IS

FALSE, that the grandchildren lived in the home not on the lease sealed Black's fate to an erroneous fact-finding right to prejudice the court against Black. It caused great hardship of impossibility in proving her case. The defendants' attorney went so far as to call Black's attempts to stop the false evidence used against her "frivolous" on several occasions.

This court finds it a fact that erroneous factual findings contested are not frivolous. Both courts and defense counsel did in fact claim that Black's attempts to remove the false claim against her were "frivolous." It caused Ms. Black to lose rights by the evidence to an attorney, to have her evidence considered, and a fair timely proceeding, violating her constitutional rights by law. The remedy to repair the docket shall be discussed with district court judge and violations remedied finalized in preparation for trial and Black shall have her day in court.

11. It is ordered that Sandra Black's position on "EMBEDDED LAW" where special damages are sought after shall not be disregarded by any judge as "special damages" is the job for a jury to decide and not a single judge. Springmann ordered in order ECF 236 page 5 bottom and continuing to page 6 that states, issues involving racism "IS NOT RELEVANT TO ANY ISSUE IN THIS CASE." Refusal to allow a black litigant her day in court by federal judges may be evidence of Black's claimed EMBEDDED LAW and at the right time may be presented to a jury regarding special damages. The judge shall not interfere with a litigant being fully heard by a jury.

12. Judge Springmann should either recuse or explain her actions to refuse to remove the erroneous fact-finding of the grandchildren as a violation supporting the defendants and going against the state order that “the grandchildren were on the lease and that defendants’ failed to prove that people other than those on the lease were living in the home.” Furthermore, no mention of the Monroe Order shows it a fact that people were ordered into the home the exact same day as the defendants issued their 30 day vacate. The nature of that order requiring a sheriff to obtain minors away from a prior person’s custody clearly suggests they were not living there previously. Why were Black’s submissions ignored? If there is no sound reasonable response Springmann must recuse immediately.

ALTERNATIVE ORDER: Judge Springman is ordered recused off this case and district is ordered to replace her with a judge trained or with a background in racial equality, if need be borrowed from any state.

13. Black’s evidence presented in the Summary Judgment ECF 40 and the Brief ECF 42-47 (not sure) against the defendants such as the Monroe County order, HUD, state transcript of conflicting testimony under oath, and other must be fairly adjudicated, etc. must be considered. The court cannot claim that the defendants are due a second hearing on “unauthorized occupants” violation due to res judicata.

14. Black is entitled to full discovery from the defendants with an attorney and resources to assure fair and just pleadings. *as reasonable.*

15. Any and all relief deemed necessary by the expert, NAACP, and Black and reported is ordered resolved by the parties including the district judge Opinion with respect to this order, the law, and fairness.
16. The court recommends this case be televised for transparency and the publics' best interest.

Wherefore, these above remedies coupled with the rectified findings of the expert/NAACP, district court, and parties shall then present a final order to satisfy a fair pleading assisting the parties to a settlement or fair trial. District Court is NOW trusted to submit a similar FINAL order RESOLVING ALL ISSUES OF THIS MATTER. Failure to do so, such as erroneous fact finding is encouraged to follow the judicial process moving the chosen appellate court first and this supreme court as a final recourse prior to trial due to the history of the two previous courts AND to assure a timely process in this proceeding.

This Supreme Court has made only the changes necessary to Black's order for fairness of all parties involved and for ease in legal presentation of the order showing it genuinely possible for all citizens of America to have fair access to our judicial system... SO BE IT ORDERED.

Signed: _____

Added Request for Remedy

50

An order stating that Defendants Submitted production proving that no white section 8 tenants exist who proved it fact that the landlord violations were unfounded-

Further No white tenants exist who paid rent on time ~~regular~~ always, kept a clean home, no damage, no criminal activity etc.

In bad-faith defendant submitted several white section 8 tenants who were ALL left standing as genuine BAD TENANTS.

Since NOT ONE white person was treated Badly as I had been treated, proven a good tenant absent False remarks made on my character that I was a racist.

Supporting Affidavit for The Statement
of FACTS AND WRIT
of Certiorari

4 pages

1139 words

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SANDRA BLACK -- PETITIONER

VS

NAOMI FRIEDRICHSEN ET AL -- RESPONDENTS

**SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT FOR THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE
AND WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

I Sandra Black, pro se petitioner of this court hereby declare and state absolutely nothing but the truth to the very best of my ability. Living life as a black person I can't escape the reality of the difference that color makes in my country, but the one thing I have absolute power over is NO MATTER HOW MANY WHITES, NO MATTER HOW POWERFUL THE WHITES TO HARM ME FOR MY COLOR, I WILL NEVER GIVE THEM THE POWER OVER ME TO JUDGE THE NEXT WHITE PERSON BY THEIR CHARACTER. It is by this power invested in me that I humbly plead this SUPREME COURT of AMERICA TO GRANT ME JUSTICE. Thus far it looks so very grim that the color of my skin may never prevail over the societal toxicity to allow me my seventh amendment right to be fairly and fully heard by a jury in a court of law. I must hold true to my belief that someone will stand for TRUTH and JUSTICE no matter my color or how bad this makes our country appear as ultimately it will be evident that our system eventually works FOR ALL CITIZENS no matter their race, disability, or their impoverished condition and lack of an attorney.

I had heard the rumor that Hunters Run is the most racist complex in town, but the best place for poor renters to live. I had absolutely no worries because I believed that I did not have the typical stereotypical black people issues... "loud, don't pay rent on time, destroying property, not responsible for their children's actions, drugs, crime, fighting, disrespectful, failing to follow rules, etc. And I had always been such a great tenant that no matter how a white landlord disrespected my color they had ALWAYS without fail respected how well I took care of my home, paid my rent on time, and followed the rules. Never once in my life had my color, my personality, my actions ever in the past, nor in my new home now of six years have I ever received any violations, nor had any desire of any landlord been expressed to remove me from my past rentals. FACTLY, I have had a previous landlord to supplement my rent nearly half price off to stay in her rental unit home as I had given notice to move out into Marion subsidized housing. We had a three-year contract where I paid \$250.00/month guaranteed for three years.

In all my years of dealing with racism as a renter, I had never had whites to lie on me trying to evict me for no reason. Hunters Run was my first act of housing discrimination with outright malicious lies against me to take away my home from me. Defendants lied in state court and now federal court with MALICIOUS LIES AGAINST ME, also lies under oath too.

Now both the federal court and circuit court of appeals also blatantly lie that my **MOTION TO SUBSTANTIATE THE ORDER** due to an error is by law an "objection to the order" or "correctness of the order" court respectively. The defendants' attorney, Brittney Rykovich also lies that my persistent moving of the court to stop Jim Crow Law tactics used against me was "frivolous" as if the JIM CROW laws are genuinely still intact and that I had absolutely no rights other than to be an N-word falsely placed in the wrong. My attempts to stop this action by the court was meritless as the court had ruled that I was to be treated nothing more

than a nigger of America's past who had absolutely no rights to be RIGHT against whites, no rights for my evidence to be heard, no rights for the facts to be ORDERED.

Why else would white judges and attorneys, act like "KARENS" placing their jobs on the line with such vicious lies and bad-faith practice against me? Do they know something I don't know? Is racism so bad that no white judge would do right by a black litigant harmed by judges? God FORBID! I won't believe it possible.

Sadly as matter of fact, if this court behaves as the last two courts have, it will prove it fact that a black pro se litigant is not allowed a trial by jury, that judges have the right to FABRICATE EVIDENCE against litigants, and worst of all our judicial system is a blatant LIE.


I have always been a good to excellent tenant all my life. I never had "unauthorized occupants" living in my home. After finding out in state court that I was entitled to a "FRIENDLY REMINDER" instead of an outright violation, I STATE THAT I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ONE AUTHENTIC VIOLATION THAT WAS NOT FABRICATED, A LIE AGAINST ME, **NOT ONE VIOLATION.**

Prior to April 19, 2017, the defendants have NEVER seen people in and out of my home as I NEVER had visitors other than my daughter who had always throughout the tenancy from day one visited me and her children who are my grandchildren who **LIVED ON THE LEASE** throughout my ENTIRE tenancy at Hunters Run. This sets precedence that the defendants never had a problem with my daughter visiting regularly throughout the tenancy prior to this event. YET, the judges involved all LIE and remove my grandchildren off the lease to place my white defendants FALSELY IN THE RIGHT and me FALSELY IN THE WRONG I do pray this

Supreme Court seeks the facts of this case that I have a right to fairness not to be placed falsely in the wrong, GRANTED AN ATTORNEY, and a right to a jury trial.

I Sandra Black hereby swear under penalty of perjury on this 10 day of April, 2024 that everything I have said in this affidavit AND in my submitted WRIT OF CERTIORARI statements regarding all courts involved, defendants, and defendants' attorneys who have caused me harm directly or by omission to speak out against misconduct is the absolute truth to the very best of my ability.

Respectfully signed:



Date:

4/10/24



INDIANA NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I, a notary public hereby certify that Sandra Black whose name is signed in the above

AFFIDAVIT: Supporting the STATEMENT OF THE CASE stands before me with ID in hand this 10 day of April, 2024.

Under such said conditions I acknowledge this is Sandra Black's signature regarding her Affidavit supporting the STATEMENT OF THE CASE stating the above statements are true facts regarding her experience with her defendants and the courts involved.

Given under my hand this the 10 day of April, 2024



Signature of notarial officer

Title/[rank]: notary

My commission expires: Nov 12 2026