

U.S SUPREME COURT DOCKET NUMBER
23 A 7201

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR, 1283682,
PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION, ET AL,
DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

THE PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR THE REHEARING OF THE
ORDER DENYING HIS PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
P. O BOX 149
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011

TABLE OF CONTENT

A. <u>THE ACTIONS OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT WAS ARBITRARY ; CAPRICIOUS ; AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND POWER ; DISCRIMINATION ; UNWARRANTED BY THE FACTS ; WITHOUT OBSERVANCE OF PROCEDURE REQUIRED BY LAW ; CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, POWER, PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY ; OR OTHERWISE NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.</u>	.1-11
B. <u>MY CASE EVALUATORS IS UNFIT TO PERFORM THEIR LEGAL DUTIES DUE TO A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND LACK OF IMPARTIALITY .</u>	.11-12
C. <u>CONCLUSION .</u>	.12-15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 211,12
U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 311,12
1ST AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11,12
4TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11
5TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11,12
6TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11
8TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11,12
10TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11
13TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11
14TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION.11,12
THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1.11,12

STATUTES AND RULES

U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A3,11,12
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 12, 28 U.S.C.A11
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 14, 28 U.S.C.A11
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 16, 28 U.S.C.A2,11
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 22, 28 U.S.C.A2,11
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 33, 28 U.S.C.A11
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 34, 28 U.S.C.A11
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 39, 28 U.S.C.A11
U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 44, 28 U.S.C.A11
CANON 1 OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A	.11
CANON 2 OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A	.11
CANON 3 OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A	.11
THE U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A8,12
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT8,12
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT PREAMBLE11
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.111
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.211
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.211
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.311
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.411
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.511
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.611
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.711
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.911

THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.12	11
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.15	11
THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT RULE 9.1	9,11
THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICE	9,11
MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK	
RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT RULE 3.8	9,11
THE FEDERAL POLICY FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS	15
THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 60, 28 U.S.C.A.	11
THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE RULE 201, 28 U.S.C.A.	11
THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT	13
THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OF 1964	11
5 U.S.C.A § 305	9,11
5 U.S.C.A § 306	9,11
5 U.S.C.A § 311	9,11
5 U.S.C.A § 312	9,11
5 U.S.C.A § 313	9,11
5 U.S.C.A § 314	9,11
5 U.S.C.A § 315	9,11
5 U.S.C.A § 702	11,12
5 U.S.C.A § 703	11,12
5 U.S.C.A § 704	11,12
5 U.S.C.A § 706	11,12
28 U.S.C.A § 1915	11
28 U.S.C.A § 530B	9,11
28 C.F.R § 0.39a	9,11
28 C.F.R § 0.45	9,11
28 C.F.R § 0.46	9,11
28 C.F.R § 0.50	9,11
28 C.F.R § 0.51	9,11
28 C.F.R § 0.85	9,11
28 C.F.R § 0.85(a)	9,11
45 C.F.R PART 73, APPENDIX A	9,11
45 C.F.R PART 73, APPENDIX B	9,11

SECONDARY SOURCES OF LAW

U.S SUPREME COURT GUIDE FOR PROSPECTIVE INDIGENT PETITIONERS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI PAGE 1	11
THOMAS REUTER'S BLACK LAW DICTIONARY PRINTOUTS OF TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	9-10

GROUND S FOR RELIEF

A. THE ACTIONS OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT WAS ARBITRARY; CAPRICIOUS; AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND POWER; DISCRIMINATION; UNWARRANTED BY THE FACTS; WITHOUT OBSERVANCE OF PROCEDURE REQUIRED BY LAW; CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, POWER, PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY; OR OTHERWISE NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW

1. I AM THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR WHO HAVE MADE THIS PETITION FOR THE REHEARING OF A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF IN THIS HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT IS UNDER A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO GRANT THIS PETITION FOR REHEARING, VACATE THE ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI, GRANT MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, GRANT MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY FOR FULL SCOPE REPRESENTATION, PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE FREE CERTIFIED COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS ON FILE UPON MY REQUEST FOR SUCH DOCUMENTS, PROVIDE FOR THE SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT OF THIS CASE, PROVIDE FOR CONFERENCES AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS, PROVIDE FOR U.S SUPREME COURT MEDIATORS TO REVIEW THIS CASE AND MEDIATE, TREAT THE APPEAL WITH SPECIAL CARE AND ATTENTION IN A VARIETY OF WAYS, PROVIDE FOR US TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE, VACATE THE JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER COURTS BELOW WHO ARE RESPONDENTS - DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE, AND GRANT A FULL REVERSAL FROM THE 2ND CIRCUIT DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THE INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES OF A SUBSTANTIAL OR CONTROLLING EFFECT AND OTHER SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS NOT PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED IN THIS CASE HAS BEEN SETOUT IN THIS PETITION FOR THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS APPLICATION. THE FILING OF MY LETTERS, MOTIONS, EXTRAORDINARY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, APPENDICES, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS DISTRIBUTED TO THIS U.S SUPREME COURT HAS RESULTED IN THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION BY THE COURT ON JUNE 10, 2024. COURT CLERK SCOTT S. HARRIS HAD SENT ME A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER IN THE FORM OF A LETTER DATED JUNE 10,

2024 CLAIMING THAT THE COURT HAD DENIED MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI WHEN THE COURT DOES NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THE COURT HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY PETITION WITHOUT COMMENT, EXPLANATION, ADJUDICATING PRELIMINARY POINTS, TAKING JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE, DISPOSING SUMMARILY OF THE APPEAL ON THE MERITS, GIVING INSTRUCTIONS FOR REHEARING, RULING ON MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS OR NOTIFYING ME OF ITS DECISION ON THE MOTION, OR ENTERING AND ISSUING THE APPROPRIATE ORDER REQUIRED BY THIS COURT RULE 16, 28 U.S.C. A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE COURT HAS A KNOWN DUTY TO PROVIDE ME AND OTHER LITIGANTS WITH A LEGITIMATE EXCUSE OR REASON FOR THE DENIAL OF OUR PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF BECAUSE THE COURT MUST JUSTIFY ITS DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS IN ALL CASES. THE COURT HAS BEEN USING UNLAWFUL PROCEDURES TO AVOID OUR CASES THAT PRESENTS DIFFICULT, CONTROVERSIAL OR UNPOPULAR ISSUES. THE COURT MAY BE ENGAGING IN THE ILLEGAL EXERCISES OR PRACTICAL ACTIVITIES TO JUDGE OUR RESPONSES OR MEASURE EITHER WHAT WE KNOW OR WHAT WE ARE LIKE OR CAN DO WHILE TESTING AND OBSERVING US IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. THE COURT HAS BEEN NOTIFYING ME OF ITS DECISIONS IN LETTERS WITHOUT ENTERING AND ISSUING APPROPRIATE ORDERS AFTER CONSIDERING MY MOTIONS, PETITION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS DISTRIBUTED TO THE COURT ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. [SEE THIS COURT RULE 16 AND 22, 28 U.S.C. A] THE COURT HAS NOT ENTERED AND ISSUED A JUDICIAL DECISION IN THIS SPECIAL CASE THAT DEFINITIVELY SETTLE IMPORTANT LEGAL RULES OR PRINCIPLES; PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF SOUGHT AND AGREEABLE SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS; HELP ORCHESTRATE CHANGE FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS; AND PROVIDE FOR THIS EXTRAORDINARY CASE TO SERVE AS AN IMPORTANT JUDICIAL PRECEDENT ON THE PARTICULAR LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE. THE COURT HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY PETITION WHEN IT MEETS THE CONTENT, CONSIDERATION AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR REVIEW ON CERTIORARI, SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT, AND A SUMMARY DISPOSITION ON THE MERITS FOR THE RELIEF SOUGHT AND AGREEABLE SOLUTION. THE COURT WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE GRANTED MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF FOR

THE SPECIAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING REASONS STATED IN THIS CASE PURSUANT TO THIS COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE COURT COULD HAVE ENTERED AND ISSUED A SUMMARY DISPOSITION ON THE MERITS TO TREAT THE APPEAL AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF AND AGREEABLE SOLUTION WHILE AWARE OF THE DISPOSITIVE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THE COURT DID NOT EXERCISE ITS JUDGMENT BASED ON THE RULES OF LAW AND WHAT IS FAIR WHILE UNDER OUR INHERITED CIRCUMSTANCES THAT CALLS FOR CRISIS INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES TO TAKE ACTION AND DEAL WITH THIS MATTER IN A SENSIBLE MANNER. BY DENYING MY PETITION, THE COURT HAS REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE COURT HAS FAILED TO FULFILL PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENTS; TAKE REASONABLE REMEDIAL MEASURES; RESPECT OUR HUMAN RIGHTS; PROVIDE FOR OUR BASIC HUMAN NEEDS; ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR WISHES; SUPPORT, DEFEND AND PROMOTE OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE; PROVIDE FOR OUR SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL OBJECTIVES; PROVIDE FOR US TO FULFILL OUR OBLIGATIONS, PURPOSES, CALLINGS AND COMMITMENTS; PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE PUBLICLY KNOWN JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. SELSEY JUNIOR; AND PROVIDE FOR US TO CHANGE OUR HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. THE COURT HAS NOT MEET THE STANDARD OF REASONABLE CARE THAT IS REQUIRED TO MEET A SUDDEN AND URGENT NEED FOR CRISIS INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES; TO HELP IDENTIFY, ASSESS AND RESOLVE OUR PROBLEMS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED IN THE COURSE OF EVENTS; TO PREVENT THE REASONABLY CERTAIN DEATH OF OR SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM TO THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS FROM COMMITTING FURTHER ACTS OF MISCONDUCT AND ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO CAUSE US A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS; TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS TO WITHDRAW FALSE WRITTEN OR ORAL OPINIONS OR REPRESENTATION PREVIOUSLY GIVEN BY THEM AND REASONABLY BELIEVED BY THEM TO STILL BE RELIED UPON BY A THIRD PARTY OR ANY OTHER PERSON; TO DETER OR PREVENT THE DEFENDANT- RESPONDENTS FROM ENGAGING IN CONDUCT

IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW THAT THEY OR THEIR AGENCIES KNOWS OR REASONABLY SHOULD KNOW CONSTITUTES UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION OR HARASSMENT; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANT-RESPONDENTS FROM ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL CONDUCT THAT ADVERSELY REFLECTS ON THEIR HONESTY, TRUSTWORTHINESS OR FITNESS AS CIVIL OFFICERS; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM ENGAGING IN CONDUCT THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM VIOLATING THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL CONDUCT; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM ENGAGING IN CONDUCT INVOLVING DISHONESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT OR MISREPRESENTATION; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM CONCEALING OR **COVERING UP** THAT WHICH THEY ARE REQUIRED BY LAW TO REVEAL; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM USING OR RELYING ON PERJURED TESTIMONY OR FALSE EVIDENCE; TO PREVENT OR DETER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE CREATION OR PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE THAT THEY KNOW OR IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THE EVIDENCE IS FALSE; TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO EXPRESS VIEWS ON MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN IN THE CONTEXT OF TEACHING, AND PROVIDING US WITH INFORMATION, SUGGESTIONS, ESTIMATES, STATISTICS, ASSISTANCE OR ADVOCACY; TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO REVEAL CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY REASONABLY KNOW IS NECESSARY TO PREVENT REASONABLY CERTAIN DEATH OR SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM; TO PROVIDE FOR THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES TO EXERCISE INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT AND RENDER CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; TO HELP RESOLVE AND SATISFY THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE; TO HOLD THE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS; TO CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW AND FACT; TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; TO PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE; TO FACILITATE THE ABILITY OF ALL PARTIES; TO PRODUCE AGREEMENTS AND RECONCILIATION; AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND REPRESENTATION OF ALL PARTIES. THE COURT HAS REFRAINED FROM PROTECTING ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE FROM SOCIOPATHIC, MENTALLY DISORDERED,

TECHNOLOGICAL, TERRORISTIC, OPPRESSIVE, SEXUAL PSYCHOPATHIC AND SEXUALLY DANGEROUS CYBER SUPER PREDATORS AND THEIR ALLIES AND AFFILIATES, ON THE PLEADINGS AND PRELIMINARY EVIDENCE, THE COURT WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO IMMEDIATELY PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE TO HELP AND PROTECT US AND PROVIDE FOR THE SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF HAS ADVERSELY AFFECTED ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE IN A VARIETY OF WAYS. THE COURT'S DECISION WAS MADE WITHOUT CONSIDERATION OF OR REGARD FOR FACTS, CIRCUMSTANCES, FIXED RULES AND PROCEDURES, AND PEOPLE. THE JUDICIAL DECISION WAS FOUNDED ON PREJUDICE OR PREFERENCE RATHER THAN ON REASON OR FACT. THE JUDICIAL DECISION WAS GUIDED BY UNPREDICTABLE OR IMPLUSIVE BEHAVIOR. IT IS LIKE THE COURT HAD SUDDENLY CHANGED IT'S MIND AND DECIDED TO BEHAVE IN A UNEXPECTED WAY UPON RECEIPT OF INFORMATION, DOCUMENTS AND OTHER EVIDENCE. THE JUDICIAL DECISION IS CONTRARY TO EVIDENCE AND ESTABLISHED RULES OF LAW. THE COURT HAS ABUSED ITS DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY AND DISCRETION BY FAILING TO EXERCISE SOUND, REASONABLE, AND LEGAL DECISION MAKING. THE ABUSE OF DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY BY THE COURT HAS IMPROPER MOTIVES THAT ARE KNOWN TO COURT OFFICIALS AND OTHER STAFF. A COURT OFFICIAL COMMITS A OFFENSE WHO, IN THE EXERCISE OR 'UNDER COLOUR OF EXERCISING THE DUTIES OF HIS OR HER OFFICE, DOES ANY LEGAL ACT, OR ABUSES ANY DISCRETIONARY POWER WITH WHICH HE OR SHE IS INVESTED BY LAW FROM AN IMPROPER MOTIVE, THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH MOTIVE MAY BE INFERRED EITHER FROM THE NATURE OF THE ACT, OR FROM THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE. IF IT CONSISTS IN INFILTING UPON ANY PERSON ANY BODILY HARM, IMPRISONMENT OR OTHER INJURY, THE OFFENSE IS ILLEGAL AND UNACCEPTABLE. THE COURT HAS NOT MEET IT'S APPELLATE COURT'S STANDARD FOR REVIEWING DECISIONS THAT IS ASSERTED TO BE GROSSLY UNSOUND, UNREASONABLE, ILLEGAL, OR UNSUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. THE EXERCISE OF JUDGMENT BY INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES OR BY THE COURT MUST BE BASED ON WHAT IS FAIR UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND GUIDED BY THE RULES AND PRINCIPLES OF LAW. THE RULE OF LAW IS ONE OF A NUMBER OF OVERLAPPING IDEAS INCLUDING CONSTITUTIONALISM, DUE PROCESS, LEGALITY, JUSTICE, AND SOVEREIGNTY, THAT MAKES CLAIMS FOR PROPER CHARACTER AND ROLE OF LAW IN WELL ORDERED STATES AND SOCIETIES. IT IS THE DOCTRINE THAT GENERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES ARE THE RESULT OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS DETERMINING THE RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS IN COURTS. THE COURT HAD UNJUSTLY EXERCISED ITS AUTHORITY OR POWERS SO THAT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WOULD CONTINUE TO BE UNFAIRLY OR CRUELLY PREVENTED FROM ENJOYING THE SAME RIGHTS AND OPPORTUNITIES

THAT OTHER PEOPLE HAVE. WE ARE BEING DEPRIVED OF OUR LEGAL CAPACITY, RIGHTS AND PROTECTIONS BY THIS COURT WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSING ITS DISCRETION, AUTHORITY AND POWER. THE COURT IS KNOWINGLY OR UNKNOWINGLY SUPPORTING SYSTEMATIC DISCRIMINATION IN A INGRAINED CULTURE THAT PERPETUATES DISCRIMINATORY POLICIES AND ATTITUDES TOWARD CERTAIN CLASSES OF PEOPLE WITHIN SOCIETY, PRISON, OR A PARTICULAR INDUSTRY, PROFESSION, COMPANY, OR GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION BECAUSE THE COURT HAS REFUSED TO GRANT CERTIORARI, REVIEW THIS CASE AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE. THE COURT IS GENERALLY SAID TO HAVE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE INVIDIOUSLY BECAUSE THE COURT HAS REFUSED TO ACCEPT THIS CASE FOR REVIEW AND/OR ENTER THE APPROPRIATE ORDER FOR A SUMMARY DISPOSITION ON THE MERITS DUE TO OUR APPOINTED LOT, STATUS, BELIEFS, INHERENT QUALITIES OF MIND AND CHARACTER, DISPOSITION AND OTHER ASPECTS OF OUR BACKGROUND. INVIDIOUS DISCRIMINATION IS A COMPLEX QUESTION TO WHICH INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES AND OTHER STAFF SHOULD BE ATTENTIVE. THE ANSWER CANNOT BE DETERMINED FROM A MERE EXAMINATION OF THE COURT'S CURRENT MEMBERSHIP ROLLS, BUT RATHER, DEPENDS UPON HOW THE COURT SELECTS CASES AS WELL AS OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS. EVEN THO REVIEW IN THIS COURT BY MEANS OF A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IS NOT A MATTER OF RIGHT, THE COURT STILL MUST MAKE DECISIONS THAT ARE LAWFUL AND ETHICAL WHILE EXERCISING DISCRETIONARY POWERS, GOOD JUDGMENT AND COMMON SENSE IN PRACTICAL MATTERS. THE COURT IS UNDER A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO USE ITS POWERS TO ACCORD ME AND OTHERS AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXERCISE OUR RIGHTS, AND TAKE IT UPON ITSELF TO TAKE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AS IS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THEM IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. IN ALL FIELDS OF HUMAN ACTION OR BELIEF, INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES ARE TO BE EVALUATED OVER COMMUNAL INTERESTS BECAUSE ALL VALUES, RIGHTS, AND DUTIES INHERE IN INDIVIDUALS. ALL COURTS IS REQUIRED TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF LITIGANTS AND PRESERVE THE INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY AND IMPARTIALITY OF THE JUDICIARY. THE RULE OF NECESSITY OVERRIDE THE RULE OF DISCRETION IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES AND OTHER COURT STAFF IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE FOR THE SPECIAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING REASONS STATED IN THIS CASE. WHEN THE COURT LEARNS THAT IT HAS ENGAGED IN INVIDIOUS DISCRIMINATION AND OTHER ILLEGAL CONDUCT, THE COURT MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION AND RIGHT ITS WRONGS. THE COURT MUST CORRECT ITS MISTAKES ARISING FROM OVERSIGHT, OMISSIONS, OR THE WILFUL MISCONDUCT OF ITS EMPLOYEES WHO HAD DECIDED TO ENGAGE IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO BRING ABOUT THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND

ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF. THE COURT MUST RELIEVE US AND ITS REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE ORDER, OR PROCEEDING FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS SUCH AS (1) MISTAKE, IN ADVERTENCE, SURPRISE, OR EXCUSABLE NEGLECT; (2) NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE THAT, WITH REASONABLE DILIGENCE, COULD NOT HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED ANY TIME SOONER; (3) FRAUD, MISREPRESENTATION, OR MISCONDUCT BY THE COURT OR AN OPPOSING PARTY; (4) THE ORDER IS VOID, ILLEGAL OR UNENFORCEABLE; (5) THE COURT ORDER MUST BE DISCHARGED, REVERSED, VACATED OR APPLYING IT IS NO LONGER EQUITABLE; OR (6) ANY OTHER REASON THAT JUSTIFIES RELIEF. INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES HAS NOT INSTRUCTED COURT STAFF, COURT OFFICIALS AND OTHERS SUBJECT TO THEIR DIRECTION AND CONTROL TO ACT IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THEIR OBLIGATIONS OF MANAGING THIS SPECIAL CASE IN A APPROPRIATE MANNER. JUSTICES WITH SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF OTHER JUDGES AND STAFF MEMBERS ASSIGNED TO THIS CASE HAS NOT TAKEN REASONABLE MEASURES TO ENSURE THAT THOSE JUDGES AND STAFF MEMBERS PROPERLY DISCHARGE THEIR JUDICIAL RESPONSIBILITIES INCLUDING THE PROMPT AND APPROPRIATE DISPOSITION OF MATTERS BEFORE THEM. INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES HAVE BEEN DIRECTING COURT STAFF TO ENGAGE IN CONDUCT ON THE JUSTICES BEHALF OR AS THE JUSTICES REPRESENTATIVE(S) WHEN SUCH CONDUCT IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. JUSTICES WITH SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY HAS NOT TAKEN STEPS NEEDED TO ENSURE THAT COURT PERSONNEL UNDER THEIR SUPERVISION ADMINISTER THEIR WORKLOAD CORRECTLY IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. TAKING ACTION TO ADDRESS KNOWN MISCONDUCT IS THE OBLIGATION OF EACH JUSTICE. IGNORING OR DENYING KNOWN MISCONDUCT AMONG ONE'S JUDICIAL COLLEAGUES OR MEMBERS OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION UNDERMINES A JUSTICE'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT AND SERVE THE PEOPLE. APPROPRIATE ACTION DEPENDS ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES, BUT THE OVERARCHING GOALS OF SUCH ACTION SHOULD BE TO PREVENT HARM TO THOSE AFFECTED BY THE MISCONDUCT AND TO PREVENT RECURRENT. A JUSTICE, IN DECIDING WHAT ACTION IS APPROPRIATE, MAY TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ANY REQUEST MADE BY A PERSON COMPLAINING OR REPORTING MISCONDUCT. A JUSTICE OR STAFF MEMBER SHALL NOT RETALIATE, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY AGAINST ME OR ANY OTHER PERSON KNOWN OR SUSPECTED TO BLOW THE WHISTLE ON THE MISCONDUCT INVOLVED IN OUR CASES WHILE SEEKING RELIEF, JUSTICE AND CONCILIATION. INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES AND OTHER STAFF MEMBERS HAS PERMITTED FAMILY, SOCIAL, POLITICAL, FINANCIAL, OR OTHER INTERESTS OR RELATIONS TO INFLUENCE THEIR CONDUCT OR JUDGMENT WHICH LEAD TO THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF. INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES AND OTHER STAFF MEMBERS HAS CONVEYED OR PERMITTED OTHERS TO CONVEY THE IMPRESSION THAT ORGANIZATIONS, BUSINESSES, AGENCIES, GOVERNMENT

OFFICIALS, DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS, OR OTHER PEOPLE IS IN A POSITION TO INFLUENCE THE COURT TO MISHANDLE THIS CASE AND HELP DENY ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE ACCESS TO THE LEGAL SYSTEM AND ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES HAVE BEEN VIOLATING THIS U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT, 28 U.S.C.A, THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULES, AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE COURT IS DISOBEDIING THE LAW AND NEGLECTING ITS DUTIES BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR US TO WORK TOGETHER AND CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT AND/OR ORDER BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANT - RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. THE COURT HAVE NOT PROVIDED FOR US TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE. THE COURT HAVE NOT PROVIDED FOR DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION, MATERIALS AND EVIDENCE FROM IDENTIFIED SOURCES, WITNESSES AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHICH RELATES TO MY ACTIONS, ACTIVITIES, CLAIMS, DEFENSES, REQUESTS, PROPOSALS, ENTITLEMENTS, AND THE NEEDS OF THIS CASE. THE COURT HAS NOT PROVIDED FOR US TO SEIZE, RECEIVE, INSPECT, COPY, TEST, OR SAMPLE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN THE POSSESSION, CUSTODY, OR CONTROL OF IDENTIFIED SOURCES, WITNESSES, AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS SUCH AS TANGIBLE THINGS, DOCUMENTS AND ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION -- INCLUDING WRITINGS, DRAWINGS, GRAPHS, CHARTS, PHOTOGRAPHS, SOUND RECORDINGS, IMAGES, AND OTHER DATA COMPILATIONS -- STORED IN ANY MEDIUM FROM WHICH INFORMATION CAN BE OBTAINED EITHER DIRECTLY OR, IF NECESSARY, AFTER TRANSLATION BY A PERSON INTO A REASONABLY USABLE FORM. THE COURT ALSO HAVE NOT PROVIDED FOR ME TO BE ASSIGNED A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY WHO IS NEEDED TO PROCEED WITH ALL REASONABLE DILIGENCE AND GAIN ENTRY ONTO THE DESIGNATED LAND AND OTHER PROPERTY POSSESSED OR CONTROLLED BY SOURCES, WITNESSES, AND DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS, SO THAT WE MAY INSPECT, MEASURE, SURVEY, PHOTOGRAPH, TEST, AND SAMPLE THE PROPERTY OR ANY DESIGNATED OBJECTS OR OPERATION OF THE MANAGING, SPONSORING AND OTHER AGENCIES WHO HAVE BEEN CONTROLLING THE PROJECTS, ACTIVITIES, BUSINESS DEALINGS, AND OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LIFE OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE AS HUMAN SUBJECTS OR BIOSPECIMENS IN THEIR CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH, AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS. I HAVE DISCOVERED (4) MORE STATUTORY LAWS RELATING TO THE FEDERAL EVIDENCE BUILDING ACTIVITIES OF THE IDENTIFIED

SOURCES, WITNESSES, AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE WITHIN THE UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED SECTIONS 311, 313, 314 AND 315. I HAVE ALSO DISCOVERED GENERAL PROVISIONS FOR THE AGENCIES OF THE IDENTIFIED SOURCES, WITNESSES, AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS RELATING TO SYSTEMATIC AGENCY REVIEW OF OPERATIONS AND AGENCY STRATEGIC PLANS AT 5 U.S.C.A § 305 AND 306. FEDERAL STATUE PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE FUNCTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WAS ALSO DISCOVERED AT 28 C.F.R § 0.398, 28 C.F.R § 0.45, 28 C.F.R § 0.50, 28 C.F.R § 0.51, 28 C.F.R § 0.85, AND 28 C.F.R § 0.85 (a) TO SUPPORT MY CLAIMS SETFORTH WITHIN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF. I HAD FINALLY DISCOVERED THE STATUES FOR SUPPORT SERVICES AND OTHER PURPOSES IN RELATION TO THIS CASE. ETHICAL STANDARDS FOR ATTORNEYS FOR THE ATTORNEYS OF THE GOVERNMENT WAS DISCOVERED AT 28 U.S.C.A § 530B AND THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT RULE 9.1 FORTH THIS COURT TO ISSUE AN ORDER DIRECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND ITS LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES TO FULFILL THEIR OBLIGATIONS, PURPOSES, CALLINGS AND COMMITMENTS BY SUPPORTING, DEFENDING AND PROMOTING OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE. THE SPECIAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF PROSECUTORS AND OTHER GOVERNMENT LAWYERS WAS DISCOVERED WITHIN THE MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK ANNOTATED RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT RULE 3.8 TO PROVIDE THIS COURT WITH INFORMATION RELATING TO THE SPECIAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF IDENTIFIED SOURCES, WITNESSES, AND DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE AND OTHER CASES. THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES STANDARDS OF CONDUCT AND LIST OF SOME OFFENSES FOR WHICH DISCIPLINARY ACTION MAY BE TAKEN WAS DISCOVERED AT 45 C.F.R PART 73, APPENDIX A TO PROVIDE THIS COURT WITH THE FEDERAL STATUE WHICH DEMONSTRATES THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AND AGENCIES UNDER THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT TO ENGAGE IN UNETHICAL OR UNLAWFUL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES TO MAKE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE OUT TO BE SPECIAL VICTIMS AS HUMAN SUBJECTS OR BIOSPECIMENS IN THEIR CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS. THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES WAS DISCOVERED AT 45 C.F.R PART 73, APPENDIX B FOR THE SAME PURPOSE AS WELL. ALSO, I HAD USED THOMAS REUTER'S BLACK LAW DICTIONARY PRINTOUTS OF TERMS AND DEFINITIONS TO HELP ME WRITE THIS PETITION AND SUPPORT MY CLAIMS SETFORTH IN THIS APPLICATION. THE PRINTOUTS OF THE TERMS AND DEFINITIONS HAS BEEN PLACED IN THIS PETITION'S ATTACHED APPENDIX AT PAGES 126 TO 324 TO PROVIDE THIS COURT WITH INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE AND TO SUPPORT MY CLAIMS SETFORTH IN THIS APPLICATION. I HAD USED THE DEFINITIONS OF THE TERMS NAMED IN MY TABLE OF CONTENT EVIDENCE SUMMARY #19 TO HELP ME WRITE THIS PETITION.

I HAD USED THE DEFINITIONS TO FIND OUT THE MEANING OF THE RELEVANT LAW TERMS AND AS SECONDARY SOURCES WHICH DISCUSS AND COMMENT ON LAW THAT APPLIES TO OUR FACTUAL SITUATION. THE ACTIONS OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT WAS ARBITRARY; CAPRICIOUS; AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND POWER; DISCRIMINATION UNWARRANTED BY THE FACTS; WITHOUT OBSERVANCE OF PROCEDURE REQUIRED BY LAW; CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, POWER, PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY; OR OTHERWISE NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THE COURT HAD MISUSED OR IMPROPERLY EXERCISED ITS AUTHORITY IN A WAY THAT IS TORTIOUS, UNLAWFUL, OR OUTSIDE ITS PROPER SCOPE WHILE EXECUTING ITS ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF. THE COURT HAS REFUSED TO FULFILL ITS GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS THAT IS MANDATED OR AUTHORIZED BY CONSTITUTION, STATUE OR OTHER LAW AND THAT IS TO BE CARRIED OUT FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC WHILE HANDLING THIS CASE. THE COURT MUST EXERCISE THE FUNCTIONS OF GOVERNMENT AND AVOID A PODR DISPOSITION AS A FUNCTIONING UNIT OF A DESPOTIC GOVERNMENT. "IN CONTRADISTINCTION TO ALL DESPOTISMS AND DICTATORSHIPS, THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES ESTABLISHES LIMITED GOVERNMENT BY IMPOSING POSITIVE RESTRAINS ON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THE STATES. IN SOME MATTERS THE INDIVIDUAL IS PROTECTED AGAINST THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, IN OTHERS AGAINST THE STATE, AND IN STILL OTHERS AGAINST BOTH. THESE LIMITATIONS ARE NOT MERE POLITICAL THEORIES OR VAGUE DECLARATIONS OF RIGHTS; THEY ARE RULES OF LAW EXPOUNDED AND APPLIED BY THE COURTS, ENFORCED BY PROPER EXECUTIVE AUTHORITIES, AND RESPECTED AS A CREED". THE COURT STILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO RIGHT ITS WRONGS IN THIS CASE IN SPITE OF THE FACT THAT THE COURT HAD DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME AND OTHERS AND VIOLATED OUR RIGHT TO ACCESS THE COURT AND PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES; RIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY BY A COMPETENT COURT OF JUSTICE OR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY FOR THE ACTS VIOLATING OUR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GRANTED BY THE U.S CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS OF OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTRY; RIGHT TO HAVE DUE PROCESS AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW; RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT; RIGHT TO ACT FOR PROPER PURPOSES; RIGHT TO CARE AND ACT OR RESCUE; RIGHT TO PROTECT; RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OR ADMINISTRATION OF GOVERNMENT; RIGHT TO ENGAGE IN ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES; RIGHT TO PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE; RIGHT TO COMPENSATION; RIGHT TO PROPERTY; RIGHT TO HOUSING, EDUCATION, HEALTHCARE, EMPLOYMENT, ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION, FINANCIAL AND OTHER SUPPORT, AND ASSISTANCE; RIGHT TO BE SECURE AND PROTECTED; RIGHT TO INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE; RIGHT OF DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE; RIGHT TO FAITHFUL SERVICE; AND RIGHT TO INFORM AND NOTIFY, ETC... .

PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT THIS COURT DID NOT ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY LETTERS ENTITLED "CYBERNETICS, THE SCIENCE OF COMMUNICATION AND CONTROL IN MACHINES AND LIVING THINGS", "FULL SCOPE REPRESENTATION", "SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT FOR THE RELIEF", AND "A REQUEST FOR A JUDICIAL NOTICE" WHICH WAS SUBMITTED TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE FOR THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS CASE. PLEASE REVIEW ALL PAPERS FILED IN THIS CASE TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT. I SEE ATTACHED APPENDIX PAGE 1 - 395; U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2, ARTICLE 3, AND AMENDMENTS 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13 AND 14; THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1; U.S SUPREME COURT RULES 10, 12, 14, 16, 22, 33, 34, 39 AND 44, 28 U.S.C.A; CANON 1, 2 AND 3 OF THIS U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT; THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT PREAMBLE AND RULES 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.9, 2.12 AND 2.15; THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT RULE 9.1; THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES; MCKINNEY'S CONSOLIDATED LAWS OF NEW YORK RULE OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT RULE 3.8; FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 60, 28 U.S.C.A; THE FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE RULE 201, 28 U.S.C.A; 5 U.S.C.A § 305, 306, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 702, 703, 704, AND 706; 28 U.S.C.A § 1915 AND 530B; 28 C.F.R § 0.39a, 0.45, 0.46, 0.50, 0.51, 0.85 AND 0.85(a); 45 C.F.R PART 73, APPENDIX A AND B; THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OF 1964; AND PAGE 1 OF THIS U.S SUPREME COURT GUIDE FOR PROSPECTIVE INDIGENT PETITIONERS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI.

B. MY CASE EVALUATORS IS UNFIT TO PERFORM THEIR LEGAL DUTIES DUE TO A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND LACK OF IMPARTIALITY

2. MY CASE EVALUATORS IS UNFIT TO PERFORM THEIR LEGAL DUTIES DUE TO A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND LACK OF IMPARTIALITY. THEY HAD REFUSED TO ACCEPT THIS CASE AND PROVIDE THE COURT WITH INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO PROCEED AND TREAT THE APPEAL PROPERLY AFTER I HAD DISCLOSED A WHOLE CASE AND A LIFE SITUATION FOR WHICH MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF MUST BE GRANTED IN ITS ENTIRETY. THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL DISAGREEMENT CONCERNING THEIR EVALUATIONS AND DECISIONS IN THIS MATTER WHILE MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THEY HAD ASSESSED THE GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE OF THIS HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AND REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR THE PROJECTS, TRANSACTIONS, SERVICES AND

RESPONSES THAT IS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE A RESOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS, CLAIMS, REQUESTS, PROPOSALS AND COMPLEX ACTIVITIES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THEY HAVE REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR US TO RECEIVE RELIEF AND A REMEDY THAT EXCEEDS WHAT IS TYPICAL OR CUSTOMARILY GRANTED BUT IS WARRANTED BY THE UNIQUE, EXTREME AND HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND CASE. I HAVE DISCOVERED THAT RASHONDA GARNER IS MY CASE ANALYST WHO IS UNFIT TO PERFORM HER LEGAL DUTIES DUE TO A PERSONAL INCOMPATIBILITY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND LACK OF IMPARTIALITY. SHE HAS REFUSED TO MAKE GOOD DECISIONS ON OUR BEHALF AND FOR OUR BENEFIT, AND ACT IN GOOD FAITH AND WITH FAIRNESS WHILE MISHANDLING THIS CASE WITH CO-WORKERS AND CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. THE COURT DID NOT TAKE REMEDIAL MEASURES AFTER I HAD MADE COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE ACTIONS OF MY CASE ANALYST RASHONDA GARNER AND THE DEFENDANTS WITHIN MY LETTERS AND MOTIONS FILED IN THIS CASE. IF THE COURT WOULD HAVE TAKEN REMEDIAL MEASURES THEN ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN SUBJECT TO SUFFER FROM THE EVENTS, OCCURRENCES AND TRANSACTIONS THAT HAS BEEN TAKING PLACE EVER SINCE THE FILING OF MY LETTERS, MOTIONS, PETITION, APPENDICES, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THE COURT MUST ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS CASE TO ACT WITH DILIGENCE AND PREVAILING STANDARDS AND TAKE ACTION TO DEAL WITH THIS SITUATION CREATED BY THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES. PLEASE REVIEW ALL PAPERS FILED IN THIS CASE TO REVIEW THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT AND NEGLECT. [SEE THIS APPENDIX PAGES 1-395; EXHIBITS 161-179; U.S CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2, ARTICLE 3, AND AMENDMENTS 1, 5, 8 AND 14; THE STATE OF NEW COLOMBIA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1; U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A; U.S SUPREME COURT CODE OF CONDUCT; THE DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT; AND 5 U.S.C.A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706]

C. CONCLUSION

3. FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THIS PETITION SHOULD BE GRANTED FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE. I HAVE DEMANDED THE ENTITLED RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS SECTION OF THIS PETITION BECAUSE THE ACTIONS OF THE U.S SUPREME COURT IN THIS CASE AND OTHER CASES WAS ARBITRARY; CAPRICIOUS; AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND POWER; DISCRIMINATION; UNWARRANTED BY THE FACTS; WITHOUT OBSERVANCE OF PROCEDURE REQUIRED BY LAW; CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, POWER, PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY; OR OTHERWISE NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW. THE COURT HAS

CAUSED ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED OR AGGRAVATED BY THE LEGAL WRONGS OF ITS EMPLOYEES SO I WOULD LIKE FOR THE COURT TO PROVIDE FOR US TO RIGHT ITS WRONGS BY GRANTING RELIEF AND WORKING WITH US TO FULFILL OUR OBLIGATIONS, PURPOSES, CALLINGS AND COMMITMENTS. THE COURT, COURT STAFF AND OTHERS ARE NOT IMMUNE OR EXEMPT FROM THE DUTIES, LIABILITIES AND SERVICE OF PROCESS INVOLVED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THERE IS A GENERAL DUTY TO EXERCISE REASONABLE CARE AND MEET THE STANDARD OF CARE TO BE EXERCISED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. ESTABLISHING "DUTY" IS THE FIRST PRE REQUISITE IN AN INDIVIDUAL TORT CASE TO EXERCISE REASONABLE CARE, TO AVOID SUBJECTING OTHERS TO AN UNREASONABLE RISK OF HARM, TO COMPLY WITH THE LEGAL STANDARD OF REASONABLE CONDUCT, TO ACT AND HELP OUT IN A HAZARDOUS OR LIFE SITUATION THAT PLACED OTHERS IN PERIL AND WHERE THERE IS SPECIAL RELATIONSHIPS, TO AVOID THE HINDERANCE OF A PARTY'S PERFORMANCE AND COOPERATE TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTE, AND TO DEAL WITH OTHERS FAIRLY AND REAP THE BENEFITS AVAILABLE IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. [SEE THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT]

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE GRANT THIS PETITION FOR REHEARING, VACATE THE ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI, GRANT MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF; GRANT MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS; APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY FOR FULL SCOPE REPRESENTATION; PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE FREE CERTIFIED COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS ON FILE UPON MY REQUEST FOR SUCH DOCUMENTS FROM THE COURT CLERK; PROVIDE FOR THE SPECIAL CASE MANAGEMENT OF THIS CASE; APPOINT ME A NEW CASE ANALYST AND MANAGER; PROVIDE FOR THE PROPER EVALUATION OF THIS CASE; PROVIDE FOR CONFERENCES AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS AND ALLOW ME AND OTHERS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCEEDINGS WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY; PROVIDE FOR U.S SUPREME COURT MEDIATORS AND OTHER STAFF TO REVIEW THIS CASE, MEDIATE AND PROVIDE FOR THE RELIEF SOUGHT AND AGREEABLE SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS; TREAT THE APPEAL WITH SPECIAL CARE AND ATTENTION IN A VARIETY OF WAYS; PROVIDE FOR US TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE; VACATE THE JUDGMENTS, ORDERS AND DECISIONS OF THE COURTS BELOW WHO ARE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE; GRANT A FULL REVERSAL FROM THE 2ND CIRCUIT; AND PROVIDE FOR US TO CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT AND/OR ORDER BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FOR

THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS PETITION AND MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND OTHER RELIEF, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AND ISSUE A SUMMARY DISPOSITION ON THE MERITS TO TREAT THE APPEAL WITH SPECIAL CARE AND ATTENTION IN A VARIETY OF WAYS AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE AND AGREEABLE SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE PROVIDE FOR US TO PRESERVE ALL FUTURE ACTIONS, ACTIVITIES, CLAIMS, REQUESTS, ENTITLEMENTS, PROPOSALS AND OTHER THINGS THAT WILL HELP US ADVANCE THE RESOLUTION OF LITIGATION AND SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS WHILE CARRYING OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF OUR CIVIL CASES. ALL FUTURE ACTIONS, ACTIVITIES, CLAIMS, REQUESTS, ENTITLEMENTS, PROPOSALS, AND OTHER THINGS ARE BEING PRESERVED BY THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. ALL FUTURE ACTIONS, ACTIVITIES, CLAIMS, REQUESTS, ENTITLEMENTS, PROPOSALS AND OTHER THINGS MUST BE PRESERVED AND PROTECTED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. WE WILL BE ALLOWED TO BRING ACTIONS IN CONNECTION TO THIS CASE AS A MATTER OF COURSE, SPECIAL PROCESS, SUCCESSION, CONTINUATION, PROGRESSION AND REINFORCEMENT. THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS FOR SUCH ACTIONS BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. WE WOULD LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO HELP US REGULATE THE SPECIAL PROCESS THROUGH JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECREES AND ENACTMENT OF LAWS. WE WILL INDIVIDUALLY CONTROL THE PROSECUTION OR DEFENSE OF SEPARATE ACTIONS, THE EXTENT AND NATURE OF ANY LITIGATION CONCERNING THE CONTROVERSY ALREADY BEGUN BY OR AGAINST ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE, THE DESIRABILITY OR UNDESIRABILITY OF CONCENTRATING THE LITIGATION OF CLAIMS IN OUR FORUM, AND THE SPECIAL MANAGEMENT OF SEPARATE ACTIONS WHILE INVESTIGATING, CONDUCTING AN ACCOUNTING, DETERMINING THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGES, ESTABLISHING THE TRUTH OF ALLEGATIONS BY EVIDENCE, AND PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE PROVIDE FOR ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO BE FREED FROM OPPRESSIVE RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY AUTHORITIES ON OUR WAY OF LIFE, BEHAVIOR, AND POLITICAL VIEWS.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE PERFORM ITS FUNCTIONS WITHIN ITS SPECIAL COMPETENCE AND LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO MAKE FACTUAL RECORD, TO APPLY ITS EXPERTISE, AND CORRECT ITS OWN ERRORS SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE THROUGHOUT THE WORLD AND HELP MEET OUR ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, HUMAN, FINANCIAL, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION, EDUCATIONAL, EMPLOYMENT, HEALTH, PERSONAL CARE, ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION, ENVIRONMENTAL AND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE REVIEW THE FEDERAL POLICY FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS AND MY EXHIBIT A2 SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO TAKE ACTION AND DEAL WITH THIS MATTER IN A SENSIBLE MANNER.

4. FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT THIS PETITION IN ITS ENTIRETY AND SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELIEF AS THIS COURT DEEMS JUST AND PROPER.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. EXECUTED ON THE 25TH DAY OF JUNE 2024.

W.P. V

WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
P.O BOX 149
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011

SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON THE 30th DAY OF
June 2024

Michael A Barham
Notary Public, State of New York
Reg. No. 01BA6392051
Qualified in Erie County
Commission Expires 06/03/2027


NOTARY PUBLIC

15 OF 15

CERTIFICATE OF THE PETITIONER

I, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR, CERTIFY THAT MY PRECEDING ATTACHED PETITION IS RESTRICTED TO THE GROUNDS SPECIFIED IN THIS U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 44 AND IS PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY.

DATED: JUNE 25, 2024

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

W. P. V.

WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
P.O BOX 149
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**