

U.S SUPREME COURT DOCKET NUMBER
23 A 307

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR, 12B368Z,

23 - 7201

V S .

PETITIONER PLAINTIFF,

OCT 24 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS; DOCCS COMMISSIONER ANTHONY ANNUCCI; DOCCS DIRECTOR KAREN BELLAMY, DONALD VENETTOZZI AND A. RODRIGUEZ; DOCCS SPECIAL INVESTIGATOR MR. WEISHAUP; DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS GLEN ENGSTROM, AMBROSE WALDRON, CHAD ROWE, TRAVIS BAXTER, J. RIEF, C. COX, S. BARCOMB, J. RUSSELL, AND J. RUFA; DOCCS MEDICAL DOCTOR RICHARD ADAMS, ORTHOPEDIC DOCTOR DRAGOS MACELARU, MEDICAL DOCTOR VIJAY KUMAN MANDALAYWALA, AND MEDICAL PROVIDERS CATHERINE CALLEY AND G. WATERSON; DOCCS SUPERINTENDENTS MICHAEL KIRKPATRICK, DONALD UHLER AND GEORGE POFF; DOCCS HEARING OFFICERS M. LIBERTY AND MR. S. BULLIS; NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT AND JUDGE GERALD W. CONNOLLY; NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT, JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN AND CLERK FRANCISE CAFARELL; NYS COURT OF APPEALS AND JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON;

THE U.S GOVERNMENT; AGENCY OR AGENCIES OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES; U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT; U.S DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN AND WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK; DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE; NYS GOVERNOR OFFICE; NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE; NY SERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY HALL, SUPREME COURT, CITY COURT, PROBATION DEPARTMENT, FAMILY COURT AND POLICE DEPARTMENT; NAACP; CRISIS SERVICES AND LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ETAL,

DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS.

**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT**

**THE PETITIONER'S EXTRAORDINARY PETITION
FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

**WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011**

**A HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF
GREAT IMPERATIVE PUBLIC IMPORTANT AND GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE**

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. DOES THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAVE THE RIGHT TO PLACE CITIZENS AND OTHER PEOPLE IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS WITHOUT NO REGARDS FOR OUR HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELL BEING ?
2. DOES THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAVE THE RIGHT TO ACT IN ASSOCIATION WITH PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS TO CARRY OUT A SCHEME TO DEPRIVE THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE OF LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY THROUGH DECEPTION OR FRAUD WHILE ENGAGING IN UNETHICAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES ?
3. DOES THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO HELP THE PEOPLE ADVANCE SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY WITHOUT COMPENSATING US FOR OUR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS, AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS- DEFENDANTS WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE ?
4. DOES THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE HAVE A RIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY BY A COMPETENT COURT OF JUSTICE OR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY FOR THE ACTS VIOLATING OUR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GRANTED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS OF OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTRY ?

**A LIST OF PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS FOR THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE
AND ITS RELATED CASES ALONG WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION**

A SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE

COURT NAME(S): U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CASE NAME: WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL

CASE NUMBER(S): U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
9:18-CV-00748-MAD-ATB ; 9:18-CV-00748-BKS-ATB
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
22-3095; 22-3206-CONSOLIDATED

CAUSE: 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS - PROMOTION OF COMMUNITY WELFARE, GOODWILL
AND OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE

NATURE OF SUIT: 550 PRISONER; CIVIL RIGHTS

DESCRIPTION OF COMPLAINT: A DIVERSIFIED SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION: FEDERAL QUESTION(S)

JURY DEMAND : BOTH **TRIAL:** YES ☒ NO

ASSIGNED TO : 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE
2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE RAYMOND J. LOHIER JUNIOR
2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE MICHAEL H. PARK
2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE WILLIAM J. NARDINI
U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO
U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES
NORTHERN DISTRICT CLERKS LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN AND JOHN M. DOMURAD
U.S COURT REPORTERS ALEXANDER JONES AND ABIGAIL HALLOWELL
U.S COURT REPORTERS JODI HIBBARD, EILEEN McDONOUGH AND HANNAH CAVANAUGH
NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES
NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT
NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER
NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI
NYS SOLICITOR GENERAL BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD
NYS DEPUTY SOLICITOR JEFFREY LANG
NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE
NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE WORKERS GREGORY RODRIGUEZ,
MICHAEL MCCARTIN AND ADRIENE KERWIN
PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY ELIZABETH GENUNG
PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY GEORGE LOWE

REFERRED TO : U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER
NORTHERN DISTRICT FORMER CHIEF JUDGE GLENN T. SUDDABLY
2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE DEBRA ANN LIVINGSTON

REFERRED TO : U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER
NORTHERN DISTRICT FORMER CHIEF JUDGE GLENN T. SUDDABLY
2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE DEBRA ANN LIVINGSTON

PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF

WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR, ACTING ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE.

RESPONDENTS - DEFENDANTS (KNOWN AGENCIES AND INDIVIDUALS, ETC)

1. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
2. THE AGENCY OR AGENCIES OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES
3. THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT
4. THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NEW YORK
5. THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE, NEW YORK
6. THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN BUFFALO, NEW YORK
7. THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN ROCHESTER, NEW YORK
8. THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
9. THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, NEW YORK
10. THE STATE OF NEW YORK GOVERNOR OFFICE
11. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE
12. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY HALL
13. THE STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS
14. THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT
15. THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT
16. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT
17. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY COURT
18. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN COURT
19. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO PROBATION DEPARTMENT
20. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY TONAWANDA TOWN COURT
21. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT
22. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO FAMILY COURT
23. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO POLICE DEPARTMENT
24. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY JAIL
25. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY HOLDING CENTER
26. THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE
27. CRISIS SERVICES
28. THE SAFE HARBORS OF THE FINGER LAKES
29. PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES OF NEW YORK
30. THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY OR PRISONERS' RIGHTS PROJECT
31. NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES
32. NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT
33. NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER
34. NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI

35. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID G. LARIMER
36. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES
37. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO
38. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER
39. NYS COURT OF APPEALS ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON
40. NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN
41. NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT CLERK FRANCIS E. CAFARELL
42. NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE GERALD W. CONNOLLY
43. NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA
44. NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT CLERK LYNN CYBULSKI
45. NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT CLERK CHRISTOPHER L. JACOBS
46. NYS ERIE COUNTY FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY FRANK A. SEDITA III
47. NYS ERIE COUNTY FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY MICHAEL FLAHERTY
48. NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY AMY J. GOLDSTEIN
49. NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES F. BARNESI
50. NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ASHLEY R. LOWRY
51. NYS DOCCS FORMER COMMISSIONER ANTHONY J. ANNUCCI
52. NYS DOCCS DIRECTORS KAREN BELLAMY AND A. RODRIGUEZ
53. NYS DOCCS DIRECTOR DONALD VENETTOZZI
54. NYS DOCCS SPECIAL INVESTIGATOR MR. WEISHAUP
55. NYS DOCCS SUPERINTENDENT DONALD UHLER
56. NYS DOCCS SUPERINTENDENT MICHAEL KIRKPATRICK
57. NYS DOCCS DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF
58. NYS DOCCS HEARING OFFICER MR. BULLIS
59. NYS DOCCS HEARING OFFICER MRS. HENLEY
60. NYS DOCCS HEARING OFFICER MS. LIBERTY
61. NYS DOCCS MEDICAL DOCTOR RICHARD ADAMS
62. NYS DOCCS MEDICAL DOCTOR MANDALAYWALA MD VIJAY KUMAN
63. NYS DOCCS ORTHOPEDIC DOCTOR DRAGOS MACELARU
64. NYS DOCCS MEDICAL PROVIDER CATHERINE CALLEY
65. NYS DOCCS REGISTER NURSE G. WATERSON
66. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN
67. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER GLEN ENGSTROM
68. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER AMBROSE WALDRON
69. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER CHAD ROWE
70. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER TRAVIS BAXTER
71. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RIFE
72. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER S. BARCOMB
73. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RUSSELL

74. ATTICA SERGEANT T. WILSON
75. ATTICA INMATE RECORDS M. FREW
76. ATTICA INMATE ACCOUNTS M. WETZLER
77. ATTICA DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT FOR ADMINISTRATION S. BECK
78. ATTICA SENIOR OFFENDER REHABILITATION COORDINATOR K. WARNER
79. U.S COURT REPORTER EILEEN McDONOUGH
80. U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD
81. U.S COURT REPORTER HANNAH F. CAVANAUGH
82. U.S COURT REPORTER ABIGAIL HALLOWELL
83. U.S COURT REPORTER ALEXANDER JONES
84. U.S DISTRICT COURT FORMER CHIEF JUDGE GLEN T. SUDDABLY
85. 2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE J. LOHIER JUNIOR
86. 2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE MICHAEL H. PARK
87. 2ND CIRCUIT JUDGE WILLIAM J. NARDINI
88. 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE
89. NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE SMITH AND JUDGE P. J
90. NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE CENTRA
91. NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE PERADOTTO
92. NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGE CARNI
93. U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK MARY C. LOEWENGUTH
94. U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK N. GALLONARDO
95. U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK JOHN M. DOMURAD
96. 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE DEBRA ANN LIVINGSTON
97. U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN
98. NYS DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL JEFFREY LANG
99. NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE
100. NYS SOLICITOR GENERAL BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD
101. ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT APPOINTED DEFENSE ATTORNEY E. CAREY CANTWELL
102. U.S DISTRICT COURT APPOINTED TRIAL ATTORNEY ELIZABETH GENUING
103. U.S DISTRICT COURT APPOINTED TRIAL ATTORNEY GEORGE LOWE
104. U.S POSTAL SERVICE
105. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER
106. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO BOARD OF EDUCATION
107. THE UNIVERSITY OF BUFFALO ORTHOPEDIC CLINIC
108. THE NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
109. THE BAR ASSOCIATION
110. THE CITY OF BUFFALO CITY COUNCIL MEMBER DARIUS PRIDGET
111. NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT CLERK ROBERT D. MAYBERGER
AND JUDGES PETERS, P. J, LYNCH, ROSE AND MULVEY JJ, AERONS AND PRITZKER JJ,
MACARTHY, AND EGAN JR.

112. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER C. COX
113. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RUFA
114. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER MR. BOGARDUS
115. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICER MR. ARCHAMBEAULT
116. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS K. DREW AND MR. BAXTER
117. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO ATTICA'S PACKAGE ROOM
118. NYS DOCCS CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO MY HOUSING LOCATIONS AT EACH FACILITY
119. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION AND CORRECTIONAL STAFF
120. AND OTHER AGENCIES, CIVIL OFFICERS AND PERSONS UNKNOWN/UNIDENTIFIED AT THIS POINT

THE WITNESSES OF THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF

THE PETITIONER'S FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE; THE FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JR.; CORRECTIONAL STAFF AND OTHER GOVERNMENTAL PERSONNEL; INMATES; CELEBRITIES AND PROMINENT FIGURES; MEDICAL PROVIDERS, EXPERTS AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS; DEFENDANTS; MICHAEL PIETRUSZKA; LYNN CYBULSKI; PENNY WOLFGANG; FRANK A. SEDITA III; JAMES F. BARGNESI; GERALD WHALEN; GERALD W. CONNOLLY; ROWAN D. WILSON; FRANCIS E. CAFARELL; DAVID L. LARIMER; MAE A. D'AGOSTINO; BRENDA K. SANNES; ANDREW T. BAXTER; ERIC PINSONNAULT; LETITIA JAMES; FREDERICK A. GELSEY SR.; ANTHONY ANNUCCI; KAREN BELLAMY; DONALD VENETTOZZI; A. RODRIGUEZ; MR. WEISHAUP; GLEN ENGSTROM; CHAD ROWE; TRAVIS BAXTER; J. RIEF; S. BARCOMB; J. RUSSELL; C. COX; J. RUFA; RICHARD ADAMS; DRAGOS MACELARU; CATHERINE CALLEY; G. WATERSON; DONALD UHLER; MANDALAY WALA MD; VIJAY KUMAN; MICHAEL KIRKPATRICK; MRS. M. LIBERTY; MR. S. BULLIS; MRS. HENLEY; LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN; JOHN M. DOMURAD; CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE; RAYMOND J. LOHIER JUNIOR; MICHAEL H. PARK; WILLIAM J. NARDINI; ALEXANDER JONES; ABIGAIL HALLOWELL; JODI HIBBARD; EILEEN McDONOUGH; HANNAH CAVANAUGH; JONATHAN REINER; SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI; FREDERICK A. BRODIE; BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD; JEFFREY LANG; GEORGE LOWE; ELIZABETH GUNUNG; GREGORY RODRIGUEZ; MICHAEL MCCARTIN; ADRIENE KERWIN; GLENN T. SUDDABLY; DEBRA ANN LIVINGSTON; T. WILSON; M. FREW; M. WETZLER; S. BECK; L. MIDDLEBROOK; GEORGE POFF; JAMMY HICKEY; C. MUNIAK; B. CHRISTIAN; DSS WHITE; FONDA KUBIAK; FRANK PRATCHER; LIAM DWYER; JOSEPH BIDEN; BARRACK H. OBAMA; DONALD TRUMP; ASHLEY R. LOWRY; AMY J. GOLDSTEIN; MICHAEL FLAHERTY; BYRON BROWN; CHRISTOPHER MILLER; RACHAEL SEQUIN; MRS. S. DEBYAH; JOCASA L. REIF; EILEEN FAZZONE; JEFFREY HALE; SHELLEY M. MALLOZZI; ANNEMARIE MCGRATH; ALEXANDRA KUINLAN; MORDECHAI REHANY, MD; SHASHIDHAR REDDY, MD; ENRIQUE M. BURSZTYN; MRS. CALLEY; R. FITZGERALD; BOB FITZGERALD; ELIAS SHEBAR; KIMBERLY A. O'CONNOR; RASHONDA GARNER; MICHAEL A. BARHAM; ANTHONY J. EHRENREICH; CHRISTOPHER DUFFY; MICHELLE A. KIBLER; SEVYNN; COGARUS; SCOTT S. HARRIS; ALEXIS SKYY; BRITTANYA; RIHANNA; SWEETIE; MARCUS

J. MASTRACCO ; LISA VIRGILIO ; MARY G. CARNEY ; SGT. G. KING ; E. CAREY CANTWELL ;
K. KASPER ; C.O MARTIN ; C.O WELLES ; C.O T. HALEY ; C.O LAGREE ; C.O LEGGETT ;
C.O T. ROBARE ; C.O J. REYELL ; C.O B. WALLENHORST ; C.O DREW K, R ; C.O BOGARDUS ;
C.O BAXTER, S, P ; C.O ARCHAMBEAULT, P ; C.O ALGER, J, M ; C.O GUYETTE, R ; C.O LITTLE
T, S ; REGISTER NURSE CHERRIER ; REGISTER NURSE SIMPSON ; LT. GUYNUP ; SGT. HOLLAND ;
SGT P. HUTTI ; CAPTAIN P. DEVLIN ; CAPTAIN WILLIAM J. SNYDER ; SGT. GREGORY T. KING ;
SGT. MARK ORZECZ ; JAMIE LAMANNA ; CHIEF DEPUTY DANIEL R. McALLISTER ;
LT. FIRESTER ; HEARING OFFICER MR. SIGLIN ; MRS. O'BRIEN ; C.O MAWHIR ; MRS. WATSON ;
MRS. WALES ; MR. GALES ; CHRISTOPHER L. JACOBS ; MR. MILBRAND ; MR. COFFEE ;
MR. WAXSTAFF ; MICHAEL TOY ; THOMAS GRILL ; HARVEY FRANKEL ; BERT PANDOLFINO ;
DONNA MILLING ; RICHARD LOPEZ ; TRACEY DENALL WILES ; THOMAS ROGERS ; TERRENCE
AMERSON ; LINDA L. WOLNIEWICZ ; CHRISTOPHER P. FIELDS ; DIANNE R. VERTES ; MARY
E. RUCHACZEWSKI ; JOHN SIMONIAN ; SHEILA M. BEREMANN ; KATHERINE G. MILKS ; JOSEPH
KESSLER ; AMY HAGEMAN ; STEVEN NICHOLS ; JUDITH BIGELOW ; JOSEPH WALTERS ; JOSEPH
MAKOWSKI ; GERALD E. MANEY ; RYAN W. HICKEY ; ROBERT D. MAYBERGER ; ERIC T.
SCHNEIDERMAN ; MARIO T. PRATTS ; PROPHETESS MRS. HARRIS ; MY FIVE HOUR
INSTRUCTOR ; MY COUNSELORS / ADVISORS AT 291 ELM ; ANDREW CUOMO ; JESSE JACKSON ;
THE COURT BAILORS OF ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE MICHAEL F. PIETRUSZSKA ;
NAACP CHAIRWOMEN ROSLYN M. BROCK ; SONIA SOTOMAYER, CLARENCE THOMAS AND OTHER
U.S SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND PERSONNEL ; JODY WILLIAMS ; AL SHARPTON ; EILLOT
SPITZER ; LOUIS FARRAKHAN ; MICHAEL R. BLOOMBERG ; HILLARY CLINTON ; BILL CLINTON ;
ALEXANDRIA OCASIO ; DAVID PATTERSON ; BILL GATES ; MARK ZUCKERBERG ; EVAN WILLIAMS ;
ERIE COUNTY DEPUTY MRS. ROBINSON ; BUFFALO CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS ; PASTOR DARIUS
PRIDGET ; KAREN L. MURTAGH ; THE PROPHET WHO HAD GIVEN THE SERMON AT MR. FREDERICK
A. GELSEY SENIOR CHURCH ON JULY 14, 2011 ; SGT. BESCHLER ; KEYSHA, THE GIRLFRIEND OF
MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR ; ELLA PAULK-VANCE ; SHAWNDRINA HICKS ; WILLIAM
MAXWELL ; BRITANY L. MCCANTS ; W. P. V. JR. ; W. P. V III ; SHAWN TIONA ANTHONY ;
K. N. JR. ; A. N. ; K. N ; L. M ; J. N ; CHRISTA ROBINSON ; ANDREA BARNEY ;
DARNAY CARPENTER-NEAL ; GWENDOLYN VANCE ; MARTHA VANCE ; GERALDINE POINTER ;
SALEENA POINTER ; PRINCE BARLOW ; CLAUDETTE VANCE ; DONNETTE VANCE ; CURTIS
VANCE SENIOR ; XAVIER SPEED ; DAKOTA SPEED ; TAKARA BANKS ; ARIONNA SPEED ;
SHAWN TAE HEARNS ; CIARA MCCALL ; BRIDNA MASSEY ; KIERA HARRIS ; JEROME
SHANKLIN SENIOR ; JEROME SHANKLIN JUNIOR ; LATOYA MAXWELL ; TERRY
MAXWELL SENIOR ; TERRY MAXWELL JUNIOR ; FELIX MAXWELL ; GLORIA MAXWELL ;
STEVIE MAXWELL ; DION MAXWELL ; JAY NEAL ; LATISHA THOMAS ; JAMES
W. THOMAS ; CHAWNTICA THOMAS ; ADRIAN PEARSON ; NAHDI PEELER ;
JAMA PEELER ; DEXTER NEAL ; KEYSHA NEAL ; TYRONE PRYOR ; FIN NEAL ;
REVEREND CDBB ; BRIAN CLONE ; MAHOGANY BELL ; MICHELLE, SASHA AND
MALIA OBAMA ; OPRAH WINFREY ; KAMALA HARRIS ; MELANIA TRUMP ; MIKE
PENCE ; DOUGEMHOFF ; AL GORE ; SUSAN RICE ; KENNETH PATH A WAY

JR.; KENNETH PATHAWAY SR.; KEVIN ALLEN; MAXWELL SHARP; CARLA JONES; WADE HAWKINS; WADE HAWKINS JUNIOR; DAMONE BELL; BRANDON GREEN; MARK WORTHY SENIOR; MARCUS WORTHY; RASHAD BRADFORD; THOMAS SWAN; RAYMOND GATES; JERMAINE VANCE; JESSE PEELER; DOMINIQUE DUNBAR; DELMAR THOMAS; ELLEN JOHNSON; ANTONIO VANCE; NICOLE LONG; KIM LONG; TERRY LONG SENIOR; TERRY LONG JUNIOR; TASHA SPENCER; LORRAINE VANCE; STEVEN CHISHOLM; DWAYNE GORDON; KELVIN NEAL SR.; TANAE SPENCER; TREVON JOHNSON AND MY OTHER BUNKIES IN THE SPECIAL HOUSING UNIT; JUAN COLON; STANNY VARGAS; BAH, MALICK; PEDRO VALERA; LUCINO WRIGHT; SAMUEL BRICE; SHAYBREL MAXWELL; VIRGINIA MAXWELL; AMANDA MAXWELL; WILLIAM MAXWELL; MANDINGO MAXWELL; ANTWAN TAYLOR; HABIB JOHNSON; BO BISHOP; ABDUL RAMADON; LORENZO BREWER; TOMMY SMITH SENIOR; TONEISHA VANCE; NIKKI DUNBAR; JASMINE DUNBAR; EDWARD VANCE JR.; PIGGY VANCE; ZOOBIE AND HER SISTERS AND FRIEND; BRANDON RUTHLEDGE; CHARLES NEWKIRK; ANTONIO HAGGINS; MIKE WEST; JOE STINSON; IVAN THOMPSON; TOMMY ADAMS; JEFF CURRY; DOMINIC CURRY; T.J. ADAMS; DARYL JACOBS; GREG FRENCH; JAMAR McDUFFIE; DUWANDOW; JERRY FALGIANO; BILL RUSSELL; ANTWAN GAMBLE; TAMEKA GRAHAM; NICOLE HENNIGAN; MARK PRICE; PHILEMON JONES; CARL RAINEY; EDMOND RAINEY; KELLY FUNDERBURG SR.; KELLY FUNDERBURG JR.; RICKY JONES SR.; RICKY JONES JR.; MRS. JENNIFER, ANTWAN TAYLOR MOTHER; CAROL JOHNSON; CHERRELL GARRETT; NINA GARRETT; MARK WRIGHT; MURGESE SESSUM; LARRY SESSUM; LATOYA WILSON; AUSTIN NEAL; MOSLEY NEAL; DAVID NEAL; SCOTT COOLIE; NORA NEAL; MONICA NEAL; SEMA NEAL; LISA NEAL; ANNIE NEAL; DIESHAWN NEAL; MELVIN NEAL; LEE MARVIN MCCANTS; JULIUS PAGE; MARLON WORTHY; KYLE HUNLEY; DEMARIO HUNLEY; ABDUL JOHNSON; HASAAN JOHNSON; STEPHON SUMPTER; DUKE RICHARDSON; JEREMY RICHARDSON; MARCUS RICHARDSON; MARCUS DUPREE; RAYDALE GATES; ROSLYN ROSS; MARK WORTHY SR.; KIA GREEN; MICHELLE EDMONDS; TYJOHN TELLER; LARAY GREEN; LORENZO GREEN; JUANNITA SHANKLIN; NOOKIE NEAL; DIAMOND TAYLOR; JIMMY BELL; JOYCE BROWN; CATANYA BROWN; JUNE BROWN; CURTIS VANCE JR.; BEKKA RAMADON-VANCE; CHRISTIAN VANCE; AISHA VANCE; YONNA VANCE; MARAL VANCE; TAMMY VANCE; JASMINE SHANKLIN; LATOYA GREEN; MARIA SANCHEZ; DEMARIO GONZALES; TITUS SMITH; ABDUL SHAHEED; RASADON VANCE; CHASTITY VANCE; RAH RAH VANCE; SHERMAN GREEN; TIMOTHY SMITH; DEIDRA SMITH; BRIDGET SMITH; GERALDINE SMITH; DONALD SPEED; BERNETTA VANCE; TUREENA JAMISON; DELMAR SPEED; LALA WALKER; BRAZIL BRIDGES; RASHAD BRIDGES; MEESE DEGREE; MAINE DEGREE; HOWARD DEGREE; MRS. BETSY, THOMAS SWAN GRANDMOTHER; THOMAS SWAN; PEANUT, MY SISTER BABY FATHER; D. MCKITCHEN; GREEDY; NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT JUDGES SMITH, CENTRA, PERADOTTO, AND CARNI JJ; PATRICK J. HEALY; MARY C. DEWENGUTH AND RONNIE PILCHER; AND PREA CASE MANAGERS MRS. ANGELA T., AND MRS. STEPHANIE.

"RELATED CASES"

A RELATED FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE

COURT NAME(S): U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CASE NAME: WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK

CASE NUMBER(S): 2ND CIRCUIT COURT, 19-3941

CASE IN OTHER COURT: WESTERN DISTRICT COURTS, G:18-CV-D0560 DGL

CASE TYPE INFORMATION: PRISONER
STATE
HABEAS CORPUS

CAUSE: 28; 2254 PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

NATURE OF SUIT: 3530 PRISONER PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

JURISDICTION: FEDERAL QUESTION(S)

ASSIGNED TO: 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE
WESTERN DISTRICT CLERK MARY C. LOEWENGUTH
WESTERN DISTRICT CLERK PATRICK J. HEALY
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID G. LARIMER
NY SERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ASHLEY R. LOWRY
NY SERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY DONNA MILLING
AND MICHAEL HILLARY

PETITIONER

WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR

RESPONDENTS

THE STATE OF NEW YORK

A RELATED CRIMINAL MATTER

COURT NAME(S) : NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS
NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT
NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT
NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY COURT

CASE NAME : THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE

CASE NUMBER : BUFFALO CITY COURT, 11-14905-18
NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT, INDICTMENT #02793-2011
NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT, KA16-02126
NYS COURT OF APPEALS, INDICTMENT #02793-2011

CASE TYPE INFORMATION : PRISONER

STATE
HOMICIDE CRIMINAL CASE

PROSECUTING AGENCY : NYS ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE

ARRESTING AGENCY : NYS ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO POLICE DEPARTMENT

ARRESTING CHARGES : PL 125.25(1) MURDER-2ND DEGREE, INTENTION AF
PL 265.03(1B) CPW-2ND DEGREE, LOADED FIREARM CF
PL 205.30 RESISTING ARREST AM

INDICTMENT CHARGES : PL 125.25(1) MURDER-2ND DEGREE
PL 265.03(3) CPW-2ND DEGREE
PL 265.02(1) CPW-3RD DEGREE

ARRESTING OFFICER(S) : BUFFALO POLICE OFFICER MICHAEL TOY

ASSISTING OFFICER(S) : BUFFALO POLICE OFFICERS CHRISTOPHER FIELDS,
STEVEN NICHOLS, SHEILA M. BERGMANN,
JOSEPH KESSLER, AMY HAGEMAN, RONNIE S. PILCHER,
KATHERINE E. MILKS, MARY E. RUCHACZEWSKI,
JOSEPH MAROWSKI, JOHN SIMONIAN AND
JUDITH BIGELOW

INVESTIGATING OFFICERS : DETECTIVE / SERGEANT HARVEY FRANKEL
L.T NICHOLS
LT N. JOSEPH MAKOWSKI

FORENSIC EXAMINERS : ERIE COUNTY FORENSIC BIOLOGIST II THOMAS GRILL
ERIE COUNTY FIREARM EXAMINER BERT PANDOLFINO
ERIE COUNTY MEDICAL EXAMINER DIANNE R. VERTES, MD, PhD

ASSIGNED TO: DEFENSE COUNSEL E. CAREY CANTWELL
NYS ERIE COUNTY FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY FRANK A. SEDITA III
NYS ERIE COUNTY FORMER DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOHN J. FLYNN
NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY AMY J. GOLDSTEIN
(CHIEF, GRAND JURY BUREAU)
NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES F. BARNES
(PROSECUTING ATTORNEY)
NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LIAM DYER
(ASSISTING PROSECUTOR)
NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY DONNA MILLING
(APPEAL DA-OFFICE)
NYS ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ASHLEY R. LOWRY
(APPEAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY)
NYS ERIE COUNTY GRAND JURY COURT REPORTER TRACEY DENALL WILES
NYS ERIE COUNTY COURT REPORTER LINDA L. WOLNIEWICZ
NYS ERIE COUNTY CLERK LYNN CYBULSKI
NYS ERIE COUNTY CLERK CHRISTOPHER L. JACOBS
NYS ERIE COUNTY JUDGE PENNY M. WOLFGANG
NYS ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA
(NEW ASSIGNED JUDGE)
NYS APPELLATE DIV. 4TH DEPT. JUDGE P. J.
NYS APPELLATE DIV. 4TH DEPT. JUDGE SMITH
NYS APPELLATE DIV. 4TH DEPT. JUDGE CENTRA
NYS APPELLATE DIV. 4TH DEPT. JUDGE PERADOTTO
NYS APPELLATE DIV. 4TH DEPT. JUDGE CARNI JJ
NYS APPELLATE DIV. 4TH DEPT. JUDGE GERALD WHALEN
NYS COURT OF APPEALS ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON

GRAND JURY WITNESSES: TERRANCE AMERSON
BUFFALO POLICE OFFICER THOMAS ROGERS

DEFENDANT
WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR

ACCUSERS
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

A RELATED ARTICLE 78 CASE

COURT NAME(S): NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT
NEW YORK STATE ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT

CASE NAME: WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS

CASE NUMBER: NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPT., 525228

CASE IN OTHER COURT: NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, INDEX# 0127-17

CASE TYPE INFORMATION: PRISONER
STATE
CIVIL MATTER

CAUSE: CIVIL RIGHTS

RESPONDING AGENCY: NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE

ASSIGNED TO: NYS APPELLATE CLERK ROBERT D. MAYBERGER
NYS APPELLATE JUDGES PETERS, P.J., LYNCH, ROSE AND MULVEY, JJ
NYS ALBANY COUNTY CLERK TARA A. KERSEY
NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI

OTHER PARTIES: FORMER NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC T. SCHEIDERMAN
NYS DOCCS ADMINISTRATORS
NYS DOCCS DIRECTORS DONALD VENETTOZZI AND A. RODRIGUEZ
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER GLEN ENGSTROM
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER AMBROSE WALDRON
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER TRAVIS BAXTER
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER CHAD ROWE
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RIEF
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER S. BARCOMB
DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER STEVEN BULLIS
PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES E. SHEBAR

PETITIONER

WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR

RESPONDENTS

THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

A RELATED ARTICLE 18 CASE

COURT NAME(S): NEW YORK STATE ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT

CASE NAME: WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS

CASE NUMBER: NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, INDEX #5595-17

CASE TYPE INFORMATION: PRISONER

STATE

CIVIL MATTER

CAUSE: CIVIL RIGHTS

RESPONDING AGENCY: NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE

ASSIGNED TO: NYS ALBANY COUNTY CLERK TARA A. KERSEY

NYS ALBANY COUNTY JUDGE GERALD E. MANEY

NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL RYAN W. HICKEY

OTHER PARTIES: FORMER NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC SCHNEIDERMAN

FORMER NYS ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD

NYS COURT OFFICIAL EVAN SCHANZ

NYS DOCCS DIRECTOR DONALD VENETTOZZI AND OTHER ADMINISTRATORS

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER GLEN ENGSTROM

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER AMBROSE WALDRON

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER TRAVIS BAXTER

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER CHAD ROWE

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RIEF

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER S. BARCOMB

NURSE BOB FITZGERALD

NURSE CATHERINE CALLEY

MEDICAL DOCTOR RICHARD ADAMS

DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER MRS. M. LIBERTY

PETITIONER

WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR

RESPONDENTS

THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

A RELATED ARTICLE 78 CASE

COURT NAME(S): NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT
NEW YORK STATE ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT

CASE NAME: WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS

CASE NUMBER: NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT, 525228 AND 525402

CASE IN OTHER COURT: NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, INDEX #1990

CASE TYPE INFORMATION: PRISONER
STATE
CIVIL MATTER

CAUSE: CIVIL RIGHTS

RESPONDING AGENCY: NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE

ASSIGNED TO: NYS APPELLATE CLERK ROBERT D. MAYBERGER
NYS APPELLATE JUDGES PETERS, P.J., LYNCH, EGAN JR., MCCARTHY,
ROSE AND MULVEY, JJ - AARONS AND PRITZKER, JJ
NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE KIMBERLY A. O'CONNOR
NYS ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE GERALD W. CONNOLLY
NYS ALBANY COUNTY CLERK TARA A. KERSEY
NYS ALBANY COUNTY SECRETARY TO THE JUDGE PATRICIA WATERBURY
NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL OF COUNSEL MARCUS MASTRACCO

OTHER PARTIES: FORMER NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC T. SCHEIDERMAN
NYS DOCCS ADMINISTRATORS
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER C. COX
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RUFA
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J. RUSSELL
DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER MRS. M. LIBERTY

PETITIONER

WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR

RESPONDENTS

THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

**A LIST OF PROCEEDINGS IN STATE AND FEDERAL COURT THAT ARE
DIRECTLY RELATED TO THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE**

- THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, NYSEIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT. CRIMINAL CASE - CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS. JUDGMENT ENTERED NOVEMBER 13, 2012.
- THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, NYSEIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT. CRIMINAL CASE - POST CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS. MEMORANDUM AND ORDER ENTERED SEPTEMBER 23, 2016.
- THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NO. KA16-02126, NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT. CRIMINAL APPEAL CASE - CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS. ORDERS ENTERED JANUARY 13, 2017, MAY 18, 2017, JUNE 8, 2017, AND JULY 18, 2017 WITHOUT THE ENTRY OF ANY JUDGMENT.
- THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, NO CASE NUMBER WAS ISSUED, NYS COURT OF APPEALS. CRIMINAL APPEAL CASE - CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS. ORDER ENTERED SEPTEMBER 19, 2017 WITHOUT THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT.
- WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NO. 6:18-CV-06560 DGL, U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. ORDER ENTERED OCTOBER 7, 2019 AND JUDGMENT ENTERED OCTOBER 8, 2019.
- WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NO. 19-3941, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT. FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. ORDER ENTERED JANUARY 27, 2022 WITHOUT THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT.
- WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NO. 9:18-CV-00748-MAD-ATB AND 9:18-CV-00748-BKS-ATB, U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. JUDGMENT ENTERED NOVEMBER 15, 2022 AND ORDERS ENTERED NOVEMBER 15, 2022 AND DECEMBER 5, 2022.
- WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, ET AL, INDICTMENT NO. 02793-2011, CASE NUMBERS 22-3095 AND 22-3206, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT. SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS APPEAL CASE - CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. JUDGMENT ENTERED JULY 6, 2023 AND ORDERS ENTERED JULY 6, 2023 AND JULY 27, 2023.

TABLE OF CONTENT

<u>OPINIONS BELOW</u>	1
<u>JURISDICTION</u>	2-3
<u>CONSTITUTIONAL, STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE</u>	4
<u>STATEMENT OF THE CASE</u>	5-19
<u>REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION</u>	20-156
<u>A. SPECIAL FUNCTIONS, TO NARROW THE ISSUES FOR THE RELIEF</u>	22-25
<u>B. OPPRESSION, THE FACTS OF OUR LIFE SITUATION</u>	25-56
<u>C. THE FACTS OF MY CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR</u>	56-71
● THE COURT LACKED JURISDICTION OF THE ACTION	63-65
● THE PETITIONER'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY MISREPRESENTATION OR FRAUD ON THE PART OF THE COURT AND PROSECUTOR	65-70
● THE PETITIONER'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY THE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL	70-71
● THE PETITIONER'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY VIOLATING HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS	71
<u>D. A PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL PROCESS</u>	71-116
● PROCEDURAL DEFAULTS, THE UNRESOLVED ISSUES OF THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE	112-116
<u>E. IN THE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL PROCESS, ON APPEAL</u>	116-151
● THE IDENTIFIED NAMES OF SOURCES	132-133
<u>F. THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS OF MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE</u>	151-156
<u>A CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY OF FOUR GREAT PLANS, RELIEF SOUGHT</u>	156-167
<u>THE CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE</u>	168
<u>APPENDIX SEPARATE BOUND VOLUME SPECIAL INFORMATION SHEET</u>	169-172

INDEX OF APPENDICES

2ND CIRCUIT CIVIL RIGHTS CASE RECORDS AND PRINTOUTS OF LAWS

APPENDIX A : 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE'S ORDER WITH A ENTRY DATE OF 1/27/22 ; 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK'S CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE'S ORDER WITH A ENTRY DATE OF 1/30/22 ; 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE'S ORDER WITH A ENTRY DATE OF 7/6/23 ; 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE'S ORDER WITH A ENTRY DATE OF 7/27/23 ; 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE'S **MANDATE** WITH A ISSUANCE DATE OF 8/4/23 ; A PRINTOUT OF A CASE "NEITZKE V. WILLIAMS, 490 U.S. 319(1989)" ; A PRINTOUT OF 42 U.S.C.A SECTION 1983, AND 28 U.S.C.A SECTIONS 1331, 1345 AND 2201 ; A PRINTOUT OF 28 U.S.C.A SECTIONS 1915, 1391, 1404, 455 AND 144 ; A PRINTOUT OF 18 U.S.C SECTION 3006 ; A PRINTOUT OF THIS COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 12.1, 27.1, 31.2, 32.1, 32.2, 33.1, 34.1, 35.1, 40.1 AND 40.2, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3, 4, 10, 11, 12, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35 AND 45, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT RULE 5, 6, 8 AND 11, 28 U.S.C.A ; A PRINTOUT OF THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT CANON 1, 2, 3 AND 4 ; A PRINTOUT OF 22 NYCRR SECTION 100.3 - JUDICIAL CONDUCT ; A PRINTOUT OF 22 NYCRR SECTION 1200.0 - RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT ; A PRINTOUT OF 5 U.S.C.A SECTION 312 ; A PRINTOUT OF 2 U.S.C.A § 471, 2 U.S.C.A § 472, 2 U.S.C.A § 475, 15 U.S.C.A § 3724, 15 U.S.C.A § 5501, 15 U.S.C.A § 5502, 15 U.S.C.A § 5503, 15 U.S.C.A § 5511, 15 U.S.C.A § 5512, 15 U.S.C.A § 5524, 15 U.S.C.A § 5527, 15 U.S.C.A § 5542, 22 U.S.C.A § 2656 b, 42 U.S.C.A § 1861, 42 U.S.C.A § 1862, 42 U.S.C.A § 18625-4, 42 U.S.C.A § 6601, 42 U.S.C.A § 6602 ; 42 U.S.C.A § 6625, 42 U.S.C.A § 6626, 42 U.S.C.A § 6633, 42 U.S.C.A § 6686, 42 U.S.C.A § 7112, 42 U.S.C.A § 7381, 42 U.S.C.A § 18641, 42 U.S.C.A § 18642, 42 U.S.C.A § 18643, 42 U.S.C.A § 18644, 50 U.S.C.A § 3243, AND 51 U.S.C.A § 20161 - SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES STATUTE PROVISIONS ; 15 U.S.C.A § 5521, 15 U.S.C.A § 5523 AND 42 U.S.C.A § 6624 ; A PRINTOUT OF MCKINNEY'S EXECUTIVE LAW SECTIONS 63, 63-a, 63-b, 63-c, AND 63-d ; A COPY OF PAGE 553 OF THE ALMANAC WHICH CONTAINS INFORMATION RELATING TO THE BASIC FUNCTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ; A LEGAL PACKET ENTITLED "HOW TO APPEAL A CIVIL CASE TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT ; A LEGAL PACKET ENTITLED "HOW TO APPEAL AS A PROSE PARTY TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT ; A LEGAL PACKET ENTITLED "HOW TO FILE HABEAS CORPUS PETITIONS OR APPEALS, SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE PETITIONS, OR CIVIL RIGHTS APPEALS CHALLENGING A PRISONER'S DETENTION IN THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT " ; THE PETITIONER'S

NOTICE OF APPEAL #1, MEMORANDUM OF FACTS FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF HIS CASE, CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION FORM D-P DATED 12/15/22, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES; A COPY OF A 2ND CIRCUIT DOCKETING NOTICE DATED 12/8/22, PRISONER AUTHORIZATION NOTICE AND NOTICE OF RECORD ON APPEAL DATED 12/8/22, AND NOTICE OF DEFECTIVE FILING FORM MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION FORM D-P; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL #2, MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 12/19/22; A COPY OF A 2ND CIRCUIT DOCKETING NOTICE DATED 12/28/22, AND PRISONER AUTHORIZATION NOTICE DATED 12/28/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 12/20/22; A COPY OF A 2ND CIRCUIT NOTICE OF DEFECTIVE FILING FOR MY ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM THAT WAS SUBMITTED ~~ON~~ 12/28/22 ACCORDING TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROSE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION FORM DATED 12/25/22, CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION FORM D-P DATED 12/30/22, ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE, GENERAL DOCKET SHEET OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 12/14/22 CONTAINING INFORMATION FOR THE CAPTION, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 1/1/23; A COPY OF A LETTER FROM THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 1/18/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTERS DATED 12/31/22 AND 1/12/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION FORM D-P DATED 1/10/23, PROSE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION FORM DATED 1/3/23, ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM, GENERAL DOCKET SHEET OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 12/28/22, GENERAL DOCKET SHEET OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 7/26/23, DOCUMENT WITH INFORMATION FOR THE CAPTION, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 2/7/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTERS ~~FROM~~ THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 1/11/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S A-BLOCK AREA SERGEANT LETTER FOR A BRIEF COVER DATED 12/22/22; AND LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 2/13/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER DATED 5/15/23, MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT DATED 5/15/23, MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF, EXHIBITS #141-154, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 5/17/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT/DECLARATION OF FILING FORM THAT WAS SIGNED ON 1/11/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LEGAL ENVELOPE #1 COVER LETTER DATED 2/13/23 AND ITS ATTACHED GRIEVANCE LETTERS, COMPLAINTS, SUBMITTAL FORMS AND OTHER PAPERS; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LEGAL ENVELOPES #2, 3, 4 AND 5 COVER LETTERS DATED 1/14/23; A COPY OF AN EXTREMELY LARGE MANILLA ENVELOPE THAT WAS USED BY THE 2ND

CIRCUIT TO RETURN THE PETITIONER'S LEGAL ENVELOPE #4, A COPY OF A LETTER FROM THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 2/21/23, A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT #128, A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER DATED 2/26/23, DOCCS GRIEVANCE AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DATED 2/26/23; A COPY OF A EXTREMELY LARGE MANILLA ENVELOPE THAT WAS USED BY THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO RETURN THE PETITIONER'S LEGAL ENVELOPE #5, A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LEGAL ENVELOPE #5 AND ITS RETURNED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT, COVER LETTER AND EXHIBITS #89, 100 AND 119 ALONG WITH A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S RETURNED EXHIBIT #125; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S ENTITLED LETTER DATED 3/17/23; A COPY OF (2) LETTERS DATED 12/21/22 AND A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORM DATED 2/7/23; A COPY OF A LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 3/11/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S ENTITLED LETTER DATED 3/7/23 AND PROOF OF SERVICE; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ENTITLED "CAMP CONFERENCES, TO PROVIDE FOR ITEMS, SERVICES AND ACCOMMODATIONS", AND PROOF OF SERVICES ON ALL PARTIES ON 3/20/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ENTITLED "SPECIAL FUNCTIONS", AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 3/29/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT DATED 3/20/23, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 3/29/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTERS FOR HIS ENTITLED LETTERS; A COPY OF NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE'S ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORMS WITH INFORMATION FOR THE CAPTION, AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FOR SUBSTITUTE, ADDITIONAL OR AMICUS COUNSEL FORMS FOR DOCKET # 22-3095 AND 22-3206; A COPY OF NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE'S MEMORANDUM DATED 3/9/22; A COPY OF NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE'S BRIEF FILING POSTPONE - MENT LETTER DATED 3/9/23; A COPY OF NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE'S MEMORANDUM DATED 5/25/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ENTITLED "EVIDENCE BASED POLICY MAKING, IN THE WORLD OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS", COVER LETTER AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 6/7/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER, ENTITLED LETTER DATED 6/8/23, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 6/14/23; AND A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER, MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT DATED 7/13/23, MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE HIS APPEAL, DECLARATION OF FILING FORM THAT WAS SIGNED ON 7/16/23, AND OTHER PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 7/18/23; AND A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, COVER LETTER AND PROOF OF SERVICE DOCUMENT.

(XX)

**U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
CIVIL RIGHTS CASE RECORDS AND PRINTOUTS OF LAWS**

APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 : A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A.D'AGOSTINO DATED 6/27/18; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A.D'AGOSTINO DATED 9/20/18; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A.D'AGOSTINO DATED 11/19/18; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A.D'AGOSTINO DATED 2/12/19; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 4/8/19; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 9/16/19; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 1/3/20; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER DATED 2/13/20 AND ENTITLED "MANDATORY PRETRIAL DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING ORDER IN CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS BROUGHT BY INMATES PROSE"; A COPY OF THE REPORT RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER OF U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER DATED 11/30/20; A COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM - DECISION AND ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 12/18/20; A COPY OF THE DECISION AND ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 5/6/21; A COPY OF THE DECISION AND ORDER OF U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER DATED 6/16/21; A COPY OF THE ATTORNEY APPOINTMENT ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 10/20/21; A COPY OF THE TRIAL ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 12/10/21; A COPY OF THE ORDER AND WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES WHICH HAS A WITNESS DATE OF 12/14/21; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 12/16/21; A COPY OF THE ATTORNEY APPOINTMENT ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 1/28/22; A COPY OF THE ORDER AND WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES WHICH HAS A WITNESS DATE OF 6/3/22; A COPY OF A U.S DISTRICT COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER WITH A ENTRY DATE OF 7/29/22; A COPY OF THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 8/4/22; A COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K.SANNES DATED 11/15/22; A COPY OF THE CIVIL JUDGMENT OF U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERKS JOHN M. DOMURAD AND N. EALLONARDO DATED 11/15/22; A COPY OF A COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHICH WAS ENTERED ON 12/5/22; A COPY OF (36) OTHER COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDERS WITH U.S DISTRICT COURT ENTRY DATES OF 6/18/19, 10/3/19, 11/13/19, 1/10/20, 10/21/20, 12/1/20, 12/23/20, 12/21/20, 12/28/20, 4/20/21, 5/28/21, 9/16/21, 9/22/21, 1/20/21, 11/23/21, 12/9/21, 12/14/21, 12/16/21, 12/16/21,

11/14/22, 1/15/22, 1/11/22, 1/28/22, 2/22/22, 5/12/22, 6/6/22, 7/7/22, 7/7/22, 7/26/22, 10/5/22, 3/17/23, 8/16/23, 12/2/22 AND 12/28/22; A COPY OF (3) U.S DISTRICT COURT DOCKET TEXT MINUTE ENTRIES FOR TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH ENTRY DATES OF 12/9/21, 1/27/22 AND 7/26/22; A COPY OF (2) U.S DISTRICT COURT DOCKET TEXTS WITH ENTRY DATES OF 11/22/21 AND 12/9/21; A COPY OF A U.S DISTRICT COURT DOCKET TEXT NOTICE THAT WAS ENTERED ON 6/13/22; A PRINTOUT OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK RULE 16.1 - CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT; A PRINTOUT OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 4, 4.1, 12, 15, 16, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41, 45, 55 AND 65, 28 U.S.C.A; A PRINTOUT OF MCKINNEY'S PENAL LAW SECTIONS 125.25, 265.03, AND 265.02 FOR NEW YORK STATE; A PRINTOUT OF MCKINNEY'S NEW YORK STATE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 180.60, 190.25, 190.50, 190.60, 190.65, 190.70, 190.75, 200.20, 200.30, 200.50, 210.05, 210.15, 210.20, 210.25, 210.30, 210.35, 220.60, 460.15, 460.20, 450.90 AND 450.15; A COPY OF NEW YORK STATE DOCCS DIRECTIVES # 4919, 4932 AND 4934; A PRINTOUT OF THE U.S.C.A UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PREAMBLE, ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2, ARTICLE 4 SECTION 3 CLAUSE 2, ARTICLE 3 SECTION 1, ARTICLE 3 SECTION 2 CLAUSE 1, ARTICLE 2 SECTION 4, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 2, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 7, AMENDMENT 1, AMENDMENT 2, AMENDMENT 4, AMENDMENT 5, AMENDMENT 6, AMENDMENT 7, AMENDMENT 8, AMENDMENT 10, AMENDMENT 13, AND AMENDMENT 14; A PRINTOUT OF MCKINNEY'S NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 1, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 2, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 3, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 4, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 5, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 6, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 11, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 12, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 16, ARTICLE 1 SECTION 17, ARTICLE 2 SECTION 1, ARTICLE 2 SECTION 3, ARTICLE 3 SECTION 25, ARTICLE 6 SECTION 3, ARTICLE 6 SECTION 5, ARTICLE 6 SECTION 18, AND ARTICLE 6 SECTION 20; A COPY OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING A COMPLAINT; A COPY OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK PRO SE LITIGATION GUIDELINES LEGAL PACKET; A COPY OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LOCAL RULES OF PRACTICE PERTINENT TO INMATE LITIGATION; A COPY OF EXHIBITS # 112, 113 AND 114; A COPY OF THE CIVIL DOCKET SHEET OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DATED 3/17/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONERS COVER LETTER DATED 5/30/18, CIVIL COVER SHEET DATED 4/11/18, CIVIL COMPLAINT PART I DATED 4/11/18, MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF APRIL 12, 2018, AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENT,

(3) MONTHLY STATEMENTS, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NEW YORK ON JUNE 19, 2018 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER, (12) PROCESS RECEIPT AND RETURN FORMS (FORM USM-285, PART 2 OF HIS COMPLAINT, MEMORANDUM OF FACTS WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF APRIL 25, 2019, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NEW YORK ON JUNE 17, 2019 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER DATED 11/4/19, PART 3 OF HIS COMPLAINT, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NEW YORK ON NOVEMBER 11, 2019 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S MEMORANDUM OF FACTS THAT WAS COUNTERSIGNED BY ROBERT COBB, AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 11/20/19 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COMPLAINT PART 4 AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON MAY 10, 2021 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S AFFIDAVIT WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF 5/28/21, MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF HIS AFFIDAVIT AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON 5/31/21 ; A COPY OF A NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE CERTIFICATION ON DOCUMENT DATED 10/9/20, AND ITS ATTACHED DOCUMENT DATED 6/18/20 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S SETTLEMENT STIPULATIONS LETTER AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON 11/2/20 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER DATED 1/10/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S NYS DOCCS GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE AND MEDICAL UNIT LETTER DATED 12/25/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT A AND PROOF OF SERVICE ; AND A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, AND PROOF OF SERVICE FOR HIS EXHIBITS #1 - 44 WHICH WAS SUBMITTED TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON 11/4/19.

APPENDIX B VOLUME 2 : A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PRESENTATION LEGAL PACKET FOR A JURY TRIAL DATED 7/13/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S (4) DOCUMENTS WITH QUESTIONS FOR WITNESSES ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LIST OF POTENTIAL WITNESSES DATED 5/21/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LEGAL PACKET #1, 2 AND 3 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S WITNESSES LETTER DATED 9/1/21 WHICH IS ADDRESSED TO HIS FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER DATED 8/12/21 AND LETTER FROM BUFFALO CITY COURT DATED 9/22/21 ; A COPY OF A BUFFALO CITY COURT DOCUMENT DATED 9/3/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTERS ADDRESSED TO THE NAACP DATED 8/13/21, AND 10/20/21, AND NAACP RESPONSE LETTERS DATED 10/6/22 AND 9/6/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES DATED 8/12/21 AND PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES RESPONSE

LETTER DATED 9/10/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER DATED 9/11/21 , ENTITLED LETTER WITHOUT A DATE , ANGELA T. LETTER DATED 10/18/21 , PREA CASE MANAGER ANGELA T. LETTER DATED 5/9/22 , LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE SAFE HARBORS OF THE FINGER LAKES STAFF DATED 10/20/21 , (2) LETTERS ADDRESSED TO PREA ADVOCATE MRS. STEPHANIE DATED 12/11/21 AND 5/9/22 , AND LETTER ADDRESSED TO CRISIS SERVICES DATED 9/1/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S RESPONSE LETTERS FROM CRISIS SERVICES PREA CASE MANAGER ANGELA T. AND SAFE HARBORS OF THE FINGER LAKES PREA CASE MANAGER MRS. STEPHANIE ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PREA CONFERENCE CALL CALLOUT FOR A TELEPHONE CONFERENCE ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S NEW YORK STATE DOCCS HEALTH SERVICES ORIENTATION DOCUMENT DATED 3/17/22 ; A COPY OF A AUBURN GUIDANCE COUNSELING UNIT INTERVIEW FORM ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO AUBURN MENTAL HEALTH UNIT DATED 10/17/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY DATED 4/30/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTERS ADDRESSED TO ENRIQUE M. BURSZTYN AND OTHER STAFF, SISTERS' HOSPITAL STAFF, ERIE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT, ERIE COUNTY HOLDING CENTER STAFF, ECMC STAFF, ALDEN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY STAFF, FONDA KUBIAK, FRANK PRATCHER, DMV STAFF, LETITIA JAMES AND NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT, PRESIDENT JOSEPH BIDEN, BUFFALO POLICE DEPARTMENT DETECTIVE HARVEY FRANKEL, BUFFALO POLICE OFFICER MICHAEL TOY AND ALL OTHER CRIME SCENE OFFICERS, FIREARMS EXPERT BERT PANDOLFINO, FORENSIC BIOLOGIST THOMAS GRILL, NYS FORMER GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO, THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY, BUFFALO MAYOR BYRON BROWN AND ALL CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, JPAY REPRESENTATIVES OR EMPLOYEES, BUFFALO FAMILY COURT CLERK OFFICE, DIANNE R. VERTES, CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION STAFF, AND TONAWANDA TOWN COURT CLERK'S OFFICE DATED 8/21/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S (5) LETTERS DATED 8/21/21 WHICH IS ADDRESSED TO SECURUS REPRESENTATIVES OR EMPLOYEES, ERIE COUNTY PAROLE STAFF, ERIE COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACULTY, HORIZON STAFF, AND UB ORTHOPEDIC CLINIC STAFF ; A COPY OF A LETTER ADDRESSED TO CLINTON MEDICAL ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER STAFF, A LETTER ADDRESSED TO UPSTATE MEDICAL ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER STAFF, AND A LETTER ADDRESSED TO ELMIRA ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER STAFF WHICH IS DATED AUGUST 21, 2021 ; A COPY OF A RESPONSE LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION DATED 11/2/21 AND A COPY OF PAGE 553 OF THE ALMANAC ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S ENTITLED LETTER DATED 11/22/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW, LIST OF EVIDENCE FOR

A JURY TRIAL PART 1, (9) ENTITLED LETTERS, LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE COURT DATED 2/4/22 AND GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 2/1/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER DATED 5/3/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE COURT DATED 3/8/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO PRO BONO ATTORNEY GEORGE H. LOWE, PROPOSED VOIRE DIRE, COURT ORDERED VOIRE DIRE, KNOWN ADDRESSES OF CERTAIN WITNESSES DOCUMENT, RESPONSE TO THE DEFENDANTS MOTION IN LIMINE, DEMAND TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL, MOTION WITH A RETURN DATE OF 5/31/22, LIST OF ITEMIZED FACTS, MOTION IN SUPPORT OF HIS TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW, LIST OF EVIDENCE PART 2, EXHIBITS A-P AND T, CIVIL CASE NOTES, DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR A TRIAL JURY DOCUMENT, AND PROOF OF SERVICES ON ALL PARTIES ON 5/10/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S ENTITLED LETTER DATED 5/11/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S (20) ENTITLED LETTERS AND PROOF OF SERVICES ON 6/6/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S (19) ENTITLED LETTERS AND PROOF OF SERVICES ON 6/30/22 ; (2) COPIES OF THE ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION STIPULATIONS LETTER OF THE PETITIONER'S APPOINTED PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY ELIZABETH GENUNG DATED 10/28/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S (8) LETTERS ADDRESSED TO ELIZABETH GENUNG DATED 10/22/21, 10/24/21, 10/25/21, 10/26/21, 10/27/21, 10/27/21, 10/28/21, AND 10/30/21 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PRELIMINARY EXHIBIT # 134 CONSISTING OF HIS WITNESSES AFFIDAVIT DATED 8/8/22, GRIEVANCE SUBMITTAL FORM DATED 8/3/22, GRIEVANCE COMPLAINT DATED 7/29/22, LETTERS ADDRESSED TO THE COURT DATED 7/28/22, 8/1/22, 8/7/22, 8/8/22, 8/17/22 AND 8/1/22, (2) LETTERS ADDRESSED TO INMATE RECORDS STAFF OR ATTICA ADMINISTRATORS DATED 8/10/22 AND 8/13/22, PERSONAL PROPERTY TRANSFER FORMS, ATTICA BEARD TRIMMERS AND ELECTRIC RAZORS DOCUMENT, AND PROOF OF OWNERSHIP DATED 8/13/20 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROOF OF SERVICE FOR HIS PRELIMINARY EXHIBIT # 134 / UPDATED CIVIL COVER SHEET DATED 8/15/22 ; A COPY OF A RESPONSE LETTER FROM ATTICA INMATE RECORDS COORDINATOR M. FREW DATED 8/30/22, A GRIEVANCE SUBMITTAL FORM DATED 9/20/22, THE PETITIONER'S GRIEVANCE LETTER COMPLAINT DATED 9/17/22 AND HIS LETTERS ADDRESSED TO THE PACKAGE ROOM AT ATTICA DATED 8/31/22 AND 9/16/22, AND AUTHORIZATION FOR DISPOSAL OF PERSONAL PROPERTY FORM DATED 9/22/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS PROOF OF SERVICE WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF 9/11/22 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE COURT DATED 10/3/22, LETTER FROM ATTICA PACKAGE ROOM SERGEANT C. MUNIAK DATED 9/22/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO ATTICA SUPERINTENDENT DATED 9/25/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO JPAY AND CORRECTIONAL STAFF DATED 10/2/22, LETTER

ADDRESSED TO JPAY ADMINISTRATORS AND OTHER STAFF DATED 10/3/22, RESPONSE LETTER FROM ATTICA SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF DATED 9/29/22, AND DISBURSEMENT FORM RECEIPT DATED 9/5/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROOF OF SERVICE FOR HIS EXHIBITS #88 AND #90; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S UPDATED CIVIL COVER SHEET DATED 8/15/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTERS TO ATTICA PACKAGE ROOM DATED 10/14/22, 10/18/22 AND 10/25/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO ATTICA SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF DATED 10/18/22, GRIEVANCE SUBMITTAL FORM DATED 10/19/22, GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 10/14/22, LETTERS ADDRESSED TO THE COURT DATED 10/14/22 AND 10/18/22, AND ENTITLED LETTER DATED 10/18/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S F.O.I.L VIOLATIONS DOCUMENT, MEMORANDUM FROM ATTICA EMPLOYEE C. QUINN DATED 10/26/22, LETTER FROM ATTICA EMPLOYEE C. QUINN DATED 10/6/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO IRC M. FREW, C. QUINN AND OTHER STAFF DATED 10/7/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE COURT DATED 10/7/22, AND DISBURSEMENT FORM RECEIPT DATED 9/29/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER DATED 9/5/22 AND PROOF OF SERVICE DATED 9/6/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER ADDRESSED TO CHIEF JUDGE GLENN T. SUDDABLY AND OTHER SUPERVISORS DATED 8/31/22 AND PROOF OF SERVICE DATED 9/1/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO HIS COUSIN ELLA DATED 10/25/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE COURT DATED 10/25/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO HIS COUSIN ELLA DATED 10/25/22 AND AUTHORIZATION FOR DISPOSAL OF PERSONAL PROPERTY FORM DATED 10/26/22 AND 10/27/22, AND PROOF OF SERVICE FOR HIS NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS DATED 10/26/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER DATED 9/6/22 AND PROOF OF SERVICE FOR HIS COVER LETTER, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND LETTERS; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S ENTITLED LETTER DATED 9/16/22, LETTER ENTITLED "NAACP, GOD WORKS IN MYSTERIOUS WAYS", AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 9/20/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S ENTITLED LETTER DATED 11/3/22; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S (2) ENTITLED LETTERS DATED 11/5/22 AND PROOF OF SERVICE DATED 11/6/22; AND A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ENTITLED "LOGISTICS, SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS".

APPENDIX B VOLUME 3: A COPY OF THE NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIK PINSONNAULT DATED 1/2/20, NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER DATED 4/7/21 AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI

DATED 5/19/22 ; A COPY OF THE DEFENDANTS' ANSWER BY NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIK PINSONNAULT DATED 2/13/20 ; A COPY OF THE DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THEIR PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS DATED 8/24/20 ; A COPY OF THE DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS DATED 12/23/20 ; A COPY OF THE DEFENDANTS' REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ; A COPY OF JONATHAN REINER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO JUDGE SANNE DATED 1/4/22, LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE PLAINTIFF DATED 12/14/21 AND ITS JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATIONS, AND LIST OF EVIDENCE ; A COPY OF THE DEFENDANTS' TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE ; A COPY OF THE DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED JURY CHARGE, COURT ORDER VOIR DIRE, PROPOSED WITNESS LIST, MOTION IN LIMINE, AND PROPOSED VOIR DIRE ; A COPY OF JONATHAN REINER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO JUDGE SANNE DATED 7/29/22 ; A COPY OF SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO JUDGE SANNE DATED 8/17/22 ; A COPY OF SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO JUDGE SANNE DATED 9/19/22 ; A COPY OF THE DECLARATION OF SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI DATED 9/19/22 ; A COPY OF THE DECLARATION OF OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN DATED 9/17/22 ; A COPY OF THE DECLARATION OF SERGEANT T. WILSON DATED 9/13/22 ; A COPY OF THE SCHEDULED DEPOSITION CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM OF ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY DATED 11/9/20 ; A COPY OF THE SCHEDULED DEPOSITION CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM OF ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY DATED 10/2/20 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS DATED 11/27/20 ; A COPY OF ERIK PINSONNAULT'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE PETITIONER DATED 2/11/21 AND ITS ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENT ENTITLED "WHAT TO DO WITH YOUR DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT" ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS DATED 1/21/21 ; (2) COPIES OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT DATED 12/10/20 ; A COPY OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURT LEGAL ENVELOPE THAT WAS USED TO MAIL ME A COPY OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT DATED 12/10/20 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT DATED 3/10/23, U.S DISTRICT COURT REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS FORM AND ITS PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 5/17/23, LETTER FROM U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD DATED 5/24/23, GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 6/25/23, GRIEVANCE LETTER DATED 7/3/23, GRIEVANCE SUBMITTAL FORM DATED 7/5/23, LETTER ADDRESSED TO ATTICA DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF PROGRAMS L. MIDDLEBROOK DATED 7/9/23, RESPONSE MEMORANDUM OF ATTICA DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF PROGRAMS L. MIDDLEBROOK DATED 7/12/23, LETTER ADDRESSED TO U.S COURT REPORTERS JODI HIBBARD AND HANNAH CAVANAUGH DATED 7/11/23, LETTER ADDRESSED TO ATTICA INMATE ACCOUNTS EMPLOYEE M. WETZLER DATED 7/20/23, RESPONSE

LETTER FROM ATTICA INMATE ACCOUNTS EMPLOYEE M. WETZLER DATED 8/29/23,
LETTER ADDRESSED TO U.S COURT REPORTERS JODI HIBBARD, EILEEN McDONOUGH
AND HANNAH CAVANAUGH DATED 8/5/23, PRISONER AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENT,
(5) LETTERS ADDRESSED TO ATTICA BUSINESS OFFICE/CORRESPONDENCE DATED
6/11/23, 6/19/23, 6/27/23, 7/3/23 AND 7/9/23, AND RESPONSE LETTER FROM
ATTICA INMATE ACCOUNTS EMPLOYEE M. WETZLER DATED 7/17/23; A COPY
OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERLY ADDRESSED U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD
LEGAL ENVELOPE #1 AND ITS ENCLOSED LETTER DATED 6/6/23, DISBURSEMENT
REQUEST FORM DATED 6/11/23, AND AUTHORIZED ~~ED~~ ADVANCE REQUEST DATED
6/11/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERLY ADDRESSED U.S COURT REPORTER
EILEEN McDONOUGH LEGAL ENVELOPE #2 AND ITS ENCLOSED LETTER DATED
6/6/23, DISBURSEMENT REQUEST FORM DATED 6/11/23 AND AUTHORIZED ADVANCE
REQUEST DATED 6/11/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERLY ADDRESSED
U.S COURT REPORTER HANNAH CAVANAUGH LEGAL ENVELOPE #3 AND ITS ENCLOSED
LETTER DATED 6/6/23, DISBURSEMENT REQUEST FORM DATED 6/11/23 AND
AUTHORIZED ADVANCE REQUEST FORM DATED 6/11/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S
PROPERLY ADDRESSED U.S COURT REPORTER HANNAH CAVANAUGH LEGAL ENVELOPE
#1 AND ITS ENCLOSED LETTER DATED 7/8/23, DISBURSEMENT REQUEST FORM
DATED 7/9/23 AND AUTHORIZED ADVANCE REQUEST FORM DATED 7/9/23; A
COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERLY ADDRESSED U.S COURT REPORTER EILEEN
MCDONOUGH LEGAL ENVELOPE #2 AND ITS ENCLOSED LETTER DATED 7/8/23,
DISBURSEMENT REQUEST FORM DATED 7/9/23 AND AUTHORIZED ADVANCE
REQUEST FORM DATED 7/9/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERLY ADDRESSED
U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD LEGAL ENVELOPE #3 AND ITS ENCLOSED
LETTER DATED 7/8/23, DISBURSEMENT REQUEST FORM DATED 7/9/23 AND
AUTHORIZED ADVANCE REQUEST FORM DATED 7/9/23; A COPY OF THE
PETITIONER'S MANILLA ENVELOPE THAT WAS USED TO MAIL HIS U.S COURT
REPORTERS LEGAL ENVELOPES #1, 2 AND 3, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS TO
HIS COUSIN ELLA ON AUGUST 15, 2023; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S
PROPERLY ADDRESSED U.S COURT REPORTER HANNAH CAVANAUGH LEGAL
ENVELOPE #1 AND ITS ATTACHED LETTER ADDRESSED TO HIS COUSIN ELLA
AND ENCLOSED LETTER ADDRESSED TO HANNAH CAVANAUGH DATED 8/8/23;
A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERLY ADDRESSED U.S COURT REPORTER
EILEEN McDONOUGH LEGAL ENVELOPE #2 AND ITS ATTACHED LETTER ADDRESSED
TO HIS COUSIN ELLA AND ENCLOSED LETTER ADDRESSED TO EILEEN McDONOUGH
DATED 8/8/23; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERLY ADDRESSED U.S
COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD LEGAL ENVELOPE #3 AND ITS ATTACHED
LETTER ADDRESSED TO HIS COUSIN ELLA AND ENCLOSED LETTER ADDRESSED
TO JODI HIBBARD DATED 8/8/23; AND A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROOF

OF SERVICE FOR HIS U.S COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPES AND DOCUMENTS THAT WAS MAILED TO HIS COUSIN ELLA ON 8/15/23.

2ND CIRCUIT FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE RECORDS

APPENDIX C : A COPY OF THE ORDER OF 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE WHICH WAS ENTERED ON 1/27/22 ; A COPY OF THE 2ND CIRCUIT GENERAL DOCKET SHEET DATED 8/21/23 ; A COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S COVER LETTER DATED 10/19/19, COVER LETTER WITH A FILED DATE OF 11/19/19, NOTICE TO APPEAL THAT WAS COUNTERSIGNED BY ROBERT COBB, MOTION TO APPEAL AS A POOR PERSON, AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENT AND PROOF OF SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES ON 10/23/19 ; AND A COPY OF A ACKNOWLEDGMENT LETTER FROM THE 2ND CIRCUIT DATED 11/6/19.

U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE RECORDS

APPENDIX D : A COPY OF THE COURT DOCKET TEXT DECISION AND ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHICH WAS ENTERED ON 10/7/19 ; A COPY OF THE DECISION AND ORDER OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID G. LARIMER DATED 10/7/19 ; A COPY OF THE CIVIL JUDGMENT OF U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERK MARY C. LOEWENGUTH DATED 10/8/19 ; AND A COPY OF THE CIVIL DOCKET SHEET OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DATED 8/17/23.

NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS CASE RECORDS

APPENDIX E : A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS COURT OF APPEALS ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON DATED 9/19/17.

NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT CASE RECORDS

APPENDIX F : A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN DATED 1/13/17 ; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN DATED 6/8/17 ; A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE CLERK FRANCES E. CAFARELL DATED 5/18/17 ; AND A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS APPELLATE CLERK FRANCES E. CAFARELL DATED 7/18/17.

NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT CASE RECORDS AND LAWS

APPENDIX G : A COPY OF THE ORDER OF NYS ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA DATED 9/23/16; A PRINTOUT OF 5 U.S.C.A SECTIONS 702, 703, 704 AND 706; A PRINTOUT OF 42 U.S.C.A SECTION 1985 AND NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 440.10; A PRINTOUT OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE RULE 6, 18 U.S.C.A; A PRINTOUT OF 28 U.S.C SECTION 1254; A PRINTOUT OF NYS PENAL LAW SECTIONS 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05; AND A PRINTOUT OF NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 210.40.

CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS, DECLARATIONS OF PURPOSES AND PRIORITY GOALS L A T E A U T H O R I T I E S

APPENDIX H : A PRINTOUT OF 42 U.S.C.A SECTIONS 12501, 9925, 9913, 9901, 9814, 9809, 9806, 9805, 5401, 5301, 4502, 4501, 4371, 3937, 3931, 3532, 3531, 3331, 3332, 3121, 3101, 3001, 2996 AND 2641; A PRINTOUT OF 45 C.F.R SECTIONS 46.301, 46.302, 46.303, 46.304, 46.305, 46.306, 46.307, 46.308, AND 46.309; A PRINTOUT OF 42 U.S.C.A SECTION 289; A PRINTOUT OF 28 C.F.R SECTIONS 115.11, 115.13, 115.18, 115.22, 115.53, 115.61, 115.62, 115.63, 115.64, 115.65, 115.66, 115.67, 115.71, 115.73, 115.76, 115.78, 115.81, 115.83, 115.86, 115.88, AND 115.501; AND A PRINTOUT OF 34 U.S.C.A § 30303

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASE LAW

CASE

- NEITZKE V. WILLIAMS, 990 U.S 319, 325 (1989) 16,150

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW **IDENTIFIES BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS**

U.S CONSTITUTION (THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND)

- THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION 104
- THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PREAMBLE 151
- ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2 OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 152
- ARTICLE 4 SECTION 3 CLAUSE 2 OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 152
- ARTICLE 3 OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 152
- ARTICLE 2 SECTION 4 OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 152
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 152
- 1ST AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 38,41,85,152
- 2ND AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 152
- 4TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 152
- 5TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 63,152
- 6TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 153
- 7TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 153
- 8TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 153
- 10TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 153
- 13TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 153
- 14TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION 153

NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION

- THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION 104
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 1 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 153
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 2 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 153
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 3 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 153
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 4 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 153
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 5 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 153
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 6 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 63,154
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 154
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 154
- ARTICLE 1 SECTION 11 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION 154

● ARTICLE 1 SECTION 12 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	154
● ARTICLE 1 SECTION 16 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	154
● ARTICLE 1 SECTION 17 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	154
● ARTICLE 2 SECTION 1 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	154
● ARTICLE 2 SECTION 3 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	154
● ARTICLE 3 SECTION 25 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	154
● ARTICLE 6 SECTION 3 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	155
● ARTICLE 6 SECTION 5 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	155
● ARTICLE 6 SECTION 18 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	155
● ARTICLE 6 SECTION 20 OF THE NYS CONSTITUTION	155

STATUTES, RULES AND REGULATIONS

U.S SUPREME COURT AND JURISDICTIONAL STATUTE PROVISIONS

● 42 U.S.C § 1983	2, 17
● 28 U.S.C § 1254 (1)	2
● 28 U.S.C § 1331	2, 17
● 28 U.S.C § 1345 (3) (4)	2, 17
● 28 U.S.C § 2201	2, 17
● U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A	20, 160
● U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 14, 28 U.S.C.A	15, 141

2ND CIRCUIT AND APPELLATE PROCEDURE STATUTE PROVISIONS

● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.1, 28 U.S.C.A	28, 29
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.2, 28 U.S.C.A	29
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.3, 28 U.S.C.A	29, 139
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 12.1, 28 U.S.C.A	29
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 27.1, 28 U.S.C.A	28
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 31.2, 28 U.S.C.A	28
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 32.1, 28 U.S.C.A	28
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 32.2, 28 U.S.C.A	28
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 33.1, 28 U.S.C.A	27, 126, 172
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 34.1, 28 U.S.C.A	27
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 35.1, 28 U.S.C.A	151
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 40.1, 28 U.S.C.A	151
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 40.2, 28 U.S.C.A	151
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3, 28 U.S.C.A	116, 117, 149
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 4, 28 U.S.C.A	30-31, 116, 117, 149
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A	28, 29

● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 11, 28 U.S.C.A.	28, 29
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 12, 28 U.S.C.A.	27, 28
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 24, 28 U.S.C.A.	118, 140, 150
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 25, 28 U.S.C.A.	172
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 27, 28 U.S.C.A.	26, 28, 29
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 28, 28 U.S.C.A.	28
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 29, 28 U.S.C.A.	28
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 30, 28 U.S.C.A.	28
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 33, 28 U.S.C.A.	27, 172
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 34, 28 U.S.C.A.	27
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 34(a)(2), 28 U.S.C.A.	27
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 34(a), 28 U.S.C.A.	125
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 35, 28 U.S.C.A.	151
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 35(a)(b), 28 U.S.C.A.	31
● FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 45, 28 U.S.C.A.	29

U.S. DISTRICT COURTS AND CIVIL PROCEDURE STATUTE PROVISIONS

● U.S. DISTRICT COURT RULES, N.D.N.Y., L.R. 16.1	115
● 5 U.S.C. § 702	23, 24, 31, 59, 62, 150
● 5 U.S.C. § 703	23, 24, 31, 59, 62, 150
● 5 U.S.C. § 704	23, 24, 31, 59, 62, 150
● 5 U.S.C. § 706	23, 24, 31, 59, 62, 150
● 28 U.S.C.A. § 144	73, 81
● 28 U.S.C.A. § 455	73, 81
● 28 U.S.C.A. § 1391	73, 81
● 28 U.S.C.A. § 1404	73, 81
● 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915	73, 81, 112, 150
● 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915(e)	16, 150
● 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985	23, 24, 31, 59, 62, 150
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 4, 28 U.S.C.A.	113
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 4.1, 28 U.S.C.A.	115, 116
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 12(c), 28 U.S.C.A.	76
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 15, 28 U.S.C.A.	74, 112
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 16(b), 28 U.S.C.A.	75, 114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 26(f), 28 U.S.C.A.	75, 114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 26, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 27, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 28, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 29, 28 U.S.C.A.	114

● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 30, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 31, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 32, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 33, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 34, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 35, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 36, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 37, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 38, 28 U.S.C.A.	115
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 41(B), 28 U.S.C.A.	77
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 45, 28 U.S.C.A.	114
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 55, 28 U.S.C.A.	113, 115
● FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 65, 28 U.S.C.A.	161

CRIMINAL STATUTE PROVISIONS (LAWS AND PROCEDURES)

● 18 U.S.C § 3006	112
● FRCP RULE 6 (C)(F), 18 U.S.C.A.	63
● NYS CPL § 180.60	57, 68
● NYS CPL § 190.25	57, 67
● NYS CPL § 190.50	57, 67
● NYS CPL § 190.60	57, 64
● NYS CPL § 190.65	57, 64
● NYS CPL § 190.65(3)	63
● NYS CPL § 190.70	64
● NYS CPL § 190.75	57, 64, 68
● NYS CPL § 200.20	64
● NYS CPL § 200.30	64
● NYS CPL § 200.50	64
● NYS CPL § 200.50(3)	64
● NYS CPL § 200.50(8)	63
● NYS CPL § 210.05	63
● NYS CPL § 210.15	69
● NYS CPL § 210.20	57, 64
● NYS CPL § 210.25	57, 64
● NYS CPL § 210.30	57, 64, 68
● NYS CPL § 210.35	57
● NYS CPL § 210.40	43, 53, 57, 135, 139
● NYS CPL § 220.60	56, 57
● NYS CPL § 440.10	19, 57, 59

● NYS CPL § 450.15	58,59
● NYS CPL § 450.90	59
● NYS CPL § 450.90(1)	59
● NYS CPL § 460.15	58, 59, 171
● NYS CPL § 460.20	59
● NYS PENAL LAW § 125.25(1)	xi, 56
● NYS PENAL LAW § 265.03(3)	xi, 56, 64, 65
● NYS PENAL LAW § 265.03(1b)	xi
● NYS PENAL LAW § 265.02(1)	xi, 56, 64, 67, 69, 70
● NYS PENAL LAW § 205.30	xi

JUSTIFICATION DEFENSES STATUTE PROVISIONS

● NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00	15, 43, 44, 47, 49, 53, 57, 135, 139
● NYS PENAL LAW § 35.05	15, 43, 44, 47, 49, 53, 57, 135, 139
● NYS PENAL LAW § 40.00	15, 43, 47, 53, 57, 135, 139
● NYS PENAL LAW § 40.05	15, 43, 44, 47, 49, 53, 57, 135, 139

JUDICIAL AND PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT STATUTE PROVISIONS

● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIR. JUD MISCON, RULE 5, 28 U.S.C.A.	127
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIR. JUD MISCON, RULE 6, 28 U.S.C.A.	127
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIR. JUD MISCON, RULE 8, 28 U.S.C.A.	127
● U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIR. JUD MISCON, RULE 11, 28 U.S.C.A.	127
● CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT CANON 1	172
● CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT CANON 2	172
● CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT CANON 3	172
● CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT CANON 4	172
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON.,	71
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 1.1	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 1.3	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 1.6	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 1.13	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 2.1	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 2.3	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 3.3	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 3.4	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 3.5	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 4.4	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 5.1	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 5.2	147

● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 5.3	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 8.3	147
● 22 NYCRR § 1200.0 RULES OF PROF. CON., RULE 8.4	147
● 22 NYCRR § 100.3	26
● MCKINNEY'S NYS EXECUTIVE LAW § 63	148, 149
● MCKINNEY'S NYS EXECUTIVE LAW § 63-a	148
● MCKINNEY'S NYS EXECUTIVE LAW § 63-b	148
● MCKINNEY'S NYS EXECUTIVE LAW § 63-c	148
● MCKINNEY'S NYS EXECUTIVE LAW § 63-d	148

U.S.C.A SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES STATUE PROVISIONS

● 2 U.S.C. A § 471	134
● 2 U.S.C. A § 472	134
● 2 U.S.C. A § 475	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 3724	134, 135
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5501	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5502	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5503	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5511	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5512	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5521	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5523	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5524	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5527	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 5542	134
● 15 U.S.C. A § 2656b	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 1861	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 1862	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 1862s-4	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 6601	134, 135
● 42 U.S.C. A § 6602	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 6624	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 6625	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 6626	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 6633	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 6686	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 7112	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 7381	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 18641	134

● 42 U.S.C. A § 18642	134
● 42 U.S.C. A § 18643	135
● 42 U.S.C. A § 18644	135
● 50 U.S.C. A § 3243	135
● 51 U.S.C. A § 20161	135

THE FEDERAL POLICY FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

● DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY	
6 CFR PART 46	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	
7 CFR PART 1C	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	
10 CFR PART 745	12,164
● NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION	
14 CFR PART 1230	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
15 CFR PART 27	12,164
● CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION	
16 CFR PART 1028	12,164
● SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	
20 CFR PART 431	12,164
● FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	
21 CFR PARTS 50,56,312 AND 812	12,164
● DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	
21 CFR PART 1316	12,164
● AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	
22 CFR PART 225	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT	
24 CFR PART 60	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
28 CFR PART 46	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	
29 CFR PART 21	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	
32 CFR PART 219	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	
34 CFR PART 97	12,164
● DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS	
38 CFR PART 16	12,164

● ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
40 CFR PART 2612, 164
● DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	
45 CFR PART 4612, 164
● NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION	
45 CFR PART 69012, 164
● DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
49 CFR PART 1112, 164

NATIONAL RESEARCH INSTITUTES REVIEW BOARDS ETHICS GUIDANCE PROGRAM STATUS

● 42 U.S.C. A § 28915
-------------------------------	-----

BIOMEDICAL AND BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH INVOLVING PRISONERS AS SUBJECTS STATUTES

● 45 CFR § 46.30115
● 45 CFR § 46.30215
● 45 CFR § 46.30315
● 45 CFR § 46.30415
● 45 CFR § 46.30515
● 45 CFR § 46.30615

CHILDREN INVOLVED AS SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH STATUTE PROVISIONS

● 45 CFR § 46.40115
● 45 CFR § 46.40215
● 45 CFR § 46.40315
● 45 CFR § 46.40415
● 45 CFR § 46.40515
● 45 CFR § 46.40615
● 45 CFR § 46.40715
● 45 CFR § 46.40815
● 45 CFR § 46.40915

NATIONAL PRISON RAPE STATISTICS, DATA AND RESEARCH STATUTE PROVISION

● 34 U.S.C. A § 3030315
---------------------------------	-----

PRISON RAPE ELIMINATION ACT NATIONAL STANDARDS STATUTE PROVISIONS

● 28 CFR § 115.1115
● 28 CFR § 115.1315
● 28 CFR § 115.1815
● 28 CFR § 115.2215
● 28 CFR § 115.5315

● 28 CFR § 115.61	15
● 28 CFR § 115.62	15
● 28 CFR § 115.63	15
● 28 CFR § 115.64	15
● 28 CFR § 115.65	15
● 28 CFR § 115.66	15
● 28 CFR § 115.67	15
● 28 CFR § 115.71	15
● 28 CFR § 115.73	15
● 28 CFR § 115.76	15
● 28 CFR § 115.78	15
● 28 CFR § 115.81	15
● 28 CFR § 115.83	15
● 28 CFR § 115.86	15
● 28 CFR § 115.88	15
● 28 CFR § 115.501	15

P U B L I C H E A L T H A N D W E L F A R E

C O N G R E S S I O N A L F I N D I N G S , D E C L A R A T I O N S O F P U R P O S E S A N D P R I O R I T Y G O A L S

P U B L I C H E A L T H A N D W E L F A R E S T A T U T E P R O V I S I O N S

● 42 U.S.C. A § 12501	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 9925	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 9913	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 9901	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 9814	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 9809	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 9806	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 9805	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 5401	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 5301	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 4502	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 4501	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 4371	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 3937	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 3931	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 3532	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 3531	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 3332	15
● 42 U.S.C. A § 3331	15

● 42 U.S.C. A § 312115
● 42 U.S.C. A § 310115
● 42 U.S.C. A § 300115
● 42 U.S.C. A § 299615
● 42 U.S.C. A § 264115

FEDERAL EVIDENCE BUILDING ACTIVITIES

FEDERAL EVIDENCE BUILDING ACTIVITIES STATUE PROVISIONS

● 5 U.S.C § 312(a)138
● 5 U.S.C § 312(b)138

THE UNITED STATES CODE AND CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

THE UNITED STATES CODE AND CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

● THE UNITED STATES CODE162
● THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS162

D I R E C T I V E S

NYS DOCCS DIRECTIVES

● NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION DIRECTIVE # 4932171
● NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION DIRECTIVE # 493496,97,104
● NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION DIRECTIVE # 491996,97,104

SECONDARY SOURCES OF LAW

SECONDARY SOURCES OF LAW

● THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO APPEAL AS A PRO SE LITIGANT.116,117,141
● THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING A COMPLAINT101

● THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LOCAL RULES OF PRACTICE PERTINENT TO INMATE LITIGATION NOTEBOOK.101
● THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK PROSE LITIGATION GUIDELINE LEGAL PACKET.101
● THE PETITIONER'S GRAND JURY LEGAL PACKET.104
● THE PETITIONER'S GRAND JURORS HANDBOOK.104
● THE PETITIONER'S INITIAL CIVIL COVER SHEET DATED 4/11/18.71
● THE PETITIONER'S UPDATED CIVIL COVER SHEET DATED 8/15/22.107
● I AM INNOCENT BY ROBERT NASH.104

OPINIONS BELOW

JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE ARE DESCRIBED IN THIS PETITION AND IS REPRODUCED IN APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION AT PAGES 1 TO 8, AT PAGES 1 TO 218 IN APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX C OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX D OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGE 1 IN APPENDIX E OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGES 1 TO 8 IN APPENDIX F OF THIS PETITION, AND AT PAGES 1 TO 11 IN APPENDIX G OF THIS PETITION. I DO NOT KNOW IF THE OPINIONS, ORDERS, DECISIONS OR DISPOSITIONS RENDERED IN THIS CASE AND RELATED CASES IS REPORTED, UNREPORTED, PUBLISHED OR UNPUBLISHED DUE TO THE FACTS SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH #3 OF MY MOTION ENTITLED "A MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT." [PLEASE SEE PARAGRAPH #3 OF THE MOTION DATED DECEMBER 15, 2023]

J U R I S D I C T I O N

THIS IS A CIVIL ACTION WHERE THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR IS ACTING ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND HIS FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE UNDER 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER UNDER 28 U.S.C § 1331, 1345(3), (4) AND 2201. U.S DISTRICT COURT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND COURT CLERKS HAD ENTERED A CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND ORDER DISMISSING MY COMPLAINT ON NOVEMBER 15, 2022 AND DENIED MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE UPON THE ENTRY OF A COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER ON DECEMBER 5, 2022 TO GIVE THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER ON APPEAL. IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 4, 28 U.S.C.A, I HAD SERVED MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 UPON ALL PARTIES IN NOVEMBER OF 2022 AND MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #2 UPON ALL PARTIES ON DECEMBER 19, 2022. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD DISMISSED THE APPEAL UPON THE ENTRY OF THE ORDER OF 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE ON JULY 6, 2023 AND DENIED MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL ON JULY 27, 2023. NOW, THIS U.S SUPREME COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER UNDER 28 U.S.C § 1254(1). ON OCTOBER 6, 2023, JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR HAD GRANTED MY APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI, TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 26, 2023. MY PETITION, APPENDICES AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS WERE TIMELY SUBMITTED ON OCTOBER 24, 2023 BUT THEY WERE RETURNED FOR CORRECTIONS AND RESUBMITTAL ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. PLEASE SEE MY "MOTION TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN EXCESS OF THE PAGE LIMIT" TO REVIEW PAGES 11 TO 14 WHERE I HAD COVERED THE ENTIRE FILING PROCESS OF MY PETITION, APPENDICES, EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS LEADING UP TO THE LAST CORRECTIONS OF MY PETITION AND ITS TIMELY SUBMITTAL WITH MY APPENDICES AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS ON APRIL 4TH, 2024 WHICH WAS WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS COURT CLERK RASHONDA GARNER'S LETTER DATED 3/6/24. A COPY OF THIS COURT CLERK RASHONDA GARNER'S LETTER DATED 3/6/24 HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO ALL PARTIES AS EXHIBIT #171, A COPY OF THIS COURT'S LETTER GRANTING THE EXTENSION APPEARS WITHIN EXHIBIT #166, A COPY OF THE CIVIL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DISMISSING MY COMPLAINT APPEARS AT PAGES 165 TO 183 IN APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 OF THIS PETITION, A COPY OF THE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DENYING

MY MOTION FOR THE RECONSIDERATION OF THIS CASE APPEARS AT PAGE 215 IN APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 OF THIS PETITION, A COPY OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 APPEARS AT PAGE 323 ~~IN~~ APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION, A COPY OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #2 APPEARS AT PAGE 336 IN APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION, A COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT DISMISSING MY APPEAL APPEARS AT PAGE 5 IN APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION, AND A COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT DENYING MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL APPEARS AT PAGE 6 TO 7 IN APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION.
[SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 5-8, 16-19, 323, 336 AND 343; APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 PAGES 165-183 AND 215; AND APPENDIX G PAGE 26]

CONSTITUTIONAL, STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE

THE CONSTITUTIONAL, STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE ARE LENGTHY AND THEY ARE SET OUT VERBATIM AT PAGES 16 TO 295 IN APPENDIX A OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGES 225 TO 370 IN APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 OF THIS PETITION, AT PAGES 12 TO 44 IN APPENDIX G OF THIS PETITION, AND AT PAGES 1 TO 126 IN APPENDIX H OF THIS PETITION. THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PREAMBLE, SUPREMACY CLAUSE, PUBLIC LANDS CLAUSE, JUDICIAL POWER, TENURE AND COMPENSATION CLAUSE, JURISDICTION OF THE COURTS CLAUSE, IMPEACHMENT CLAUSE, SUSPENSION OF HABEAS CORPUS CLAUSE, APPROPRIATION CLAUSE, AND AMENDMENTS #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13 AND 14 RELEVANT PARTS ARE SET FORTH VERBATIM IN SECTION F OF THIS PETITION. THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES SECTION, TRIAL BY JURY SECTION, FREEDOM OF WORSHIP AND RELIGIOUS LIBERTY SECTION, HABEAS CORPUS SECTION, BAILS, FINES, PUNISHMENTS AND DETENTION OF WITNESSES SECTION, GRAND JURY, RIGHT TO COUNSEL, INFORMING ACCUSED, DOUBLE JEOPARDY, SELF INCRIMINATION AND DUE PROCESS OF LAW SECTION, RIGHT TO ASSEMBLE AND PETITION SECTION, EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW AND DISCRIMINATION IN CIVIL RIGHTS PROHIBITED SECTION, SECURITY AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCHES, SEIZURES AND INTERCEPTIONS SECTION, DAMAGES FOR INJURIES CAUSING DEATH SECTION, ~~LABOR~~ NOT A COMMODITY SECTION, QUALIFICATIONS OF VOTERS SECTION, PERSONS EXCLUDED FROM THE RIGHT OF SUFFRAGE SECTION, CONTINUITY OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS IN PERIODS OF EMERGENCY SECTION, JURISDICTION OF COURT OF APPEALS SECTION, POWER OF APPELLATE COURTS UPON APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER SECTION, TRIAL BY JURY AND MANNER OF JURY TRIAL SECTION, JUDICIAL OFFICE AND QUALIFICATIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS SECTION, AND OTHER SECTIONS RELEVANT PARTS ARE SET FORTH VERBATIM IN SECTION F OF THIS PETITION AS WELL.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND ITS AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES ARE LABELED, IDENTIFIED OR RECOGNIZED AS THE NAMED DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE DUE TO THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL MATTER FOR DEMANDS AND LIABILITY OF EVERY KIND AND NATURE, LEGAL OR EQUITABLE FOR SAID DAMAGES SUSTAINED BY ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE SOLELY ON ACCOUNT OF A TORT OF AN EMPLOYEE OF THE FEDERAL OR STATE GOVERNMENT WHILE ACTING AS SUCH ON THE JOB. I AM SUING THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY FOR COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE SUM OF ONE TRILLION DOLLARS AND FOR THE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF DEMANDED ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN PLACED IN THE SAME BOAT DUE TO THEIR JOINT EFFECTS AND THE NATURE OF THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE. THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO MANIPULATE THE ACTIONS OF THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO PROMOTE AN INHERITED DESTRUCTIVE LIFESTYLE OF PROMISCUOUS SEX, ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE, VIOLENCE, CRIME, INCARCERATION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES TO MANIFEST OUR DESTINY THROUGH THE MEDIA, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY WHILE STUDYING, DOCUMENTING AND RECORDING OUR PSYCHOLOGICAL AND BEHAVIORAL CHARACTERISTICS THROUGH SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION, TESTING, EXPERIMENT, FORMULATION, MEASUREMENT, AND MODIFICATION OF HYPOTHESES. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN USING THEIR INFLUENCE OR POWER TO CAUSE US TO PARTICIPATE AND SHARE THE EXPERIENCE OR EFFECT IN OUR LIFE SITUATION OR ACTIVITIES. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN CONTROLLING OUR PERSONAL BEHAVIOR AND THE COURSE OF EVENTS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN USING US TO HELP THE PEOPLE ADVANCE SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY WITHOUT COMPENSATING US FOR OUR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS, AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF OUR LIFE OR

EXISTENCE. THE GOVERNMENT HAS PLACED US IN THE SPOTLIGHT OR HANDS OF THE PEOPLE SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO BENEFIT FROM THE LIFEWORK. THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF ALL TRANSACTIONS, OCCURRENCES OR EVENTS THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE IN OUR LIFE SITUATION. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN PLACING US IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS WITHOUT NO REGARDS FOR OUR SAFETY, HEALTH AND WELL BEING. THE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO APPLY SCIENCES AND USE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO HAVE A HISTORY OF POVERTY, MISFORTUNE, MISERY, TROUBLES, HARDSHIPS AND PAINS, SUICIDAL THOUGHTS, MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, MEDICAL PROBLEMS, SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS, PROMISCUOUS SEXUAL BEHAVIOR, FORCED LABOR, INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, ENSLAVEMENT, CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR, JUSTIFIABLE CRIMES, AND UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENTS. THEY HAVE CAUSED US TO BE MADE OUT TO BE SPECIAL VICTIMS OF CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. WE ARE HUMAN SUBJECTS OR BIOSPECIMENS IN THEIR CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS. THEY ARE OBTAINING INFORMATION AND OTHER BIOSPECIMENS THROUGH INTERACTION OR INTERVENTION WITH THE INDIVIDUALS AND USING, STUDYING OR ANALYZING THE INFORMATION OR BIOSPECIMENS. THE INTERVENTION INCLUDES BOTH PHYSICAL PROCEDURES BY WHICH INFORMATION OR BIOSPECIMENS ARE GATHERED AND MANIPULATIONS OF THE SUBJECTS AND OUR ENVIRONMENT ARE BEING PERFORMED FOR RESEARCH AND OTHER PURPOSES. THEY HAVE BEEN CONDUCTING SYSTEMATIC INVESTIGATIONS WHICH INCLUDES RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATIONS DESIGNED TO DEVELOP OR CONTRIBUTE TO GENERALIZABLE KNOWLEDGE WHILE CONDUCTING THEIR ILLEGAL, UNETHICAL AND OPPRESSIVE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES TO CAUSE US A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS. THEY HAVE BEEN USING ILLEGAL, DECEPTIVE AND WICKED PROCEDURES TO ESTABLISH OUR QUALITY, PERFORMANCE AND RELIABILITY WHILE TAKING US INTO THE WIDESPREAD USE OF THE PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN REVEALING OUR STRENGTH, CHARACTERISTICS AND QUALITIES TO THE PEOPLE BY PUTTING US UNDER MASSIVE PRESSURE AND TESTING US IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. THEY HAVE FOUND OUT HOW USEFUL, STRONG OR EFFECTIVE WE ARE AS INDIVIDUALS

OR A PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN STIMULATING AND FACILITATING BROADER PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE INNOVATION PROCESS, YIELDING BENEFITS TO GOVERNMENTS, ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, BUSINESSES AND CITIZENS WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROJECTS. THE GOVERNMENT HAVE FORMED A UNION OR AGREEMENT FOR THE COOPERATION AND ACTUAL BENEFIT OF ALL COUNTRIES, NATIONS OR STATES. PEOPLE HAVE BEEN COMPLYING WITH THE GOVERNMENTAL HUMAN RESEARCH OR CLASSES OF RESEARCH BEING CONDUCTED OR SUPPORTED BY FEDERAL EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND OTHER AGENCIES WHO POLICIES, COURSES OR PRINCIPLES OF ACTION ARE BEING ADOPTED OR PROPOSED BY GOVERNMENTS, ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, INSTITUTIONS, BUSINESSES, INDIVIDUALS, AND PARTIES TO THIS CASE. THEY HAVE BEEN STUDYING US IN MANY SPECIALIZED FIELDS THAT COVER OUR MORPHOLOGY, PHYSIOLOGY, ANATOMY, BEHAVIOR, ORIGIN AND DISTRIBUTION. THE SCIENCE OF OUR LIFE PROCESSES INCLUDES THE STUDY OF GROWTH, STRUCTURE AND REPRODUCTION. THEY HAVE SUBJECTED US TO PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS CLASSIFICATION AND LIFE PROCESSES. THEY HAVE BEEN PREVENTING US FROM HAVING THE SAME OPPORTUNITIES AND FAIR TREATMENT AS OTHER CITIZENS WITHIN OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTRY. WE ARE NOT BEING TREATED EQUALLY AND ARE SUFFERING FROM UNJUST OR PREJUDICIAL TREATMENT ON THE GROUNDS OF CLASS, SOCIAL ORIGIN, AND OTHER STATUS RELATING TO OUR APPOINTED LOT. THEY HAVE BEEN PLACING ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE IN LIFE THREATENING SITUATIONS AND A REAL LIFE CRISIS WHICH HAS CALLED FOR URGENT RESPONSES, VIOLENCE, CRIME AND OTHER ACTIVITIES FOR THE SAKE OF OURSELVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. THEY HAVE THROWN CURSES ON OUR FAMILIES AND HAS BEEN TRYING TO TARNISH OUR REPUTATION THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF EVENTS WHILE SCRIPTING, DIRECTING AND PRODUCING OUR LIFE LIKE A ON-GOING MOVIE OR REALITY T.V SHOW THAT IS FILLED WITH TRAGEDIC AND OTHER EVENTS, HEROISM, LOVE SCENES, PROBLEMS, DRAMA, COMEDY, MINISTRIES, MUSICALS, HARDSHIPS AND PAINS. THEY HAVE BEEN MOVING PEOPLE IN AND OUT OF OUR LIVES, STAGING EVENTS, ACTING OUT ROLES, ENGAGING IN CONSPIRATORIAL LIES, BRAINWASHING US, PLAYING MIND GAMES, CAUSING PEOPLE TO PLAY DUMB OR REMAIN SILENT, TAKING AWAY OUR FREEDOM OF THOUGHT, SPEECH AND PRESS, AND FAKING THE DEATHS OF MY LOVED ONES AND OTHER PEOPLE, THE OUTBREAK OF A ALLEGED DEADLY DISEASE CALLED "COVID-19", DISPUTES, FIGHTS, ARRESTS, IMPRISONMENTS, TRIALS, PROSECUTIONS, CRIME RATES, MOVEMENTS, PROTESTS, RIOTS, DISASTERS, DISEASES, DEATH TOTALS AND OTHER THINGS TO

DISTRACT, DISCOURAGE, FRIGHTEN, DECEIVE OR ENTRAP US AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN OUR LIFE. THEY HAVE BEEN DOING ALL TYPES OF STUFF TO CAUSE GOOD AND BAD THINGS TO HAPPEN AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF OUR LIVES. THEY HAVE MADE OUR NEED FOR THINGS IMPERATIVE SO THAT WE ARE FORCED TO FIND WAYS OF GETTING OR ACHIEVING THINGS WHILE OBSERVING AND TESTING US IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. THEY HAVE A LONG HISTORY OF MAKING US SUFFER FROM A LACK OF SUPPORT OR BACKING. WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY FINANCIAL OR OTHER SUPPORT FROM THE MANAGING OR SPONSORING AGENCY OR AGENCIES WHO HAVE BEEN CONTROLLING THE PROJECTS, ACTIVITIES, BUSINESS DEALINGS, AND OTHER ASPECTS OF OUR LIFE. THE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS SET A PATTERN OF "ECONOMIC ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR" THAT IS COERCIVE, DECEPTIVE, OR UNREASONABLY CONTROLS OR RESTRAINS OUR ABILITY TO ACQUIRE, USE OR MAINTAIN ECONOMIC RESOURCES TO WHICH WE ARE ENTITLED, INCLUDING USING COERCION, FRAUD OR MANIPULATION TO RESTRICT OUR ACCESS TO MONEY, ASSETS, CREDIT OR FINANCIAL INFORMATION; UNFAIRLY USE OUR PERSONAL ECONOMIC RESOURCES, INCLUDING MONEY, ASSETS AND CREDIT, FOR THEIR OWN ADVANTAGES; AND EXERT UNDUE INFLUENCE OVER OUR FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR OR DECISIONS INCLUDING FORCING DEFAULT ON JOINT OR OTHER FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS, EXPLOITING POWERS OF ATTORNEY, GUARDIANSHIP, OR CONSERVATORSHIP, OR FAILING OR NEGLECTING TO ACT IN OUR BEST INTEREST TO WHOM THEY HAVE FIDUCIARY AND OTHER DUTIES. THEY HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES TO PROVIDE FOR OUR CARE, EITHER VOLUNTARILY, BY CONTRACT, BY RECEIPT OF PAYMENT FOR CARE, OR AS A RESULT OF THE OPERATION OF LAW. THEY HAVE REFUSED TO MAKE GOOD DECISIONS ON OUR BEHALF AND FOR OUR BENEFIT, AND ACT IN GOOD FAITH AND WITH FAIRNESS. THEY HAVE BEEN EXPLOITING US THROUGH FRAUDULENT OR OTHERWISE ILLEGAL, UNAUTHORIZED, OR IMPROPER ACTS OR PROCESSES, AND USING OUR RESOURCES FOR MONETARY OR PERSONAL BENEFITS, PROFITS, OR GAINS, AND DEPRIVING US OF OUR RIGHTFUL ACCESS TO OR USE OF, BENEFITS, RESOURCES, BELONGINGS OR ASSETS. THEY HAVE BEEN SUBJECTING US TO PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM, AND DEPRIVING US OF GOODS AND SERVICES THAT ARE NECESSARY TO MEET ESSENTIAL NEEDS AND AVOID PHYSICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM. THEY HAVE BEEN FORCING US TO LIVE WITH PHYSICAL AND MENTAL DISABILITIES

ATTRIBUTABLE TO MENTAL AND PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENTS, THAT
SUBSTANTIALLY LIMITS 1 OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING AREAS
OF MAJOR LIFE ACTIVITY SUCH AS SELF CARE, RECEPTIVE
AND EXPRESSIVE LANGUAGE, LEARNING, MOBILITY, SELF-
DIRECTION, CAPACITY FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING, ECONOMIC
SELF SUFFICIENCY, COGNITIVE FUNCTIONING, AND EMOTIONAL
ADJUSTMENT. IN OTHER WORDS, THE PHYSICAL AND MENTAL
IMPAIRMENTS SUBSTANTIALLY LIMITS OUR LIFE ACTIVITIES,
SUCH AS, BUT NOT LIMITED TO CARING FOR ONESELF,
PERFORMING MANUAL TASKS, SEEING, HEARING, SMELLING,
TASTING, EATING, SLEEPING, MOVING, WALKING, STANDING,
LIFTING, BENDING, FEELING, SPEAKING, BREATHING, LEARNING,
READING, WRITING, CONCENTRATING, THINKING, COMMUNICA-
TING, WORKING, EXERCISING, FIGHTING, PRACTICING,
SINGING, RAPPING, DANCING, LAUGHING, SMILING, EXPRESSIONS,
GESTURES, RUNNING, PLAYING, JOKING, TEASING, CELEBRATING,
CHEERING, CLAPPING, COOKING, JUMPING, USING TALENTS,
DRAWING, PAINTING, AND VENTING, OR SUBSTANTIALLY LIMITS
THE OPERATION OF A MAJOR BODILY FUNCTION SUCH AS BUT
NOT LIMITED TO FUNCTIONS OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM, IMMUNE
SYSTEM, DIGESTIVE SYSTEM, RESPIRATORY SYSTEM, REPRODUC-
TIVE SYSTEM, MUSCULAR SYSTEM, BRAIN, HEART, LUNGS,
LIVER, BLADDER, BOWELS, TISSUES, VOCAL CORDS, PENIS,
TESTICLES, TONGUE, MOUTH, NOSE, EARS, EYES, GLANDS, COLON,
STOMACH, INTESTINES, PANCREAS, GALLBLADDER, SPLEEN,
GENITALS, MUSCLES AND OTHER PARTS OF THE BODY. THEY
HAVE CAUSED US TO HAVE THE GREATEST ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL
NEEDS AS IMPOVERISH OPPRESSED INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE BEEN
PLACED IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. THEY HAVE
MADE US LIVE WITH CULTURAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND
GEOGRAPHICAL DISADVANTAGES AND ISOLATIONS TO SET US
APART AND PLACE US IN UNFAVORABLE CIRCUMSTANCES OR
CONDITIONS WHILE CONDUCTING THEIR ILLEGAL, UNETHICAL
AND OPPRESSIVE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES.
THERE ENGAGEMENTS OR COLLECTIVE ACTIONS HAS NOT
ADDRESSED OUR PUBLIC CONCERNS OR UNMET ECONOMIC, SOCIAL,
HUMAN, FINANCIAL, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION, EDUCATIONAL,
EMPLOYMENT, HEALTH, PERSONAL CARE, ENTERTAINMENT,
RECREATION, ENVIRONMENTAL OR PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS.

THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS SET A PATTERN OF "TECHNOLOGICAL ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR" TO MISTREAT, HARM, ABUSE, DISABLE, OFFEND, VICTIMIZE, THREATEN, INTIMIDATE, CONTROL, STALK, HARASS, EXPLOIT, EXTORT, MISUSE, AND MONITOR ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN ENGAGING IN THE TECHNOLOGICAL ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR WHILE USING FORMS OF TECHNOLOGY INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO INTERNET ENABLED DEVICES, ONLINE SPACES AND PLATFORMS, COMPUTERS, MOBILE DEVICES, CAMERAS AND IMAGING PROGRAMS, APPS, LOCATION TRACKING DEVICES, RECORDING MEDIA, SURVEILLANCE AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES, AND OTHER EMERGING OR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES. THEY HAVE A HISTORY OF UNACCEPTABLE, UNJUSTIFIABLE, INHUMAN AND MONSTROUS BEHAVIOR INVOLVING COLDBLOODED PERVERTED HUMAN RESEARCH; CORRUPTION; MISMANAGEMENT; MISCONDUCT; NEGLIGENCE; CYBER TERRORISM, GENOCIDE, TORTURE, GAMBLING, WICKEDNESS, DRUGS, PROSTITUTION, JEALOUSY, GREED, HATRED, DISHONESTY, ENCROACHMENTS, VIOLATIONS, EVIL, COERCION, FOUL PLAY, SCANDALS, VICIOUS CONDUCT, VICES, MISCHIEF, BETRAYAL, MALEVOLENCE, OBSCENITY, CRYING SHAME, SIN, MISDEEDS, CATASTROPHIC EVENTS, DISASTERS, PLAGUES, CALAMITY, ILL-WIND, GROSSNESS, IMMORALITY, LEWDNESS, DEBAUCHERY, WANTONNESS, CASUALTIES, OUTRAGE, IMMORALITY, DEGRADATION, RAW DEALS, DISGRACE, SPITEFULNESS, BAD TEMPERED CONDUCT, PROLONGED ABUSE, FOOLISHNESS, ENTRAPMENTS, CARELESSNESS, THOUGHLESSNESS, INSINCERE AND HYPOCRITICAL ACTIVITIES, PRETENSE, DECEPTION, ACCIDENTS, BLIGHT, DOWNFALLS, DELINQUENCY, DISOBEDIENCE, DISTORTIONS OF THE TRUTH, LYING, REGRESSION, DEPRAVITY, SEXUAL DEVIATIONS AND PERVERSIONS, DEPREDATION, BESTIALITY, PROCRASTINATIONS, FAULT, GUILT, DEMERITED CONDUCT, OBSTRUCTIONS OF JUSTICE, SEXUAL ASSAULT, MOLESTATION OR ABUSE, RAPE, PEDOPHILIA, CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, GAY SUPER PREDATORIAL SEXUAL BEHAVIOR, WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENTS, DURESS OF IMPRISONMENTS, MALICIOUS PROSECUTIONS, CONSPIRACIES, MALPRACTICES, MANAGING, ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF THE PUBLIC, PERSECUTIONS, CHILD ABUSE, FALSE IDENTITY TRANSGENDER PROMOTIONS AND DECEPTIVE APPEARANCE VIOLATIONS, PREMEDITATION, SOLICITATIONS, FRAUDULENT CONDUCT, RESOURCE POOLING, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, SNEAK THIEVING, AND RECKLESS CONDUCT. THEY HAVE BEEN APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO PLAY FOUL GAMES AND ATTACK COMMUNICATION AND OTHER SYSTEMS TO DISRUPT OUR DAILY ACTIVITIES

AND CAUSE US A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS. THEY HAVE BEEN USING NEURAL SUPERCOMPUTERS AND OTHER TECHNOLOGIES TO CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF OUR ORGANS, TISSUES AND NERVOUS SYSTEM TO CAUSE US TO MALFUNCTION, HEALTH PROBLEMS, PAINS AND SUFFERING FROM THEIR CONTROL BASE(S). THEY HAVE BEEN SEXUALLY HARASSING, ASSAULTING, MOLESTING AND ABUSING US WHILE CONTROLLING THE FUNCTIONALITY OF OUR ORGANS, TISSUES AND NERVOUS SYSTEM TO CAUSE US TO MALFUNCTION, HEALTH PROBLEM, PAINS AND SUFFERING ON A DAILY BASIS AS HUMAN SUBJECTS OR BIOSPECIMENS IN THEIR CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS. THEY HAD APPLIED SCIENCES AND USED ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO PLACE ME IN PRISON ILLEGALLY FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR THAT OCCURRED INSIDE THE ATTIC OF MY HOME AT 69 BRISCOE AVENUE IN THE CITY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK ON DECEMBER 28, 2011. THEY HAD USED CUNNING PROCEDURES, TRICKS AND OTHER DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PLACE ME IN PRISON ILLEGALLY FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE SO THAT THEY COULD CONSPIRE WITH THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO CONTINUOUSLY ABUSE ME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATE MY HUMAN RIGHTS WHILE TRYING TO DISTRACT, DISCOURAGE AND PREVENT ME FROM SEEKING TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND COMPENSATED FOR THE DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. CORRECTIONAL STAFF WHO HAVE BEEN ASSISTING THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES OR SEXUAL ABUSERS, ARE STILL BEING POSTED WITHIN THE SAME UNITS AS ME AND OTHER VICTIMS, ARE STILL EMPLOYED AT THIS FACILITY (ATTICA) AND OTHER FACILITIES, AND ARE KNOWN TO BE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS FAILURE TO ACT OR REFUSAL TO PROTECT ME AND OTHER INMATES HAS CONTRIBUTED TO THE ON-GOING ABUSE THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR FOR WELL OVER 11 YEARS NOW. I HAVE BEEN SUBMITTING COUNTLESS GRIEVANCES, COMPLAINTS, APPLICATIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS TO DOCCS GRIEVANCE OFFICES, COURTS, AND OTHER AGENCIES RELATING TO MY ON-GOING PROBLEMS WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPART-

MENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION. BUT, PEOPLE HAVE BEEN REFUSING TO ADDRESS MY ON-GOING PROBLEMS AND DECIDING TO ASSIST THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHILE EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR MY LIFE. THEY HAVE BEEN ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO SABOTAGE MY ENTIRE CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CASES, INTERFERE WITH MY LEGAL PROCESSES, AND PREVENT ME FROM PETITIONING THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES AND EXERCISING MY RIGHT TO APPEAL, EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, AND A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS. THEY HAVE DELIBERATELY SABOTAGED THIS ENTIRE CASE BECAUSE THEY DO NOT WANT TO ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WISHES OF OUR PEOPLE, PROVIDE FOR US TO RECEIVE OUR JUST DUE, RELEASE ME FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT, AND BE HELDED ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION. THEY HAVE REFUSED TO RESTORE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO GOOD HEALTH, PROVIDE FOR OUR BASIS HUMAN NEEDS, RESPECT OUR HUMAN RIGHTS AND CHANGE OUR HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. THIS CASE SERVES AS PROOF OF OUR EXISTING REAL LIFE CRISIS AND URGENT NEED FOR CRISIS INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES. WE WOULD LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO INTERVENE BY GRANTING A WRIT OF CERTIORARI, REVIEWING THIS CASE AND PROVIDING FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF IN THIS PETITION FOR THE SPECIAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING REASONS STATED IN THIS PETITION. PLEASE REVIEW THE FEDERAL POLICY FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS AT 6 CFR PART 46 ; 7 CFR PART 1C ; 10 CFR PART 745 ; 14 CFR PART 1230 ; 15 CFR PART 27 ; 16 CFR PART 1028 ; 21 CFR PARTS 50, 56, 312 AND 812 ; 21 CFR PART 1316 ; 22 CFR PART 2.25 ; 24 CFR PART 60 ; 28 CFR PART 46 ; 29 CFR PART 21 ; 32 CFR PART 219 ; 34 CFR PART 97 ; 38 CFR PART 16 ; 40 CFR PART 26 ; 45 CFR PART 46 ; 45 CFR PART 690 ; 49 CFR PART 11 ; AND 20 CFR PART 431. THE FEDERAL POLICY FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS WILL HELP US DEAL WITH THIS MATTER AND EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT AND/OR ORDER BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. WE ARE ENTITLED TO THE JUDGMENT

AND/OR ORDER BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE
DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN OPPRESSIVE, ABUSIVE,
UNBEARABLE, UNYIELDING, INCOMPETENT, UNFAIR, EVIL, DISRUPTIVE,
UNCOOPERATIVE, MISSTEPIING, DEGENERATING, CONSTRICTING, DISRESPECT-
FUL, UNCOMPROMISING, NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES, DISOBEYING THE LAW,
MISHANDLING THIS CASE, ENCRDACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES
OR RIGHTS, CHEATING THROUGH DECEPTION OR FRAUD, REFUSING TO TELL
THE TRUTH, RESISTING OUR UNWELCOMING DEMANDS, REFUSING TO
PROVIDE ME WITH A PRO BONO ATTORNEY WHO IS WILLING TO PROTECT
AND DEFEND OUR HUMAN RIGHTS, REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR THEIR
DEFENSE AND THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, FAILING TO RAISE A
LEGITIMATE DEFENSE AND PRESENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE THEIR
INNOCENCE OR MINIMIZE THE DAMAGES, REFUSING TO TURNOVER EVIDENCE
AND MATERIALS, REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR MY WITNESSES TO TURNOVER
EVIDENCE AND GIVE THEIR TESTIMONIES IN DEPOSITION OR UPON A CIVIL
JURY TRIAL, REFUSING TO CONDUCT A CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR EVIDENTIAL
HEARING(S), REFUSING TO FILE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE, REFUSING TO
ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF EXHIBITS AND DOCUMENTS, AND CAUSING
DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS. THIS IS A HISTORIC HIGH
PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE
DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND
THE SEVERITY AND MAGNITUDE OF THIS CASE. THIS CASE IS OF
IMPERATIVE PUBLIC IMPORTANCE AND IT REVOLVES AROUND THE
PROMOTION OF COMMUNITY WELFARE, GOODWILL AND OUR RIGHTEOUS
CAUSE. I HAVE DEMANDED UNLIMITED RESOURCES IN THIS CASE ON
BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES
AND OTHER PEOPLE SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO FINISH EVINCING
A DESIGN TO DECLARE OUR INDEPENDENCE, PROCLAIM OUR TERRITORIES,
AND REDUCE OUR PEOPLE FROM ABSOLUTE DESPOTISM IN ORDER TO
FORM A MORE PERFECT UNION, ESTABLISH JUSTICE, ENSURE
DOMESTIC TRANQUILITY, PROVIDE FOR THE COMMON DEFENSE,
PROMOTE THE GENERAL WELFARE, AND SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF
LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY. WE ARE SEEKING TO
SECURE OUR RESOURCES DIRECTLY FROM GOVERNMENT ALIGNMENTS,
CONNECTIONS, ORGANIZATIONS, EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND
OTHER AGENCIES WHO ARE UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE US
WITH INFORMATION, SUGGESTIONS, ESTIMATES, STATISTICS,
TECHNICAL AND OTHER ASSISTANCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREPARING
, KEEPING CURRENT, AND CARRYING OUT OUR INTERNATIONAL
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS, ACTIVITIES AND FUNCTIONS TO

FULFILL OUR OBLIGATIONS, PURPOSES AND CALLINGS. WE ARE SEEKING A STEADY SUPPLY OR STOCK OF TECHNICAL AND OTHER SERVICES, MONEY, PROPERTY, MATERIALS, MINERALS, GOODS, STAFF AND OTHER ASSETS THAT WILL BE DRAWN ON BY OUR PEOPLE IN ORDER TO FUNCTION EFFECTIVELY DURING OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS WHILE WE WORK FOR PEACE, PROSPERITY AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR COMMUNITIES AND TERRITORIES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. THE TECHNICAL AND OTHER ASSISTANCE FOR OUR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS AND PUBLIC, SUBURBAN, URBAN, RURAL AND OTHER COOPERATIVES WILL HELP US ACHIEVE OUR COMMON GOALS FOR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EMPOWERMENT, ADVANCEMENT AND REFORM. THIS CASE WILL HELP US ADDRESS OUR PUBLIC CONCERNS OR UNMET ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, HUMAN, FINANCIAL, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION, EDUCATIONAL, EMPLOYMENT, HEALTH, PERSONAL CARE, ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION, ENVIRONMENTAL AND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS, AND RENEW THE ETHIC OF CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY AND THE SPIRIT OF COMMUNITY AND SERVICE THROUGHOUT THE VARIED AND DIVERSE COMMUNITIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER COUNTRIES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. THIS IS A NOTABLY UNUSUAL, EXCEPTIONAL OR EXTRAORDINARY CASE IN OUR LIFE SITUATION THAT IS BEING SEEN, ENCOUNTERED, OR EXPERIENCED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN HISTORY. I WAS FORCED TO COVER THIS ENTIRE CASE AND THE PARTICULAR FORMAT OF MY LEGAL PAPERS WERE FOLLOWED BECAUSE I COULD NOT PRESENT THIS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE ANY OTHER WAY. THIS CASE CONSIST OF A GREAT DEAL OF RELATED CONSOLIDATED CASES INTO ONE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. MY CASE RECORDS SERVES AS THE UNDERLYING SUPPORT OR FOUNDATION FOR ARGUMENTS, PLANS AND PROCESSES. THE CASE RECORDS FOR MY CRIMINAL, CIVIL AND OTHER CASES IS AVAILABLE TO THIS COURT SO THAT YOU GUYS WILL BE ABLE TO REVIEW MY PLANS AND VERIFY MY FUNDAMENTAL ARGUMENTS AS THE BASIS FOR THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES SET FORTH WITHIN THE BODY OF THIS PETITION. CONTENTIONS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE HAS BEEN SET OUT WITHIN THE BODY OF THIS PETITION WHICH CONTAINS FACTS MATERIAL TO THE CONSIDERATION OF THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED, SUITABLY PARAGRAPHED

SUMMARIES OF THE RELEVANT FACTS OF THE CASE AND THE PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE IN THE LOWER COURTS, AND ARGUMENTS WITH APPROPRIATE REFERENCES TO MY APPENDICES AND EXHIBITING CLEARLY THE POINTS OF FACT AND OF LAW PRESENTED, AND CITING AUTHORITIES AND STATUTES RELIED ON WHILE PROPERLY ARRANGED UNDER APPROPRIATE HEADINGS WITHIN THE BODY OF THIS PETITION. THIS UNIQUELY DESIGNED EXTRAORDINARY PETITION IS CONCISE, LOGICALLY ARRANGED AND FREE OF IRRELEVANT, IMMATERIAL OR UNRELATED MATTER. IT CLEARLY INDICATES EACH SECTION REQUIRED IN THE ORDER INDICATED UNDER THIS COURT RULE 14, 28 U.S.C.A, AND UNIQUELY MEETS THE CONTENT REQUIREMENTS OF THIS COURT RULE 14, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE FORMAT OF THIS PETITION IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY TO PRESENT THIS CASE WITH ACCURACY, BREVITY AND CLARITY WHATEVER IS ESSENTIAL TO READY AND ADEQUATE UNDERSTANDING OF THE POINTS REQUIRING CONSIDERATION IN THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO EFFECT OR INTERFERE WITH MY WRITING OR WORKS SO I WAS FORCED TO SUBMIT THIS PETITION AS IS SO THAT YOU GUYS WILL BE ABLE TO EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT:
[SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2, AND 3, C, D, E, F, G AND H; AND EXHIBITS # 161 - 170]
[SEE NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05; 34 U.S.C § 30303; 42 U.S.C.A § 289;
42 U.S.C.A § 12501, 9925, 9913, 9901, 9806, 9805, 5401, 5301, 4502, 4501, 4371, 3937, 3931, 3532, 3531, 3332, 3331, 3121, 3101, 3001, 2996 AND 2641; 45 C.F.R § 46.301, 46.302, 46.303, 46.304, 46.305, 46.306, 46.401, 46.402, 46.403, 46.404, 46.405, 46.406, 46.407, 46.408, AND 46.409; AND 28 C.F.R § 115.11, 115.13, 115.18, 115.22, 115.53, 115.61, 115.62, 115.63, 115.64, 115.65, 115.66, 115.67, 115.71, 115.73, 115.76, 115.78, 115.81, 115.83, 115.86, 115.88, AND 115.501]

FEDERAL QUESTIONS SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED IN THIS CASE WERE PROPERLY AND TIMELY RAISED IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED THIS CASE BECAUSE THEY HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT I HAD FAILED TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS ON NOVEMBER 15, 2022 WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO ENCRDACH ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. SPECIFIC PORTIONS OF THE CASE RECORDS THAT I HAD FILED IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NEW YORK TO RAISE ISSUES, PRESENT THE FACTS, STATE PLANS OR SEEK RELIEF AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF THIS CASE ARE KNOWN AS MY "SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT PART 1, 2, 3 AND 4", "MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS", "MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF 4/25/19", "MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION THAT WAS COUNTERSIGNED BY ROBERT COBB", "AFFIDAVIT WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF 5/28/21 AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY AFFIDAVIT", "PRESENTATION LEGAL PACKET FOR A JURY TRIAL", "LEGAL PACKETS #1, 2 AND 3", "COURT ORDERED VOIR DIRE", "MOTION WITH A RETURN DATE OF 5/31/22", "LIST OF ITEMIZED FACTS", "TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW", "LIST OF EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL PART 1 AND 2", "RESPONSE TO THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE", "F.O.I.L PERSONAL PROPERTY WRITE UP DOCUMENT", "DEMAND TO PRODUCE

EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL", "3RD MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL OF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES", "MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE", "SETTLEMENT STIPULATIONS LETTER", "GRIEVANCE LETTERS", "DOCCS GRIEVANCE LETTERS AND PAPERS", "ENTITLED LETTERS", "COVER LETTERS", "LETTERS FOR ASSISTANCE", "PREA SEXUAL ABUSE LETTERS", "WITNESSES LETTERS", "ERRONEOUS TRANSCRIPTS LETTER DATED 8/8/23", "TRANSCRIPTS PRODUCTION LETTERS", "LETTERS OF CONTINUOUS ABUSE AND REPEATED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS", AND "EXHIBITS WITH AND WITHOUT EVIDENCE SUMMARIES". THE FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN THIS CASE WAS ALSO PROPERLY AND TIMELY RAISED ON APPEAL WITHIN THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTIONS, ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY, AND ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL ON JULY 6, 2023 BECAUSE THEY HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT MY APPEAL LACKS AN ARGUABLE BASIS EITHER IN LAW OR FACT WITHOUT PROVIDING US WITH A WRITTEN EXPLANATION OR SUMMARY OF HOW IT LACKS AN ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW OR FACT WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCROACH ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. THE 2ND CIRCUIT DID PROVIDE US WITH CITATION IN THEIR ILLEGITIMATE ORDER TO MAKE US BELIEVE THAT THEY HAD RELIED ON 28 U.S.C § 1915 (E) AND A EXTREMELY OLD CASE FROM THIS U.S SUPREME COURT "NEITZKE V. WILLIAMS, 990 U.S 319, 325 (1989)" TO ILLEGALLY DISMISS MY APPEAL. THE 2ND CIRCUIT DOES NOT HAVE ANY LEGITIMATE GROUNDS TO DISMISS MY APPEAL UNDER 28 U.S.C § 1915 (E) BECAUSE MY ALLEGATIONS OF POVERTY IS TRUE, THE APPEAL WAS NOT FRIVOLOUS OR MALICIOUS, AND I DID NOT FAIL TO STATE A CLAIM ON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED OR SEEK MONETARY RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO ARE IMMUNE FROM SUCH RELIEF. PLUS, I DO NOT HAVE A HISTORY OF BRING CIVIL ACTIONS OR APPEALS THAT ARE BEING DISMISSED ON THE GROUND THAT THEY ARE FRIVOLOUS, MALICIOUS OR FAIL TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED WHILE INCARCERATED OR FREE IN SOCIETY. MANY ISSUES IN THIS CASE INVOLVE ELEMENTS OF BOTH LAW AND FACT. WHETHER THESE BE REFERRED TO AS MIXED QUESTIONS OF LAW AND FACT, OR LEGAL INFERENCES FROM THE FACTS, OR THE APPLICATION OF LAW TO THE FACTS, THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL AUTHORITY THAT THEY ARE REVIEWABLE AND APPEALABLE. SPECIFIC PORTIONS OF THE CASE RECORDS THAT I HAD FILED IN THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO RAISE THE ISSUES, PRESENT THE FACTS, STATE PLANS, OR SEEK RELIEF

AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF THIS CASE ARE KNOWN AS MY "SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION LETTER", "NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 AND 2", "MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS", "MOTION TO APPEAL", "MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL", "OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF", "SPECIAL APPENDIX", "MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF", "MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL", "DOCCS GRIEVANCE PAPERS", "COVER LETTERS", "ENTITLED LETTERS", "GRIEVANCE LETTERS", AND "EXHIBITS WITH AND WITHOUT A EVIDENCE SUMMARY". THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL ON JULY 27, 2023. THE BASIS FOR FEDERAL JURISDICTION IN THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE REST UNDER 42 U.S.C §1983, AND 28 U.S.C §1331, 1345(a)(4), AND 2201. [SEE 42 U.S.C §1983 AND 28 U.S.C §1331, 1345(a)(4), AND 2201] [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 5-8, 9-15, 20-21, 323-329, 336-343, 347-348, 385, 387, 389-485, 502-527, 531-561, 562-575, 576-626, 627-630, 631-637, 638-643, 644-650, 664-688, 698-707, 708-718, AND 719-737] [SEE APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 PAGES 165-182, 532-569, 570, 583-592, 593-597, 598-602, 603-619, 620-632, 635-646, 647-652, AND 653-910; VOLUME 2 PAGES 1-62, 217-273, 274-275, 278-279, 282, 284-285, 287-288, 290, 294-295, 298-329, 332-349, 350-461, 471-482, 485-540, 569-700, 701-702, 703-744, 745-786, 793-806, 807-830 AND 831-900; AND VOLUME 3 PAGES 508, 156-190, 191-220, 252-468 AND 469-517]

FEDERAL QUESTIONS SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED IN THIS CASE WERE ALSO TIMELY AND PROPERLY RAISED IN MY DIRECTLY RELATED FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE WITHIN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY, AND ILLEGALLY DENIED MY "PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS" ON OCTOBER 8, 2019 WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIAL HEARING AND CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. THE FEDERAL QUESTIONS WERE NOT TIMELY AND PROPERLY RAISED IN MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE WITHIN THE SECOND CIRCUIT BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM OBTAINING AN ATTORNEY, PERFECTING MY LEGAL PAPERS, HANDLING MY LEGAL DUTIES, AND APPEALING FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DENYING MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS. I HAD TRIED TO

GET THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY BUT THEY HAD REFUSED TO FACILITATE THE ABILITY OF A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT WHO WAS UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONED, POOR, OPPRESSED, ABUSED, DEPRIVED, MALNOURISHED, UNHEALTHY, DYSFUNCTIONAL, LIVING WITH DISABILITIES, UNABLE TO ARTICULATE FACTS OF THE CASE AND HANDLE HIS LEGAL DUTIES, NOT IN HIS RIGHT STATE OF MIND, OVERWORKED, EXHAUSTED, UNSAFE AND SEEKING HELP AT THE TIME AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD REFUSED TO APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY AFTER I HAD DEMONSTRATED MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION AND UNSUCCESSFUL EFFORTS TO GET AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME UPON RECEIPT OF MY "MOTION TO APPEAL AS A POOR PERSON", "MOTION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY", AND "NOTICE TO APPEAL" WHICH WAS FILED IN THE FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE. THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ISSUED AN ORDER TO STRICKEN MY "MOTION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY FROM THE DOCKET ON JULY 27, 2020 AND DISMISSED THE APPEAL ON JULY 28, 2020 BECAUSE I WAS UNABLE TO PERFECT A MOTION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO HANDLE MY LEGAL DUTIES AND USE MY MIND TO ARTICULATE THE FACTS OF THE CASE IN A TIMELY AND APPROPRIATE MANNER WHILE USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF MY ORGANS, TISSUES AND NERVOUS SYSTEM TO CAUSE ME TO MALFUNCTION, HEALTH PROBLEMS, PAINS AND SUFFERING. PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT THE FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE WERE TIMELY AND PROPERLY RAISED IN THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE WITHIN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT AND THIS U.S. SUPREME COURT BECAUSE I WAS ALLOWED TO HANDLE MY LEGAL DUTIES AND USE MY MIND TO ARTICULATE THE FACTS INVOLVED IN MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN SPITE OF ALL THE PROBLEMS IN THE LEGAL PROCESS OF THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE.

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 399-418, 419-433, 443, 457-458, AND 475-482]
[SEE APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 PAGES 532-539, 594-597 AND 604-619; AND VOLUME 2 PAGES 1-62, 217-227, 239-255, 274-275, 300-329, 300-329, 350-372, 401-404, 408-412, 475, 499-540, 569-700, 882-885, AND 894-897]
[SEE APPENDIX C AND D]

FEDERAL QUESTIONS SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED IN THIS CASE WERE ALSO TIMELY AND PROPERLY RAISED IN MY "POST CONVICTION NEW YORK STATE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 440.10 VACATE JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION MOTION" WHICH WAS FILED IN MY DIRECTLY RELATED JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE CRIMINAL CASE WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY "440.10 MOTION" WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIAL HEARING ON SEPTEMBER 23, 2016 AND CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. THE FEDERAL QUESTIONS WERE TIMELY AND PROPERLY RAISED IN MY DIRECTLY RELATED JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE CRIMINAL APPEAL CASE FOR THE ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY 440.10 MOTION. THE NYS APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT HAD ILLEGALLY HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY, ILLEGALLY DENIED MY "MOTION TO APPEAL AS A POOR PERSON", "ILLEGALLY DENIED MY "MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL" ON JANUARY 13, 2017, ILLEGALLY DENIED MY "REARGUMENT MOTION FOR A CERTIFICATE GRANTING LEAVE TO APPEAL" ON JUNE 8, 2017, ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY "MOTION TO APPEAL" ON MAY 18, 2017, AND ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY "REARGUMENT MOTION TO APPEAL" ON JULY 18, 2017. THE FEDERAL QUESTIONS WERE ALSO TIMELY AND PROPERLY RAISED IN MY DIRECTLY RELATED JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE CRIMINAL APPEAL CASE WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY, ILLEGALLY DENIED MY "MOTION TO APPEAL AS A POOR PERSON" AND ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY "MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL AND TO APPEAL" ON SEPTEMBER 19, 2017. THE FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN MY JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE CASE WAS ALSO TIMELY AND PROPERLY RAISED IN MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE WITHIN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, AND IN THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE WITHIN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT AND THIS U.S SUPREME COURT IN A ORDERLY AND SYSTEMATIC MANNER. THE SPECIFIC MOTIONS NAMED IN THIS PARAGRAPH ARE NOT INCLUDED IN ANY OF MY APPENDICES BECAUSE I DO NOT HAVE ANY COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS AND IM UNABLE TO OBTAIN THE DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF THIS COURT BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS ARE BEING UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRUPTIVE AND OPPRESSIVE. [SEE CPL § 440.10] [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 399-418, 419-433, 443, 457-458, AND 475-482] [SEE APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 PAGES 532-539, AND 604-619; AND VOLUME 2 PAGES 1-62, 217-227, 239-255, 274-275, 300-329, 350-372, 401-404, 408-412, 475, 499-540, 569-700, 882-886 AND 894-897] [SEE APPENDIX C, D, E, F AND G]

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. AS A SUPERVISORY BODY, THIS U.S. SUPREME COURT IS UNDER A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO GRANT A WRIT OF CERTIORARI, REVIEW THIS CASE, AND PROVIDE FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF IN THIS PETITION FOR THE SPECIAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING REASONS STATED WITHIN THE BODY OF THIS PETITION PURSUANT TO THIS UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C. AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THIS COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY, POWER OR RIGHT TO PROVIDE FOR SUCH RELIEF BASED OFF THE LAW AND FACTS OF THIS CASE. EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES WARRANT THE EXERCISE OF THIS COURT'S JUDICIAL POWERS BECAUSE SUCH RELIEF COULD NOT BE OBTAINED IN THE LOWER COURTS DUE TO THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE HAS BEEN SET OUT IN THIS SECTION OF THE PETITION TO AMPLIFY THE REASONS RELIED ON FOR ALLOWANCE OF THE WRIT, TO SHOW WHY THE DECISIONS OF THE LOWER COURTS WERE ERRONEOUS, AND SHOW THE UNIVERSAL IMPORTANCE OF THIS COURT DECIDING THE QUESTIONS INVOLVED, TO PRESENT FACTS WHICH WILL BE USED TO SHOW THAT THE DECISIONS OF THE LOW COURTS IS IN CONFLICT WITH DECISIONS OF OTHER COURTS, TO SHOW THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS CASE NOT ONLY TO ME BUT TO OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, TO CLEARLY STATE OUR PURPOSE AND THE FACTS ON WHICH IT IS BASED AND PRESENT LEGAL ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT THEREOF, TO PRESENT IMPORTANT FEDERAL QUESTIONS OF FEDERAL LAW THAT SHOULD BE SETTLED BY THIS COURT OF LAST RESORT, TO STATE THE INTERVENING AND MOST EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES OF A SUBSTANTIAL OR CONTROLLING EFFECT, TO PROVIDE INFORMATION OR ASSISTANCE TO THE COURT AND OTHER AGENCIES NOT OTHERWISE AVAILABLE FOR REMEDIATION AND OTHER PURPOSES, TO PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF COUNSEL AND PROVIDE THE INFORMATION OF THE PUBLIC, TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CORRECTIVE ACTIONS MUST BE TAKEN IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE, TO GAIN THE SUPPORT AND COOPERATION OF ALL PARTIES TO DEAL WITH THIS MATTER IN A SENSIBLE MANNER, TO RESOLVE THE INTRICACY, COMPLEXITY OR OBSCURITY OF THIS CASE, TO SHOW HOW AND WHERE THE PETITIONER HAS EXHAUSTED AVAILABLE REMEDIES, TO SHOW THE GREAT MERITS OF THIS CASE, TO AFFIRM THAT THE MOVING PARTY IS OF GOOD MORAL AND PROFESSIONAL CHARACTER AND POSSESS THE NECESSARY QUALIFICATIONS TO DEAL WITH OUR LIFE SITUATION, TO SET OUT THE NAMES OF SOURCES, TO STATE

THE NATURE OF THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS CASE AND DEMONSTRATE OUR NEED FOR EMERGENCY ACTION AND CRISIS INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES, TO SHOW WHY THE REQUESTED RELIEF MUST BE GRANTED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE, TO SET OUT REASONS WHY THE RELIEF SOUGHT IS APPROPRIATE, IMPERATIVE OR JUSTIFIED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE, AND TO SET OUT THE MOVING PARTY STATUS AS A PUBLIC SERVANT ACTING ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND THE PEOPLE AROUND THE WORLD. THIS CASE IS OF IMPERATIVE PUBLIC IMPORTANCE AND PRESENTS ISSUES OF EXTREME IMPORTANCE BEYOND THE PARTICULAR FACTS AND PARTIES INVOLVED. THIS IS A HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE, AND IT REVOLVES AROUND THE PROMOTION OF COMMUNITY WELFARE, GOODWILL AND OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE. THIS CASE PRESENTS A GREAT AND RARE OPPORTUNITY FOR THIS U.S SUPREME COURT AND OTHER PARTIES TO CARRY OUT THE HISTORIC, UNIQUE, LEGENDARY AND SPECIAL FUNCTIONS THAT IS NECESSARY TO PRODUCE AGREEMENTS AND RECONCILIATION, PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE, DEVELOP AND REDEVELOP OUR COMMUNITIES, MAKE MAJOR CONTRIBUTIONS AND BRING PEOPLE TOGETHER TO BETTER THE LIVES OF PEOPLE AROUND THE WORLD, AND HELP ORCHESTRATE CHANGE FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. THIS COURT WILL HAVE THE HONOR OF ISSUING LANDMARK JUDGMENTS, ORDERS, DECISIONS AND SPECIAL PROCESSES FOR US TO TAKE ACTION AND MAKE DETERMINATIONS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO ENFORCE OR IMPLEMENT LAWS AND COURT ORDERS, CONDUCT OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS, LEAD AND ARRANGE THE COURSE OF EVENTS AND PREVENT ABUSE OF PROCESSES, WORK WITH ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT, PROVIDE FOR OUR PUBLIC AND OTHER COOPERATIVES, DISTRIBUTE PAPERS AND TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER OUR LIFE SITUATION, LIGHT THE WAY AND SERVE AS A GUIDE, SET GREAT EXAMPLES, REPRESENT THE PEOPLE AND BE REGARDED AS A STANDARD OF EXCELLENCE TO BE IMITATED, CARRY OUT HEROIC DEEDS AND ACCOMPLISH OUR GOALS, SET OR DECIDE ON CONDITIONS, ADJUSTMENTS OR LIMITS, PROVIDE FOR COMMUNICATIONS, AND CARRY OUT ADDITIONAL OR SECONDARY ACTIVITIES AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE EXPEDITIOUS, SOCIETAL, ECONOMICAL, AND HONORABLE RESOLUTION OF THIS CASE. THIS CASE WILL BRING HONOR, RESPECT, AND ADMIRATION, AND HELP US DEAL WITH THE INHERENT RIGHTS AND WRONGS OF THIS MATTER AS DESCRIBED WITHIN THIS PETITION. PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT THIS SECTION OF THE PETITION IS DIVIDED INTO A NUMBER OF SUBDIVISIONS. SUBDIVISIONS A, B, C, D, E, F AND G CONSIST OF INTRODUCTIONS, STATEMENTS OF THE CASE, FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS, CONTENTIONS AND RAISED ISSUES, CONCISE ARGUMENTS AMPLIFYING THE REASONS RELIED ON FOR ALLOWANCE OF THE WRIT, PLANS, PROCESSES

, THE NAMES OF DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS, WITNESSES AND SOURCES, AND THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS CASE.

SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

A. TO NARROW THE ISSUES FOR THE RELIEF

2. I HAVE MADE THIS EXTRAORDINARY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI WHICH IS LEGIBLE AND HAS UNDERSTANDABLY, JUSTIFIABLY OR EXCUSABLY EXCEEDED THE APPLICABLE 40 PAGE LIMIT OF WRITING TEXT DUE TO THE EXCEPTIONAL FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND THE SEVERITY AND MAGNITUDE OF THIS HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE. I WOULD LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO GRANT A WRIT OF CERTIOR, REVIEW THIS CASE, AND PROVIDE FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF IN THIS PETITION BECAUSE OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT HAS SO FAR DEPARTED FROM THE ACCEPTED AND USUAL COURSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS AND SANCTIONED OR CONDONED SUCH DEPARTURE BY LOWER COURTS, AS TO CALL FOR AN EXERCISE OF THIS COURT'S SUPERVISORY POWER. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT AFFIRM THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENT OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ON THE ILLEGITIMATE GROUNDS RELIED ON BY THE DISTRICT COURTS, BUT INSTEAD, THEY HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED THE CASE ON APPARENT INCONSISTENT VIEWS WITHOUT ALLOWING THE APPEAL TO TAKE ITS PROPER COURSE AND JUSTIFYING DEVIATION FROM NORMAL APPELLATE PRACTICE AND THE SUDDEN TERMINATION OF THE APPEAL WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE DISTRICT COURTS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCRDACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THIS CASE IS OF IMPERATIVE PUBLIC IMPORTANCE IN A TIME OF UNETHICAL SCIENTIFIC CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS, CYBER TERRORISM AND SEXUAL ABUSE, OPPRESSION, HARDSHIPS, CIVIL DISORDER, ETHICAL CHAO, AND PUBLIC, AND OTHER DANGER. THIS COURT SUPERVISORS SHOULD EXPEDITE THE APPEAL PROCESS BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE; TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION AND HANDLE THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES IN A PROMPT, EFFICIENT AND FAIR MANNER; PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE AND ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS; CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW OR FACT AND HELP PREVENT THE MANIFEST OF ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES; PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; TAKE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO FACILITATE THE ABILITY OF A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT TO HAVE THIS MATTER FAIRLY HEARD; MAKE SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF LEGITIMATE PROCEDURAL AND OTHER ORDERS INCLUDING PRELIMINARY, PERMANENT,

INTERLOCUTORY, RESTRAINING, PROHIBITORY, PREVENTIVE, PROVISIONAL, MANDATORY, RESTORATIVE, REPARATIVE, SPECIAL, EXTRAORDINARY, AND OTHER INJUNCTIONS ORDERS BY EXERCISING INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGEMENT AND RENDERING CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; PROVIDE FOR MEDIATION AND ALLOW ME AND OTHERS TO ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCES AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY; AND COMMUNICATE ORALLY OR IN WRITING WITH ME, THE COURTS BELOW AND OTHERS WHO MAY HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE MATTER, AND OBTAIN AND REVIEW TRANSCRIPTS, DOCUMENTS, ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS AND OTHER RELEVANT EVIDENCE SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE RESPONDENTS - DEFENDANTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS PETITION AND MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. I HAVE DEMANDED UNLIMITED RESOURCES ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO FINISH EVINCING A DESIGN TO DECLARE OUR INDEPENDENCE, PROCLAIM OUR TERRITORIES, AND REDUCE OUR PEOPLE FROM ABSOLUTE DESPOTISM IN ORDER TO FORM A MORE PERFECT UNION, ESTABLISH JUSTICE, ENSURE DOMESTIC TRANQUILITY, PROVIDE FOR THE COMMON DEFENSE, PROMOTE THE GENERAL WELFARE, AND SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY. WE WILL NEED A STEADY SUPPLY OR STOCK OF MONEY, PROPERTY, MATERIALS, MINERALS, GOODS, STAFF AND OTHER ASSETS THAT WILL BE DRAWN ON BY OUR PEOPLE IN ORDER TO FUNCTION EFFECTIVELY WHILE WE WORK FOR PEACE, PROSPERITY AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR COMMUNITIES OR TERRITORIES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. (SEE S.U.S.C. A8702, 703, 704 AND 706; 42 U.S.C. 1985)

3. THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND ITS AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES ARE LABELED, IDENTIFIED OR RECOGNIZED AS THE RESPONDENTS - DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE DUE TO THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL MATTER FOR DEMANDS AND LIABILITY OF EVERY KIND AND NATURE, LEGAL OR EQUITABLE FOR SAID DAMAGES SUSTAINED BY ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE SOLELY ON ACCOUNT OF A TORT OF AN EMPLOYEE OF THE FEDERAL OR STATE GOVERNMENT WHILE ACTING AS SUCH ON THE JOB. I AM SUING THE RESPONDENTS - DEFENDANTS IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY FOR COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE SUM OF ONE TRILLION DOLLARS AND FOR THE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF DEMANDED ON BEHALF OF OUR PEOPLE WITHIN THIS PETITION AND MY SUPPLEMENTAL

COMPLAINT, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. THE RESPONDENTS-DEFENDANTS HAS BEEN PLACED IN THE SAME BOAT DUE TO THEIR JOINT EFFECTS AND THE NATURE OF THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE. I HAVE BRUNG THIS CIVIL ACTION AGAINST THE RESPONDENTS-DEFENDANTS FOR THEIR ACTS OF MISMANAGEMENT, CORRUPTION OR MISCONDUCT WHICH ARE DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. THE RESPONDENTS-DEFENDANTS HAD DELIBERATELY SABOTAGED THE DISCOVERY PROCESS IN THIS CASE TO PREVENT ME FROM FINDING OUT THE NAMES OF AGENCIES AND PERSONS WHO ARE UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE DISCOVERY PROCESS FOR THIS CIVIL CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE WILL TAKE THE LIFETIMES OF OUR PEOPLE SO A DIFFERENT COURSE OF ACTION IS NEEDED TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, CONDUCT OUR INVESTIGATIONS, IDENTIFY THE UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE. I HAVE PLACED INSTRUCTIONS, ESSENTIAL INFORMATION AND DEMANDS ON THE RECORD SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO WORK WITH THE GOVERNMENT TO DEAL WITH OUR LIFE SITUATION IN A RESPECTABLE MANNER. WE WILL IDENTIFY THE NAMES OF THE UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS THROUGH SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE INVESTIGATIONS WHILE WORKING WITH PROFESSIONALS TO HANDLE OUR CIVIL CASES. ELIGIBLE DEFENDANTS WILL RECEIVE LENIENCY FOR THEIR INVOLVEMENT, COOPERATION, COMMUNITY SERVICES AND BACKGROUND. I HAVE PERSONALLY IDENTIFIED, LABELED AND NAMED KNOWN AGENCIES AND PERSONS AS DEFENDANTS OR IDENTIFIED SOURCES ON THE RECORD AS REQUIRED BY LAW. I HAVE HELPED DEVELOPE THE RECORD WITH MY DEPOSITION AUDIO VIDEO RECORDINGS, DISCIPLINARY HEARING TAPES, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT PART 1, 2, 3 AND 4, MOTIONS, BRIEFS, LEGAL PACKETS, LETTERS, FORMS, EXHIBITS AND TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, ETC. IT WAS A REQUIREMENT FOR ME TO SUBMIT MY CIVIL COMPLAINT TO COMMENCE THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AND GIVE NOTICE TO THE AGENCIES AND PERSONS BEING SUED AND TO THE COURTS AS TO THE NATURE OF THIS CASE. EACH CAUSE OF ACTION WITHIN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT PROVIDES FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT BY SAID DEFENDANTS, THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS FOR EACH AND EVERY CLAIM, THE RELIEF SOUGHT AND OTHER INFORMATION RELATING TO THE CLAIMS. EACH AND EVERY CLAIM IN THIS CASE IS SHOWING WHY I'M ENTITLED TO THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE WHERE THIS COURT WILL BE ABLE TO ESTABLISH THE FACTS IN YOUR LEGAL BY REVIEWING ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE. [SEE 5 U.S.C. A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706; 42 U.S.C. A § 1985; AND APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3; C, D, E, F AND G]

4. I AM THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE BY REASON OF PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE, EXPERIENCES, INVESTIGATIONS, CONFERENCES WITH DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AND PROSECUTIONS, AND BY REASON OF THE PRIOR PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS FOR THIS CASE WHICH REVOLVES AROUND THE PROMOTION OF COMMUNITY WELFARE, GOODWILL AND OUR RIGHTED CAUSE. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN PUTTING ON DEMONSTRATIONS WHILE COMMUNICATING WITH ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE THROUGH THE MEDIA AND TECHNOLOGY TO REVEAL THINGS ABOUT MY LIFE. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO PROVIDE ME WITH MESSAGES, HINTS OR INFORMATION THROUGH DIFFERENT MEDIA OUTLETS AND OTHER METHODS SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO EMBRACE MY POSITION OF POWER, BECOME CONSCIOUS MINDED, RAISE TO THE OCCASION AND WORK WITH PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO SET OUR PEOPLE FREE FROM IMPRISONMENT, MODERN DAY SLAVERY, OPPRESSION AND ENEMY OCCUPATION. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE FROM ALLOVER THE WORLD TO GIVE ME MESSAGES, HINTS OR INFORMATION THROUGH THEIR SCIENCES, TECHNOLOGY, GLOBAL SATELLITE SYSTEM, COMPUTERS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS, HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE, ELECTRONICS, VIDEO GAMES, NEWS BROADCASTINGS, SHOWS, SIT-COMS, MOVIES, DOCUMENTARIES, COMMERCIALS, CARTOONS, MUSIC, SKITS ON ALBUMS, VIDEOS, CONCERTS, APPLICATIONS, WEB PAGES, EMAILS, TEXT MESSAGES, INSTANT MESSAGES, RELIGIOUS MATERIALS, NEWSPAPERS, CATALOGS, MAGAZINES, BOOKS, LETTERS, PICTURES, FLIERS, POSTERS, BANNERS, BILLBOARDS, SIGNS, FLAGS, MEDALS, GREETING CARDS, GIFTS, MERCHANDISE, CLOTHING, UNIFORMS, FOOTWEAR, MOTOR VEHICLES, WATERCRAFTS, AIRCRAFTS, POSTAGE STAMPS, STICKERS, DOCUMENTS, STATEMENTS, QUOTES, BUSINESSES, ESTABLISHMENTS AND EVENTS AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN MY LIFE.

B. O P P R E S S I O N **THE FACTS OF OUR LIFE SITUATION**

5. ON JULY 6, 2023, THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE HAD ENTERED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND OTHER RELIEF AS UNNECESSARY; ILLEGALLY DENIED MY OTHER MOTIONS, AND WRONGFULLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND ORDERS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCROACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED THE APPEAL BECAUSE SHE HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT IT LACK AN ARGUABLE BASIS EITHER IN LAW OR IN FACT WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTIONS WHILE THE

THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS WAS LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING MY CASES AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. PURSUANT TO 22 NYCRR § 100.3; FRAP RULE 27, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS, THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS OR PANEL OF 3 CIRCUIT JUDGES BY THE NAMES OF RAYMOND J. LOHIER JR., MICHAEL H. PARK AND WILLIAM J. NARDINI CAN ONLY AUTHORIZE ITS CLERK TO ACT ON SPECIFIED PROCEDURAL AND OTHER MOTIONS IN A PROMPT, EFFICIENT AND FAIR MANNER, AND THE CIRCUIT CLERK DID NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT TO ACT ON BEHALF OF THE COURT TO DISMISS OR OTHERWISE TERMINATE THE APPEAL OR DETERMINE THE PROCEEDING WHILE ACTING UPON MY LEGITIMATE DISPOSITIVE AND SUBSTANTIVE RELIEF MOTIONS, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, SPECIAL APPENDIX, DOCS GRIEVANCE PAPERS, COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT, ENTITLED AND OTHER LETTERS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER APPEAL PAPERS WHICH PROVIDED THE COURT WITH THE NAMES OF DEFENDANTS, THE FACTS OF THIS CASE, THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS OF MY CLAIMS, PROCEDURAL VIOLATIONS OR STATUTORY CITATION TO THE LAWS THAT APPLY TO OUR FACTUAL SITUATION, ALL LEGITIMATE GROUNDS FOR RELIEF AND A SUMMARY OF OUR GREAT PLANS. THIS COURT IS REQUIRED BY LAW TO REVIEW THE ACTIONS OF THE CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE WHO MAY HAVE ACTED ALONE TO ILLEGALLY DENY MY MOTIONS AND WRONGFULLY DISMISS MY APPEAL WHILE CLAIMING THAT CIRCUIT JUDGES RAYMOND J. LOHIER JR., MICHAEL H. PARK AND WILLIAM J. NARDINI WAS PRESENT IN THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE OR SOMEWHERE ELSE WITHIN THE COURT AT THE TIME OF THE CREATION AND ENTRY OF HER ILLEGITIMATE ORDER ON JULY 6, 2023. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT ILLEGALLY DENY MY MOTIONS OR ILLEGALLY DISMISS MY APPEAL BY A FINAL 3 JUDGE ORDER BASED ON THE FACT THAT THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE WAS NOT AUTHORIZED OR VALIDATED BY CIRCUIT JUDGES RAYMOND J. LOHIER JR., MICHAEL H. PARK AND WILLIAM J. NARDINI, OR ANY OTHER PANEL OF JUDGES BECAUSE THE DOCUMENT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE WRITTEN OR ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES OF A PANEL OF JUDGES WHO IS REQUIRED TO DETERMINE THE APPEAL AND REVIEW THE ACTIONS OF THE CLERK AND OTHER COURT OFFICIALS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE APPEAL HAS TAKEN A PROPER COURSE AND ENSURE A FAIR LEGAL PROCESS. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS AND/OR THE CIRCUIT CLERK HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY AND OTHER RELIEF AFTER I HAD DEMONSTRATED MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION AND RELIEF UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF, MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, SPECIAL APPENDIX,

EXHIBITS, ENTITLED AND OTHER LETTERS, DOCS GRIEVANCE PAPERS, COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT, FORMS AND OTHER APPEAL PAPERS THAT WAS FILED ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND/OR THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK HAD ALSO ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL WITHOUT ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC, PROVIDING FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE, RESPECTING OUR HUMAN RIGHTS, RELEASING ME FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT, AND HOLDING THE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS OR NEGLECT; WITHOUT ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE AND CORRECTING CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW OR FACTS; WITHOUT THE APPEAL TAKING A NORMAL OR LEGITIMATE COURSE AND PROCEEDING TO A IMPARTIAL PANEL FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE APPEAL; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ORAL ARGUMENT, HEARING NOTIFICATIONS, CONDUCTING A HEARING OR HEARINGS AND PROVIDING FOR ME AND OTHERS TO ATTEND THE PROCEEDING(S) [SEE FRAP 34, 28 U.S.C.A AND U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 34.1, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT NOTIFYING ME AND OTHER PARTIES TO LET US KNOW IF THE COURT HAD DECIDED TO TAKE THE CASE ON SUBMISSIONS WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT [SEE FRAP 34(3)(2), 28 U.S.C.A AND U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 34.1, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT MAKING REASONABLE EFFORTS TO FACILITATE THE ABILITY OF A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT TO HAVE THIS MATTER FAIRLY HEARD; WITHOUT TAKING APPROPRIATE ACTION AND HANDLING THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES IN A TIME OF OPPRESSION, HARDSHIPS, CIVIL DISORDER, AND PUBLIC AND OTHER DANGER; WITHOUT EXPEDITING THE APPEAL PROCESS BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE; WITHOUT UPHOLDING AND PROMOTING THE INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY, AND IMPARTIALITY OF THE JUDICIARY, AND AVOIDING IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY; WITHOUT CONDUCTING THE PERSONAL AND EXTRAJUDICIAL ACTIVITIES THAT IS NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF PRELIMINARY, PERMANENT, INTERLOCUTORY, PROHIBITORY, RESTRAINING, PROVISIONAL, RESTORATIVE, MANDATORY, SPECIAL, EXTRAORDINARY AND OTHER INJUNCTIONS ORDERS BY EXERCISING INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT AND RENDERING CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; WITHOUT REFERRING THIS CASE TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT MEDIATION OFFICE FOR REVIEW AND MEDIATION, SETTING UP CAMP CONFERENCES, EMPLOYING MEDIATORS, MEDIATING AND MAKING SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS WHICH WAS NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE [SEE U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 33.1, 28 U.S.C.A AND FRAP 33, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT CORRECTING THE CAPTION AND CASE TITLE [SEE FRAP 12, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT ORDERING THE U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND REPRESENTATION OF THE

U.S GOVERNMENT AND ALL KNOWN FEDERAL AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN LABELED AS DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE; WITHOUT ORDERING THE NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OR ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND REPRESENTATION OF ALL KNOWN NEW YORK STATE AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN LABELED AS DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE; WITHOUT ORDERING BRIEFING DEADLINES AND PROVIDING FOR ALL PARTIES TO SUBMIT THE REQUIRED FORMS, BRIEFS, APPENDICES, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS OR OTHERWISE ACT, UNDER THE TIME PERIODS SET IN THE FRAP, 2ND CIRCUIT LOCAL RULES OR COURT ORDERS [SEE U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 27.1, 31.2, 32.1 AND 32.2, 28 U.S.C. A]; WITHOUT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS SUBMITTING THE REQUIRED BRIEFS, APPENDICES, FORMS AND OTHER PAPERS IN THE ILLEGAL APPEAL PROCESS [SEE U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 27.1, 31.2, 32.1 AND 32.2, 28 U.S.C. A]; WITHOUT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS PRESENTING ANY LEGITIMATE GROUNDS TO DISMISS MY APPEAL WHILE RECOMMENDING THAT THE APPEAL TAKES ITS ORDINARY COURSE UPON THE SUBMISSION OF NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE'S MEMORANDUM DATED MARCH 9, 2023 WHICH WAS FILED IN OPPOSITION OF MY MOTIONS; WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE LEGITIMATE LEGAL ARGUMENTS SET FORTH IN MY MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, AND OTHER APPEAL PAPERS; WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE MATERIALS CITED IN MY OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, MOTIONS, ENTITLED LETTERS AND SPECIAL APPENDIX WHICH CONTAINS A TABLE OF CONTENT WITH EVIDENCE SUMMARIES FOR SAID DOCUMENTS ON SAID PAGES, RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES IN THE DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS, RELEVANT PORTIONS OF THE PLEADINGS, CHARGE, FINDINGS OR OPINIONS, AND ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS BEING APPEALED AND OTHER PARTS OF THE RECORD TO WHICH I WISH TO DIRECT THE PARTICULAR ATTENTION OF THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES [SEE FRAP 27, 28, 29 AND 30, 28 U.S.C. A AND U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 27.1, 31.2, 32.1 AND 32.2, 28 U.S.C. A]; WITHOUT PROVIDING ME AND OTHER PARTIES WITH NOTIFICATION THAT THE RECORD ON APPEAL WAS TRANSFERRED OR TRANSMITTED TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT FROM THE LOWER COURTS FOR THE REVIEW OF MY CASE RECORDS BY A MERITS PANEL TO DETERMINE THE APPEAL [SEE FRAP 10, 11, AND 12, 28 U.S.C. A AND U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.1, 28 U.S.C. A]; WITHOUT COMMUNICATING WITH ME IN THE APPROPRIATE MANNER, THE COURTS BELOW AND OTHERS WHO HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE MATTER, AND OBTAINING AND REVIEWING TRANSCRIPTS, DOCUMENTS, ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS, TESTIMONY AND OTHER RELEVANT EVIDENCE THAT IS NEEDED TO ESTABLISH FACTS IN THE LEGAL INVESTIGATION OF THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS, MERITS AND MAGNITUDE [SEE FRAP 10, 11 AND 12, 28 U.S.C. A AND U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.1, 28 U.S.C. A]; WITHOUT ME BEING APPOINTED AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME ON APPEAL AND TIMELY PREPARE THE RECORD SO THAT IT COULD HAVE BEEN TRANSCRIBED TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT [SEE FRAP 10,

AND 11, 28 U.S.C.A AND U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.1, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ME TO RECEIVE MY CIVIL APPEAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCE S TRANSCRIPTS THAT WAS ORDERED OR REQUESTED BY A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS [SEE FRAP 10, 28 U.S.C.A AND U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 12.1 AND 11.3, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF ALL DOCUMENTS AND EXHIBITS FILED IN THE 2ND CIRCUIT BY THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF THROUGH U.S POSTAL SERVICES [SEE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 45, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ME TO RESUBMIT MY ADMISSIBLE EXHIBITS #89, 100, 119 AND 125 WHICH WAS ILLEGALLY RETURNED TO ME BY THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK OR SOMEONE WITHIN THE CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE [SEE FRAP 27, 28 U.S.C.A AND U.S CT OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.2, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ME TO SUBMIT MY EXHIBIT #79 - MY JPAY TABLET WHICH IS RELEVANT EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE [SEE FRAP 27, 28 U.S.C.A AND U.S CT. OF APP. 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.2, 28 U.S.C.A]; WITHOUT ORDERING THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES TO REFRAIN FROM APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO ABUSE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE; WITHOUT ORDERING THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES TO RESTORE OUR FUNCTIONS, FORM, SOUND, APPEARANCE AND CHARACTER BY MEANS OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO HANDLE OUR DUTIES IN A HIGHLY FUNCTIONAL, EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE MANNER; WITHOUT ORDERING THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO CEASE FROM THEIR BAD HABITS AND EVIL PLANS; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ME TO RECEIVE NEW STATE SHOP CLOTHING FROM THE STATE SHOP WHO HAS BEEN PROVIDING ME WITH OLD, USED, DINGY, RIPPED OR DAMAGED CLOTHING UPON RECEIPT OF MY STATE SHOP FORMS AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ME TO INTERACT WITH MY LOVED ONES THROUGH FACILITY TELEPHONES, A JPAY TABLET AND VISITS WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN USING DIFFERENT TACTICS TO SEPARATE US FROM ONE ANOTHER; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ME TO PURCHASE NEW CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR, FOOD AND DRINKS, ELECTRONICS, SUPPLIES, STAMPS, FAMILY PHOTO TICKETS, GIFTS, PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS AND OTHER ITEMS FROM COMMISSARY, APPROVED VENDORS AND OTHER PLACES WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN USING DIFFERENT METHODS TO PREVENT ME FROM GETTING THE THINGS THAT I NEED TO RECOVER AND TAKE BETTER CARE OF MYSELF; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR MY DEBTS TO BE CLEARED OR PAID IN FULL WHEN THE DEBTS WERE CAUSED BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE; WITHOUT PROVIDING FOR ME TO ACCESS THE LAW LIBRARY AND OTHER PLACES WITHOUT ANY FURTHER DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS; AND WITHOUT ORDERING

THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO REFRAIN FROM CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS AND PARTICIPATE IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE CIRCUIT CLERK OFFICE, CIRCUIT CLERK, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE HAS BEEN ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR FRAUDULENT ACTIVITIES, AND THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD FAILED TO PLEAD, DEFEND OR PROVIDE FOR A LEGITIMATE DEFENSE IN THE ILLEGAL APPEAL PROCESS OF THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS. THEY HAVE ENGAGED IN OFFENSIVE TACTICS, FAILED TO FULFILL ALL PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENTS AND REFUSES TO TREAT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WITH COURTESY AND CONSIDERATION AS PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THEY HAVE REFUSED TO TAKE REASONABLE REMEDIAL MEASURES, RESPECT OUR HUMAN RIGHTS, PROVIDE FOR OUR BASIC HUMAN NEEDS, ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WISHES OF OUR PEOPLE, AND CHANGE OUR HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. IN ORDER TO TRY TO ACHIEVE AND MAINTAIN GENERAL ACCEPTED STANDARDS OF PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE AND LEGAL SERVICES, I HAD PLACED MY GRIEVANCES BEFORE THE CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS FOR THE IDENTIFICATION, ASSESSMENT AND RESOLUTION OF OUR PROBLEMS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED IN THE COURSE OF EVENTS. I ASKED THE CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS TO INTERVENE BETWEEN PARTIES TO HELP RESOLVE THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE, PRODUCE AGREEMENTS AND ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL LAWS. THE CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS HAD REFUSED TO UPHOLD THE LEGAL PROCESS, DEMONSTRATE RESPECT FOR THE LEGAL SYSTEM, SEEK IMPROVEMENT OF THE LAW AND PROMOTE ACCESS TO THE LEGAL SYSTEM AND ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. AS OFFICERS OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM, THE CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS HAD A DUTY TO UPHOLD THE LEGAL PROCESS, TO DEMONSTRATE RESPECT FOR THE LEGAL SYSTEM, TO SEEK IMPROVEMENT OF THE LAW, AND TO PROMOTE ACCESS TO THE LEGAL SYSTEM AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. THE CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS SHOULD HAVE CORRECTED THE MISTAKES ARISING FROM OVERSIGHT, OMISSIONS OR THE WILFUL MISCONDUCT OF ITS EMPLOYEES, AND ON MOTION(S), THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO RELIEVE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGEMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS BEING APPEALED OR CHALLENGED IN THIS CASE. THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE HAS GREAT MERITS AND ESTABLISHED THE ARGUABLE BASIS OF THE APPEAL WHICH WAS ILLEGALLY DISMISSED WITHOUT TAKING A PROPER COURSE. I HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGEMENT AND ORDERS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF

APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 4, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. ON JULY 18, 2023, I HAD SERVED THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER PARTIES WITH A COPY OF MY MOTION FOR THE RECONSIDERATION OF THIS CASE AND TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL, VACATE THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE, CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW OR FACT, PREVENT THE MANIFEST OF ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES AND SEEK OTHER RELIEF BECAUSE ME AND OTHER PARTIES HAS BEEN ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE COURT OR CLERK'S ACTIONS IN THE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS APPEAL PROCESS. IN RESPONSE TO THE MOTION, THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD REFUSED TO REINSTATE THIS CASE, CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW OR FACT, PREVENT THE MANIFEST OF ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES AND ALLOW ME TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AND OTHER PARTIES. [SEE FRAP 35(a)(b), 28 U.S.C.A; 42 U.S.C.A § 1985; 5 U.S.C.A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706; AND APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

6. THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD REFUSED TO ALLOW ME TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE DOCKET TEXT ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DENYING MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE ON DECEMBER 5, 2022, AND THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERKS AND JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES WHICH WAS ENTERED ON NOVEMBER 15, 2023 TO ILLEGALLY DISMISS MY COMPLAINT FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS WHILE THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS AND JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES WAS LABELED AS DEFENDANTS FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCRDACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERKS AND JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD NO AUTHORITY OR RIGHT TO ENTER THE ILLEGITIMATE JUDGMENT AND ORDER WHERE THEY HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT BY FALSELY CLAIMING THAT I HAD FAILED TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS BECAUSE I HAD ALLEGEDLY ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITIES DURING SCHEDULED TELEPHONE CONFERENCES MONTHS PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE FINAL ORDER(S) AND REFUSED TO APPEAR AT THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE FOR THE SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS AND SET UP STAGED ILLEGAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WHERE SHE HAD ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE, DECEPTIVE AND ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO SET THINGS UP FOR HER TO ESTABLISH A RECORD THROUGH FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR, ACT LIKE I WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE, DISRUPT MY SPEECH, SPEAK OVER ME AND THREATEN ME WITH SANCTIONS ON THE RECORD TO CONTROL THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES THROUGH MISCONDUCT, AVOID ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE AND

PREVENT ME FROM REVEALING THINGS AND SPEAKING ON THE RECORD WHILE THEY WERE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. ON DECEMBER 9, 2021, JANUARY 27, 2022, MAY 24, 2022 AND JULY 26, 2022, I WAS NOT BEING DISRUPTIVE OR DISRESPECTFUL DURING THE STAGED ILLEGAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCES OF JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES WHO WAS UPSET THAT I WOULD NOT ACCEPT OR ALLOW MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEYS ELIZABETH GENUNG AND GEORGE LOWE TO MISREPRESENT ME ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS WHICH HAD LEAD TO THEM BEING FORCED TO WITHDRAW AS MY TRIAL COUNSEL AND GEORGE LOWE BEING ILLEGALLY REINSTATED AS MY PRO BONO STANDBY TRIAL COUNSEL BY JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION. THE DISTRICT COURTS, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD USED DIFFERENT TACTICS TO PREVENT ME FROM APPEARING IN COURT FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022 AND CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO PREVENT ME FROM SUBMITTING KNOWN ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AT THE BEGINNING OF A UNLAWFUL LENGTHY CIVIL JURY TRIAL, TO AVOID THE SUBSTANTIAL COST OF A UNLAWFUL LENGTHY CIVIL JURY TRIAL AT THE PUBLIC EXPENSE, AND TO PREVENT A CIVIL JURY FROM EXAMINING THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT, DECIDING THE TRUTH OF THIS MATTER AND RENDERING A SPECIAL VERDICT OR JUDGEMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS WHILE THEY ARE TRYING TO ESCAPE AN UNDESIRABLE FATE FOR PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. I DID NOT SIGN A COURT REFUSAL FORM, REFUSE TO BE TRANSPORTED FOR THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS OR REFUSE TO PACK UP MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT WAS PREPARED AND MADE AVAILABLE FOR THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL COURT TRIP THAT WAS SUPPOSE TO TAKE PLACE ON JULY 27, 2022, BUT, A-BLOCK OFFICERS AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAD CAME TO MY CELL (A-5-26 CELL) TO PACK ME UP FOR THE COURT TRIP AND REFUSED TO PROVIDE ME WITH THE RIGHT SIZE DRAFT BAG OR ENOUGH DRAFT BAGS TO PREVENT ME FROM PACKING UP LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY SO THAT THEY COULD CAUSE A NUMBER OF DISPUTES, CANCEL THE COURT TRIP, FABRICATE EVIDENCE, HOLD ME IN THIS POSITION EVEN LONGER, AND TRY TO PREVENT ME FROM MAKING KNOWN ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AVAILABLE FOR A POTENTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR APPEAL COURT. DOCCS EMPLOYEES HAD NEGLECTED THEIR DUTIES AND FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE ILLEGITIMATE COURT ORDERS OF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO OBTAIN TRIAL CLOTHING, APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EVIDENCE AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT WAS NEEDED FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS AND COURT TRIP WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS. I CANNOT BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE FOR ME AND OTHER

INMATES WHILE WE ARE UNDER THEIR CONTROL AND IN THEIR CARE AND CUSTODY. I DID TRY TO GET AREA SERGEANTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF AT ATTICA TO ADDRESS MY COURT PACK UP, TRANSPORTATION, TRIAL CLOTHING AND OTHER ISSUES, BUT, THEY HAD REFUSED TO ADDRESS MY PROBLEMS WHILE ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL CONDUCT THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE AND THAT INVOLVES OPPRESSION, DISHONESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT OR MISREPRESENTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREVENTING, DELAYING, OR PROLONGING THE RESOLUTION OF LITIGATION AND ON-GOING ISSUES. I HAD ALSO EXPLAINED THE SITUATION TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND ASKED THEM TO RESCHEDULE THE TRIAL PROCEEDINGS OR GRANT ME AN ADJOURNMENT AND ISSUE ANOTHER COURT ORDER TO COMPEL DOCCS EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EVIDENCE AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNEH HAD REFUSED TO RESCHEDULE THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS OR GRANT AN ADJOURNMENT AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE INSTEAD OF AN ORDER DIRECTING DOCCS EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS TO COMPLY WITH HER COURT ORDERS, LAWS AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT IS NEEDED FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL COURT TRIP. IN RESPONSE TO THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE DATED AUGUST 4, 2022, I HAD SUBMITTED MY LETTERS, WITNESSES AFFIDAVIT, GRIEVANCE PAPERS AND PRELIMINARY EXHIBIT #134 TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR A FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS BECAUSE I CANNOT BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS. ON AUGUST 30, 2022, JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNEH HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE DOCKET TEXT ORDER CLAIMING THAT THE COURT HAD RECEIVED MY RESPONSE TO THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND ADDITIONAL LETTERS. SHE HAD ALSO DIRECTED THE DEFENDANTS TO PROVIDE AN EVIDENTIAL RESPONSE TO THE COURT. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD FALSIFIED DECLARATIONS AND SUBMITTED FABRICATED EVIDENCE TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY OR SYRACUSE THROUGH ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI AND JONATHAN REINER SO THAT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNEH AND THE DISTRICT CLERKS COULD PLAY THEIR ROLES FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI AND JONATHAN REINER HAD SUBMITTED FALSIFIED LETTERS AND DECLARATION AFFIDAVITS TO ACT LIKE I HAD DONE SOMETHING WRONG THAT CALLS FOR THE DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT WHILE ACTING LIKE I HAD REFUSED TO BE TRANSPORTED FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

AND PACK UP MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY UPON THE FALSIFIED DECLARATION AFFIDAVITS OF ATTICA CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN DATED 9/17/22 AND SERGEANT T. WILSON DATED 9/13/22. SHANNAN C. KRASNO-KUTSKI AND JONATHAN REINER HAD ALSO FALSELY CLAIMED THAT I WAS ENGAGING IN DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO WARRANT THREATS OF SANCTIONS AND A DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT SO THAT THEY COULD ASSIST IN THE CREATION OR PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE THAT THEY KNOW OR IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THE EVIDENCE IS FALSE. U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS WITHOUT CONDUCTING A TRIAL OR EVIDENTIAL HEARING TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT ME OR THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WERE IN DEFAULT AND CONTEMPT OF COURT FOR ALLEGATIONS OF DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOR DURING TELEPHONE CONFERENCES MONTHS PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE FINAL ORDER(S) AND FOR MY FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2023 AND CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO CONDUCT A TRIAL, HEARINGS OR MAKE REFERRALS PRES-ERVING ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL BECAUSE TO ENTER OR EFFECTUATE JUDGEMENT, IT NEEDED TO CONDUCT AN ACCOUNTING, DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGES, ESTABLISH THE TRUTH OF THE ALLEGATIONS BY EVIDENCE, AND INVESTIGATE OTHER MATTERS. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO DENY ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE OUR RIGHT TO A CIVIL JURY TRIAL IN THIS CASE. WE ARE ENTITLED IN FULL EQUALITY TO A FAIR AND PUBLIC TRIAL BY AN INDEPENDENT AND IMPARTIAL JURY IN THE DETERMINATION OF OUR RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS AS PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. WE HAVE A RIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY BY A COMPETENT COURT OF JUSTICE OR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY FOR THE ACTS VIOLATING OUR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GRANTED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS OF OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTRY. THE RECORD CLEARLY DEMONSTRATES THAT I HAVE BEEN PROSECUTING THIS CASE DILIGENTLY, PLEADING MY CASE, OR OTHERWISE DEFENDING MYSELF AGAINST THE FALSE ALLEGATIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS WHO HAVE BEEN MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCRDACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. THERE IS NO WAY FOR ME OR ANY OTHER INMATE TO REFUSE A CIVIL JURY TRIAL COURT TRIP BECAUSE DOCCS EMPLOYEES HAS MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS AND THE MEANS TO SECURE OUR APPEARANCE IN THE EVENT OF ANY CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS SET TO TAKE PLACE IN ANY COURT UPON THE ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTICANDUM AND/OR ANY OTHER COURT ORDER(S) COMMANDING THAT THE

DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS PRODUCE ME OR ANY OTHER INMATE FOR CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT AND PRESENT MY CASE AFTER BECOMING AWARE OF THE FACT THAT I HAD SOMEHOW MANAGED TO PREPARE MYSELF FOR A UNLAWFUL LENGTHY CIVIL JURY TRIAL WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS HAS BEEN OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE ME AND OTHER INMATES WITH THE APPROPRIATE SIZE COURT DRAFT BAG OR ADDITIONAL COURT DRAFT BAGS THAT IS NEEDED TO PACK UP AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EVIDENCE AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT IS ALLOWED TO BE TAKEN WITH US UPON TRIAL COURT TRIPS FOR CASES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHILE FORCING US TO REPRESENT OURSELVES IN LAWSUIT OR OTHER CASES OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE. DOCCS EMPLOYEES IS LIMITING US TO ONE COURT DRAFT BAG THAT IS NOT THE RIGHT SIZE TO PACK UP OUR LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY UPON TRIAL COURT TRIPS FOR CASES OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE. DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS ARE ALSO OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE BY REFUSING TO ALLOW ME AND OTHER INMATES TO TAKE ADMISSIBLE NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS/EVIDENCE TO COURT WITH US UPON TRIAL COURT TRIPS FOR CASES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHILE FORCING US TO REPRESENT OURSELVES IN LAWSUIT OR OTHER CASES OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE. I WAS FORCED TO MAIL MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS/EVIDENCE TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE THROUGH THE PACKAGE ROOM AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY BECAUSE ATTICA INMATE RECORDS COORDINATOR M. FREW, SERGEANT T. WILSON, A-BLOCK AREA OFFICERS AND OTHER STAFF WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO PACK UP THE EVIDENCE AND TAKE IT TO COURT WITH ME AS KNOWN ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AFTER REVIEWING MY LIST OF EVIDENCE FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL PART 1 AND 2 ALONG WITH U.S DISTRICT COURT ORDERS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE HAD ILLEGALLY RETURNED THE NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO ATTICA PACKAGE ROOM WHO WAS DIRECTED BY THE COURT TO RETURN THE NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO ME ACCORDING TO A DISTRICT COURT DOCKET TEXT THAT WAS ENTERED ON OCTOBER 5, 2022. ATTICA PACKAGE ROOM OFFICERS, SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF AND OTHER STAFF HAD REFUSED TO RETURN MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS/ PERSONAL PROPERTY TO ME FOR A LONG PERIOD OF TIME AND THEN FORCED ME TO SEND THE EVIDENCE HOME TO MY COUSINELLA PAULK-VANCE AT 225 ROSEWOOD TERR, CHEEKTOWAGA, NEW YORK 14225 WHILE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF PREVENTING ME FROM PLACING SUCH EVIDENCE ON DISPLAY AND MAKING IT AVAILABLE FOR A POTENTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR APPEAL COURT. IN SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF'S MEMORANDUM LETTER DATED OCTOBER 21, 2022, HE HAD FALSELY

CLAIMED THAT MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS/EVIDENCE ARE CONTAMINATED AND TOLD ME THAT THEY COULD NOT BE RETURNED TO ME TO KEEP IN MY POSSESSION SO I HAD TO PROVIDE FOR THE PACKAGE ROOM TO SEND THE EVIDENCE TO MY COUSIN ELLA'S HOUSE OR THE EVIDENCE WOULD HAVE BEEN DESTROYED AFTER A 30 DAY TIME LIMIT. ON OCTOBER 5, 2022, I HAD TRIED TO LET THE SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF KNOW THAT THE ONLY EVIDENCE THAT IS CONTAMINATED IS MY BLOOD STAINED UNDERSHORTS WHICH IS CONTAINED IN A CONCEALED PLASTIC BAG WITH A EXHIBIT #96 EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL ON IT, BUT, HE HAD REFUSED TO LISTEN AND MADE ME SEND THE NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO MY COUSIN ELLA'S HOUSE WITHIN A 30 DAY TIME LIMIT. MY COUSIN ELLA PAULK-VANCE HAD MANAGED TO SUBMIT MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS # 80, 81, 82, 84, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 101, 102, 103, 104, AND 110 TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT THROUGH U.S POSTAL SERVICES ON MARCH 3, 2023 BECAUSE I HAD DIRECTED HER TO DO SO IN WRITING AND THROUGH 3PAY EMAILS WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF EXPLAINING THINGS TO HER ABOUT OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS HAS NOT ACKNOWLEDGED RECEIPT OF MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS WHICH IS ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. IF THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WOULD LIKE TO REACH OUT TO MY COUSIN ELLA FOR VERIFICATION AND OTHER PURPOSES THEN HER PHONE NUMBER IS (716) 948-3525. YOU GUYS CAN ALSO SEND MAIL TO OR PAY HER A VISIT AT HER HOME ADDRESS THAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO THIS COURT IN THIS PETITION. I HAD UPDATED MY EXHIBIT #134 BECAUSE I HAD OBTAINED NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE WHICH RELATES TO THIS OVERALL SITUATION DESCRIBED IN THIS PARAGRAPH #6. MY EXHIBIT #134 WAS FILED WITHIN THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE ON FEBRUARY 17, 2023 UPON THE MAILING OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, SPECIAL APPENDIX, EXHIBITS AND OTHER APPEAL PAPERS ON FEBRUARY 14, 2023, BUT, THE CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE DID NOT ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY EXHIBIT #134 WHILE IN A PROCESS OF REFUSING TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF A VARIETY OF EVIDENCE AND ILLEGALLY RETURNING EXHIBITS THAT IS RELEVANT EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. I HAVE DONE MY BEST TO COVER THE ENTIRE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL AND LIFE PROCESSES OF OUR PEOPLE IN A VARIETY OF WAYS WHILE BEING FORCED TO HANDLE THIS CASE IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND ON APPEAL WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF A PRO BOND ATTORNEY. [SEE EXHIBIT #134 AND APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

7. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IS LABELED AS DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AND IS BEING SUED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF ITS EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN MISHANDLING THIS CASE. U.S DISTRICT COURT JUDGES MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, BRENDA K. SANNES AND ANDREW T. BAXTER ARE LABELED AS DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN CONSPIRING WITH

TRIBUNALS, THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE, ERIE COUNTY HOLDING CENTER, ALDEN COUNTY JAIL, ERIE COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT, BUFFALO POLICE DEPARTMENT, BOARD OF EDUCATION AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. THERE IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST THAT HAS CAUSED THE ASSIGNED JUDGES, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO DELIBERATELY MISHANDLE THIS CASE BY ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE WITH HOPES OF GAINING A UNFAIR ADVANTAGE OR FAVORABLE OUTCOME IN THIS CASE. THERE ARE PERSONAL INCOMPATIBILITIES AND SUBSTANTIAL DISAGREEMENTS CONCERNING THEIR LITIGATION STRATEGY WHERE THEY HAVE BEEN USING DIFFERENT METHODS TO TRY TO PREVENT ME FROM SUING CERTAIN DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AND RAISING THE LEGITIMATE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THEY DO NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT TO DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS OR DEFENDANTS FROM PART I OF MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT BASED ON THE ILLEGITIMATE GROUNDS STATED IN THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGES MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, BRENDA K. SANNES AND ANDREW T. BAXTER. THEY HAD ALSO TRIED TO PREVENT ME FROM SERVING THE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS **AND** REFUSES TO ACKNOWLEDGE ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS ALLEGES ALL FACTS CONNECTED TO THE ORIGINAL PLEADINGS TO OBTAIN RELIEF ALONG THE SAME LINES, PERTAINING TO THE SAME CAUSE AND BASED ON THE SAME SUBJECT MATTER OR CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AS SET OUT IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT PART I, 2, 3 AND 4. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IS REQUIRED BY LAW TO PERMIT ME TO SERVE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS SETTING OUT THE TRANSACTIONS, OCCURRENCES OR EVENTS THAT HAPPENED AFTER THE DATE OF THE FILING OF THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT THAT WAS FILED IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON JUNE 21, 2018. U.S DISTRICT COURT JUDGES MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, BRENDA K. SANNES, AND ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD ALSO REFUSED TO APPOINT ME A PRO BOND ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME THROUGHOUT THE PRE TRIAL STAGES OF THIS CASE SO THAT THEY COULD CONSPIRE WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO CAUSE ME TO HAVE COURT INTERVENTION, APPEARANCE, PACK UP, MAIL OUT, FILING, AND OTHER ISSUES WHILE FORCING ME TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL LEADING UP TO THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO AND U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER WERE ASSIGNED TO MISHANDLE THIS CASE FIRST. JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO WAS FORCED TO RECUSE FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE UPON RECEIPT OF MY MOTION FOR HER RECUSAL. THEN, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS

IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE HAD ASSIGNED JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES TO MISHANDLE THIS CASE AS THE NEW U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD REFUSED TO RECUSE FROM THIS CASE AND ILLEGALLY DENIED 3 RECUSAL MOTIONS WHERE I HAD ASKED THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE FOR HER RECUSAL WHILE SHE WAS IN THE PROCESS OF MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES WAS TRYING TO MAKE ME ACCEPT AND ALLOW MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEIES ELIZABETH GENUNG AND GEORGE H. LOWE TO MISREPRESENT ME IN THIS CASE WHILE PLACING THEM UNDER HER STRICT AND ILLEGAL ORDERS AS A DEFENDANT WHO WOULD NOT ALLOW THEM TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE, SET THINGS STRAIGHT AND PROTECT OUR HUMAN RIGHTS. THE PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEIES HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAS BEEN USING CUNNING PROCEDURES, TRICKS AND OTHER DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO TRY TO PREVENT ME FROM EXERCISING MY RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES UNDER THE 1ST AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD DELIBERATELY SABOTAGED THIS ENTIRE CIVIL CASE, FAILED TO FOLLOW PROCEDURES AND PROLONGED THE LAWSUIT OR RESOLUTION OF LITIGATION FOR WELL OVER 4 YEARS NOW. NO LEGITIMATE COURT ORDERS HAS BEEN ISSUED IN THIS CASE YET BECAUSE OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE ASSIGNED JUDGES, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS WHO HAS BEEN MISHANDLING THIS CASE BY ENCRDACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. THE COURT ORDERS AND DOCKET TEXT ORDERS OF U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, BRENDA K. SANNES AND ANDREW T. BAXTER ARE INVALID, VOID AND UNENFORCABLE BECAUSE I HAD MADE THEM DEFENDANTS FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE, ENCRDACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS, AND ATTEMPTING TO PROTECT THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM A CIVIL SUIT AND UNDESIRABLE FATE. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS REFUSED TO FULFILL THEIR OBLIGATIONS AND ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW BY REFUSING TO CHANGE THE VENUE; REFUSING TO WAIVE THE FILING FEE OR REDUCE THE SURCHARGE TO A RESPECTABLE AMOUNT FOR THE INDIGENT PLAINTIFF; REFUSING TO APPOINT ME A PRO BONO ATTORNEY THROUGHOUT THE PRE-TRIAL STAGES OF THIS CASE; REFUSING TO APPOINT A PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY WHO IS WILLING TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR HUMAN RIGHTS; REFUSING TO ALLOW ME TO CONDUCT THIS CASE WITHOUT A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS; REFUSING TO GRANT ME PERMISSION TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT; REFUSING TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS AND VALIDITY OF ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE; REFUSING TO PROCURE THE NECESSARY DECLARATIONS FOR EACH AND EVERY CLAIM; REFUSING TO SERVE COPIES OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND EXHIBITS UPON THE U.S. ATTORNEY OR ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE BY THE U.S. MARSHALS AFTER GRANT-

ING ME U.S MARSHAL SERVICES IN THE DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS ; REFUSING TO SERVE THE NECESSARY AMOUNT OF SUMMONS UPON THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE BY THE U.S MARSHALS AFTER GRANTING ME U.S MARSHAL SERVICES IN THE DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS ; REFUSING TO COMPEL THE U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS IN THIS CASE ; REFUSING TO SCHEDULE AND CONDUCT A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE TO DISCUSS THE ISSUES OF THE CASE, SETTLEMENT AND A PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER ; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR MY WITNESSES TO TURNOVER EVIDENCE AND GIVE THEIR DEPOSITIONS OR TESTIMONIES ; REFUSING TO TURNOVER ALL EVIDENCE REQUESTED IN MY LETTERS, DEMAND TO PRODUCE AND DISCOVERY MOTION THAT WAS ILLEGALLY DENIED BY U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO BEFORE SHE WAS FORCED TO RECUSE FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE ; REFUSING TO TURNOVER THE MANDATORY PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURE RELATING TO ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE ; REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE PHYSICAL AND MENTAL EXAMINATIONS OR TREATMENT FROM OUTSIDE MEDICAL PROVIDERS ; REFUSING TO CONDUCT A DEMANDED CIVIL JURY TRIAL ; REFUSING TO GRANT ME A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT BASED ON THE LAW AND FACTS OF THIS CASE ; REFUSING TO CONDUCT THEIR TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITHOUT ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL, DISRUPTIVE OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES ; REFUSING TO COMPEL U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNE AND U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER TO RECUSE FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE ; REFUSING TO ALLOW ME TO PACK UP AND BRING MY ADMISSIBLE NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO COURT WITH ME UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL FOR THIS CASE ; REFUSING TO ALLOW ME TO FILE MY ADMISSIBLE NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS THAT IS RELEVANT EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE UPON THE MAILING OF SUCH EVIDENCE TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE ON SEPTEMBER 22 , 2022 ; AND REFUSING TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER THAT WAS ENTERED ON OCTOBER 5, 2022 DIRECTING ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO RETURN MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO ME AFTER THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE HAD REFUSED TO FILE THE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AND ILLEGALLY RETURNED IT TO ATTICA WHERE THEY HAD REFUSED TO RETURN MY NON-PAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO ME AND FORCED ME TO SEND THE EVIDENCE HOME TO MY COUSIN ELLA VANCE WHILE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF PREVENTING ME FROM PLACING SUCH EVIDENCE ON DISPLAY AND MAKING IT AVAILABLE FOR A POTENTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR APPEAL COURT. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM FILING EVIDENCE WHILE CAUSING ME TO HAVE COURT INTERVENTION, APPEARANCE, PACK UP, MAIL OUT, FILING AND OTHER ISSUES IN THIS CASE . ATTICA SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF AND OTHER STAFF WILL NOT ALLOW ME TO MAIL OUT MY EXHIBIT #79 - MY UPAY TABLET TO ANY COURT WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF UPAY WHO HAS NOT RESPONDED TO MY LETTER(S) ASKING THEM FOR SUCH

AUTHORIZATION. SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF HAD TOLD ME THAT MY JPAY TABLET BELONGS TO JPAY AND THAT I MUST GET THEIR AUTHORIZATION TO DEPOSE OF MY TABLET AND SUBMIT IT AS EVIDENCE TO THE COURT. HE HAD ALSO CLAIMED THAT MY BLACK STATE BOOTS (EXHIBIT #105) IS PROPERTY OF THE STATE AND CAN ONLY BE MAILED OUT TO THE COURT AS EVIDENCE IF I PURCHASE THE BOOTS IN WHICH I'M UNABLE TO DO WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. THERE IS NO WAY FOR ME TO FULLY PREPARE MYSELF FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL IN THIS CASE WHILE UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN OPPRESSIVE, UNCOOPERATIVE AND DISRESPECTFUL THROUGHOUT THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN PROVIDING ME WITH INCORRECT, ILLEGAL AND FRAUDULENT LEGAL MATERIALS. PLUS, I CANNOT USE THE LAW LIBRARY COMPUTERS BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES DOES NOT ALLOW ME TO ACCESS A WIDE RANGE OF INFORMATION WHILE DISRUPTING MY ACTIVITIES ON THE LAW LIBRARY COMPUTERS. THEY ARE ALSO USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO EFFECT MY READING, WRITING AND SPEECH WHILE CAUSING ME TO MALFUNCTION, HEALTH PROBLEMS, PAINS AND SUFFERING ON A REGULAR BASIS. I DO NOT HAVE THE RESOURCES FOR A CASE OF THIS NATURE AND GREAT MAGNITUDE AS A INDIGENT PERSON WHO HAVE SUBMITTED MULTIPLE MOTIONS FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF IN THIS CASE. THIS CASE WILL NEVER BE READY FOR TRIAL BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS MADE ALL THE STOPS TO PREVENT US FROM REACHING THAT POINT. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN WITHHELDING A WIDE RANGE OF EVIDENCE TO TRY TO PREVENT ME FROM PROVING ALL ELEMENTS OF MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO OBTAIN THE EVIDENCE, INVESTIGATE AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE WITHOUT THE GOVERNMENT GRANTING THE WISHES OF OUR PEOPLE. THE DISCOVERY PROCESS FOR THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE WILL TAKE THE LIFETIMES OF OUR PEOPLE SO A DIFFERENT COURSE OF ACTION WILL BE NEEDED TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, CONDUCT OUR INVESTIGATIONS AND ESTABLISH JUSTICE WITHOUT THE HARMFUL EFFECTS OF A UNLAWFUL LENGTHY CIVIL TRIAL. IT IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT THE PEOPLE FROM THE HARMFUL EFFECTS OF A UNLAWFUL LENGTHY TRIAL SO THE GOVERNMENT IS REQUIRED TO WORK WITH OUR PEOPLE TO DEAL WITH OUR LIFE SITUATION IN A SENSIBLE MANNER. THERE IS MILLIONS OF PEOPLE WHO WILL HAVE TO GIVE THEIR TESTIMONIES RELATING TO THIS CIVIL ACTION BECAUSE OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAS BEEN CAUSING PEOPLE TO PARTICIPATE AND SHARE THE EXPERIENCE OR EFFECT IN OUR LIFE SITUATION AND ACTIVITIES. THE GOVERNMENT HAS PLACED ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS AND RELATIVES IN THE SPOTLIGHT OR HANDS OF THE PEOPLE SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO BENEFIT FROM THE LIFEWORK. THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF ALL TRANSACTIONS, OCCURRENCES OR EVENTS THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE IN OUR LIFE SITUATION. THERE IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST

IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE U.S DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS WILL GAIN SOMETHING PERSONALLY FROM THE DECISIONS THAT WILL BE MADE IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY. THEY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO ACT INDEPENDENTLY BECAUSE OF THEIR CONNECTIONS WITH THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AND OTHER PEOPLE. THERE IS A CONFLICT BETWEEN THE PUBLIC OBLIGATIONS AND PRIVATE INTEREST OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS. NO COURT HAS A RIGHT TO MAKE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, AND RELATIVES STAND TRIAL WHILE WE ARE NOT IN OUR RIGHT STATE OF MIND AND HEALTHY ENOUGH TO CARRY THAT BURDEN AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS REFUSED TO RESTORE US TO GOOD HEALTH, PROVIDE FOR OUR BASIC HUMAN NEEDS, RESPECT OUR HUMAN RIGHTS AND CHANGE OUR HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS SO WE HAVE BEEN SUFFERING FROM A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS FOR FAR TO LONG NOW. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS FAILED TO STATE A LEGITIMATE DEFENSE IN THIS CASE BECAUSE I DID NOT FAIL TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, THEY ARE NOT ENTITLED TO THE APPLICATION OF QUALIFIED IMMUNITY, I DID NOT AGREE TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS STATED IN THE DEFENDANTS JOINT PRE-TRIAL STIPULATIONS LETTER, I DID NOT AGREE TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS STATED IN THE ATTORNEY MISREPRESENTATION STIPULATIONS LETTER OF MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PROBONO TRIAL ATTORNEY ELIZABETH GENUNG, THE COMPLAINT DOES NOT FAIL TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED, THEY CANNOT TERMINATE LEGITIMATE DEFENDANTS FROM THE DOCKET BY ABUSING THEIR POWER, THEY CANNOT DISMISS LEGITIMATE CLAIMS BY STATING THAT I HAD FAILED TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED, AND I'M NOT BARRED FROM PROCEEDING FOR ANY REASONS STATED BY THE DEFENDANTS WITHIN THEIR ILLEGITIMATE ANSWER OR OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BECAUSE I HAVE A RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES UNDER THE 1ST AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION, I HAVE FOLLOWED CIVIL PROCEDURES, I HAVE STATED THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, I HAVE STATED THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES WHICH ARE STILL IN DISPUTE, I HAVE SUBMITTED AND DEMANDED EVIDENCE THAT RELATES TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, AND I HAVE STATED ALL FACTS WHICH ENTITLES ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS ALSO FAILED TO SUBMIT ANY LEGITIMATE EVIDENCE WHICH TAKES AWAY FROM MY CASE, MINIMIZES THE DAMAGES OR ENTITLE THEM TO A FAVORABLE OUTCOME IN THIS CASE. THE WIDE RANGE OF EVIDENCE AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IS SO OVERWHELMING THAT IT DROVE THEM TO THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

8. THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO MANIPULATE THE ACTIONS OF THE PETITIONER- PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO PROMOTE AN INHERITED DESTRUCTIVE LIFESTYLE OF PROMISCUOUS SEX, ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE, VIOLENCE, CRIME, INCARCERATION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES TO MANIFEST OUR DESTINY THROUGH THE MEDIA, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY WHILE STUDYING, DOCUMENTING AND RECORDING OUR PSYCHOLOGICAL AND BEHAVIORAL CHARACTERISTICS THROUGH SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION, TESTING, EXPERIMENT, FORMULATION, MEASUREMENT AND MODIFICATION OF HYPOTHESIS. THE LONG CHAIN OF EVENTS HAD LEAD TO MY ARREST FOR THE OUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR, THE DELIBERATE SABOTAGING OF MY ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE BY THE U.S GOVERNMENT OR PEOPLE, AND THE ACCEPTANCE OF A PLEA OF GUILTY BY ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL W. PIETRUSZKA TO SENTENCE ME TO A DETERMINE TERM OF 23 YEARS WITHOUT THE PRESENTMENT OF A LEGITIMATE INDICTMENT TO PLACE ME IN PRISON ILLEGALLY TO HAVE THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS CONTINUOUSLY ABUSE ME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATE MY HUMAN RIGHTS WHILE TRYING TO DISTRACT, DISCOURAGE AND PREVENT ME FROM SEEKING TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND COMPENSATED FOR THE DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR WELL OVER 10 1/2 YEARS NOW. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO NEGLECT MY BASIC HUMAN NEEDS THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; KEEP ME HOUSED IN UNCLEAN AND HOSTILE ENVIRONMENTS; PHYSICALLY AND VERBALLY ASSAULT ME ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; WRONGFULLY CONFINING ME TO A VARIETY OF CELLS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; PREVENT ME FROM BEING RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND COMPENSATED FOR THE DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; PREVENT ME FROM GOING TO THE GENERAL LIBRARY, LAW LIBRARY, GYM, YARD, WEIGHT SHACK, SHOWERS, FAMILY REUNION EVENTS, VISITS, PROGRAMS, WORK, RELIGIOUS SERVICES, COMMISSARY, PACKAGE ROOM, BARBERSHOP, MESS HALL, STATESHOP, GRIEVANCE OFFICE, DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS, COUNSELOR OFFICE, MENTAL HEALTH UNIT, MEDICAL UNIT, AND OUTSIDE COURTS, HOSPITALS AND OTHER TRIPS AT CERTAIN POINTS THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; PREVENT ME FROM INTERACTING WITH MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE ON A REGULAR BASIS; USE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS TO HARASS ME AND OTHER PEOPLE, COMPUTERS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE SEVERE DISRUPTION OR WIDESPREAD FEAR IN SOCIETY OR PRISON, AND COMPUTERS TO ATTACK COMMUNICATION AND OTHER SYSTEMS TO DISRUPT MY ACTIVITIES ON A ALL DAY EVERY DAY

BASIS THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; USE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS AND RELATIVES TO HELP THE PEOPLE ADVANCE SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY WITHOUT COMPENSATING US FOR OUR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; REPEATEDLY VIOLATE MY RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO CONTINUOUSLY ABUSE ME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATE MY HUMAN RIGHTS THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF MY WHOLE LIFE; PREVENT ME FROM RECEIVING MONEY, PACKAGES, EMAILS, MAIL AND OTHER SUPPORT FROM FAMILY, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND PEOPLE ON A REGULAR BASIS; USE CORRECTIONAL STAFF AND OTHER PEOPLE TO CAUSE ME ALL TYPES OF PROBLEMS THROUGHOUT THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT; ATTEMPT TO CAUSE STRESS RELATED DISEASES, ILLNESSES OR OTHER HEALTH COMPLICATIONS TO SHORTEN THE LIFE SPAN OF THE PLAINTIFF-PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE; AND CAUSE ME TO SUFFER FROM A WIDE RANGE OF MEDICAL PROBLEMS AS DESCRIBED IN MY OPAY EMAILS, LETTERS, LEGAL PACKETS AND SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, ETC. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE] [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G; AND NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05 AND 40.05]

9. THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN USING THEIR INFLUENCE OR POWER TO CAUSE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO PARTICIPATE AND SHARE THE EXPERIENCE OR EFFECT IN OUR LIFE SITUATION OR ACTIVITIES. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN CONTRADICTING OUR PERSONAL BEHAVIOR AND THE COURSE OF EVENTS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PETITION AND MY MOTIONS, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), APPENDICES, LETTERS, LEGAL PACKETS PART 1, 2 AND 3, EMAILS AND AUDIO VIDEO DEPOSITION. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN USING US TO HELP THE PEOPLE ADVANCE SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY WITHOUT COMPENSATING US FOR OUR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF OUR LIFE OR EXISTENCE. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN PLACING US IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS WITHOUT NO REGARDS FOR OUR SAFETY, HEALTH AND WELL BEING. THE GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO CAUSE US TO HAVE A HISTORY OF CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR, PROMISCUOUS SEXUAL BEHAVIOR, MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, MEDICAL PROBLEMS, SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS, POVERTY, SUICIDAL THOUGHTS, WRONGFUL DEATHS IN OUR FAMILY OR FAMILIES, IMPRISONMENT, ENSLAVEMENT, MISFORTUNE, MISERY, TROUBLES, HARDSHIPS AND PAINS. GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS HAS MADE IT THEIR JOB TO CONTINUOUSLY ABUSE US AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATE OUR HUMAN RIGHTS SO I'M SEEKING TO SET US FREE FROM IMPRISONMENT, MODERN DAY SLAVERY, OPPRESSION AND ENEMY OCCUPATION. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE] [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G] [SEE NYS CPL § 210.40 AND NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05]

10. THE U.S GOVERNMENT HAD CONSPIRED WITH PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO APPLY SCIENCES AND USE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO PLACE ME IN PRISON ILLEGALLY FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR THAT OCCURRED INSIDE THE ATTIC OF MY HOME AT 69 BRISCOE AVENUE IN THE CITY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK ON DECEMBER 28, 2011. THEY HAD USED CUNNING PROCEDURES, TRICKS AND OTHER DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PLACE ME IN PRISON ILLEGALLY FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR SO THAT THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WOULD BE ABLE TO CONTINUOUSLY ABUSE ME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATE MY HUMAN RIGHTS WHILE TRYING TO DISTRACT, DISCOURAGE AND PREVENT ME FROM SEEKING TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND COMPENSATED FOR THE DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PETITION. THEY HAD FAKED THE DEATHS OF MY LIL COUSIN TYSON AND BIG BROTHER KELVIN NEAL SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO CONVINCE ME THAT MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ALLEGED SHOOTING DEATHS OF MY LOVED ONES, SECRETLY SUPPLY ME WITH GOVERNMENT ISSUED FIREARMS, AND GET ME TO COMMIT THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE AND PLACE ME IN PRISON TO SERVE MY PURPOSE ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE]
[SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G; AND NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.05]

11. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO MAKE THE BEST OR MOST EFFECTIVE USE OF NEUROSCIENCE, BIOLOGY, NEURAL SUPERCOMPUTERS AND OTHER TECHNOLOGICAL MACHINERY, EQUIPMENT OR DEVICES TO CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE ORGANS, TISSUES AND NERVOUS SYSTEM OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO CAUSE US TO MALFUNCTION, HEALTH PROBLEMS, PAINS AND SUFFERING WHILE APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO MANIPULATE OUR ACTIONS TO PROMOTE AN INHERITED DESTRUCTIVE LIFESTYLE OF PROMISCUOUS SEX, ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE, VIOLENCE, CRIME, INCARCERATION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES TO MANIFEST OUR DESTINY THROUGH THE MEDIA, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY WHILE STUDYING, DOCUMENTING AND RECORDING OUR PSYCHOLOGICAL AND BEHAVIORAL CHARACTERISTICS THROUGH SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION, TESTING, EXPERIMENT, FORMULATION, MEASUREMENT AND MODIFICATION OF HYPOTHESIS. BIOLOGY IS THE STUDY OF LIVING ORGANISMS DIVIDED INTO MANY SPECIALIZED FIELDS THAT COVER THEIR MORPHOLOGY, PHYSIOLOGY, ANATOMY, BEHAVIOR, ORIGIN AND DISTRIBUTION. THE SCIENCE OF LIVING ORGANISMS AND LIFE PROCESSES INCLUDING THE STUDY OF GROWTH, STRUCTURE AND REPRODUCTION. NEUROSCIENCE IS THE SCIENCES THAT DEALS WITH THE STRUCTURE OR FUNCTION OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AND BRAIN WHICH IS THE CONTROL CENTER OF THE HUMAN BODY. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING THEIR EXPERTISE IN BIOLOGY, NEUROSCIENCE AND OTHER FIELDS TO USE OUR BRAINS AS WEAPONS AGAINST US.

THEY HAVE BEEN USING SUPERCOMPUTERS WITH SPECIALLY DESIGNED SOFTWARE AND NEURAL NETWORKS MODELED ON THE HUMAN BRAIN AND NERVOUS SYSTEM TO REGULATE OR CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF OUR ORGANS, TISSUES AND NERVOUS SYSTEM TO CAUSE US TO MALFUNCTION, HEALTH PROBLEMS, PAINS AND SUFFERING AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN OUR LIFE WHILE OPERATING FROM THEIR UNKNOWN CONTROL BASE(S). THE NEURAL SUPERCOMPUTERS HAS AN APPLICATION FOR ALL PEOPLE SO THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WILL BE ABLE TO REGULATE OR CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF OUR ORGANS, TISSUES AND NERVOUS SYSTEM AT ANY GIVEN TIME. THEY HAVE BEEN COLLECTING DATA, PROGRAMS AND OTHER THINGS THAT IS BEING STORED IN THE MEMORY OF THEIR NEURAL SUPERCOMPUTERS OR ON STORAGE DEVICES UNDER SINGLE OR IDENTIFYING NAME RELATING TO ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE THE CHARACTER ON WHICH OPERATIONS ARE BEING PERFORMED BY MEANS OF THEIR NEURAL SUPERCOMPUTERS OR TECHNOLOGIES TO COLLECT FACTS, STATISTICS, AND OTHER INFORMATION FOR REFERENCE OR ANALYSIS. THE PROGRAMS IS BEING COLLECTED TO CONTROL THEIR WIDE RANGE OF NEURAL SUPERCOMPUTER OR TECHNOLOGICAL OPERATIONS. A PROGRAM IS DEFINED AS A SERIES OF CODED SOFTWARE INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTROL THE OPERATION OF A COMPUTER, MACHINE OR DEVICE. DATA IS DEFINED AS THE QUANTITIES, CHARACTERS OR SYMBOLS ON WHICH OPERATIONS ARE PERFORMED BY COMPUTER, BEING STORED AND TRANSMITTED IN THE FORM OF ELECTRICAL SIGNALS AND RECORDED ON MAGNETIC, OPTICAL OR MECHANICAL RECORDING MEDIA TO COLLECT FACTS, STATISTICS AND OTHER INFORMATION FOR REFERENCE OR ANALYSIS. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING OUR BRAINS AS DEADLY WEAPONS THAT IS BEING USED TO HARM US IN A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT WAYS WHILE APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE WRONGFUL DEATHS IN OUR FAMILY OR FAMILIES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US MEDICAL PROBLEMS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US TO MALFUNCTION ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; INFLECT BODILY HARM ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US PAIN AND SUFFERING ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US TO LIVE WITH DISABILITIES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; DEPRIVE US OF STRENGTH, ENERGY OR POWER ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; SEXUALLY ASSAULT, MOLEST OR ABUSE US ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US TO URINATE OR DOO-DOO ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; PREVENT US FROM EVOKING ANY THOUGHTS, IMAGES, MEMORIES OR EMOTIONS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US TO BECOME EMOTIONLESS AND UNRESPONSIVE ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US TO FEEL PLEASANT, UNPLEASANT AND OTHER SENSATIONS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; EFFECT OR DISRUPT OUR THOUGHT PROCESS AND SPEECH ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; CAUSE US TO HAVE RESPIRATORY OR BREATHING PROBLEMS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; MOVE OUR BOWELS AND CAUSE US TO FART OR POOT ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; EFFECT OR DISRUPTIVE OUR MUSCULAR ACTIVITIES ON DIFFERENT

OCCASIONS ; EFFECT OR DISRUPT OUR BODILY MOVEMENTS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; EFFECT OR DISRUPT OUR VISION ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; PLAY FOUL GAMES WITH OUR EYELIDS, NOSE, LIPS, EARS AND OTHER PARTS OF THE BODY ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; CAUSE US TO HAVE WET, SICK AND OTHER DREAMS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; CAUSE US TO DISCHARGE SEMEN, SWEAT, SNOT, BLOOD, PUS, WAX, TEARS AND OTHER BODILY FLUIDS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; CAUSE US TO DISCHARGE FOUL AND OFFENSIVE ODORS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; CAUSE US TO HAVE OUTBREAKS AND OTHER SKIN PROBLEMS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; EFFECT, DISRUPT OR CONTROL OUR SOUND OR STYLE OF TALKING ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; MAKE US BLURT THINGS OUT OR SAY THINGS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; MAKE US MAKE NOISES, FACES AND GESTURES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; CHANGE OUR MODES, MOODS OR FRAME OF MIND ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; TRACK MODES TO CAUSE US TO DO POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE THINGS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; PLACE RESTRICTIONS OR LIMITATIONS ON OUR BODIES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; PREVENT US FROM TALKING OR SPEAKING FOR SHORT AND LONG PERIODS OF TIME ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; MOVE OUR LIMBS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; CONTROL OUR EYES TO MAKE US LOOK AT UNDESIRABLE OR DESIRABLE THINGS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; MAKE US UNABLE TO HEAR, SEE, TASTE, SMELL, THINK, FEEL OR TOUCH ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; MAKE US UNABLE TO MAKE FACIAL EXPRESSIONS AND GESTURES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS ; AND PREVENT US FROM USING OUR TALENTS, GIFTS AND OTHER ABILITIES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS, ETC. IT IS NOT A SECRET THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING THEIR NEURAL SUPERCOMPUTERS TO MAKE THOROUGH OR DRAMATIC CHANGES IN OUR FORM, APPEARANCE, CHARACTER, SOUND OR FUNCTION ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN GIVEN ME SPEECH PROBLEMS BY AFFECTING MY WORDPLAY, SOUND, DELIVERY OF SPEECH AND PREVENTING ME FROM TAPPING INTO MY MEMORY BANK AND INTELLECTUAL AND CREATIVE ABILITIES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS WHILE PRACTICING DIFFERENT TACTICS TO ATTEMPT TO CHEAT OUR PEOPLE THROUGH DECEPTION OR FRAUD IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT CASE. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE ME AND MY LOVED ONES TO BE COMPUTER OPERATED, COMPUTERIZED, INCAPACITATED, DYSFUNCTIONAL, EMOTIONAL, MENTALLY DISTURBED, FEEBLE, FAIL TO CONVINCE OR IMPRESS, FATIGUE, FRAIL, LAME, BREAKABLE, SHAKY, INFIRM, POWERLESS, HELPLESS, DEFENSELESS, SUBDUED, HUSHED, IMPOTENT, HAZY, UNPERSUASIVE, DIM WITTED, TIRELESS, TIRED, HESITANT, POOR, PARANOID, UNCERTAIN, TENDER, ABUSED, OPPRESSED, DISABLED, TROUBLED, DISTANT, SPECIAL VICTIMS AND OTHER UNDESIRABLE THINGS AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN OUR LIFE. THEY HAVE BEEN EXPLOITING US AND OUR LIFE SITUATION FOR THEIR OWN ADVANTAGE AND PERSONAL BENEFITS WHILE CAUSING US A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS AND DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS AS DESCRIBED IN

IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE] [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G; AND NYS PENAL LAWS 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05]

12. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH A GROUP OF TECHNOCRATS, TECHNOLOGISTS OR TECHNICAL EXPERTS WHO HAVE CONSIDERABLE CONTROL OVER THE WORKING OF SOCIETY, GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY. WE HAVE A TECHNOSTRUCTURE THAT IS SPECIALLY DESIGNED FOR OUR PUBLIC SERVANTS, CIVIL OFFICERS AND OTHER PEOPLE TO RULE OR CONTROL SOCIETY, GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY THROUGH THE MEDIA, SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND TECHNOCRACY. TECHNOCRACY IS THE GOVERNMENT OR CONTROL OF SOCIETY OR INDUSTRY BY AN ELITE OF TECHNOCRATS, TECHNOLOGISTS OR TECHNICAL EXPERTS. I HAVE NAMED THE TECHNOCRATS, TECHNOLOGISTS OR TECHNICAL EXPERTS BY THE FOLLOWING NAMES "THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES, CYBER PUNKS, CYBER PREDATORS, CYBER HOMOS AND DEFENDANTS" IN MY JPAY EMAILS, LETTERS, LEGAL PACKETS, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THEM AS A SINGLE USER NAME WHICH IS BEST TO DESCRIBE THE UNKNOWN INDIVIDUALS BY THEIR PERSONAL BEHAVIOR, CHARACTERISTICS OR QUALITIES. THE CYBERPUNKS HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO APPLY SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE FOR THEIR UNLAWFUL OR UNETHICAL INTELLECTUAL AND PRACTICAL ACTIVITIES ENCOMPASSING THE SYSTEMATIC STUDY OF THE STRUCTURE AND BEHAVIOR OF THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN USING ILLEGAL, DECEPTIVE AND WICKED PROCEDURES TO ESTABLISH OUR QUALITY, PERFORMANCE AND RELIABILITY WHILE TAKING US INTO THE WIDESPREAD USE OF THE PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN REVEALING OUR STRENGTH, CHARACTERISTICS OR QUALITIES TO THE PEOPLE BY PUTTING US UNDER MASSIVE PRESSURE OR MENTAL STRAIN. THEY HAVE FOUND OUT HOW USEFUL, STRONG OR EFFECTIVE WE ARE AS INDIVIDUALS OR A PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN UNLAWFULLY PLACING US UNDER 24 HOUR SURVEILLANCE, MAKING A LIVING OUT OF ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES, USING US TO HELP THE PEOPLE ADVANCE SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY, EXPLOITING US, BROADCASTING THINGS ABOUT US, ATTACKING COMMUNICATION AND OTHER SYSTEMS TO CAUSE US A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS, MAKING PUBLICATIONS RELATING TO US, COMMUNICATING WITH US THROUGH THE AIRWAVES BY MEANS OF ADVANCED WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, HARASSING US AND MAKING COMMENTS WHILE INVADING OUR PRIVACY, TAKING NOTES, RECORDING AND DETECTING THINGS ABOUT US, JUDGING AND DISREGARDING OUR FEELINGS OR OPINIONS, BRAINWASHING US, STEALING AND USING OUR IDEAS, TRYING OUT NEW CONCEPTS OR WAYS OF DOING THINGS TO OPPRESS US, EXPRESSING IDEAS IN A CONCISE OR SYSTEMATIC WAY, MAKING DISCOVERIES AND CREATING THINGS, MAKING ASSESSMENTS, INTERFERING WITH AND EFFECTING OUR GROWTH AND

DEVELOPMENT, RECONCILING DIFFERENT AIMS OR POSITIONS, PUTTING ON DEMONSTRATIONS AND REVEALING THINGS, ENSLAVING US, INFLECTING CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, VIOLATING OUR HUMAN RIGHTS, SUPPRESSING OUR RESPONSES, INFLUENCING OUR STRESS REACTIONS, APPLYING PRESSURE, CONTROLLING US, MANIPULATING OUR VOICES IN PEOPLE SPHERE OF INFLUENCE TO GET A NEGATIVE OR POSITIVE RESPONSE FROM PEOPLE, MANIPULATING PEOPLE VOICES TO GET A NEGATIVE OR POSITIVE RESPONSE FROM US, CAUSING US TO HAVE CAR AND OTHER ACCIDENTS, OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, CONTROLLING OR PLAYING WITH MOVING AND STATIONERY OBJECTS, TAKING AWAY FROM OUR LIFE PERFORMANCE, ATTEMPTING TO MAKE ME AND OTHERS GAY OR BI-SEXUAL, SABOTAGING OUR PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS, ACTING LIKE THEY ARE HELPING US WHEN THEY REALLY ARE NOT, TRYING TO TAKE CREDIT FOR MY ACHIEVEMENTS AND GREAT PLANS, PLACING US IN THE SPOTLIGHT OF THE PEOPLE, SEXUALLY ABUSING US, AND ENGAGING IN PERVERTED, PEDOPHILIA AND OTHER INAPPROPRIATE ACTIVITIES. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE] [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2, AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

13. THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO APPLY SCIENCES AND USE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO PLAY FOUL GAMES AND ATTACK COMMUNICATION AND OTHER SYSTEMS TO DISRUPT OUR DAILY ACTIVITIES THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF OUR LIFE. THEY HAVE BEEN CAUSING US A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS BY USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO PLAY FOUL GAMES WITH GOVERNMENTAL CONTROL SYSTEMS, COMPUTER AND TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS, HOME AND INDUSTRIAL CONTROL SYSTEMS, WATER AND PLUMBING SYSTEMS, SECURITY SYSTEMS, AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS, LOCOMOTIVE SYSTEMS, AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS, WATERCRAFT SYSTEMS, SYSTEMS OF THE HUMAN BODY, HOME LIGHTS AND CIRCUIT BREAKERS, ELECTRONICS, APPLIANCES, MACHINERY, ELECTRONIC AND ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT, TECHNOLOGICAL EQUIPMENT, TRAFFIC AND STREET LIGHTS, TELEVISION OR CABLE STATIONS AND NETWORKS, SATELLITE AND LOCAL RADIO STATIONS, TOILETS, SINKS, TUBS, HOT WATER TANKS AND FURNANCES, ETC. AT THIS POINT, I HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO USE MY CLIPPERS TO CUT MY HAIR FOR OVER 3 1/2 YEARS; LISTEN TO MUSIC IN MY CELL WITHOUT ANY DISRUPTIONS AND OTHER PROBLEMS; LIVE INSIDE A CELL WITHOUT THE TOILET, SINK AND LIGHTS MALFUNCTIONING ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; GO TO THE YARD, GYM, FIELD HOUSE AND OTHER PLACES WITHOUT THE LIGHTS FLICKING ON AND OFF ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; AND USE THE FACULTY TELEPHONES FOR OVER 4 YEARS NOW BECAUSE THE SYSTEM WILL NOT ACCEPT MY PIN # AND I HAVE STOPPED TRYING TO USE THE PHONE AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNKS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. ALSO, THEY HAVE BEEN CAUSING ME TO MAKE REDACTIONS, WRITE

WITH A UNSTEADY HAND, MAKE SLIGHT INK SPLATTER MARKS ON PAGES, LEAVE OUT WORDS, REWRITE PAGES TO THE POINT OF EXHAUSTION, MISSPELL WORDS, MAKE CORRECTS, WRITE IN SQUIGGLY LINES, BE UNABLE TO WRITE OR HOLD A PEN OR PENCIL, DARKEN WORDS OR LETTERS, WRITE WITH DIFFERENT SIZE LETTERS, OVERSPACE WORDS OR LETTERS, MAKE LETTERS OR NUMBERS CONNECT TO EACH OTHER AND DO OTHER THINGS TO THROW OFF THE TEXT OR TAKE AWAY FROM THE QUALITY OF MY WORKS WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO PREPARE MY LEGAL PAPERS FOR THE COURTS AND OTHER AGENCIES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THEY HAD MADE ME WRITE WITH BIGGER LETTERS AND OVERSPACE LETTERS AND WORDS SO THAT THIS PETITION WILL UNDERSTANDABLY, JUSTIFIABLY OR EXCUSABLY EXCEED THE APPLICABLE PAGE LIMIT BY MORE PAGES THEN I HAD PLANNED. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE]
[SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G; AND NYS PEN ALLOW 35.00, 35.05, 40.05]

14. THE U.S GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO ENGAGE IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PLACE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE IN UNAVOIDABLE LIFE THREATENING SITUATIONS AND A REAL LIFE CRISIS WHICH HAS CALLED FOR URGENT RESPONSES, VIOLENCE, CRIME AND OTHER ACTIVITIES FOR THE SAKE OF OURSELVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. THEY HAVE THROW CURSES ON OUR FAMILIES AND HAS BEEN TRYING TO TARNISH OUR REPUTATION THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF EVENTS WHILE SCRIPTING, DIRECTING AND PRODUCING OUR LIFE LIKE A ON-GOING MOVIE OR REALITY T.V SHOW THAT IS FILLED WITH TRADEGIC AND OTHER EVENTS, HEROISM, LOVE SCENES, PROBLEMS, DRAMA, COMEDY, MINISTRIES, MUSICALS, HARDSHIPS AND PAINS. THEY HAVE MADE US SUBJECT TO INVOLUNTARY SLAVERY OR SERVITUDE WHILE MAKING US HELPLESS VICTIMS OF THEIR DOMINATING INFLUENCES TO FORCE US TO SERVE THE PEOPLE IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. THEY HAVE PREVENTED US FROM HAVING THE SAME OPPORTUNITIES AND FAIR TREATMENT AS OTHER CITIZENS WITHIN OUR RESPECTIVE COUNTRY. WE ARE NOT BEING TREATED EQUALLY AND ARE SUFFERING FROM UNJUST OR PREJUDICIAL TREATMENT ON THE GROUNDS OF CLASS, SOCIAL ORGIN AND OTHER STATUS RELATING TO OUR APPOINTED LOT. THEY HAVE PEOPLE ACTING OUT IN CIVIL DISORDER TO CAUSE US A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS WHILE ENGAGING IN THEIR UNETHICAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES. THEY HAVE BEEN USING CUNNING PROCEDURES, TRICKS AND OTHER DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO MASK THE IDENTITY OF THEIR CONTROLLING AGENCY OR AGENCIES SO THAT WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THEM, FIGURE THINGS OUT AND GET IN THE WAY OF THEIR AGENCY OR AGENCIES MISSIONS WHILE STIMULATING AND FACILITATING BROADER PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE INNOVATION PROCESS, YIELDING BENEFITS TO GOVERNMENTS AND CITIZENS WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS. THEY HAVE FORMED A UNION OR

OR AGREEMENT FOR THE COOPERATION AND ACTUAL BENEFIT OF ALL COUNTRIES, NATIONS OR STATES. THEY HAVE PLACED THE PEOPLE ALL - IN TO GAMBLE ON THE OUTCOME OF A RISKY VENTURE OR GAME OF LIFE. THEY ARE ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO DECEIVE, WIN OVER OR INDUCE US TO DO THINGS BY ARTFULLY COAXING AND WHEEDLING US ON A REGULAR BASIS. THEY HAVE BEEN CAUSING GOOD AND BAD THINGS TO HAPPEN AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN OUR LIFE. THEY HAVE MADE OUR NEEDS FOR THINGS IMPERATIVE SO THAT WE ARE FORCED TO FIND WAYS OF GETTING OR ACHIEVING THINGS WHILE OBSERVING AND TESTING US IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. THEY HAVE A LONG HISTORY OF MAKING US SUFFER FROM A LACK OF SUPPORT OR BACKING. WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY FINANCIAL OR OTHER SUPPORT FROM THE MANAGING OR SPONSORING AGENCY OR AGENCIES WHO HAVE BEEN CONTROLLING THE PROJECTS, ACTIVITIES, BUSINESS DEALINGS AND OTHER ASPECTS OF OUR LIFE. WE ALSO HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY SPECIAL OR OTHER SUPPORT OR BACKING FROM ORGANIZATIONS, BUSINESSES AND OTHER AGENCIES WHO HAVE BEEN BENEFITING FROM OUR LIFEWORK. WE HAVE BEEN MADE OUT TO BE POOR AND IMPOVERISH PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN LACKING ADEQUATE MONEY OR MEANS TO LIVE COMFORTABLY THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF OUR LIFE. WE HAVE BEEN PLACED IN POVERTY STRICKEN, CRIME INFESTED AND DYSFUNCTIONAL COMMUNITIES THAT IS FILLED WITH CHALLENGES, SHORTCOMINGS AND OTHER PROBLEMS. THEY HAVE CAUSED US TO HAVE UNDERMANAGED COMMUNITIES, BROKEN HOMES, DYSFUNCTIONAL FAMILIES, HIGH CRIME RATES, HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS, JUVENILE AND YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS, LOW INCOME BUSINESSES, LOW PROPERTY VALUE, DAMAGED PROPERTY, ABANDON BUILDINGS, VACANT LOTS, POOR LANDSCAPING, DAMAGED ROADS AND SIDEWALKS, AND A LACK OF HOME AND BUSINESS OWNERS, JOBS AND OPPORTUNITIES, ATTRACTIONS, FAMILY GATHERINGS AND LEISURE, ENTERTAINMENT AND RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES WITHIN OUR COMMUNITIES. THEY HAVE NOT EXPENDED ANY MONEY TO MEET OUR NEEDS OR EXPENSES WHILE USING US THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF OUR LIFE. THEY HAVE BEEN FORCING US TO FEND FOR OURSELVES OR SEEK PUBLIC ASSISTANCE THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICE FOR WELFARE BENEFITS THAT KEEPS US BELOW THE POVERTY LINE AND DEPENDENT. TO MAKE MATTERS WORSE, THEY HAVE BEEN FINDING WAYS TO TAKE OR UNLAWFULLY SEIZE OUR MONEY, PROPERTY OR OTHER ASSETS. THEY ALSO HAVE BEEN FINDING WAYS TO MAKE US SPEND OUR MONEY ON THINGS THAT THEY WANT US TO SPEND OUR MONEY ON. THEY HAVE BEEN CAUSING US TO BE CHEATED, SWINDLED OR HUSTLED FOR OUR MONEY, PROPERTY OR GOODS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THEY HAVE EVEN REFUSED TO REIMBURSE US FOR OUR CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OR DONATIONS. THEY HAVE ALSO FORCED US TO LIVE OUR LIVES WITH MENTAL, PHYSICAL AND SENSORY DISABILITIES AT DIFFERENT POINTS TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR THEM TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF US AND MANIPULATE OUR ACTIONS.

THEY HAVE ALSO REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR US TO LIVE IN CLEAN, HEALTHY, SAFE AND UNHOSTILE LIVING ENVIRONMENTS. THEY HAVE BEEN CAUSING US TO LIVE IN HOMES THAT ARE INFESTED WITH ROACHES, RATS, MICE, BUGS, AND OTHER PESTS AT DIFFERENT POINTS IN OUR LIFE. THE HOMES HAVE OR HAD DAMAGED RADIATORS, VENTS, WALLS, CEILINGS, FLOORS, DOORS, WINDOWS, MIRRORS, CABINETS, PORCHES, STAIRS, GARAGES, ROOFS, FENCES, SIDING, TOILETS, TUBS, SINKS, PIPES, FURNACES, HOTWATER TANKS, SOCKETS, SHELVES, LIGHT SWITCHES, DOORBELLS, CARPETS, SMOKE DETECTORS AND OTHER THINGS. THEY HAVE MADE US LIVE IN HOMES WITHOUT ELECTRICITY, LIGHTS, GAS, HEAT OR WATER ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. SOME HOMES HAVE EVEN HAD WATERLEAKS, FLOODED ROOMS OR BASEMENTS, AND EXPOSED US TO LEAD AND OTHER HARMFUL COMPOUNDS. THEY HAVE ALSO CAUSED US TO SUFFER AT TIMES FROM A LACK OF FOOD AND DRINKS, CLOTHING, FOOTWEAR, HOUSEHOLD GOODS, PERSONAL CARE AND OTHER ITEMS THAT IS NEEDED TO SUSTAIN LIFE, GROW AND TAKE CARE OF OURSELVES. ON OCCASIONS, WE HAVE TO SETTLE FOR OLD, DIRTY AND USED FURNITURE, FURNISHINGS, APPLIANCES, ELECTRONICS AND OTHER HOUSEHOLD GOODS FROM FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES OR OTHER PEOPLE. THEY HAVE CAUSED US TO WEAR OLD, DIRTY, DINGY, DAMAGED OR HAND ME DOWN CLOTHING, FOOTWEAR AND ACCESSORIES. THEY HAVE BEEN CAUSING US TO HAVE ARGUMENTS, FIGHTS AND OTHER PROBLEMS AT EVENTS, HOME, PRISONS, JAILS, SCHOOLS, CENTERS, CLUBS, BARS, STORES AND OTHER PLACES. THEY HAVE BEEN PUTTING US IN SITUATIONS WHERE WE HAVE TO TAKE UP FOR OURSELVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHENEVER THEY DECIDE TO HAVE A PERSON OR INDIVIDUALS HARM US OR BECOME A THREAT OR PROBLEM. ALSO, THEY HAVE BEEN SECRETLY SUPPLYING US WITH DRUGS, ALCOHOL AND GOVERNMENT ISSUED FIREARMS AND OTHER DEFENSE ARTICLES TO UNLAWFULLY IMPRISON US FOR JUSTIFIABLE CRIMES, PROBATION AND PAROLE VIOLATIONS WHILE OBSERVING AND TESTING US IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. THEY HAVE BEEN USING US TO EXAMINE CRIME AND ITS CAUSES, DETECTION, PUNISHMENT AND PREVENTION. THEY HAVE IDENTIFIED THE SOCIETAL FACTORS AFFECTING AND DEVELOPING CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR THROUGH INSTITUTIONS, CRIMINALIZATION, CRIMINALISTICS, CRIMINAL LIABILITY, CRIMINAL TECHNOLOGY, CRIMINOLOGY, CRIMINAL PSYCHOLOGY, CRIMINAL SOCIOLOGY AND CRIMINOGENESIS, ETC. THEY HAVE BEEN USING US TO STUDY NEW YORK STATE AND OTHER PENAL FACILITIES IN TERMS OF MANAGEMENT, OFFENDER REHABILITATION, MEANS OF RESTITUTION FOR CRIMES AND CRIME VICTIMS, THE RAMIFICATIONS OF CRIME, CRIME PREVENTION, AND EFFECTIVENESS OF PUNISHMENT. THEY HAVE BEEN RAILROADING, FRAMING OR ENTRAPPING US FOR POLITICAL, SOCIAL AND OTHER REASONS. THEY HAVE BEEN SUMMARILY ORDERING OUR MISTREATMENT, TORTURE, UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENTS AND DEATHS AFTER BRANDING US ENEMIES FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS. THROUGHOUT RECORDED HISTORY, WE HAVE EVEN BEEN

WRONGFULLY CONVICTED FOR CRIMES THAT WAS MANIPULATED BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO HAS BEEN FAKING MURDERS, SHOOTINGS, ASSAULTS, ROBBERIES AND OTHER CRIMES THAT NEVER HAPPENED TO INDUCE OUR JUSTIFIABLE ACTIONS, BEHAVIOR OR CRIMES WHILE OBSERVING AND TESTING US IN REAL LIFE SITUATIONS. THE BIZARRE SCENARIOS ARE OCCURRING MORE FREQUENTLY THAN EVER BEFORE. IN MOST CASES, WE ARE UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONED THROUGH THE WORK OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDANTS WHO WANT TO PUNISH US, TAKE REVENGE ON ENEMIES, PROSECUTE CASES AND HOLD COURT. DEMONSTRATIONS AND MY INVESTIGATIONS HAS EXPOSED THE NONEXISTENCE OF CRIMES AND THE DEFENDANTS MOTIVATION. OFTEN THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES IS HECTORED BY A TROUBLED CONSCIENCE AND CONFESS THE FABRICATION OF CRIMES AND EVENTS. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO MOVE PEOPLE IN AND OUT OF OUR LIVES, STAGE EVENTS, ACT OUT ROLES, ENGAGE IN CONSPIRATORIAL LIES, BRAINWASH US, HAVE PEOPLE PLAY DUMB OR REMAIN SILENT, TAKE AWAY OUR FREEDOM OF THOUGHT, SPEECH AND PRESS, PLAY MIND GAMES, ACT OUT ROLES IN A PANDEMIC, FAKE THE OUTBREAK OF A ALLEGED DEADLY DISEASE CALLED "COVID-19", AND FAKE THE DEATHS OF MY LOVED ONES AND OTHER PEOPLE, DISPUTES, FIGHTS, ARRESTS, IMPRISONMENTS, TRIALS, PROSECUTIONS, CRIME RATES, MOVEMENTS, PROTESTS, RIOTS, DISASTERS, DISEASES, DEATH TOTALS AND OTHER THINGS TO DISTRACT, DISCOURAGE, FRIGHTEN, DECEIVE OR ENTRAP ME AND OTHER PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF FAKING THE DEATHS OF LILTYSON, KELVIN NEAL SR., JAMES W. THOMAS, TIMOTHY SMITH, ANTONIO VANCE, ABDUL SHAHEED, ABDUL RAMADON, THOMAS SWAN, JOSHUA ANDERSON, RASHAD BRADFORD, LIL PIGGY, JUICE, CHILL, DANA, PEEPER, SHELLY, RASAAN VANCE, CHASTITY VANCE, JEFFREY SHANKLIN, LEE MARVIN MCCANTS, MARCUS MCCANTS, LORRAINE VANCE, ZINCH, STEVIE MAXWELL, LILD, LORENZO BREWER, AARON H. ADAMS, DONNETTE VANCE, JD, BENJAMIN SWEETWINE, JOHNNY SMALLS, BUNS, NIPSEY HUSSELE, EARL SIMONS, LIL PEANUT, QUANTREL AUSTIN, KOBE BRYANT AND HIS DAUGHTER, WHITNEY HOUSTON AND HER DAUGHTER, AND OTHER PEOPLE. THE CONVICTIONS OF OUR INNOCENT OPPRESSED FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE IN CRIMINAL CASES WAS BROUGHT ABOUT IN SIMPLE AND COMPLICATED WAYS BY THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS THROUGH MISTAKEN IDENTITY, COERCION, PERJURY, FALSE CONFESSIONS, FABRICATED CRIMES, SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE, INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE, LANGUAGE BARRIERS, PREJUDICIAL PUBLICITY, IRRESISTABLE PLEA DEALS, RAILROADING OR FRAMING, INEPT REPRESENTATION BY THE DEFENSE, OR MISCONDUCT BY THE POLICE, PROSECUTORS OR COURT. IN MOST CASES, THE DEFENSE ATTORNEY APPOINTED AS A PUBLIC DEFENDER FOR MY OPPRESSED INDIGENT POOR FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE IS ADDRESSING DOZENS OF OTHER CASES AND HAS LITTLE OR NO TIME TO PREPARE A SOLID DEFENSE. MANY STATES DO NOT PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDS FOR DEFENSE ATTORNEYS TO HIRE EXPERT WITNESSES, LET ALONE CONDUCT

THEIR OWN INVESTIGATIONS, WHERE LARGER BUDGETS TO ADDRESS THESE NECESSARY EXPENSES FOR POLICE AND PROSECUTORS ARE WELL IN PLACE. THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IS BEING CORRUPTED BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO ARE DISOBEYING THE LAW, NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES, REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR INMATES BASIC HUMAN NEEDS, REFUSING TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL IN DISPUTES, COMMITTING ACTS OF VIOLENCE, COMMITTING ACTS OF UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT, REFUSING TO RELEASE INMATES FROM PRISON FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS, PLACING INMATES IN UNCLEAN, UNHEALTHY, UNSAFE AND HOSTILE ENVIRONMENTS, AND USING THEIR PENAL INSTITUTES AS TESTING SITES WHERE PEOPLE HAVE BECOME UNHEALTHY, DYSFUNCTIONAL OR MENTAL HEALTH PATIENTS. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS ARE ALSO CAUSING US TO BE PLACED IN UNAVOIDABLE REAL LIFE THREATENING SITUATIONS AND A REAL LIFE CRISIS WHERE WE ARE BEING EFFECTED BY NATURAL OR MAN MADE DISASTERS SUCH AS FOREST AND OTHER FIRES, LANDSLIDES, BUILDINGS AND BRIDGES COLLAPSING, EXPLOSIONS, NUCLEAR ACCIDENTS, OIL SPOILS, POLLUTION, TOXIC GAS LEAKS, MINE ACCIDENTS, STAMPEDES, RAILROAD ACCIDENTS, AIRCRAFT ACCIDENTS, FLOODS, TIDAL WAVES, EARTHQUAKES, TORNADOS, HURRICANES, TYPHOONS, BLIZZARDS AND OTHER STORMS. WE ARE ALSO BEING EFFECTED BY DRASTIC CLIMATE CHANGES THAT IS CAUSING ICE MELT DOWNS, SEA LEVELS TO RISE, DROUGHTS, HEAT WAVES, FIRES, SUFFOCATING HUMIDITY AND OTHER PROBLEMS. THEY HAVE PLACED US IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS TO ATTEMPT TO SABOTAGE OUR COMMITMENTS, LEGACIES AND HONOR. THEY HAVE BEEN TRYING TO DETER OR PREVENT US FROM PROMOTING COMMUNITY WELFARE, GOODWILL AND OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR US TO MEET THE DEBTS, COMMITMENTS AND LEGACIES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION. THEY KNOW THAT WE ARE SEEKING TO HELP OURSELVES AND OTHERS WHO ARE BEING EFFECTED BY THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS OR NEGLECT. THEY HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING IN THE FOOT STEPS OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE STOLEN THE LEGACIES OF OUR ANCESTORS AND PUT THEM THROUGH HELL. WE HAVE ANCESTORS OF AFRICAN DESCENT WHO WERE SUBJECT TO OVER 400 YEARS OF SLAVERY, RACISM, SEGREGATION, DISCRIMINATION AND TORTURE AS A PEOPLE WHO WERE BEING PLACED IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. A LOT OF OUR ANCESTORS OF AFRICAN DESCENT WERE KILLED IN INVASIONS, SINKING BOATS, WARS, BATTLES, SLAVE REVOLTS, MASSACRES, HANGINGS, PRISONS, COCKTAIL HOUSE BOMBINGS AND OTHER VIOLENT DISPUTES. OTHERS HAD DIED FROM SHORTAGES OF FOOD AND WATER, DEADLY DISEASES AND OTHER HEALTH COMPLICATIONS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND OTHER PEOPLE IN THOSE TIMES. WE ALSO HAVE NATIVE AMERICAN ANCESTORS WHO HAVE A LONG HISTORY OF LEGAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL, TREATY, CONTRACT AND LAND ISSUES AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND OTHER PEOPLE IN THOSE TIMES. [SEE ALL RECORDS FOR THIS CASE AND APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G; AND NYS CPL § 210.40 AND NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05]

15. I HAD SENT LETTERS AND COPIES OF MY LEGAL PACKETS TO DIFFERENT AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, MEDIA COMPANIES, AND PUBLIC OFFICIALS SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO READ THE DOCUMENTS TO BECOME A LOT MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE, PROVIDE US WITH ASSISTANCE FOR THE RESOLUTION OF LITIGATION, HELP START THE RECONCILIATION PROCESS, AND PROVIDE FOR US TO RECEIVE CRISIS INTERVENTION, COUNSELING AND REFERRALS, ADVOCACY AND SUPPORT DURING OUR INTERACTIONS WITH CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES, COURT ACCOMPANIMENT, ASSISTANCES WITH CRIME VICTIM BOARD CLAIMS, VICTIM COMPENSATION, COMMUNITY EDUCATION PROGRAMS, VIOLENCE PREVENTION EDUCATION AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES. THE FOLLOWING AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, MEDIA COMPANIES AND PUBLIC OFFICIALS HAD REFUSED TO RESPOND OR PROVIDE US WITH THE NECESSARY SERVICES THAT IS NEEDED TO HELP US DEAL WITH OUR LIFE SITUATION: THE NAACP, NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES OF NEW YORK, CRISIS SERVICES, SAFE HARBORS OF THE FINGER LAKES, BAR ASSOCIATIONS, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF LAW, NEW YORK STATE GOVERNOR OFFICE, ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO PROBATION DEPARTMENT, ERIE COUNTY HOLDING CENTER, ALDEN COUNTY JAIL, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, TONAWANDA TOWN COURT, ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO FAMILY COURT, 291 ELM, CITY OF BUFFALO MAYOR OFFICE, ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT, BUFFALO CITY COURT, WHITE HOUSE OF THE UNITED STATES, ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE, BUFFALO POLICE HEADQUARTERS, BUFFALO BOARD OF EDUCATION, SISTERS OF CHARITY HOSPITAL, ERIE COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER, TRUE BETHEL BAPTIST CHURCH, BUFFALO CITY HALL, ALICE HYDE MEDICAL CENTER, LAW OFFICE OF FONDA KUBIAK, LAW OFFICE OF FRANK PRATCHER, SPAY, BUFFALO NEWS COMPANY, ADVANCE PUBLICATIONS INC., ITAME INC., CBS CORPORATION, TIME WARNER INC., VIACOM INC., NBC UNIVERSAL, NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY, NEWS CORPORATION, PRESIDENT JOSEPH BIDEN, BUFFALO MAYOR BYRON BROWN AND ALL CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, ERIE COUNTY CLERKS LYNN CYBULSKI AND CHRISTOPHER L. JACOBS, ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA, ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY MICHAEL FLAHERTY AND ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS JAMES F. BARGNESI, AMY J. GOLDSTEIN AND ASHLEY R. LOWRY, FORENSIC BIOLOGIST THOMAS GRILL, FIREARM EXAMINER BERT PANDOLFINO, BUFFALO POLICE DETECTIVE HARVEY FRANKEL, BUFFALO POLICE OFFICER MICHAEL TOY AND ALL OTHER CRIME SCENE OFFICERS INVOLVED IN MY CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR, PASTOR DARIUS PRIDGET, MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY SENIOR, FONDA KUBIAK, FRANK PRATCHER, NAACP CHAIRPERSON AND OTHER STAFF MEMBERS. I HAD ALSO SENT MY LETTER DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 2021 AND COPIES OF MY LEGAL PACKET #1 TO MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO READ THE DOCUMENTS TO BECOME A LOT MORE

FAMILIAR WITH THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE, HELP IN OUR LIBERATION PROCESS AND BE PREPARED IN CASE OF THE ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENAS BY A U.S. DISTRICT COURT SEEKING TO OBTAIN INFORMATION, DOCUMENTS, OR TESTIMONY IF THIS CASE PROCEEDS TO A UNLAWFUL LENGTHY CIVIL JURY TRIAL. MY SISTER LATOYA MAXWELL WAS THE ONLY PERSON THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAD ALLOWED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE DOCUMENTS. I WAS NOT ABLE TO MAIL A COPY OF MY LETTERS AND LEGAL PACKETS TO THE NYS DEPARTMENT OF COMMISSION, WALT DISNEY COMPANY - ABC NEWS, DEFENSE ATTORNEY E. CAREY CANTWELL, AND THE HOME OF MY GREAT AUNT MARTHA VANCE AND SISTER-IN-LAW DARNEY CARPENTER NEAL BECAUSE THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICES REFUSE TO PROCESS MY MAIL CORRECTLY, WITHHOLD IT FOR A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF TIME AND THEN ILLEGALLY RETURN IT TO ME AT AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS WITHOUT PROVIDING ME WITH ANY EXCUSE FOR RETURNING MY LEGAL ENVELOPES. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS DID NOT PROVIDE FOR ME TO OBTAIN THE ADDRESSES OF SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO PARALO OFFICE BUILDING, ERIE COMMUNITY COLLEGE, HORIZON, AND THE UNIVERSITY OF BUFFALO ORTHOPEDIC CLINIC SO I WAS UNABLE TO MAIL MY LETTERS DATED AUGUST 1, 2021 AND LEGAL PACKET TO THOSE PLACES FOR THEIR ASSISTANCE. I DID NOT MAIL MY LETTERS DATED AUGUST 1, 2021 AND LEGAL PACKET(S) TO THE MEDICAL ADMINISTRATION STAFF AT CLINTON, ELMIRA AND UPSTATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES FOR THEIR ASSISTANCE BECAUSE I HAD SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS TO THEIR ATTORNEYS. I HAD SENT COPIES OF MY (9) LETTERS, AFFIDAVIT AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW WITH A NOTARIZED DATE OF MAY 28, 2021, AND LEGAL PACKETS TO MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY ELIZABETH GENUNG SO THAT SHE WOULD BE ABLE TO READ THE DOCUMENTS TO BECOME A LOT MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE, HELP IN THE LIBERATION PROCESS AND ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE, PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, ACT ON BEHALF OF THE OPPRESSED PLAINTIFF AND PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE. SHE HAD REFUSED TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE, PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, ACT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF AND PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE. SHE HAD SENT ME (2) COPIES OF HER ATTORNEY MISREPRESENTATION STIPULATIONS CONTRACT LETTER DATED OCTOBER 28, 2021 IN WHICH I HAD REFUSED TO SIGN ON BOTH OCCASIONS. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAD ALSO REFUSED TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE, PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, ACT ON BEHALF OF THE OPPRESSED PLAINTIFF AND PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE UPON RECEIPT OF MY LETTERS, LEGAL PACKETS, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAD SENT ME A LETTER DATED NOVEMBER 2, 2021 WHERE THEY HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT THERE IS NO VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS STATUTES IN THIS CASE AND THAT THE ALLEGATIONS I HAD DESCRIBED IN MY LEGAL PAPERS ARE NOT COVERED BY ANY LAW THAT THE

DEPARTMENT ENFORCES. (SEE EXHIBITS #107 AND 108; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 217-295, 297-331, 343-345, 363-365 AND 787-805

C. THE FACTS OF MY CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JR.

16. I WAS ARRAIGNED IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT ON JANUARY 11, 2012 AND ENTERED A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY TO ONE COUNT OF MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE (PENAL LAW § 125.25 [1]) AND ONE COUNT OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE SECOND DEGREE (PENAL LAW §§ 265.03 [3], 265.02 [1]) UPON THE ILLEGITIMATE INDICTMENT THAT WAS ENTERED UNDER INDICTMENT # 02793-2011 WHILE I WAS UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONED FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. THE CHARGES AROSE OUT OF THE SHOOTING DEATH OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR IN THE ATTICA OF MY HOME AT 69 BRISCOE AVENUE IN THE CITY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK ON DECEMBER 28, 2011 AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. ON JULY 20, 2012, I HAD WITHDREW MY FORMER PLEA OF NOT GUILTY AND PLEAD GUILTY TO MANSLAUGHTER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, IN FULL SATISFACTION OF THE ENTIRE INDICTMENT WHILE BEING MISLEAD AND RAILROADED BY ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA, COUNTY CLERK LYNN CYBULSKI, ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS AMY D. GOLDSTEIN, JAMES F. BARGNESI AND LIAM DWYER, DEFENSE ATTORNEY E. CAREY CANTWELL AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO INDUCE ME TO TAKE A PLEA DEAL FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. AT THE TIME THE PLEA WAS ENTERED, THE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT COMMITTED TO IMPOSING A TERM OF IMPRISONMENT RANGING FROM 23 TO 25 YEARS TO LEAVE ME EXPECTING A MAXIMUM PENALTY FOR A PUBLICLY KNOWN JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE. I KNEW THAT I DID NOT DESERVE TO BE HELDED IN PRISON ESPECIALLY FOR THAT LONG BEHIND THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AND THAT I HAD A JUSTIFIABLE DEFENSE AND THAT THERE EXISTED SOME COMPELLING FACTORS, CONSIDERATIONS OR CIRCUMSTANCES CLEARLY DEMONSTRATING THAT MY CONVICTION OR PROSECUTION UPON THE ILLEGITIMATE INDICTMENT WOULD CONSTITUTE OR RESULT IN INJUSTICE SO I HAD FILED A CPL § 220.60 MOTION DATED SEPTEMBER 4, 2012 TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA OF GUILTY AND DISCUSS THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF MY CRIMINAL CASE WITH THE COURT AND MY DEFENSE ATTORNEY E. CAREY CANTWELL. ON NOVEMBER 13, 2012, AT THE SENTENCING PROCEEDING, I HAD DISCUSSED THE MOTION WITH MY DEFENSE ATTORNEY E. CAREY CANTWELL WHO HAD REFUSED TO ADOPT THE MOTION AND

AND PRESENT THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE ON MY BEHALF. I WAS THEN FORCED TO WITHDRAW THE MOTION AS A RESULT OF HIS WRONGFUL ACTIONS BECAUSE I WAS UNABLE TO REPRESENT MYSELF DUE TO THE FACT THAT I DID NOT KNOW HOW TO PRESENT THE FACTS OF MY COMPLEX CRIMINAL CASE TO THE COURT AND APPLY THE APPLICABLE LAWS TO OUR FACTUAL SITUATION WHILE I WAS UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONED, OPPRESSED, DYSFUNCTIONAL AND SEEKING HELP AT THAT TIME. I WAS THEN SENTENCED TO A DETERMINATE TERM OF 23 YEARS IMPRISONMENT, TO BE FOLLOWED BY A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF POST RELEASE SUPERVISION BY ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA FOR THE PUBLICLY KNOWN JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE. I DID NOT TAKE A DIRECT APPEAL FROM THE JUDGEMENT OF CONVICTION BECAUSE ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA HAD MISINFORMED ME BY STATING THAT MY RIGHT TO APPEAL WAS GIVEN UP AS PART OF THE PLEA ARRANGEMENT DURING THE PLEA PROCEEDING ON JULY 20, 2012. DEFENSE ATTORNEY E. CAREY CANTWELL HAD REFUSED TO PROPERLY INFORM ME ABOUT MY RIGHT TO APPEAL AFTER WITNESSING ME BEING MISINFORMED ABOUT MY APPEAL RIGHTS BY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA. I DID FILE A POST CONVICTION 440.10 MOTION TO VACATE THE JUDGEMENT OF CONVICTION BECAUSE THE INDICTMENT IS DEFECTIVE WITHIN THE MEANING OF CPL § 210.25, THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE GRAND JURY WAS NOT LEGALLY SUFFICIENT TO ISSUE THE INDICTMENT, THE GRAND JURY PROCEEDING WAS DEFECTIVE WITHIN THE MEANING OF CPL § 210.35, THERE EXISTS SOME JURISDICTIONAL OR LEGAL IMPEDIMENT, DISMISSAL IS REQUIRED IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE PURSUANT TO CPL § 210.40, AND THE BUFFALO POLICE DEPARTMENT, BUFFALO CITY COURT, ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT, NYS ATTORNEY JAMES F. BARGNESI AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD MISHANDLED MY CRIMINAL CASE AND ENCROACHED ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. THE FILING OF THE 440.10 MOTION HAD RESULTED IN A ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF THE MOTION WITHOUT A EVIDENTIARY HEARING BY ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA ON SEPTEMBER 23, 2016. JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY 440.10 MOTION WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN MY CRIMINAL CASE ENTITLED "THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE, INDICTMENT # 02793 - 2011. [SEE CPL § 180.60, 190.50, 190.60 AND 190.75] [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 210.20, 210.30, 210.35, 210.40 AND 220.60] [SEE EXHIBIT J PAGES 12 LINE 23-25, PAGE 13 LINE 1 - 24.] [SEE CPL § 190.25] [SEE EXHIBITS B, C, G, K, L, M, O AND Q] [SEE CPL § 190.65] [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 80-125, 419-433 AND 475-477; APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 276-300 AND Vo. 2 PAGE 569-583, 590-605, 621-624, 642-643, AND 647-660; AND APPENDIX G PAGE 1-44] [SEE ALL ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT RECORDS FOR MY CRIMINAL CASE] [SEE NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05] [SEE CPL § 440.10]

17. THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO DENY ME AN ATTORNEY AND PREVENT ME FROM APPEALING FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY 440.10 MOTION WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON SEPTEMBER 23, 2016. THE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY AFTER I HAD DEMONSTRATED MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION UPON RECEIPT OF MY POOR PERSON MOTION PAPERS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. ON JANUARY 13, 2017, APPELLATE JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME PERMISSION TO APPEAL FROM THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY POST CONVICTION 440.10 MOTION AFTER I HAD FOLLOWED PROCEDURE TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA. APPELLATE JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN HAD CLAIMED THAT THERE IS NO QUESTION OF LAW OR FACT WHICH OUGHT TO BE REVIEWED BY THE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION. ON JUNE 8, 2017, APPELLATE JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN HAD ALSO ILLEGALLY DENIED MY REARGUMENT MOTION FOR A CERTIFICATE GRANTING LEAVE TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION. HE HAD REFUSED TO ALLOW ME TO APPEAL WITHIN THE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN THE APPEAL CASE ENTITLED "THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE, APPELLATE CASE # KA16-02126. APPELLATE CHIEF CLERK FRANCES E. CAFARELL HAD ALSO REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAL WITHIN THE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT AFTER I HAD REQUESTED PERMISSION AND FOLLOWED PROCEDURE TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA. ON MAY 18, 2017, APPELLATE CHIEF CLERK FRANCES E. CAFARELL HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY MOTION TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA BECAUSE HE HAD CLAIMED THAT APPELLATE REVIEW OF THE ORDER OF THE ERIE COUNTY COURT IS AUTHORIZED ONLY BY PERMISSION OF AN INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURT PURSUANT TO CPL § 450.15(1) AND 460.15(2) WHILE BEING AWARE OF THE FACT THAT I HAD ALREADY REQUESTED PERMISSION AND FOLLOWED PROCEDURE TO APPEAL IN THEIR INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURT. ON JULY 18, 2017, APPELLATE CHIEF CLERK FRANCES E. CAFARELL HAD ALSO ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY REARGUMENT MOTION TO APPEAL WHICH WAS SUBMITTED WITH HOPES OF THE COURT PROVIDING FOR ME TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA. THE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT, APPELLATE JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN, APPELLATE CHIEF CLERK FRANCES E. CAFARELL AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN ENGAGING IN CONDUCT THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, CONDUCT IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW THAT THEY KNOW OR REASONABLY SHOULD KNOW CONSTITUTE UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION OR HARASSMENT, CONDUCT INVOLVING DISHONESTY,

FRAUD, DECEIT OR MISREPRESENTATION, AND CONDUCT THAT IS A VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE RULES OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT OR OTHER LAW. [SEE 42 U.S.C. A § 1985] [SEE ALL APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR MY APPEAL CASE] [SEE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW § 450.15 AND 460.15; 5 U.S.C. A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706] [SEE EXHIBITS 17, 20 AND Q] [SEE NYS CPL § 440.10] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 300-302; APPENDIX F PAGES 1-8; AND APPENDIX G PAGES 1-21]

18. THE STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO ILLEGALLY DENY ME AN ATTORNEY AND PREVENT ME FROM APPEALING FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT WHO HAD REFUSED TO APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY AND ALLOW ME TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY 440.10 MOTION WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON SEPTEMBER 23, 2016. ON SEPTEMBER 19, 2017, NYS COURT OF APPEALS ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL, TO APPEAL, AND FOR SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELIEF AS THE COURT DEEMED JUST AND PROPER WHERE THE ORDERS SOUGHT TO BE APPEALED IS APPEALABLE BECAUSE I HAD REQUESTED PERMISSION AND FOLLOWED PROCEDURE TO APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS OF APPELLATE JUDGE GERALD J. WHALEN, APPELLATE CLERK FRANCES E. CAFARELL, AND ERIE COUNTY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA UNDER CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 450.15, 460.15 AND 460.20. I HAD ALSO ASKED THE NYS COURT OF APPEALS TO ALLOW ME TO APPEAL AS OF RIGHT UNDER CPL § 450.90 WITHIN THE MOTION AND ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROWAN D. WILSON HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED THE WHOLE APPLICATION FOR A ILLEGITIMATE REASON WITHOUT NO AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION. HE HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED THE APPLICATION BECAUSE HE CLAIMED THAT ONE OF THE ORDERS SOUGHT TO BE APPEALED FROM IS NOT APPEALABLE UNDER CPL § 450.90 (1) WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. HE HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED THE APPLICATION WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN THE "APPEAL CASE ENTITLED" THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK V. WAYNE P. VANCE. THE NYS COURT OF APPEALS HAD ALSO REFUSED TO ISSUE ME A CASE NUMBER AND APPOINT ME ATTORNEY AFTER I HAD DEMONSTRATED MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION UPON RECEIPT OF MY MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. [SEE 5 U.S.C. A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706] [SEE ALL NYS COURT OF APPEALS RECORDS FOR MY APPEAL CASE] [SEE 42 U.S.C. § 1985] [SEE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 450.15, 460.15, 460.20 AND 450.90] [SEE EXHIBITS 17, 18, 20 AND Q] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 300-303; APPENDIX E PAGE 1; AND APPENDIX G PAGES 1-21]

19. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO DENY ME AN ATTORNEY AND MY RIGHT TO A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FOR THE ACTS VIOLATING MY CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS UPON RECEIPT OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, SUPPORTING EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS WHICH WAS FILED IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN BUFFALO ON AUGUST 2, 2018. ON OCTOBER 1, 2018, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY AFTER I HAD DEMONSTRATED MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION AND UNSUCCESSFUL EFFORTS TO GET AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. ON OCTOBER 8, 2019, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID G. LARIMER HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND CONSIDERING THE SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN THIS CASE ENTITLED "WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK, CASE No. 6:18-CV-06560. [SEE ALL U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT RECORDS FOR MY CASE] [SEE EXHIBIT R AND APPENDIX D PAGES 1 - 11]

20. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO DENY ME AN ATTORNEY AND PREVENT ME FROM APPEALING FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND FINAL ORDER(S) OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY AND MY RIGHT TO A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WITHOUT CONDUCTING A EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON OCTOBER 8, 2019. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT DID NOT GRANT ME AN ATTORNEY UPON RECEIPT OF MY POOR PERSON MOTION PAPERS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO PREVENT ME FROM APPEALING FROM THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY U.S DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID G. LARIMER. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WAS SUPPOSE TO HAVE GRANTED ME AN APPEAL ATTORNEY SO THAT HE OR SHE COULD HAVE ASSISTED ME AND PERFECTED MY APPEAL LEGAL PAPERS AS REQUIRED BY LAW. I HAD DEMONSTRATED MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION AND UNSUCCESSFUL EFFORTS TO GET AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY POOR PERSON MOTION PAPERS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS IN THE FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE WHILE I WAS UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONED, POOR, OPPRESSED, DEPRIVED, MALNOURISHED, UNHEALTHY, SEEKING HELPED, DYSFUNCTIONAL, ABUSED, UNSAFE, OVERWORKED, EXHAUSTED, AND NOT IN MY RIGHT STATE OF MIND AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD DISMISSED MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE BECAUSE I WAS UNABLE TO PERFECT MY APPEAL LEGAL PAPERS WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IN THE PRESCRIBED TIMELY FASHION OF THE COURT AS A

RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. I WAS'NT EVEN ABLE TO APPEAL MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE TO THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WHILE UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRUPTIVE AND OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS. BUT, I WAS ALLOWED TO USE MY SENSORY AND NEURAL FUNCTIONS TO COMPLETE OTHER LEGAL DUTIES TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY AND CONSOLIDATE MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE INTO THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. MY U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE WAS ENTITLED "WAYNE P. VANCE V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK AND ITS CASE # IS 19-3941. [SEE EXHIBIT S] [SEE ALL U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CASE RECORDS FOR MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS APPEAL CASE; AND APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

21. ON JUNE 21, 2018, I HAD FILED THE NECESSARY LEGAL PAPERS TO COMMENCE THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND COMPENSATED FOR A LONG CHAIN OF ABUSES AND REPEATED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IN THIS CASE. I WAS FORCED TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY AND CONSOLIDATE MY RELATED CASES INTO THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PETITION. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD REFUSED TO RELEASE ME FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND PROVIDE FOR PROCEEDINGS TO BE PROPERLY TRANSCRIBED WHILE ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL CONDUCT INVOLVING DISHONESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT OR MISREPRESENTATION TO PREVENT, DELAY OR PROLONG THE RESOLUTION OF LITIGATION. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN AND WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, AND NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS, APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT, ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT, ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, BUFFALO CITY COURT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN DEPARTING FROM THE ACCEPTED AND USUAL COURSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS TO MISHANDLE CASES AND ENCRDACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER - PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE SO I HAVE BEEN FORCED TO LITIGATE, CONSOLIDATE CASES AND HANDLE THIS HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IN HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO PROVIDE THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WITH ALL CASE RECORDS RELATING TO THIS CASE SO I HAVE TO RELY ON THE RECORD SINCE ALL CASE RECORDS ARE AVAILABLE TO THE COURT AS NEEDED IN THIS CASE. I HAVE PROVIDED THIS COURT WITH SEPARATE VOLUMINOUS APPENDICES OF CITED

MATERIALS IN THIS PETITION. I WAS NOT ABLE TO SUBMIT ALL CITED MATERIALS BECAUSE DOCS EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE, RETURN MY STOLEN LEGAL MATERIALS, REPLACE MY LOST LEGAL MATERIALS AND OTHER PROPERTY, HONOR CERTAIN F.O.I.A REQUESTS, APPROVE CERTAIN LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES ADVANCES, ALLOW ME TO SUBMIT MY LARGE FILINGS THROUGH THEIR FACILITIES PACKAGE ROOMS ANYMORE, PROVIDE ME WITH LARGE MANILLA ENVELOPES OR BOXES, AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO SUBMIT CERTAIN EVIDENCE. PLEASE SEE SECTION C AND D OF THIS PETITION WHERE I HAVE COVERED THE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL PROCESS LEADING UP TO THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT BY THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT ON NOVEMBER 15, 2022; THE ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT ON DECEMBER 8, 2022, AND A PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS APPEAL PROCESS UPON THE MAILING OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 ON NOVEMBER 30, 2022 AND NOTICE OF APPEAL #2 ON DECEMBER 9, 2022 TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE. UPON RECEIPT OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 AND #2, THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD SENT ME INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO APPEAL AS A PROSE UTIGANT, FORMS, SAMPLE FORMATS, AND DOCKETING NOTICES DATED 12/8/22, AND 12/28/22 WHERE THE COURT HAD LET ME KNOW THAT MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 WAS DOCKETED ON DECEMBER 8, 2022 AS 22-3095 AND THAT MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #2 WAS DOCKETED ON DECEMBER 28, 2022 AS 22-3206. I HAD MANAGED TO FILE THE REQUIRED FORMS, BRIEF SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION, BRIEF AND APPENDIX, MOTIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY FOR AN APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND ORDERS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT IN SPITE OF THE DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS IN THE FILING PROCESS. PLEASE SEE SECTION D OF THIS PETITION WHERE I HAD COVERED THE INTERVENING MATTERS THAT OCCURRED AFTER THE FEBRUARY 17, 2023 FILING OF MY APPEAL LEGAL PAPERS LEADING UP TO THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY APPEAL BY CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE ON JULY 6, 2023 AND THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS, AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF MY APPEAL BY CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE ON JULY 27, 2023. [SEE 5 U.S.C. A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706; AND 42 U.S.C. A § 1985] [SEE ALL U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT RECORDS FOR THIS CIVIL CASE] [SEE ALL U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT RECORDS FOR THIS CIVIL RIGHTS APPEAL CASE] [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

22. I HAVE DIVIDED THIS PART INTO A NUMBER OF SUBDIVISIONS WITH THE GROUNDS FOR RELIEF SERVING AS A HEADING FOR EACH SUBDIVISION WHICH PROVIDES THIS COURT WITH THE FACTS OF MY CRIMINAL CASE WHICH REVOLVES AROUND MY UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR.

GROUND FOR RELIEF

THE COURT HAD LACKED JURISDICTION OF THE ACTION

23. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT HAD NO AUTHORITY AT ALL TO PROCEED WITH THE INDICTMENT, ACCEPT A PLEA OF GUILTY TO A LESSEER INCLUDED OFFENSE OF MANSLAUGHTER IN THE FIRST DEGREE IN FULL SATISFACTION OF THE INDICTMENT AND SENTENCE ME TO A DETERMINING TERM OF 23 YEARS BECAUSE THE INDICTMENT IS NOT LEGALLY ACCEPTABLE OR SATISFACTORY BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

(a). THE INDICTMENT WAS NOT AUTHORIZED OR VALIDATED BECAUSE IT WAS NOT SIGNED BY THE GRAND JURY FOREPERSON AND FORMER ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY FRANK A. SEDITA III. INSTEAD, NYS ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS AMY J. GOLDSTEIN AND JAMES F. BARNESI HAD SIGNED THEIR INITIALS ON THE INDICTMENT AND SOMEONE STAMPED "FRANK A. SEDITA III" IN PLACE FOR THE SIGNATURE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. ON JANUARY 6, 2012, THE INDICTMENT WAS THEN SOMEHOW FILED TWO DAYS AFTER THE COMPLETION OF THE GRAND JURY PROCEEDING WHERE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES F. BARNESI HAD FAILED TO PRESENT LEGALLY SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO THE ERIE COUNTY GRAND JURY ON JANUARY 4, 2012.

[SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 200.50 (8), 210.05 AND 190.65 (3)] [SEE FRCP RULE 6 (C) (F), 18 U.S.C.A.]

[SEE THE 5TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND ARTICLE I SECTION 6 OF THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION]

[SEE EXHIBITS B, C, F, T, #129, #130, AND #132]

[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 285, 291-292, 344 AND 357, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 590-604, 618-620 AND 690-699]

(b). THE SECOND COUNT OF THE INDICTMENT HAD CHARGED TWO OFFENSES WHEN EACH COUNT OF AN INDICTMENT MAY CHARGE ONE OFFENSE ONLY. DUPLICITOUS COUNTS ARE PROHIBITED BY LAW. THE SECOND COUNT OF THE INDICTMENT HAD CHARGED THE FOLLOWING OFFENSES OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE SECOND DEGREE IN VIOLATION OF

PENAL LAW SECTION 265.03 SUBDIVISION (3), AND CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE THIRD DEGREE IN VIOLATION OF PENAL LAW SECTION 265.02 SUBDIVISION (1). [SEE EXHIBIT C AND O] [SEE NYS PENAL LAW SECTIONS 265.03(3) AND 265.02(1)] [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 200.20, 200.30 AND 200.50(3)] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 273-278 AND 288-293, AND Vo. 2 PAGE 602-604]

(c). THE STATUTE DEFINING THE OFFENSE CHARGED IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL OR OTHERWISE INVALID WITHIN THE SECOND COUNT OF THE INDICTMENT: CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE SECOND DEGREE, PENAL LAW §§ 265.03, 265.02(1). [SEE EXHIBITS C, O AND T] [SEE NYS PENAL LAW SECTIONS 265.03(3) AND 265.02(1)] [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 210.20 AND 210.25] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 273-278 AND 294-296, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 602-605, 675-678 AND 690 - 699]

(d). THE STATE OF NEW YORK ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT HAD LACKED JURISDICTION OF THE OFFENSE CHARGED OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE THIRD DEGREE IN VIOLATION OF PENAL LAW § 265.02(1) BECAUSE I WAS NOT CHARGED WITH THIS OFFENSE UPON MY ARREST AND THE GRAND JURY WAS'NT ASKED TO EVEN CONSIDER THIS OFFENSE. NO EVIDENCE IS LEGALLY SUFFICIENT THAT WAS PRESENTED TO THE GRAND JURY CONCERNING THIS OFFENSE. THERE WAS'NT ANY LEGALLY SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE GRAND JURY CONCERNING THIS OFFENSE ON JANUARY 4, 2012. [SEE EXHIBITS A, B, C, O AND T] [SEE NYS PENAL LAW SECTION 265.02(1)] [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS 190.60, 190.65, 190.70, 190.75, 210.20, 210.25 AND 210.30] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 284 - 287 AND 294-297, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 588-605, 675-678 AND 690 - 699]

(e). THE INDICTMENT DOES NOT CONFORM TO THE GRAND JURY MINUTES BECAUSE IT HAS FAILED TO STATE EACH AND EVERY FACT SUPPORTING THE ELEMENTS OF THE CRIME AND MY COMMISSION THEREOF WITH PRECISION TO CLEARLY APPRISE US OF THE CONDUCT IN WHICH IS THE SUBJECT OF THE ACCUSATION. THE PLACE AND TIME OF THE CRIME AND OTHER ESSENTIAL INFORMATION IS NOT STATED ON THE INDICTMENT. [SEE EXHIBITS B AND C] [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 200.50]

[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 291-292, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 590-605]

24. ON AUGUST 14, 2015, ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT CLERK CHRISTOPHER L. JACOBS HAD ACTED IMPROPERLY WHEN HE HAD ALTERED THE INDICTMENT IN RESPONSE TO A F.D.I.C REQUEST FOR A COPY OF A TRUE BILL OF INDICTMENT. THE STAMPED "FRANK A. SEDITA III" WAS CHANGED INTO A ACTUAL SIGNATURE AND THE SEAL OF ERIE COUNTY WAS ENGAGED IN THE ILLEGITIMATE INDICTMENT.

[SEE EXHIBITS C, O AND T PAGE 1-3]

[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 602-605, 675-678 AND 690-692]

**THE PETITIONER'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY MISREPRESENTATION
OR FRAUD ON THE PART OF THE JUDGE AND PROSECUTOR**

25. ON JANUARY 4, 2012, THE PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT OF ERIE COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES F. BARGNESI HAD IMPAIRED THE INTEGRITY OF THE ERIE COUNTY GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

(3). FALSE EVIDENCE: THE ERIE COUNTY GRAND JURY WAS ASKED TO CONSIDER ONE COUNT OF MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE, PENAL LAW SECTION 265.03 SUBDIVISION (3). THE FALSE EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE GRAND JURY CONCERNING THE SAID WEAPON OFFENSE WAS A 9MM HANDGUN THAT WAS RECOVERED ON THE PERSON OF THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR WHILE INSIDE MY HOME AT 69 BRISCOE AVENUE IN THE CITY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK ON DECEMBER 28, 2011. MR. BARGNESI HAD PRESENTED THE 9MM HANDGUN TO CORROBORATE HIS TWO WITNESSES TESTIMONIES IN SPITE OF THE FACT THAT THE 9MM HANDGUN WAS NOT LEGALLY ACCEPTABLE EVIDENCE. THE 9MM HANDGUN WAS NOT LEGALLY ACCEPTABLE EVIDENCE FOR THE CRIME OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE SECOND DEGREE, PENAL LAW SECTION 265.03(3) BECAUSE CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A LOADED FIREARM IN A HOME OR BUSINESS IS INAPPLICABLE FOR THE CHARGE BASED ON THE FACT THAT MY PRIOR CONVICTION IS NOT AN ELEMENT OF THE CRIME AND THE EXCEPTION TO THE HOME OR PLACE OF BUSINESS CANNOT BE APPLIED CONCERNING THE PRESENTATION OF THE 9MM HANDGUN. MR. BARGNESI HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES BY PRESENTING A 3 PAGE LAB REPORT TO THE GRAND JURY THAT CONTAINED INFORMATION ABOUT THE 9MM HANDGUN AND A RIFLE WHICH WERE BOTH FALSE EVIDENCE. THE RIFLE IS FALSE EVIDENCE BECAUSE BUFFALO POLICE OFFICER MICHAEL A. TOY DID NOT CHARGE ME FOR THE RIFLE UPON THE SUBMISSION OF THE

FELONY COMPLAINT. MR. BARGNESI DID NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT TO USE THE BILL OF INDICTMENT AND INDICTMENT AS AN ALTERNATIVE SIZED FOR THE SUBSEQUENTLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AND OFFICER MICHAEL A. TOY'S FAILURE TO CHARGE ME FOR THE RIFLE UPON THE SUBMISSION OF THE FELONY COMPLAINT WHICH SERVES AS THE FOUNDATION FOR SPECIFICATION OF THE CHARGES. MR. BARGNESI AND OFFICER MICHAEL A. TOY HAD MADE DELIBERATE FUNDAMENTAL ERRORS BY CHARGING ME INCORRECTLY TO PRESENT THE FALSE EVIDENCE WHILE HELPING TO SABOTAGE MY ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. [SEE EXHIBITS A, O AND T] [SEE EXHIBIT B PAGE 1 LINE 23-25, PAGE 2 LINE 1-2, PAGE 3 LINE 7-25, PAGE 5 LINE 2-24, PAGE 9 LINE 7-25, PAGE 10 LINE 1-25, PAGE 11 LINE 1-25, AND PAGE 12 LINE 1-17] [SEE THE LAST 3 PAGES OF EXHIBIT N AND THE OPPOSING AFFIDAVIT OF ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY MICHAEL J. ELWAHERTY BY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ASHLEY R. LOWRY PAGE 7 PARAGRAPH #21] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 277, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 590-592, 594, 598-601, 672-674, AND 685]

(b). MR. BARGNESI HAD ALSO HELPED SABOTAGE MY ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JR. BY PRESENTING THE 3 PAGE LAB REPORT TO THE GRAND JURY WHICH DOES NOT STATE ESSENTIAL INFORMATION TO ESTABLISH EACH AND EVERY ELEMENT OF THE CRIME. THE LAB REPORT DOES NOT STATE WHERE THE EVIDENCE WAS OBTAINED FROM, THE DESCRIPTION OF THE EVIDENCE, THE DATE AND TIME IN WHICH THE EVIDENCE WAS COLLECTED, THE DNA CONCLUSION FROM THE EVIDENCE, WHETHER OR NOT THE EVIDENCE WAS USED IN THE CRIME, WHETHER OR NOT THE EVIDENCE WAS PREVIOUSLY FIRED, THE TYPE OF INCIDENT, THE LOCATION OF THE INCIDENT, AND THE DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT. THERE WASNT LEGALLY SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE GRAND JURY TO ISSUE THE INDICTMENT. [SEE EXHIBIT B, AND T PAGE 6-9] [SEE THE LAST 3 PAGES OF EXHIBIT N AND THE OPPOSING AFFIDAVIT OF THE ERIE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY PAGE 7 PARAGRAPH #21] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 590-601, 672-674, 685 AND 695-698]

(c). MR. BARGNESI HAD ALSO HELPED SABOTAGE THE ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE BY ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO GIVE THE GRAND JURY A FALSE OR MISLEADING ACCOUNT OF THE CHARGES PRESENTED IN THE

BILLOFINDICTMENT AND INDICTMENT. HE HAD FAILED TO STATE THAT THE BILLOFINDICTMENT AND INDICTMENT HAD CHARGED THE CRIME OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE THIRD DEGREE, PENAL LAW § 265.02 (1). [SEE NYS PENAL LAW SECTION 265.02 (1)] [SEE EXHIBITS C, D, AND T PAGE 6-9] [SEE EXHIBIT B PAGE 1 LINE 23-25 AND PAGE 2 LINE 1-7] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 278, AND Vo. 2 PAGE 590-591, 602-605, 675-678 AND 695-698]

(d). THE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT AND MR. BARGNESI HAD ALSO HELPED SABOTAGE THE ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE BY ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT THE GRAND JURY FROM RECEIVING INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT THE BURDEN OF PROOF, PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AND APPLICABLE LAW. A GRAND JURY INSTRUCTIONS DOES NOT EXIST IN THE GRAND JURY MINUTES AS REQUIRED BY LAW. [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 190.25] [SEE EXHIBIT B] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 280-281, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 590-601]

(e). MR. BARGNESI HAD ALSO HELPED SABOTAGE THE ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE BY ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM EXERCISING MY RIGHT TO APPEAR BEFORE THE ERIE COUNTY GRAND JURY TO TESTIFY AND CALL WITNESSES ON MY BEHALF. ON JANUARY 4, 2012, I WAS NOT GIVEN A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME TO APPEAR BEFORE THE GRAND JURY TO TESTIFY AND CALL WITNESSES ON MY BEHALF AFTER MY ARRAIGNMENT IN BUFFALO CITY COURT ON DECEMBER 29, 2011. MR. BARGNESI HAD SECRETLY ASSEMBLED A GRAND JURY AND CONDUCTED THE GRAND JURY PROCEEDING A FEW BUSINESS DAYS AFTER MY CITY COURT ARRAIGNMENT WITHOUT GIVEN ME PRIOR NOTICE SO THAT I WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAR TO TESTIFY AND CALL WITNESSES ON MY BEHALF WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. [SEE EXHIBITS B AND F] [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 190.25 AND 190.50] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 280-283, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 590-601 AND 618-620]

(f). BUFFALO CITY COURT HAD HELPED SABOTAGE THE ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE BY ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM EXERCISING MY RIGHT TO APPEAR BEFORE THE COURT TO TESTIFY AND CALL WITNESSES UPON A FELONY HEARING FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE

HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. BUFFALO CITY COURT HAD REFUSED TO CONDUCT A FELONY HEARING TO PREVENT ME FROM TESTIFYING AND CALLING WITNESSES ON MY BEHALF FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE. THE CASE WAS SECRETLY AND IMMEDIATELY SUBMITTED TO A ERIE COUNTY GRAND JURY APPROXIMATELY 7 DAYS AFTER THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME SO THAT I WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO TESTIFY AND CALL WITNESSES DURING A FELONY HEARING OR THE GRAND JURY PROCEEDING.

[SEE EXHIBIT B AND F] [SEE NYS CPL § 180.60]

[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 279 ; AND Vo. 2 PAGES 590-601 AND 618-620]

26. THE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT HAD REFUSED TO ACT UPON MY OMNIBUS MOTION WHICH WAS FILED BY DEFENSE COUNSEL. CAREY CANTWELL IN APRIL OF 2012. JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA SHOULD HAVE INSPECTED THE GRAND JURY MINUTES TO DETERMINE THE LEGAL SUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE OFFERED TO THE GRAND JURY AND DISMISSED THE ILLEGITIMATE INDICTMENT. ALSO, I HAD REVIEWED MY RAP SHEET AND IT INDICATES THAT MY ARRESTING CHARGES OF MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE AND CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE SECOND DEGREE WERE OFFICIALLY DISMISSED FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR AS OF JANUARY 26, 2012. [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTIONS § 10.30; 190.75] [SEE EXHIBITS D AND F] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 287 AND 297, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 606-616 AND 618-620]

CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS

27. THE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE HAD ALSO HELPED SABOTAGE THE ENTIRE CRIMINAL CASE BY ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO CONCEAL THE OFFENSE CHARGED OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE THIRD DEGREE THROUGHOUT THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS TO INDUCE ME TO ACCEPT A PLEA OF GUILTY AND SENTENCE ME TO PRISON. THE OFFENSE CHARGED OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE THIRD DEGREE WAS CONCEALED BY THE FOLLOWING MEANS:

(a). PROSECUTOR JAMES F. BARGNESI HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM BEING INFORMED OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS DURING THE INDICTMENT ARRAIGNMENT PROCEEDING ON JANUARY 11, 2012. HE HAD STATED THAT "THIS DEFENDANT HAS BEEN INDICTED BY AN ERIE COUNTY GRAND JURY ON ONE

COUNT OF MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE, AND ONE COUNT OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE SECOND DEGREE" WITHOUT REVEALING THAT THE SECOND COUNT OF THE INDICTMENT CONTAINS THE CHARGE OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE THIRD DEGREE, PENAL LAW § 205.02 (1).

[SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 210.15]

[SEE EXHIBIT G PAGE 2 LINE 6-24, AND EXHIBIT T PAGE 9]

[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 293, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 621-622 AND 698]

(b). ASSIGNED DEFENSE COUNSEL E. CAREY CANTWELL HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM BEING INFORMED OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS DURING THE INDICTMENT ARRAIGNMENT PROCEEDING ON JANUARY 11, 2012. MR. CANTWELL HAD WAIVED THE READING OF THE ACCUSATORY INSTRUMENT WITHOUT MY CONSENT SO THAT JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA WOULD NOT HAVE TO INFORM ME OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS AFTER MR. BARNESI HAD MISINFORMED ME OF THE CHARGES WITHIN THE INDICTMENT. [SEE NYS CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW SECTION 210.15] [SEE EXHIBIT G PAGE 2 LINE 6-24, AND EXHIBIT T PAGE 9] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 293, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 621-622 AND 698]

(c). JUDGE MICHAEL F. PIETRUSZKA AND JAMES F. BARNESI HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM BEING INFORMED OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS DURING THE PLEA PROCEEDING ON JULY 20, 2012. JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA AND MR. BARNESI HAD REFUSED TO INFORM ME OF ALL CHARGES WHILE REFRAINING FROM READING THE WHOLE INDICTMENT ON THE RECORD THROUGHOUT THE PLEA PROCEEDING. THEY HAD REFUSED TO INFORM ME OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS AFTER BEING INFORMED THAT I DID NOT UNDERSTAND THE CHARGES IN THE INDICTMENT AND THE MEANING OF WHAT I WAS PLEADING GUILTY TO. [SEE EXHIBIT J] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 631-646]

(d). JUDGE MICHAEL F. PIETRUSZKA AND PROSECUTOR JAMES F. BARNESI HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM BEING INFORMED OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS DURING THE SENTENCING

PROCEEDING ON NOVEMBER 13, 2012. THEY HAD REFUSED TO STATE ALL CHARGES WHILE REFRAINING FROM READING THE WHOLE INDICTMENT ON THE RECORD THROUGHOUT THE SENTENCING PROCEEDING. [SEE EXHIBIT L] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 650 - 660]

- (e). THE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO PREVENT ME FROM BEING INFORMED OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS WITHIN MY PRESENTENCING REPORT. THE PRESENTENCE REPORT DOES NOT STATE THE OFFENSE CHARGED OF CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF A WEAPON IN THE THIRD DEGREE, PENAL LAW § 265.02(1). [SEE EXHIBIT F] [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 618-620]

**THE PETITIONER'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY THE
INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL**

28. DEFENSE COUNSEL E. CAREY CANTWELL HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM BEING INFORMED OF THE TRUE NATURE OF THE ACCUSATIONS THROUGHOUT THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. MR. CANTWELL HAD WAIVED THE READING OF THE INDICTMENT WITHOUT MY CONSENT DURING THE INDICTMENT ARRAIGNMENT PROCEEDING ON JANUARY 11, 2012. HE HAD ALSO MISINFORMED ME ABOUT THE CHARGES IN THE INDICTMENT DURING A SHORT RECESS AT THE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT UPON THE PLEA PROCEEDINGS ON JULY 20, 2012. HE HAD ALSO CONCEALED THE FACT THAT THE GRAND JURY PROCEEDING WERE DEFECTIVE THROUGHOUT THE CASE. HE DID NOT TELL ME THAT I HAD A RIGHT TO APPEAR BEFORE THE GRAND JURY TO TESTIFY AND CALL WITNESSES ON MY BEHALF. HE DID NOT TELL ME THAT THERE WAS'NT LEGALLY SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE GRAND JURY. HE DID NOT TELL ME THAT THE INDICTMENT IS NOT LEGALLY ACCEPTABLE OR SATISFACTORY AND THAT THE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT HAD NOT AUTHORITY OR RIGHT AT ALL TO PROCEED WITH THE INDICTMENT AND ACCEPT A PLEA OF GUILTY TO A LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE OF MANSLAUGHTER IN THE FIRST DEGREE IN FULL SATISFACTION OF THE INDICTMENT. HE DID NOT REVEAL THAT HE HAD FILED A OMNIBUS MOTION UNTIL AFTER I WAS INDUCED TO ENTER INTO A PLEA AGREEMENT. HE HAD ABANDONED THE OMNIBUS MOTION TO HELP THE STATE SECURE MY WRONGFUL CONVICTION AND VIOLATE MY RIGHTS. HE HAD ALSO REFUSED TO PRESENT THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED IN THE CASE UPON MY MOTION TO WITHDRAW MY PLEA OF GUILTY FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. HE HAD ALSO REFUSED TO PROPERLY INFORM ME ABOUT MY **RIGHT TO APPEAL** AFTER WITNESSING ME BEING MISINFORMED ABOUT MY APPEAL RIGHTS BY JUDGE MICHAEL J. PIETRUSZKA AND ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JAMES F. BARGNESI DURING THE PLEA PROCEEDING ON JULY 20, 2012. HE DID NOT PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISON-

MENT AS MY DEFENSE ATTORNEY. HE HAD REFUSED TO PROTECT AND DEFEND MY HUMAN RIGHTS WHICH ARE GUARANTEED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. [SEE 22 NYCRR § 1200] [SEE EXHIBITS A - P] [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 80-125; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 588-689]

THE PETITIONER'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY VIOLATING HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

29. FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, MY CRIMINAL CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY VIOLATING MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

D. A PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL PROCESS

30. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD DECIDED TO TAKE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE THROUGH A PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL PROCESS TO CAUSE US TO SUFFER ACUTELY AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF ITS EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. CLERKS, JUDGES, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS HAS BEEN OPPRESSIVE, UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRESPECTFUL, ENGAGING IN CORRUPT PRACTICES, NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES, REFUSING TO FOLLOW PROCEDURES, PLACING US IN SPECIFIED UNFAVORABLE PLACES OR POSITIONS, PUTTING UNDER MASSIVE PRESSURE OR MENTAL STRAIN, DEPRIVING US OF MONEY, PROPERTY AND RIGHTS THROUGH DECEPTION OR FRAUD, AND CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS TO PROLONG THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE FOR WELL OVER 4 YEARS NOW. I WILL BE HELPING YOU GUYS BECOME THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL PROCESS BY ELABORATING ON FILINGS, EVIDENCE AND THE MISCONDUCT OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO PROVIDE THIS COURT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION THAT IS NEEDED TO ESTABLISH FACTS IN YOUR LEGAL INVESTIGATION AND ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE BY LAW. I ASK THAT YOU GUYS PLEASE REVIEW THE RECORDS FOR THIS CASE TO EXAMINE THE FOLLOWING EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT OR NEGLECT IN ALL PUBLIC OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE:

31. ON JUNE 21, 2018, I HAD FILED THE ORIGINAL COPIES OF MY CIVIL COVER SHEET; COVER LETTER; COMPLAINT PART 1; MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND SUMMARY JUDGEMENT; POOR PERSON MOTION WITH MY AUTHORIZATION FORM, MONTHLY STATEMENTS AND SUPPORTING POOR PERSON RELIEF EXHIBITS D AND E; AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY TO COMMENCE THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE SO THAT I'LL BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND COMPENSATED FOR A LONG CHAIN OF ABUSES AND REPEATED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BY THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE MAEA.D. AGOSTINO HAD PRESIDED OVER THIS CASE AND RESPONDED TO THE LEGAL PAPERS BY ISSUING

A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED JUNE 27, 2018 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY POOR PERSON MOTION AS INCOMPLETE, ILLEGALLY ORDERED ME TO COMPLETE THE PRISON CERTIFICATION SECTION AFTER I HAD STATED THAT THE BUSINESS OFFICE AT GREAT MEADOW CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAD REFUSED TO FILL OUT THE PRISON CERTIFICATION SECTION, AND ILLEGALLY ORDERED ME TO RESUBMIT A INMATE AUTHORIZATION FORM CONSENTING TO PAY A \$350 OR \$400 FILING FEE WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTIONS. I WAS FORCED TO FILL OUT THE PRISON CERTIFICATION SECTION MYSELF AND RESUBMIT MY POOR PERSON MOTION ALONG WITH A NEW INMATE AUTHORIZATION FORM. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO HAD THEN ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED SEPTEMBER 20, 2018 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY ORDERED THAT I MUST WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE FILING OF THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER, SUBMIT ANOTHER INMATE AUTHORIZATION FORM AND EITHER (1) PAY THE FULL \$400 FILING FEE OR (2) SUBMIT A COMPLETED, SIGNED AND CERTIFIED POOR PERSON MOTION OR CERTIFIED COPIES OF MY INMATE ACCOUNT RECORDS FOR THE 6 MONTH PERIOD IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE FILING OF MY COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE. ON OCTOBER 1, 2018, I HAD FILED MY SECOND POOR PERSON MOTION IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY WHERE I HAD ASKED THE COURT AGAIN TO WAIVE OR REDUCE THE FILING FEE, APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY AND ORDER THE U.S MARSHAL TO SERVE COPIES OF ALL NECESSARY PAPERS UPON THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO HAD RESPONDED TO THE POOR PERSON MOTION BY ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED NOVEMBER 19, 2018 WHERE SHE HAD IMPOSED A ILLEGAL \$350 FILING FEE FOR THE INDIGENT PLAINTIFF, ILLEGALLY DENIED ME AN ATTORNEY, CLAIMED TO HAVE DISMISSED LEGITIMATE CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS IN MY COMPLAINT PART I BASED ON THE ILLEGITIMATE GROUND THAT I HAD FAILED TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED, RAISED ILLEGITIMATE DEFENSES FOR CERTAIN DEFENDANTS WITHOUT HOLDING THEM LIABLE FOR AN ANSWER TO DEFEND THEMSELVES OR ACKNOWLEDGE THE TRUTH BEHIND EACH CLAIM, AND CLAIMED TO HAVE DENIED MY MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT BASED ON THE ILLEGITIMATE GROUND THAT IT WAS FILED TOO SOON AS PREMATURE INSTEAD OF WAITING UNTIL THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO ADDRESS THE MOTION FOR A UNREPRESENTED INDIGENT LITIGANT. JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO HAD FORCED ME TO PAY A \$350 FILING FEE IN SPITE OF MY KNOWN STATUS AS A POOR PERSON WHO HAD ASKED THE COURT TO WAIVE THE FILING FEE OR REDUCE IT FOR THE INDIGENT PLAINTIFF WHO HAS OUTSTANDING OBLIGATIONS, PAST DUE BALANCES AND NO MEANS OF SUPPORTING HIMSELF OR OTHERS. JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO HAD ALSO REFUSED TO APPOINT ME A PROBONO ATTORNEY AFTER I HAD DEMONSTRATED MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION AND UNSUCCESSFUL EFFORTS TO GET AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY MOTION PAPERS FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF. JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO HAD ALSO TOOK IT UPON HERSELF TO ISSUE ANOTHER ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED APRIL 8, 2019 WHERE SHE HAD CLAIMED TO HAVE DISMISSED MORE CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTIONS, ILLEGALLY ORDERED THAT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER - I SHALL SUBMIT TO THE COURT 12 COPIES OF THE COMPLAINT AND

SUPPORTING EXHIBITS FOR THE U.S. MARSHAL TO EFFECT SERVICE UPON ONLY 12 DEFENDANTS, ILLEGALLY ORDERED THAT I SIGN AND COMPLETE ONLY 12 USM - 285 FORMS, ILLEGALLY ORDERED THAT A RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT SHALL ONLY BE FILED BY DEFENDANTS J. RIEF, S. BARCOMB, GLEN ENGETROM, AMBROSE WALDRON, CHAD ROWE, TRAVIS BAXTER, J. RUSSELL, C. COX, J. RUFA, G. WATERSON, S. BULLIS, AND DONALD VENETTOZZI OR THEIR ATTORNEY, AND ILLEGALLY ORDERED THAT, IN ACCORDANCE WITH HER ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED NOVEMBER 18, 2018, THE CLERK SHALL TERMINATE FROM THE DOCKET THE FOLLOWING DEFENDANTS WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR GROUNDS FOR SUCH ACTIONS: (1) THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS, (2) ANTHONY ANNÜCCI, (3) A. RODRIGUEZ, (4) MR. WEISHAUP, (5) RICHARD ADAMS, (6) DRAGOS MACELARU, (7) CATHERINE CALLEY, (8) MR. MANDALAYWALA KUMAN, (9) MICHAEL KIRKPATRICK, (10) DONALD UHLER, (11) MRS. M. LIBERTY, (12) THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, (13) GERALD W. CONNOLLY, (14) THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT, (15) GERALD J. WHALEN, (16) FRANCESCA CAFARELL, (17) THE STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS, AND (18) ROWAN D. WILSON. JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO HAD RAISED ILLEGITIMATE DEFENSES FOR THE FOREMENTIONED DEFENDANTS WITHOUT HOLDING THEM LIABLE FOR AN ANSWER TO DEFEND THEMSELVES AND EITHER ADMIT OR DENY THE SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN MY COMPLAINT AS REQUIRED BY LAW. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 1-42, 50-55 AND 530-569]

32. ON JUNE 25, 2019, I HAD FILED A COVER LETTER, PART 2 OF MY COMPLAINT, EXHIBITS, A MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION, (15) USM-285 FORMS / PROCESS AND RETURN FORMS, A COPY OF MY COMPLAINT PART 1 AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. CORRECTIONAL STAFF AT GREAT MEADOW CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WAS CAUSING ME TO HAVE PHOTOCOPYING AND OTHER ISSUES SO I WAS NOT ABLE TO SUBMIT THE 12 COPIES OF MY COMPLAINT PART 1 DURING THIS FILING AS EXPLAINED TO THE COURT IN MY LEGAL PAPERS. THE MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION HAS A NOTARIZED DATE OF APRIL 25, 2019 AND IT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT FOR THE RECUSAL OF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, A CHANGE OF VENUE, THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, AND PERMISSION TO AMEND OR SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT WITH A PART 2. JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO HAD RESPONDED BY EXCUSING HERSELF FROM THIS CASE BECAUSE OF THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND HER LACK OF IMPARTIALITY. I HAD LABELED HER AND THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AS DEFENDANTS WITHIN PART 2 OF MY COMPLAINT SO SHE WAS FORCED TO RECUSE FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS. THIS CASE WAS THEN ASSIGNED TO U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES WHO HAD DECIDED TO ISSUE A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED SEPTEMBER 16, 2019 WHERE SHE HAD PROVIDED ME WITH INFORMATION AND ILLEGALLY DENIED ME THE RECUSAL OF JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, AN APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, A CHANGE OF VENUE AND PERMISSION TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT AFTER I HAD TOOK THE LIBERTY TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT BY SUBMITTING PART 2 OF MY COMPLAINT TO THE COURT ALREADY. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 20-27; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 56-65, 237-238 AND 570-592] [SEE 28 U.S.C. A § 1391, 1404, 1415, 144 AND 455;

AND FRCP 15, 28 U.S.C. A]

33. ON NOVEMBER 6, 2019, I HAD FILED THE 12 COPIES OF MY COMPLAINT AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS #1-44 WITHIN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY TO EFFECT SERVICE UPON THE DEFENDANTS OR THEIR ATTORNEY WHILE ACTING IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS OF THE COURTS. THE STATE OF NEW YORK ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT WAS SERVED AND RESPONDED TO THE COMPLAINT, EXHIBITS, SUMMONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BY FILING A ILLEGITIMATE ANSWER DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2020 WHERE HE HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND RAISED ILLEGITIMATE DEFENSES FOR CLAIMS SET FORTH IN MY COMPLAINT PART 1. MR. PINSONNAULT HAD NEGLECTED HIS DUTIES BY REFUSING TO DEFEND ALL DEFENDANTS AND SUBMIT AN ANSWER FOR ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE COMPLAINT WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT TO ATTEMPT TO PROTECT CERTAIN DEFENDANTS FROM BEING SUED AND HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS. MR. PINSONNAULT WAS SUPPOSE TO MAKE SURE THAT HE SUBMITTED AN ANSWER STATING LEGITIMATE DEFENSES AND EITHER ADMITTING OR DENYING ALL ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN THE COMPLAINT AGAINST STATE OFFICIALS, OFFICERS OR EMPLOYEES AS REQUIRED BY LAW. MR. PINSONNAULT WAS UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO OBEY THE LAW AND COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS, RULES AND OBLIGATIONS SO THAT THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT WOULD HAVE FULFILLED OR RESPECTED THE REQUIREMENTS OF LAW, MORALITY AND RITUAL. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 653 - 910 AND 530 - 560, AND Vo. 3 PAGES 4 - 9]

34. IN NOVEMBER OF 2019, I HAD SERVED A COVER LETTER DATED NOVEMBER 4, 2019, MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION, PART 3 OF MY COMPLAINT ALONG WITH 12 COPIES OF IT, AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. IN MY COVER LETTER, I HAD ASKED THE COURT TO PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT THE U.S MARSHAL SERVE ALL NECESSARY PAPERS UPON THE U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL AND/OR THE DEFENDANTS IN WHICH THE COURT HAD SELECTED TO BE PERSONALLY SERVED BY THE U.S MARSHAL(S). I HAD ADVISED THE COURT THAT THE OTHER DEFENDANTS CANNOT BE TERMINATED FROM THE DOCKET AND MUST ANSWER FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS AS DESCRIBED IN MY COMPLAINT AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS. I HAD ASKED THE COURT TO PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT THE U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL AND NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE RESPOND TO ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN MY COMPLAINT PART 1, 2, AND 3 AS REQUIRED BY LAW, MY MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION WAS COUNTERSIGNED BY ROBERT COBB AND IT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT FOR THE RECUSAL OF U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES, A CHANGE OF VENUE, THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND PERMISSION TO AMEND OR SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT WITH A PART 3. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD RESPONDED TO THE MOTION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BY ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED JANUARY 3, 2020 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME HER RECUSAL, AN APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, A CHANGE OF VENUE AND PERMISSION TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT AFTER I HAD TOOK THE LIBERTY TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT BY SUBMITTING PART 3 OF MY COMPLAINT TO THE COURT ALREADY. JUDGE BRENDA

K. SANNES HAD REFUSED TO EXCUSE HERSELF FROM THIS CASE INSPITE OF THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND HER LACK OF IMPARTIALITY. SHE DID NOT STATE ANY LEGITIMATE GROUNDS TO DENY ME THE REQUESTED RELIEF IN MY MEMORANDUM OF FACTS MOTION. PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT I HAD SUPPLEMENTED MY COMPLAINT WITH A PART 3 TO IMPLICATE OR NAME DEFENDANTS, SET A NEW COST OF DAMAGES AND CONSOLIDATE MY FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS CASE INTO THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 20-27; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 66-75, 233-238, 593-602]

35. ON FEBRUARY 13, 2020, U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD TOOK IT UPON HIMSELF TO ISSUE A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2020 AND ENTITLED "MANDATORY PRETRIAL DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING ORDER IN CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS BROUGHT BY INMATES PROSE". JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD ISSUED THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER WHERE HE HAD FAILED TO SCHEDULE A CONFERENCE WITH HIM OR THE ASSIGNED JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES TO DISCUSS A PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR HOW THE CASE WAS TO PROGRESS, THE ISSUES OF THE CASE, SETTLEMENT AND A PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER IN ACCORDANCE WITH FED. RULES. CIV. PROC. 16(B) AND 26(F), 28 U.S.C.A. THE DEFENDANTS HAD ALSO USED DIFFERENT TACTICS TO AVOID TURNING OVER THE MANDATORY PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURE IN THIS CASE. THEY HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO INSPECT AND OBTAIN THE MANDATORY PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURES RELATING TO ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. ON JUNE 17, 2020, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD PROVIDED US WITH FALSE, ERRONEOUS OR DECEITFUL INFORMATION UPON THEIR CIVIL DOCKET ENTRY #126 WHERE IT STATES THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAD PROVIDED ME WITH INITIAL MANDATORY DISCLOSURES BY S. BARCOMB, TRAVIS BAXTER, S. BULLIS, C. COX, GLEN ENGSTROM, J. RIEF, CHAD ROWE, J. RUFA, J. RUSSELL, DONALD VENETT-OZZI, AMBROSE WALDRON AND G. WATERSON. ON OCTOBER 9, 2020, I REMEMBER BEING CALLED TO THE GUIDANCE UNIT AT ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO BE GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY TO "VIEW EVIDENCE" PROVIDED BY THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE RELATING TO MY ASSAULT BY STAFF INCIDENTS THAT TOOK PLACE AT CLINTON CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON MAY 11, 2016, AND UPSTATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON AUGUST 26, 2016. I HAD REFUSED TO REVIEW THE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOS, COLORED PHOTOS, VIDEO FOOTAGE AND EMPLOYEE INJURY REPORT FORMS FOR CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS J. RUSSELL, C. COX, A. WALDRON, C. ROWE, J. RUFA, J. BURROUGHS AND G. ENGSTROM RELATING TO MY ASSAULT BY STAFF INCIDENTS BECAUSE I HAD TOLD A GUIDANCE COUNSELOR WITNESS BY THE NAME OF JAMMY L. HICKEY THAT I WOULD LIKE TO REVIEW THE EVIDENCE WITH AN ATTORNEY APPOINTED BY THE COURT. PLUS, I COULD NOT KEEP OR MAKE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS OR DVDS THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE GUIDANCE UNIT FOR ME TO REVIEW ACCORDING TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE CERTIFICATION DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 9, 2020. I WAS NOT GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY TO INSPECT OR RECEIVE ANY DOCUMENTS OR OTHER EVIDENCE IN JUNE OF 2020 SO I HAD REFUSED TO SIGN THE OTHER DOCUMENT ATTACHED TO THE CERTIFICATION DOCUMENT ON OCTOBER 9, 2020. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 76-86, 239-248,

504 AND 633-634]

36. ON AUGUST 24, 2020, NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT HAD FILED A ILLEGITIMATE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW DATED AUGUST 24, 2020 IN SUPPORT OF THE DEFENDANTS' PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(C), 28 U.S.C.A WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS TO PLACE ME UNDER MASSIVE PRESSURE OR MENTAL STRAIN AND CAUSE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE A WIDE RANGE OF PROBLEMS. HE HAD ASKED THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT TO ILLEGALLY DISMISS THE LEGITIMATE CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS DIRECTOR DONALD VENETTOZZI AND NURSE WATERSON FOR A LACK OF PERSONAL INVOLVEMENT AND/OR A FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED AFTER REVIEWING MY COMPLAINT WHICH STATES ALL LEGITIMATE CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AND THEIR PERSONAL INVOLVEMENT ARISING FROM DELIBERATE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE, NEGLIGENCE OR ACTS OF MISCONDUCT. U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD RESPONDED TO THE MOTION BY ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED NOVEMBER 30, 2020 WHERE HE HAD RECOMMENDED THAT THE MOTION TO DISMISS SHOULD BE DENIED WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD PLAYED HER PART BY ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED DECEMBER 18, 2020 WHERE SHE HAD DENIED THE MOTION APPROXIMATELY 4 MONTHS AFTER IT WAS FILED TO EXECUTE THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 87-101, AND Vo. 3 PAGES 10-23]

37. ON OCTOBER 9, 2020 AND NOVEMBER 18, 2020, THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD SCHEDULED FOR ME TO APPEAR IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS CONFERENCE ROOM FOR A DEPOSITION AT ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY, BUT, CORRECTIONAL STAFF DID NOT COME GET ME FOR ANY PROCEEDINGS ON BOTH OCCASIONS SO I HAD ASSUMED THAT THE DEPOSITION CONFERENCE WAS CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS WHO WERE SECRETLY SETTING THINGS UP TO MAKE IT SEEM LIKE I DID NOT SHOW UP TO PREVIOUSLY SCHEDULED DEPOSITION CONFERENCES WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. ON NOVEMBER 27, 2020, I WAS FINALLY LET OUT MY CELL TO BE ESCORTED BY A AREA SERGEANT TO A VIDEO CONFERENCE AT ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WHERE I HAD REFUSED TO GO IN DEPOSITION WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL WHILE TRYING TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. I DID NOT WANT TO BE PLACED AT A DISADVANTAGE OR IN A UNFAVORABLE POSITION SO I HAD REFUSED TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS WITHOUT A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY TO CONDUCT THE CASE, DEFEND AND PROTECT MY RIGHTS, AND HELP ME ESTABLISH MY TESTIMONY IN A QUESTION AND ANSWER FORMAT. ON NOVEMBER 30, 2020, I HAD FILED A LETTER REGARDING THE SCHEDULING OF A CONFERENCE TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. ON NOVEMBER 30, 2020,

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT HAD ALSO FILED A LETTER REGARDING A CONFERENCE ON ISSUES RELATING TO MY REFUSAL TO PROCEED WITH A DEPOSITION OR PERMISSION TO FILE A MOTION RELATING TO THE ISSUES SUBMITTED TO JUDGE BAXTER. ON DECEMBER 1, 2020, THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD ISSUED A DOCKET TEXT ORDER GRANTING US A TELEPHONE CONFERENCE THAT WAS SET FOR DECEMBER 10, 2020 AT 2:00 PM BEFORE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER. ON DECEMBER 10, 2020, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER WAS UNWILLING TO PERFORM HIS LEGAL DUTIES AND SHOWED A LACK OF IMPARTIALITY DURING OUR TELEPHONE CONFERENCE THAT WAS PLACED ON THE RECORD. JUDGE BAXTER HAD REFUSED TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE AND BASICLY TOLD ME THAT IF I DO NOT GIVE A DEPOSITION WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL UPON THE DEPOSITION VIDEO CONFERENCE THAT HE WOULD DIRECT THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT TO FILE A MOTION TO DISMISS THIS CASE FOR A FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND THAT HE WOULD GRANT THE MOTION. ON DECEMBER 23, 2020, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT HAD TOOK IT UPON HIMSELF TO FILE A ILLEGITIMATE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW DATED DECEMBER 23, 2020 IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ~~410B~~ 23 USEA. HE HAD ASKED THE COURT TO DISMISS THIS CASE FOR A FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS. THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION WAS HELD IN ABEYANT PENDING THE COMPLETION OF MY DEPOSITION BECAUSE I HAD DECIDED TO GO IN DEPOSITION WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL TO TRY TO AVOID THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. ON DECEMBER 28, 2020, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD SENT ME A ILLEGITIMATE DOCKET TEXT ORDER THROUGH A COURT CLERK STATING THAT I COULD NOT GIVE ANY TESTIMONY RELATING TO THE VARIOUS ISSUES IN THIS CASE THAT HAVE BEEN NOTED ON THE RECORD DURING THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE ON DECEMBER 10, 2020 AND WAS PREVIOUSLY ADDRESSED BY U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES. LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT THOSE ISSUES WAS NOT ADDRESSED BY JUDGE SANNES OR JUDGE MAG A.D' AGOSTINO. ON JANUARY 21, 2021, I WAS COMPELLED TO GO IN DEPOSITION WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL WHILE I WAS NOT IN MY RIGHT STATE OF MIND AND WAS SUFFERING FROM A WIDE RANGE OF MEDICAL PROBLEMS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. DURING THE DEPOSITION, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO DISRUPT MY SPEECH ON A REGULAR BASIS, STOP ME FROM SPEAKING AT TIMES, SEIZE CONTROL OF THE DEPOSITION, AND PREVENT ME FROM PROSECUTING THIS CASE AND GIVING INFORMATION RELATING TO MY CLAIMS WHILE HE WAS TRYING TO MAKE THE DEPOSITION ABOUT THE TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION #5 AND #10 ONLY AND STOP ME FROM REVEALING THINGS AND GIVING THE FULL PARTICULARS OF THIS CASE. I

WAS ABLE TO TESTIFY TO SOME DEGREE INSPITE OF MR. PINSONNAULT'S EFFORTS TO LIMIT MY TESTIMONY AND PREVENT ME FROM PROSECUTING THIS CASE AND GIVING RELEVANT INFORMATION ON THE RECORD. THE COURT DID NOT INFORM ME OF MY RIGHTS AND ACCORD ME OPPORTUNITY TO EXERCISE SUCH RIGHTS AND TAKE IT UPON ITSELF TO TAKE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AS IS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THEM. THE COURT SHOULD NOT HAVE PROCEEDED UNTIL I WAS PROVIDED WITH AN ATTORNEY, EITHER OF MY OWN CHOOSING OR BY ASSIGNMENT. I HAVE A RIGHT TO THE AID OF AN ATTORNEY AT EVERY SUBSEQUENT STAGE OF THE CIVIL ACTION. AND IF I APPEAR UPON ANY PROCEEDING WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY, I HAVE THE RIGHT TO AN ADJOURNMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING AN ATTORNEY AND TO HAVE AN ATTORNEY ASSIGNED BY THE COURT IN ANY CASE WHERE I'M FINANCIALLY UNABLE TO OBTAIN AN ATTORNEY. I HAD LET MR. PINSONNAULT AND THE REPRESENTIVES OF THE COURT KNOW THAT I WILL BE SUBMITTING PART 4 OF MY COMPLAINT AT A LATER TIME WHILE IN DEPOSITION. THE DEPOSITION WAS AUDIO VIDEO RECORDED BUT THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD STOPPED THE RECORDING AT TIMES TO CAUSE CONFUSION, FRUSTRATIONS, DISRUPT MY TRAIN OF THOUGHT OR SPEECH, AND POSSIBLY DELIBERATELY CAUSE A IMPROPER OR DISTORTED RECORDING WHILE I WAS GIVING SWORN TESTIMONY UNDER OATH ON JANUARY 21, 2021. I HAVE DISCOVERED THAT THE DEPOSITION AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE ON NOVEMBER 27, 2020, DECEMBER 10, 2020 AND JANUARY 21, 2021 WAS NOT PROPERLY TRANSCRIBED BY U.S COURT REPORTERS. ON APRIL 20, 2021, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD FINALLY ENTERED A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER DENYING THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR A FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AS MOOT BECAUSE I HAD SUBMITTED TO A DEPOSITION. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 190-192, 194 AND 269, AND Vo. 3 PAGES 24-40, 154-220, AND 254-468]

38. IN JANUARY OF 2021, I HAD SERVED COPIES OF MY SETTLEMENT STIPULATIONS LETTER UPON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IN MY EFFORTS TO RESOLVE THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD USED DIFFERENT TACTICS TO AVOID ENTERING INTO AN AGREEMENT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN MY SETTLEMENT STIPULATIONS LETTER SO I HAD TOOK MY OFFER OFF THE TABLE TO EXECUTE MY LITIGATION STRATEGY. IF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WOULD HAVE RESPONDED TO MY SETTLEMENT STIPULATION LETTER AND AGREED TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEN THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO AVOID THE SUBSTANTIAL COST OF DAMAGES, INCONVENIENCES, AND DISRUPTION OF LITIGATION. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 635 - 646]

39. ON JANUARY 14, 2021, I HAD SERVED A LETTER FOR HEALTH EXAMINATIONS AND CARE FROM OUTSIDE MEDICAL PROVIDERS FOR A LONG LIST OF PHYSICAL AND MENTAL AILMENTS AS DESCRIBED IN MY LETTER - EXHIBIT A1 BECAUSE THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCS HAD REFRAINED FROM DIAGNOSING AND TREATING MY MEDICAL PROBLEMS WHILE TRYING TO REDUCE MY

CHANCES OF SUCCESS OR EFFECTIVENESS IN ANY LEGAL ACTIONS BY PLACING ME IN UNFAVORABLE CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD RESPONDED TO THE LETTER-EXHIBIT A1 BY SENDING ME A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER THAT WAS ENTERED AND ISSUED BY A COURT CLERK ON JANUARY 20, 2021 WHERE HE HAD REFUSED TO HELP ME AND LIED BY STATING THAT THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN MY LETTER IS NOT RELATED TO THE CLAIMS IN THIS ACTION AND IS BEYOND THE AUTHORITY OF THE COURT TO GRANT. JUDGE BAXTER HAD ALSO TOLD ME TO CONTINUE TO RAISE MY MEDICAL NEEDS WITH THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS AND USE THE GRIEVANCE PROCESS TO THE EXTENT THAT I'M NOT SATISFIED WITH THE CARE. JUDGE BAXTER WAS AWARE OF THE FACT THAT I WAS UNABLE TO USE THE GRIEVANCE PROCESS TO SOLVE MY ON-GOING PROBLEMS WITH THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AND DECIDED TO PLAY DUMB WHILE NEGLECTING MY MEDICAL NEEDS WHICH ARE RELATED TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo.1 PAGES 193 AND 647-652]

40. ON APRIL 7, 2021, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT WAS OFFICIALLY TAKEN OFF THIS CASE AFTER I HAD SENT LETTERS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO HIS SUPERVISOR MRS. LETITIA JAMES COMPLAINING ABOUT HOW HE WAS CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO ENGAGE IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO ENCROACH ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER HAD SERVED HIS NOTICE OF APPEARANCE TO START REPRESENTING DEFENDANTS ON APRIL 7, 2021. HE WAS SUPPOSE TO BE REPRESENTING ALL STATE AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES WHO WERE LABELED AS DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE BUT HE HAD PICKED UP WHERE NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT HAD LEFT OFF AND STARTED REPRESENTING ONLY 12 DEFENDANTS WHO ARE STATE EMPLOYEES BY THE NAMES OF DONALD VENETTOZZI, STEVEN BULLIS, TRAVIS BAXTER, CHAD ROWE, JOSEPH RUSSELL, CRAIG COX, GLEN ENGSTROM, AMBROSE WALDRON, JOSEPH REIF, SCOTT BARCOMB, GEORGE WATERSON AND JASON RUFA. ON MAY 19, 2022, NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI HAD SERVED HER NOTICE OF APPEARANCE TO APPEAR AS ADDITIONAL COUNSEL TO JONATHAN REINER AND SHE HAD MADE IT CLEAR THAT THEY WERE ONLY REPRESENTING THE FOREMENTIONED 12 DEFENDANTS WHO WERE PREVIOUSLY REPRESENTED BY ERIC PINSONNAULT. THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND REPRESENTATION OF ALL STATE AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES WHO ARE LABELED AS KNOWN DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 284-295; AND APPENDIX B Vo.3 PAGES 2-3]

41. ON MAY 5, 2021, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED MAY 5, 2021 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED ME PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF IN RESPONSE TO MY LETTER AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS ASKING THE COURT TO ORDER THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO REFRAIN FROM CONTINUOUSLY ABUSING ME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATING MY HUMAN RIGHTS. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD REFUSED TO GRANT ME THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SO THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS COULD CONTINUE TO ABUSE ME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATE MY HUMAN RIGHTS WHILE EXECUTING

THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 102-105]

42. ON MAY 10, 2021, I HAD SERVED PART 4 OF MY COMPLAINT AFTER FOREWARNING AND ADVISING THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT OF SUCH SUBMISSION WHILE IN DEPOSITION ON JANUARY 21, 2021. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES DID NOT APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY YET SO I HAD TOOK IT UPON MYSELF TO COMPOSE AND SUBMIT PART 4 OF MY COMPLAINT WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL WHILE EXERCISING MY RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES UNDER THE 1ST AMENDMENT OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION. I DID TAKE THE LIBERTY TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT, EVEN THO, I HAD ASKED THE COURT FOR PERMISSION ONCE AGAIN UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY MEMORANDUM OF LAW MOTION PAPERS WHICH WAS SUBMITTED TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON MAY 31, 2021. I HAD IMPLICATED THE U.S GOVERNMENT, BRENDA K. SANNES, ANDREW T. BAXTER, MICHAEL F. PIETRUSZKA AND OTHER KNOWN AND UNKNOWN PARTIES WITHIN PART 4 OF MY COMPLAINT TO MAKE THEM DEFENDANTS IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL, AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 233-238 AND 603-619]

43. ON MAY 14, 2021, NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES BY FILING A ILLEGITIMATE MOTION FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS AND THE SO CALLED DECLARATIONS OF JONATHAN REINER AND NYS DOCCS DIRECTOR DONALD VENETTOZZI, HEARING OFFICER STEVEN BULLIS, NURSE GEORGE WATERSON, AND CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS SCOTT BARCOMB AND JOSEPH RIEF WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCRACH ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. JONATHAN REINER HAD SUBMITTED THE LEGAL PAPERS WITHOUT PROCURING THE NECESSARY DECLARATIONS FOR EACH AND EVERY CLAIM SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, WITHOUT ACKNOWLEDGING ISSUES OF FACT THAT ARE IN DISPUTE, WITHOUT PRESENTING ANY LEGITIMATE EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE ILLEGITIMATE MOTION AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, AND WITHOUT STATING ANY FACTS WHICH ENTITLES THE DEFENDANTS TO A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND THE OTHER RELIEF REQUESTED IN HIS MOTION PAPERS. ON AUGUST 12, 2021, U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD RESPONDED TO THE LEGAL PAPERS BY ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED AUGUST 12, 2021 WHERE HE HAD RECOMMENDED THAT THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT BE GRANTED IN PART AS TO DEFENDANTS S. BULLIS, D. VENETTOZZI AND WATERSON BEING TERMINATED FROM THE DOCKET. HE HAD ALSO RECOMMENDED THAT THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION BE DENIED IN ALL OTHER RESPECTS. U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD RESPONDED BY ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED SEPTEMBER 13, 2021 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY ORDERED THAT U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER'S REPORT - RECOMMENDATION IS ADOPTED IN ALL RESPECTS WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT TO DISMISS LEGITIMATE CLAIMS

FROM PART 1 OF MY COMPLAINT OR TERMINATE LEGITIMATE DEFENDANTS FROM THE DOCKET. SHE HAD ALSO DENIED THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN ALL OTHER RESPECTS. PLEASE NOTE THAT THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACT AND DECLARATIONS NAMED IN THIS PARAGRAPH # 42 WAS NOT RETURNED TO ME BY DEPARTMENTAL STAFF AFTER A EMERGENCY DRAFT AT ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WHERE ME AND OTHER INMATES WAS PLACED ON A DRAFT TO AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WITHOUT PACKING AND TAKING OUR PERSONAL PROPERTY WITH US IN 2021. WHEN I HAD FINALLY RECEIVED MY PERSONAL PROPERTY, I WAS MISSING LEGAL MATERIALS, BOOKS, MAGAZINES, CLOTHING AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 111-133, 509 AND 512]

44. ON MAY 31, 2021, I HAD SUBMITTED A OPPOSING AFFIDAVIT, MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY AFFIDAVIT, STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE. THE LEGAL PAPERS WAS SUBMITTED TO OPPOSE THE DEFENDANTS' ILLEGITIMATE SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION, ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE, AND ASK THE COURT TO ENTER A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS BASED ON THE LAW AND FACTS OF THIS CASE. MY MEMORANDUM OF LAW MOTION PAPERS IS WHERE I HAD ASKED THE COURT FOR THE RECUSAL OF JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER, A CHANGE OF VENUE, AN APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND PERMISSION TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT ONCE AGAIN. JUDGES BRENDA K. SANNES AND ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD REFUSED TO RECUSE AND DID NOT RESPOND TO THE MOTION PAPERS FOR OVER 17 MONTHS WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD FINALLY DISMISSED MY MOTION FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT IN HER ILLEGITIMATE FINAL ORDER DATED NOVEMBER 15, 2022 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT AND ALL MOTIONS PENDING BEFORE THE COURT WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTIONS WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCROACH ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 20-27; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 182, 233-238, 620-632] [SEE 28 U.S.C § 1391, 1404, 1915, 144 AND 455;

45. ON JUNE 7, 2021, I HAD FILED A LIST OF POTENTIAL WITNESSES FOR THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT TO ISSUE SUBPOENAS TO COMPEL MY WITNESSES TO APPEAR IN COURT TO TESTIFY AND BRING RELEVANT DOCUMENTARY OR OTHER EVIDENCE IN THE EVENT OF A CIVIL JURY TRIAL. ON JUNE 9, 2021, U.S MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD RESPONDED BY ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER WHERE HE HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY REQUEST FOR THE ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENAS AS PREMATURE BECAUSE HE HAD CLAIMED THAT NO TRIAL WAS SCHEDULED YET AND THAT A TRIAL WILL NOT BE SCHEDULED UNTIL THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT IS RESOLVED AND MY CLAIMS SURVIVE THE DEFENSE MOTIONS AND ARE ALLOWED TO

PROCEED TO TRIAL. JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD NO AUTHORITY OR RIGHT TO DENY MY REQUEST FOR THE ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENAS BECAUSE THE COURT WAS SUPPOSE TO JUST WAIT UNTIL THE RIGHT TIME TO ISSUE THE SUBPOENAS FOR THE UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT PLAINTIFF WHO CLAIMS AUTOMATICALLY PROCEEDS TO TRIAL REGARDLESS BASED ON THE FACT THAT ALL ISSUES ARE STILL IN DISPUTE AND NO JUDGE HAS THE AUTHORITY TO DISMISS LEGITIMATE CLAIMS OR TERMINATE LEGITIMATE DEFENDANTS FROM THE DOCKET. I DID NOT KNOW CERTAIN PEOPLE ADDRESSES AND WAS UNSURE OF OTHER ADDRESSES SO I DID NOT PROVIDE THE COURT WITH THE ADDRESSES OF MY WITNESSES WITHIN MY LIST OF POTENTIAL WITNESSES. THE COURT WOULD HAVE BEEN REQUIRED TO HELP ME LOCATE MY WITNESSES AND ISSUE SUBPOENAS AND/OR BENCH WARRANTS TO SECURE THEIR TESTIMONIES RELATING TO MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE IF THE COURT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS WAS COMPELLED TO OBEY THE LAW, RESPECT HUMAN RIGHTS AND PROVIDE FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL IN THIS CASE. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 510-511 AND Vo. 2 PG 207 + 216]

46. ON OCTOBER 20, 2021, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNEH HAD ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED OCTOBER 20, 2021 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY APPOINTED ME A PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY BY THE NAME OF ELIZABETH GENUING FOR TRIAL PURPOSES ONLY WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCRDACHING ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 134 - 135]

47. ON OCTOBER 25, 2021, I HAD FILED COPIES OF MY LEGAL PACKETS #1 AND #2 ALONG WITH COPIES OF MY 34 WITNESS LETTERS WITHIN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I HAD MAILED COPIES OF MY LEGAL PACKETS AND WITNESS LETTERS TO GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, MEDIA COMPANIES AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO PROVIDE THEM WITH IMPORTANT INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR CASE AND TO SEEK ASSISTANCE TO DEAL WITH OUR LIFE SITUATION. THE GOVERNMENT WOULD NOT ALLOW MAJORITY OF THE AGENCIES AND PEOPLE TO RESPOND TO MY LEGAL PACKETS AND WITNESS LETTERS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 513, AND Vo. 2 PAGE 217 - 255 AND 274 - 329]

48. ON NOVEMBER 5, 2021, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LEGAL PACKET #3 TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH IMPORTANT INFORMATION RELATING TO THIS CASE. I HAD PLACED THINGS ON THE RECORD THAT WAS SAID OR DONE BY THE DEFENDANTS, THIRD PARTIES AND MY ~~LOVED~~ ONES WHILE PROVIDING ME WITH MESSAGES, HINTS OR INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM OR CASE. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 513, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 256 - 273]

49. ON NOVEMBER 12, 2021, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE PROTECTIVE ORDER SETTING FORTH THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS ON THE EXCHANGE AND DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCRDACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL

LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 513]

50. ON NOVEMBER 30, 2021, I HAD FILED A LETTER ENTITLED "A LETTER FOR THE ENTERING OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY" WITHIN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. THE ENTITLED LETTER WAS SUBMITTED TO ALL PARTIES TO PROVIDE THEM WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION RELATING TO THIS CASE AND ASK THE COURT TO ENTER A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS WERE NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES AND DISOBEYING THE LAW. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 332 - 349]

51. ON DECEMBER 9, 2021, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES BY CONDUCTING THE INITIAL PRE-TRIAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCRACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE, DECEPTIVE AND ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO SET THINGS UP FOR HER TO ESTABLISH A RECORD THROUGH FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR, ACT LIKE I WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE, DISRUPT MY SPEECH, SPEAK OVER ME AND THREATEN ME WITH SANCTIONS ON THE RECORD TO CONTROL THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED TELEPHONE CONFERENCE THROUGH MISCONDUCT, AVOID ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF THE CASE AND PREVENT ME FROM REVEALING THINGS AND SPEAKING OUT ON THE RECORD WHILE THEY WERE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. SHE HAD TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS SCHEDULING A TRIAL IN SPITE OF THE FACT THAT THIS CASE WAS NOT READY FOR TRIAL BECAUSE THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WAS NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES AND DISOBEYING THE LAW. WE DID NOT EVEN DISCUSS DEADLINES FOR JOINDER OF PARTIES, AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS, COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY AND FILING OF DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS; A TRIAL DATE; REQUESTS FOR JURY TRIAL; SUBJECT MATTER AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION; FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR CLAIMS AND DEFENSES; FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES IN DISPUTE; FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES UPON WHICH WE COULD HAVE AGREED OR WHICH WE COULD HAVE NARROWED THROUGH MOTION PRACTICE AND WHICH COULD HAVE EXPEDITE RESOLUTION OF THE DISPUTE; SPECIFIC RELIEF REQUESTED, INCLUDING METHOD FOR COMPUTING DAMAGES; INTENDED DISCOVERY AND PROPOSED METHODS TO LIMIT AND/OR DECREASE TIME AND EXPENSES THEREOF; SUITABILITY OF CASE FOR VOLUNTARY ARBITRATION; MEASURES FOR REDUCING THE LENGTH OF TRIAL; RELATED CASES BEFORE OTHER COURTS; PROCEDURES FOR CERTIFYING CLASS ACTION IF APPROPRIATE; SETTLEMENT PROSPECTS; AND IF THIS CASE IS IN THE ADR TRACK, CHOICE OF ADR METHOD AND ESTIMATED TIME FOR COMPLETION OF ADR. MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY ELIZABETH GENUING WAS FORCED TO WITHDRAW FROM THIS CASE BECAUSE I WOULD NOT

ACCEPT AND ALLOW HER TO MISREPRESENT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THERE WAS A PERSONAL INCOMPATIBILITY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND LACK OF IMPARTIALITY. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 221-222, 513, AND Vo. 2 PAGE 453-456 AND 492-498]

52. ON DECEMBER 10, 2021, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAS ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED DECEMBER 10, 2021 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED A CIVIL JURY TRIAL THAT WAS SET FOR FEBRUARY 7, 2022, ILLEGALLY DECLARED DEADLINES FOR PRE-TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, AND ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES AND JURY SELECTION. ON DECEMBER 14, 2021, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ALSO ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM DATED DECEMBER 14, 2021 WHERE SHE HAD COMMANDED THAT THE DEFENDANTS OR NYS DOCCS EMPLOYEES PRODUCE ME FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET TO BEGIN INSIDE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE ON FEBRUARY 7, 2022, SHE HAD ISSUED THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER AND WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTIONS WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCRACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. THE COURT HAD RESET THE TRIAL DATE FOR AUGUST 1, 2022 FOR SOME UNKNOWN REASON AND ISSUED ANOTHER ILLEGITIMATE ORDER AND WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM OF JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES DATED JUNE 3, 2022. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 136-148 AND 154]

53. ON DECEMBER 16, 2021, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED DECEMBER 16, 2021 WHERE SHE HAD REFUSED TO EXCUSE HERSELF FROM THIS CASE AFTER I HAD PROVIDED THE COURT WITH THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASIS FOR HER RECUSAL AS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. SHE HAD ISSUED THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCRACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 25-27; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 149-151]

54. ON JANUARY 4, 2022, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER HAD FILED A COURT ORDERED VOIR DIRE, THE DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE, THE DEFENDANTS PROPOSED JURY CHARGE, A NOTICE OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE, A TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE, A EXHIBIT LIST AND PRE-TRIAL STIPULATIONS DOCUMENT WITHIN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY OR SYRACUSE. I HAD REFUSED TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE DEFENDANTS JOINT PRE-TRIAL STIPULATIONS BECAUSE ALL FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE ARE STILL IN DISPUTE AND THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS MUST BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE DEFENDANTS' ILLEGITIMATE COURT ORDERED VOIR DIRE DOES NOT STATE THE NAMES OF ALL PARTIES TO THE LAWSUIT, DOES NOT PROVIDE THE COURT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION THAT IS CENTRAL TO LITIGATION IN THIS CASE, FAILS TO PROCURE THE NECESSARY DECLARATIONS FOR EACH AND EVERY CLAIM

SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, FAILS TO GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH CAUSE OF ACTION, DOES NOT STATE THAT THE DEFENDANTS WILL BE CALLING ANY EXPERT OR OTHER WITNESSES ON THEIR BEHALF, AND DOES NOT STATE ANY LEGITIMATE DEFENSES BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS IS NOT ENTITLED TO THE APPLICATION OF QUALIFIED IMMUNITY, THE COMPLAINT DOES NOT FAIL TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED, AND I'M NOT BARRED FROM PROCEEDING FOR ANY REASONS STATED BY THE DEFENDANTS BASED ON THE FACT THAT I HAD FOLLOWED PROCEDURES AND HAVE A RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES UNDER THE 1ST AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, I HAVE STATED THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, I HAVE STATED THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES THAT ARE STILL IN DISPUTE, I HAVE SUBMITTED AND DEMANDED EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTS MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, AND I HAVE STATED ALL FACTS WHICH ENTITLES ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS' ILLEGITIMATE TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IS A MOTION WHERE THE DEFENDANTS HAD FAILED TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION THAT IS CENTRAL TO LITIGATION IN THIS CASE, FAILED TO DEFEND THEMSELVES AGAINST EACH AND EVERY CLAIM SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, FAILED TO PROCURE THE NECESSARY DECLARATIONS FOR EACH AND EVERY CLAIM SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, FAILED TO STATE ISSUES OF FACT IN DISPUTE, FAILED TO STATE ANY FACTS WHICH ENTITLES THEM TO A VERDICT OR JUDGEMENT IN THEIR FAVOR, AND FAILED TO STATE ANY LEGITIMATE DEFENSES BASED ON THE FOREMENTIONED REASONS. THE DEFENDANTS' ILLEGITIMATE MOTION IN LIMINE IS A MOTION WHERE NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER HAD ASKED THE COURT TO PRECLUDE HEARSAY OF DIAGNOSES AND TO ALLOW HIM TO CROSS EXAMINE ME ON MY CRIMINAL CONVICTION ONLY. THE DEFENDANTS' ILLEGITIMATE EXHIBITS LIST CONSIST OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT OR WAS SUPPOSE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE COURT BY THE DEFENDANTS TO HELP THEM ESTABLISH A LEGITIMATE DEFENSE, PROVE THEIR INNOCENCE OR MINIMIZE THE COST OF DAMAGES. THE DEFENDANTS DID NOT MAKE KNOWN OR SUBMIT ANY EVIDENCE TO THE COURT WHICH WOULD HELP THEM ESTABLISH A LEGITIMATE DEFENSE, PROVE THEIR INNOCENCE OR MINIMIZE THE COST OF DAMAGES UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL. THE DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST IDENTIFIES ALL EVIDENCE THAT IS FAVORABLE TO MY ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION #5 AND #10 OF MY COMPLAINT. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 3 PAGES 72-139]

59. ON JANUARY 27, 2022, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES BY CONDUCTING ANOTHER TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCROACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE, DECEPTIVE AND ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO SET THINGS UP FOR HER

TO ESTABLISH A RECORD THROUGH FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR, ACT LIKE I WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE, DISRUPT MY SPEECH, SPEAK OVER ME AND THREATEN ME WITH SANCTIONS ON THE RECORD TO CONTROL THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED TELEPHONE CONFERENCE THROUGH MISCONDUCT, AVOID ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF THE CASE AND PREVENT ME FROM REVEALING THINGS AND SPEAKING OUT ON THE RECORD WHILE THEY WERE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD SET UP THE RANDOM SECRETLY SCHEDULED TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITHOUT PRIOR NOTICE TO CATCH ME BY SURPRISE WHILE TRYING TO GET ME TO ACCEPT AND ALLOW A NEW ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO ATTORNEY TO MISREPRESENT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. SHE HAD WANTED ME TO ACCEPT AND ALLOW A NEW ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME FOR THE TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION #5 AND #10 ONLY. I HAD TOLD HER IN A NICE, POLITE AND RESPECTABLE MANNER THAT I DO NOT WANT A PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME FOR ONLY TWO CLAIMS AND THAT I NEED AN ATTORNEY WHO IS WILLING TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR RIGHTS WHICH ARE GUARANTEED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. SHE HAD GOTTEN UPSET INSTANTLY AND THEN DECIDED TO DISRUPT MY SPEECH AND RAISE HER VOICE WHILE TRYING TO ACT LIKE I WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE FOR REFUSING TO ACCEPT AND ALLOW A ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY TO MISREPRESENT ME AND MY LOVED ONES IN THIS CASE. SHE HAD TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS GOING TO APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY ANYWAYS AND HUNG UP THE PHONE BEFORE I WAS ABLE TO SAY WHAT I WAS TRYING TO SAY NEXT. ON JANUARY 28, 2022, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED JANUARY 28, 2022 WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY APPOINTED ME A PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEY BY THE NAME OF GEORGE H. LOWE FOR TRIAL PURPOSES ONLY WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCROACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 152, 223 AND 517]

56. ON JANUARY 27, 2022, I HAD SERVED COPIES OF MY COVER LETTER, TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW, LIST OF EVIDENCE PART 1, COVID-19 QUARANTINE AND ISOLATION INFORMATION FORM, (9) ENTITLED LETTERS AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I HAD SUBMITTED THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO ALL PARTIES TO MAKE TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE, MAKE DEMANDS, REVEAL THINGS AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE (9) ENTITLED LETTERS ARE ENTITLED "LIBERTY AND EQUALITY", "A LETTER FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF AN ATTORNEY AND OTHER LEGAL SERVICES", "A LETTER IN REGARDS TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF", "ANOTHER DEMAND FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO TURNOVER EVIDENCE", "DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION", "A NEW COURSE OF ACTION FOR THE PEOPLE TO GIVE

THEIR DEPOSITIONS AND OTHER EVIDENCE TO ESTABLISH JUSTICE", "TELEPHONE
CONFERENCES TO ENGAGE IN DISRUPTIVE, ILLEGAL AND DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO
ATTEMPT TO CHEAT OUR PEOPLE", "THE UNLAWFUL SCHEDULING OF A JURY TRIAL BY U.S
DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES", AND "A CHANGE OF VENUE AND SUBPOENAS".
THE ENTITLED LETTERS HAD RECEIVED THEIR TITLES BASED OFF THE SUBJECT MATTER
AND CONTENT OF EACH LETTER. THE TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IS A
MOTION WHERE I HAD PROVIDED THE COURT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION WHICH
IS CENTRAL TO LITIGATION, STATED FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES THAT ARE
STILL IN DISPUTE, AND STATED FACTS WHICH ENTITLES ME AND MY FAMILY
MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO A SPECIAL VERDICT OR JUDGE-
MENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR THE RELIEF
DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY
JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. THE LIST OF EVIDENCE
PART I CONSIST OF CLEARLY DEFINED OR IDENTIFIED EVIDENCE RELATING TO MY CLAIMS
SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE LIST OF EVIDENCE PROVIDES THE COURT WITH EASY TO
READ AND COMPREHEND ITEMIZED FACTS AND ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE
EVIDENCE WHICH SUPPORT MY CLAIMS; EVIDENCE THAT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE TURNED OVER
BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AND THIRD PARTIES; EVIDENCE THAT WAS SUPPOSE
TO BE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION AND SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN
ALBANY OR SYRACUSE BY THE PLAINTIFF - PETITIONER; **EVIDENCE** THAT HAS
BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION, SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE
AND ILLEGALLY RETURNED TO THE PLAINTIFF; AND EVIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN MARKED
FOR IDENTIFICATION AND SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I
HAD RECEIVED THE COVID-19 QUARANTINE AND ISOLATION INFORMATION FORM FROM
THE DEFENDANTS WHO HAD PLACED ME ON QUARANTINE AFTER MEDICAL STAFF HAD
FALSELY CLAIMED THAT I HAD TESTED POSITIVE FOR THE ALLEGED DEADLY DISEASE
CALLED "COVID-19" OR "THE CORONA VIRUS" WHILE I WAS IN THE PROCESS OF PERFECT-
ING MY TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW AT AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
ON JANUARY 10, 2022. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD TOLD ME THAT I HAD
TESTED POSITIVE FOR THE ALLEGED DEADLY DISEASE AND THEN WRONGFULLY CON-
FINED ME TO A CELL (C-16-16 CELL) AT AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WHERE
I WAS PLACED IN A COLD AND UNCLEAR SECLUDED ENVIRONMENT WITHOUT MY
PERSONAL PROPERTY WHILE THEY WERE TRYING TO INTIMIDATE ME AND REDUCE MY
CHANCES OF SUCCESS OR EFFECTIVENESS IN ANY LEGAL ACTIONS BY PLACING ME IN
UNFAVORABLE CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 518,
AND Vo. 2 PAGES 350 - 459]

51. ON MAY 10, 2022, I HAD SERVED COPIES OF MY COVER LETTER; PROPOSED VOIR
DIRE; COURT ORDERED VOIR DIRE; LIST OF ITEMIZED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MY TRIAL
BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW; 3RD MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL OF U.S. DISTRICT

JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES; MOTION IN SUPPORT OF MY TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW; DEMAND TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL; RESPONSE TO THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE; LIST OF EVIDENCE PART 2; EXHIBITS A-T; WITNESSES POTENTIAL ADDRESSES LETTER; CIVIL CASE NOTES, DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR A TRIAL JURY; AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO ALL PARTIES TO MAKE TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE, MAKE DEMANDS, REVEAL THINGS AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE PROPOSED VOIR DIRE CONSIST OF MY QUESTIONS THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT FOR JURY SELECTION TO DETERMINE IF A PERSON IS QUALIFIED TO SIT ON A CIVIL TRIAL JURY FOR THIS CASE. THE COURT ORDERED VOIR DIRE STATES THE KNOWN NAMES OF ALL PARTIES TO THE LAWSUIT, PROVIDES THE COURT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION THAT IS CENTRAL TO LITIGATION IN THIS CASE, GIVES A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OF ACTION, AND STATES THE NAMES OF WITNESSES THAT I INTEND ON CALLING TO TESTIFY ON OUR BEHALF UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL. THE LIST OF ITEMIZED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MY TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IS A DOCUMENT THAT PROVIDES THE COURT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION RELATING TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE MOTION IN SUPPORT OF MY TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW PROVIDES THE COURT WITH THE FACTS OF MY CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. THE MOTION STATES THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES IN DISPUTE, THE FACTS WHICH ENTITLES ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR, WHERE TO FIND THE LAWS THAT SUPPORTS THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE MOTION, THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS FOR THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE MOTION, WHAT EXHIBITS SUPPORTS THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE MOTION, THE RELIEF SOUGHT AND OTHER ESSENTIAL INFORMATION RELATING TO MY UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. MY 3RD MOTION FOR THE RECUSAL OF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES PROVIDES THE COURT WITH FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT BY JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES WHO WAS UNQUALIFIED TO PERFORM HER LEGAL DUTIES BECAUSE OF THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND HER LACK OF IMPARTIALITY. THE MOTION STATES ALL FACTS RELATING TO JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES UNLAWFULLY SCHEDULING TRIAL PROCEEDINGS AND SETTING UP TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TO ENGAGE IN ILLEGAL, DECEPTIVE AND DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITIES. MY CASE NOTES, DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR A TRIAL JURY IS A DOCUMENT THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT, NEEDED AND REQUIRED TO PROVIDE THE COURT OR A TRIAL JURY WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION FOR LEGAL

RESEARCH AND TO HELP YOU GUYS NAVIGATE YOUR WAY THROUGH THIS HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE. MY DEMAND TO PRODUCE IS A MOTION WHERE I HAD ASKED THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE AND MAKE PUBLIC PROPERTY AVAILABLE FOR A JURY TRIAL. I HAD OPPOSED THE DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE BY RESPONDING WITH A MOTION IN OPPOSITION BECAUSE I SHOULD'NT HAVE TO TAKE A STAND AT TRIAL WHILE I'M BEING CONTROLLED BY THE OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE ME MALFUNCTIONING, NOT IN MY RIGHT STATE OF MIND AND SUFFERING FROM A WIDE RANGE OF MEDICAL AND OTHER PROBLEMS. MY LIST OF EVIDENCE PART 2 CONSIST OF CLEARLY DEFINED OR IDENTIFIED EVIDENCE RELATING TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. IT PROVIDES THE COURT WITH EASY TO READ AND COMPREHEND ITEMIZED FACTS AND ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CLAIMS, AND EVIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION AND SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY OR SYRACUSE. IT CONTAINS INFORMATION ABOUT EXHIBITS A - T WHICH IS DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE THAT RELATES TO MY CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. [SEE APPENDIX B VO. 1 PAGE 519, AND VO. 2 PAGES 460 - 700]

58. ON MAY 24, 2022, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD CONDUCTED ANOTHER TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCROACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD SET UP THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TO ENGAGE IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES WITH MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PROBONO TRIAL ATTORNEY GEORGE H. LOWE AND ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNO KUTSKI WHO WAS NEWLY ASSIGNED TO THIS CASE TO CONSPIRE WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCROACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD WANTED ME TO ACCEPT AND ALLOW MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PROBONO TRIAL ATTORNEY GEORGE H. LOWE TO REPRESENT ME FOR THE TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION # 5 AND # 10 ONLY. BUT, I HAD FORCED MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PROBONO TRIAL ATTORNEY GEORGE H. LOWE TO WITHDRAW FROM BEING MY TRIAL LEADING ATTORNEY BECAUSE I WOULD NOT ACCEPT AND ALLOW HIM TO MISREPRESENT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD GRANTED HIM PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW FROM BEING MY TRIAL LEADING ATTORNEY AND THEN ILLEGALLY APPOINTED HIM AS MY TRIAL STANDBY COUNSEL WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCROACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. SHE HAD ILLEGALLY APPOINTED HIM AS MY TRIAL STANDBY COUNSEL FOR THE COURT IN SPITE OF THE FACT THAT THERE WAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, PERSONAL INCOMPATIBILITIES, AND SUBSTANTIAL DISAGREEMENTS CONCERNING THEIR

LITIGATION STRATEGY. I DID NOT ASK THE COURT TO APPOINT ME A STANDBY TRIAL ATTORNEY AT ANY POINT AND GEORGE H. LOWE WAS UNQUALIFIED TO PERFORM ANY LEGAL DUTIES AS MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED LEADING OR STANDBY TRIAL ATTORNEY IN THIS CASE BECAUSE OF THE PERSONAL INCOMPATIBILITY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND HIS LACK OF IMPARTIALITY WHILE REFUSING TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR HUMAN RIGHTS. HE DID NOT ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE AND ACT ON OUR BEHALF AT ANY POINT AFTER BEING ILLEGALLY ASSIGNED TO THIS CASE. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 520 AND Vo. 2 PAGES 453 - 456]

59. IN MAY OF 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "THE PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGED FACE" TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND OTHER PARTIES. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTER TO ELABORATE ON MY FACIAL DAMAGES THAT ARE BEING CAUSED BY THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE CAUSED ME TO HAVE OVER 25 YEARS OF DISMAY WHEN LOOKING AT MY REFLECTION IN A MIRROR, TOUCHING AND FEELING MY FACE. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 701 - 702]

60. ON JUNE 6, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY (20) ENTITLED LETTERS TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY AND NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTERS TO MAKE TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE, MAKE DEMANDS, REVEAL THINGS, AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY (20) ENTITLED LETTERS SO I HAD SUBMITTED EXHIBIT #115 TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AS EVIDENCE IN THE APPEAL PROCESS THAT TOOK PLACE AFTER THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. EXHIBIT #115 IS A LABELED MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF MY (20) ENTITLED LETTERS WHICH ARE ENTITLED "THE TRUE NATURE OF THE PLAINTIFF", "A WIDE RANGE OF SEXUAL PERVERSIONS, CYBER PREDATORS", "THE PEDOPHILIA ACTIVITIES OF THE, CYBER PUNKS", "PREDATORIAL AMBITIONS", "HOLY LANDS HAS BEEN TURNED INTO WAR ZONES", "THE PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURE OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE WHO ARE LABELED AS DEFENDANTS", "IMPLICATIONS HAS MADE THEM DEFENDANTS, ASSIGNED JUDGES", "THE PLAINTIFF IS FOR THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE AND OF THE PEOPLE", "THE DEFENDANTS' TRIAL SUBMISSIONS", "THE POWERFUL TESTIMONY OF THE, PLAINTIFF", "ORDER THE DEFENDANTS TO RESTORE ME TO GOOD HEALTH AND A SOUND MIND", "RELIGIOUS FREEDOM VIOLATIONS", "FAKE NEWS, AND FALSE OR DECEITFUL INFORMATION", "BE A POSITIVE EXAMPLE, DEFENDANTS", "FOR MY CHILDREN, W. P. V. JR. AND W. P. V. III", "A LIFETIME OF DAMAGES FOR THE PLAINTIFF", "TECHNOLOGY, HAWKING SPYWARE AND ENEMY DEVICES", "DESTRUCTIVE BRAINWASHING BY THE DEFENDANTS", "LIFE WITHOUT LOVE AND AFFECTION FOR LONG PERIODS OF TIME", AND "THE ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENAS AND WARRANTS FOR MY WITNESSES TO TESTIFY". THE (20) ENTITLED LETTERS HAD RECEIVED THEIR TITLES BASED OFF THE SUBJECT MATTER AND CONTENT OF EACH LETTER. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 468, 499, 502-527; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 703- 744]

60. ON JUNE 30, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY (19) ENTITLED LETTERS TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTERS TO MAKE TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE, MAKE DEMANDS, REVEAL THINGS AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY (19) ENTITLED LETTERS SO I HAD SUBMITTED EXHIBIT # 116 TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AS EVIDENCE IN THE APPEAL PROCESS THAT TOOK PLACE AFTER THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. EXHIBIT # 116 IS A LABELED MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF MY (19) ENTITLED LETTERS WHICH ARE ENTITLED "REDRESS, REPARATION AND REPAIRMENT, DAMAGES", "TRACKING MODES FOR DIFFERENT PURPOSES, CYBERPUNKS", "A PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS CLASSIFICATION, LIFE PROCESS", "INTERFERRING WITH MY GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT, CYBERPUNKS", "A LIFE OF PURPOSE", "DISCOVERIES, INNOVATIONS AND OTHER PROFITABLE GAINS, EXPLOITATIONS", "CONTROL BASES WITH SUPERCOMPUTERS, CYBERPUNKS", "THE PHYSICAL PROCESSES AND PHENOMENA OF SYSTEMS, PHYSICS", "THE ELEMENTS AND COMPOUNDS THEY FORM, CHEMISTRY", "TECHNOCRATS, TECHNOLOGISTS OR TECHNICAL EXPERTS, CYBERPUNKS", "DESTINY", "DISPOSITIVE", "ANALYTICS", "THE DEFENDANTS HAS BEEN USING OUR BRAINS AS DEADLY WEAPONS, NEUROSCIENCE", "MORAL, POLITICAL, RELIGIOUS AND ECONOMIC, REFORM", "OBSERVANCE OF LAWS, RULES OR OBLIGATIONS, ENFORCEMENT", "UNRESOLVED ISSUES", "CALCULATIONS, PROJECTIONS AND FORMULAS, DEFENDANTS", AND "THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE". THE (19) ENTITLED LETTERS HAD RECEIVED THEIR TITLES BASED OFF THE SUBJECT MATTER AND CONTENT OF EACH LETTER. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 468, 499, AND 502-527; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 745-786]

62. ON JULY 26, 2022, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD CONDUCTED ANOTHER TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITHOUT ANY LEGAL AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCROACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE, DECEPTIVE AND ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO SET THINGS UP FOR HER TO ESTABLISH A RECORD THROUGH MISCONDUCT, ACT LIKE I WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE, DISRUPT MY SPEECH, SPEAK OVER ME, AND THREATEN ME WITH SANCTIONS ON THE RECORD TO CONTROL THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED TELEPHONE CONFERENCE THROUGH MISCONDUCT, AND DID ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE AND PREVENT ME FROM REVEALING THINGS AND SPEAKING OUT ON THE RECORD WHILE THEY WERE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY DEMAND TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL MOTION AND ILLEGALLY DENIED MY 3RD MOTION FOR HER RECUSAL DURING THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE. SHE HAD ALSO REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE COURT'S RECEIPT OF MY (20) ENTITLED LETTERS THAT WERE MAILED OUT TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON JUNE 6, 2022, AND (19)

ENTITLED LETTERS THAT WERE MAILED OUT TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON JUNE 30, 2022. SHE HAD STATED THAT THE COURT DOES NOT ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF DOCUMENTS AND TOLD ME TO STOP ASKING THE COURT TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF DOCUMENTS. SHE HAD ALSO THREATEN TO IMPOSE CONTEMPT SANCTIONS INCLUDING DISMISSING THIS CASE WHILE I WAS TRYING TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE IN A RESPECTABLE MANNER AND POINT OUT HER MISHAPS. SHE WAS ALSO ACTING LIKE SHE WAS'NT GOING TO PROVIDE FOR ME AND THE TRIAL JURY TO HAVE ACCESS TO THE ORIGINAL CASE RECORDS AND/OR COPIES OF THE RECORD WHICH IS NEEDED FOR ME TO PRESENT THIS CASE, HELP THE TRIAL JURY BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE FACTS OF THE CASE, AND CONDUCT THEIR OFFICIAL INVESTIGATION INTO THIS MATTER UPON A JURY TRIAL. I HAD ASKED HER WHEN WAS THE COURT GOING TO PROVIDE ME WITH THE RECORDS FOR THIS CASE SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO PRESENT MY CASE DURING THE UPCOMING TRIAL ON AUGUST 1, 2022 AND SHE HAD TOLD ME THAT THEY WERE'NT GOING TO PROVIDE ME WITH ACCESS TO THE RECORDS SO I KNEW THAT SHE WAS FORCING ME TO BRING MY OWN RECORDS FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL FOR SOME STRANGE REASON. SHE WAS IN THE PROCESS OF SETTING THINGS UP FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. SHE HAD DEVELOPED THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE RECORD WITH A LOT OF MUMBO JUMBO NONSENSE IN HER UNLAWFUL ATTEMPT TO ACT LIKE SHE WAS OBEYING THE LAW AND CARRYING OUT HER LEGAL DUTIES WHILE ENCRDACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. SHE WAS STILL ACTING LIKE THIS CASE WAS ONLY ABOUT THE TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION #5 AND #10 ONLY WHILE EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS. ON JULY 26, 2022, SHE HAD ALSO ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT WHERE SHE HAD DECLARED THE DENIAL OF THE FOREMENTIONED MOTIONS IN THIS PARAGRAPH AND PLACED THREATS OF CONTEMPT SANCTIONS INCLUDING THE DISMISSAL OF THIS CASE ON THE RECORD ONCE AGAIN FOR FALSELY CLAIMING THAT I WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE DURING A TELEPHONE CONFERENCE PROCEEDING. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 224 AND 517, AND Vo. 2 PAGE 453-456 AND 492-498]

63. ON JULY 27, 2022, A-BLOCK OFFICERS AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAD CAME TO MY CELL (A-5-26 CELL) TO PACK ME UP FOR MY CIVIL JURY TRIAL COURT TRIP AND REFUSED TO PROVIDE WITH ENOUGH DRAFT BAGS OR THE RIGHT SIZE DRAFT BAG TO PREVENT ME FROM PACKING LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY SO THAT THEY COULD CAUSE A NUMBER OF DISPUTES, CANCEL MY COURT TRIP, FABRICATE EVIDENCE, HOLD ME IN THIS POSITION EVEN LONGER AND TRY TO PREVENT ME FROM MAKING EVIDENCE AVAILABUE FOR A POTENTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR APPEAL COURT WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO WERE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS HAS DIFFERENT SIZE COURT AND OTHER DRAFT BAGS THAT ARE BEING USED TO PROVIDE FOR THE NEEDS OF INMATES WHO HAVE BEEN SCHEDULED FOR COURT TRIPS. ATTICA A-BLOCK OFFICERS AND AREA SERGEANTS HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE ME WITH THE RIGHT SIZE OR ATLEAST ONE ADDITIONAL

COURT DRAFT BAG WHICH WAS NEEDED TO MEET MY NEEDS AND PACK UP MY STATE GREEN CLOTHING, COAT, HAT, WHITES, SNEAKERS, SHOWER SHOES, PERSONAL CARE ITEMS AND A GREAT AMOUNT OF KNOWN CASE RECORDS, EXHIBITS, LEGAL RESEARCH AND OTHER MATERIALS THAT WAS NEEDED FOR THE COURT TRIP AND TO CONDUCT THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL WITHOUT ACCESS TO ANY COURT RECORDS DURING THE TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET TO BEGIN ON AUGUST 1, 2022. ATTICA A-BLOCK OFFICERS AND AREA SERGEANTS HAD ALSO TOLD ME THAT I COULD NOT PACK UP AND BRING MY NONPAPER-WORK EXHIBITS WITH ME FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS AFTER WITNESSING THE EXHIBITS STACKED ON THE REAR SHELF OF MY CELL AND CONTAINED IN PLASTIC OR LEGAL ENVELOPES WITH HAND DRAWN EVIDENCE LABELS ON EACH PIECE OF EVIDENCE WHILE THEY WERE REPEATEDLY APPROACHING MY CELL TO HARASS ME, CREATE MORE ISSUES AND THEN WALK OFF WITHOUT ADDRESSING THE PROBLEMS THAT THEY WERE INTENTIONALLY CAUSING ME IN FRONT OF ALL THE INMATES ON THE COMPANY. ON JULY 28, 2022, I WAS LET OUT MY CELL (A-5-26 CELL) IN THE MORNING AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY BY THE DEFENDANTS WHEN I HAD APPROACHED A UNKNOWN FEMALE OFFICER AT THE FRONT GATE OF 5 COMPANY IN A-BLOCK WHERE I HAD TOLD HER THAT THEY DID NOT PACK ME UP FOR THE COURT TRIP YET AND EXPLAINED THINGS TO HER ABOUT THE PACK UP ISSUES THAT I WAS HAVING THE DAY BEFORE ON JULY 27, 2022. SHE HAD SAID OK AND THEN DIRECTED ME TO LOCK BACK IN AFTER WITNESSING OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN TRYING TO PROVOKE ME BY ACTING HOSTILE AND FALSELY CLAIMING THAT I HAD REFUSED TO PACK UP THE DAY PRIOR WHILE I WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF EXPLAINING THINGS ABOUT THE PACK UP ISSUES THAT WERE BEING CAUSED BY A-BLOCK AREA OFFICERS WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PLAINTIFF - PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. I HAD IMMEDIATELY COMPLIED WITH HER DIRECT ORDER BY LOCKING BACK IN AFTER TRYING TO CLARIFY THINGS FOR THE UNKNOWN FEMALE OFFICER AND OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN. AT THIS POINT, OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN HAD CAME TO MY CELL TO TRY TO MAKE ME SIGN A COURT REFUSAL FORM BY ACTING HOSTILE AND USING INTIMIDATION TACTICS. I DID NOT SIGN THE COURT REFUSAL FORM BECAUSE I'M NOT AFRAID OF OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN AND I DID NOT REFUSE TO GO TO COURT OR PACK UP FOR THE COURT TRIP. I HAD DID EVERYTHING IN MY POWER TO TRY TO GET DOCCS EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022 AND CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. AFTER WITNESSING EVERYTHING THAT WAS GOING ON, I HAD IMMEDIATELY SUBMITTED MY LETTERS DATED 7/28/22 AND 8/1/22 TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY TO LET THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON AND ASK THEM TO RESCHEDULE THE TRIAL OR GRANT ME AN ADJOURNMENT AND DIRECT THE DEFENDANTS TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO PACK UP AND PRODUCE MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT IS NEEDED FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL AND COURT TRIP. ON JULY 29, 2022, NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER HAD FILED FABRICATED EVIDENCE IN THE FORM OF A FALSIFIED LETTER DATED 7/29/22 WHERE HE HAD FALSELY, RIDICULOUSLY AND ILLEGALLY CLAIMED THAT I HAD REFUSED TO PACK MY PROPERTY FOR MY CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT TRIAL COURT TRIP **ON JULY 27, 2022 AND THEN REFUSED TO BE** TRANSPORTED WITHOUT MY PERSONAL PROPERTY ON JULY 28, 2022 WHILE ACTING LIKE HE WAS RELYING ON THE FALSIFIED DECLARATION OF CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN WHO DID NOT PRODUCE A COURT REFUSAL FORM WITH MY SIGNATURE OR ANY OTHER EVIDENCE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT HE WAS TELLING THE TRUTH. I DID NOT REFUSE TO COMPLY WITH MY TRANSPORT TO COURT, DISOBEY ANY DIRECT ORDERS OR RECEIVE A MISBEHAVIOR REPORT FOR ANY ACTS OF MISBEHAVIOR THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF EVENTS. HE HAD ALSO FALSELY CLAIMED THAT I HAD PERSISTENTLY DISRESPECTED THE COURT ON RECORD, ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITIES, FAILED TO MAKE MYSELF AVAILABLE FOR TRIAL AND FORFEITED MY RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTIONS WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCROACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. HE HAD ALSO ILLEGALLY ASKED THE COURT TO DISMISS THIS MATTER WITH PREJUDICE AS A SANCTION WHILE FALSELY CLAIMING THAT I WAS IN CONTEMPT. HE HAD ALSO CLAIMED THAT BOTH DEFENSE COUNSELS ARE AVAILABLE TO TRY THIS CASE BEGINNING ON AUGUST 15, 2022 TO THE EXTENT THAT THE COURT DISAGREES AND DECLINES TO DISMISS THIS CASE WHILE ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO ACT LIKE HE WAS 'NT IN CO-HOOTS WITH THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS WHO WERE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. ON JULY 29, 2022, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER THROUGH A COURT CLERK CLAIMING THAT THE COURT WAS UNABLE TO SET A NEW TRIAL DATE FOR AUGUST 15, 2022 IN RESPONSE TO THE DEFENDANTS' LETTER DATED JULY 29, 2022 AND CLAIMED THAT A ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WILL BE ISSUED SHORTLY. ON AUGUST 4, 2022, JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE DATED 8/4/22 INSTEAD OF RESCHEDULING THE TRIAL PROCEEDINGS OR GRANTING ME AN ADJOURNMENT AND PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE APPROPRIATE COURT ORDER TO COMPEL DOCCS EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT IS NEEDED FOR CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS AT A SPECIFIED PLACE, DATE AND TIME. SHE HAD ILLEGALLY DIRECTED ME TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR A FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS WHEN SHE WAS ALREADY AWARE OF THE FACT THAT I WAS IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRESPECTFUL AND OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS WHO WERE UNDER A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO

PROVIDE FOR ME TO APPEAR IN COURT FOR MY CIVIL JURY TRIAL UPON THE ISSUANCE OF HER ILLEGITIMATE ORDER AND WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM ON JUNE 3, 2022. PLUS; SHE HAD ALREADY KNEW WHAT WAS GOING ON AND THAT I DID NOT REFUSE TO PACK UP MY PROPERTY AND BE TRANSPORTED TO MY CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT JURY TRIAL. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD ALREADY RECEIVED MY LETTERS DATED 7/28/22 AND 8/1/22 WHERE I HAD INITIALLY LET THEM KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON IN SPITE OF THE FACT THAT THEY WERE ALREADY AWARE OF THINGS BECAUSE EVERYTHING WAS GOING ACCORDING TO THEIR SET PROGRAM OR PLANS WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS TO ENCROACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. IN RESPONSE TO THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, I HAD SUBMITTED MY LETTERS DATED 8/7/22 AND 8/8/22, DOCS GRIEVANCE PAPERS FOR MY COURT PACK UP ISSUES AT ATTICA WHICH WAS LOGGED AS GRIEVANCE 1678-22 (CODE 22) ON AUGUST 3, 2022, WITNESSES AFFIDAVIT DATED 8/8/22, AND PRELIMINARY EXHIBIT #134 TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR A FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS BECAUSE I CANNOT BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO PACK UP AND APPEAR IN COURT UPON THE ILLEGITIMATE COURT ORDER AND WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM OF JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES. I HAD ALSO SENT A LETTER DATED 8/10/22 TO INMATE RECORDS STAFF AT ATTICA ASKING THEM TO MAKE SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR ME TO RECEIVE 1 OR 2 REGULAR SIZE DRAFT BAGS TO PACK UP AND PRODUCE MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT IS NEEDED AND ALLOWED FOR OUR CIVIL JURY TRIAL IN WHICH I HAD BELIEVED WAS GOING TO BE RESCHEDULED FOR A UPCOMING DATE DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAD USED DIFFERENT TACTICS TO PREVENT ME FROM APPEARING IN COURT FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET TO BEGIN ON AUGUST 1, 2022, AND CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. IN RESPONSE TO MY LETTER DATED 8/10/22, IRC M. FREW HAD HAD SENT ME A LETTER DATED 8/30/22 CLAIMING THAT I'M ONLY ALLOWED TO TAKE (1) DRAFT BAG OF LEGAL PAPERWORK PERTAINING TO MY CURRENT COURT CASE ON THE BUS WITH ME AND TOLD ME TO WRITE DSS WHITE IF I NEEDED ADDITIONAL DRAFT BAGS FOR LEGAL PAPERWORK ONLY PERTAINING TO THE PARTICULAR COURT CASE THAT I'M APPEARING IN COURT FOR. I HAD ALSO SENT A LETTER DATED 8/13/22 TO ATTICA INMATE RECORDS COORDINATORS OR ADMINISTRATORS ASKING THEM TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE PROPERLY FITTING STATE ISSUED CIVILIAN CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR FOR OUR UPCOMING CIVIL JURY TRIAL IN WHICH I HAD BELIEVED WAS GOING TO BE RESCHEDULED BY THE COURT. I HAD SENT THE LETTER TO INMATE RECORDS COORDINATORS OR ADMINISTRATORS AT ATTICA BECAUSE THEY DID NOT PREVIOUSLY PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE STATE ISSUED CIVILIAN CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2021. I DID NOT RECEIVE A RESPONSE FROM ATTICA INMATE RECORDS OR ADMINISTRATORS IN REGARDS TO THEM PROVIDING FOR ME TO RECEIVE THE CIVILIAN CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR FOR TRIAL. ON AUGUST 17, 2022, NVS ASSISTANT

ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI HAD SUBMITTED FABRICATED EVIDENCE IN THE FORM OF A LETTER DATED 8/17/22 WHERE SHE HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT I HAD FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE SCHEDULED TRANSPORT FROM MY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON JULY 28, 2022, AND FAILED TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS WHILE BEING AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO PACK UP AND ATTEND MY TRIAL. SHE HAD ALSO FALSELY CLAIMED THAT MY ACTIONS HAD CAUSED THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES A SUBSTANTIAL INCONVENIENCE WHEN EVERYTHING HAD GONE ACCORDING TO THEIR SET PROGRAM OR PLANS. SHE ALSO WAS ACTING LIKE THIS CASE WAS ONLY ABOUT MY TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION #5 AND #10 TO TRY TO DETERIOR PREVENT ME FROM SUBMITTING ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AND PROPERLY LITIGATING MY CLAIMS WHILE KNOWING THAT ALL LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES IN THIS CASE ARE STILL IN DISPUTE UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL. A CIVIL JURY TRIAL BEGINS WITH MY OPENING STATEMENTS WHERE I HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DESCRIBE THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE AND THE FACTS THAT I INTEND TO PROVE IN SUPPORT OF MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. SHE KNEW THAT I HAD MANAGED TO MAKE SUBMISSIONS AND PREPARE MYSELF TO TRY THIS CASE ON ITS GREAT MERITS SO SHE HAD DECIDED TO HELP SET THINGS UP FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. SHE HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT I HAVE A HISTORY OF DISREGARD FOR COURT ORDERS, FAILED TO COOPERATE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, AND FAILED TO COMPLY WITH MY SCHEDULED TRANSPORTS SO THAT SHE COULD HELP SET THINGS UP FOR THE COURT TO WRONGFULLY DISMISS MY COMPLAINT WITHOUT ALLOWING ME TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO A CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR CONTEMPT HEARING. ON AUGUST 30, 2022, JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER CLAIMING THAT THE COURT HAD RECEIVED MY RESPONSE TO THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND ADDITIONAL LETTERS. SHE HAD ALSO DIRECTED THE DEFENDANTS TO PROVIDE AN EVIDENTIAL RESPONSE TO THE COURT WHILE ACTING LIKE SHE WAS CONDUCTING A INVESTIGATION WHEN SHE ALREADY KNEW THAT I WAS TELLING THE TRUTH AND THAT DOCCS EMPLOYEES HAD NEGLECTED THEIR DUTIES AND FAILED TO COMPLY WITH HER ILLEGITIMATE COURT ORDERS BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO OBTAIN TRIAL CLOTHING, APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EVIDENCE AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS AND COURT TRIP WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS. IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER, NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI HAD SUBMITTED ADDITIONAL FABRICATED EVIDENCE SUCH AS THE FALSIFIED DECLARATION OF SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI, THE FALSIFIED LETTER OF SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI DATED 9/19/22, THE FALSIFIED DECLARATION OF SERGEANT T. WILSON DATED 9/13/22, THE FALSIFIED DECLARATION OF CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN DATED 9/17/22, DOCCS DIRECTIVE 4934 AS EXHIBIT A, AND DOCCS DIRECTIVE 4919 AS EXHIBIT A AS WELL. MRS. KRASNOKUTSKI HAD GAVE INCORRECT INFORMATION AND A INACCURATE ANALYSIS WHILE ACTING LIKE SHE WAS RELYING ON DOCCS DIRECTIVES

AND THE FALSIFIED DECLARATIONS OF ATTICA CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN AND SERGEANT T. WILSON IN HER LETTER AND DECLARATION DATED 9/19/22. SHE HAD MADE SOME OF THE SAME FALSE CLAIMS THAT WERE MADE IN HER PRIOR LETTER DATED 8/17/22 WHILE UNLAWFULLY SEEKING THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF THIS CASE AND FULLY AWARE OF THE FACT THAT I WASN'T BEING DISRUPTIVE OR DISRESPECTFUL AT ANY POINT IN THIS CASE TO WARRANT SANCTIONS OR THE THREATS OF SANCTIONS. SERGEANT T. WILSON AND OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN ARE 'NT EVEN RELIABLE SOURCES IN THIS TYPE OF SITUATION WHERE THEY ARE EMPLOYEES OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS WITH ALL TYPES OF CONNECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS, ULTERIOR MOTIVES AND A STRONG INTEREST IN A FAVORABLE OUTCOME IN THIS CASE WITH A LOT TO LOSE FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS FOR PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THEY HAVE GIVEN STATEMENTS OR INFORMATION KNOWN TO BE FALSE, DECEITFUL OR ERRONEOUS TO THE COURT OR PUBLIC SERVANTS ENGAGED IN LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES. MY EVIDENTIARY SUBMISSIONS DEMONSTRATES THAT PROPER ARRANGEMENTS FOR MY TRANSPORTATION FROM ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WERE NOT MADE, AND PROCEDURES WERE NOT PROPERLY CARRIED OUT OR PUT IN PLAY TO ENSURE MY APPEARANCE AT TRIAL IN THIS UNIQUE SITUATION. ATTICA'S STRICT ADHERENCE TO UNLAWFUL PROCEDURES BELIES MY ARGUMENTS THAT DOCCS EMPLOYEES HAD USED DISCRIMINATORY PROCEDURES TO DELIBERATELY PREVENT ME FROM OBTAINING TRIAL CLOTHING, PACKING UP AND ATTENDING MY TRIAL. DOCCS DIRECTIVES 4919 AND 4934 APPLY TO PERSONAL PROPERTY OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS AND HAVE NO BEARING ON ITEMS OF AN EVIDENTIARY USE IN LITIGATION PROCEEDINGS. THIS IS OF COURSE BECAUSE ITEMS OF AN EVIDENTIARY NATURE ARE SUBJECT TO APPLICABLE COURT RULES AND MUST BE DISCLOSED, PROFFERED AND SUBPOENAED AS APPROPRIATE IN THE PROCEEDING(S). I WAS ABLE TO SHOW ATTICA ADMINISTRATORS, GRIEVANCE PERSONNEL, A-BLOCK OFFICERS AND SERGEANTS THE NECESSARY LEGAL PAPERS THAT WAS NEEDED TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY HAD TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO PACK UP AND PRODUCE MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS CASE BUT THEY STILL WENT THROUGH WITH THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS IN SPITE OF THE COURT ORDERS AND MY LIST OF EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD ATTICA A-BLOCK OFFICERS AND SERGEANTS SET THINGS UP FOR THEM TO ESTABLISH A RECORD THROUGH FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR AND ACT LIKE I HAD REFUSED TO PACK UP AND ATTEND MY TRIAL. ATTICA CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS HAS MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS AND THE MEANS TO SECURE MY TRIAL APPEARANCE BUT **REFUSED** TO DO SO WHILE EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS KNEW THAT I HAD ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE THAT I WAS PLANNING ON SUBMITTING AT THE BEGINNING OF TRIAL AS PERMITTED BY LAW. THEY HAD

REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO SUBMIT EXHIBITS # 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 88, 89, 90, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134 AND 135 AT THE BEGINNING OF A CIVIL JURY TRIAL UPON THE FILING OF COURT ORDERS AND MY LIST OF EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL AND OTHER TRIAL SUBMISSIONS AND DOCUMENTS IN THIS CASE. EXHIBIT #79 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS MY JPAY TABLET, CHARGER, HEADSET, INSTRUCTIONS, AND A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY WRITE UP INSIDE OF IT RELATING TO THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE MY JPAY TABLET TO HAVE POWER, CONTROL, MEDIA, RADIO, HEADSET, CHARGER, EMAIL, PHOTOGRAM, VIDEOGRAM AND OTHER ISSUES ON A CONSISTENT BASIS. MY JPAY TABLET ALSO CONTAINS A WIDE RANGE OF MESSAGES, HINTS OR INFORMATION THAT WERE RECEIVED BY ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE RELATING TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. IT CONTAINS PHOTOGRAPHS, EMAILS, VIDEOGRAMS, NEWSPAPER ARTICLES, MUSIC, MOVIES AND OTHER EVIDENCE IN OUR FAVOR. EXHIBIT #80 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS MY NORELO CLIPPERS AND A WHITE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY WRITE UP INSIDE OF IT RELATING TO THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE MY NORELO CLIPPERS TO HAVE POWER, CONTROL AND OTHER ISSUES TO PREVENT ME FROM USING MY CLIPPERS FOR A VERY LONG TIME. EXHIBIT #81 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS MY HOT POT AND A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY WRITE UP INSIDE OF IT RELATING TO THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE MY HOT POT TO HAVE POWER, CONTROL AND OTHER ISSUES TO PREVENT ME FROM USING MY HOT POT FOR A VERY LONG TIME. EXHIBIT #82 IS MY ELECTRICAL EXTENSION CORD WHICH HAS A EVIDENCE LABEL TAG ATTACHED TO IT. THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES HAD APPLIED SCIENCES AND USED ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE MY EXTENSION CORD TO POP OUT THE SOCKET AND PREVENT ME FROM PUTTING IT BACK INTO THE SOCKET FOR A VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME WHILE THEY WERE PUTTING ON A DEMONSTRATION AT AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY FROM THEIR CONTROL BASE. EXHIBIT #83 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE CONTAINING A WRITE UP FOR MY SONY WALKMAN THAT WAS STOLEN FROM ME BY THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AT ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY. EXHIBIT #84 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS MY WESTBEND FAN AND A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY WRITE UP INSIDE OF IT RELATING TO THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE ME TO FEEL LIKE MY FAN WAS BLOWING OUT HOT AIR ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS AND CAUSE MY FAN TO HAVE POWER, CONTROL AND OTHER ISSUES TO PREVENT ME FROM USING MY FAN FOR A VERY LONG TIME. EXHIBIT #85 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS (2) WRITE UPS FOR A WIDE RANGE OF PROPERTY THAT WAS STOLEN FROM ME BY THE DEFENDANTS AT ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON OCTOBER 14, 2020 AND IN 2021. EXHIBIT #88 IS A

MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS MY G DUPONT REGISTRY MAGAZINES WHICH WERE THE ONLY MAGAZINES THAT I RECEIVED FROM A YEAR SUBSCRIPTION AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO ARE CONTROLLING PEOPLE PERSONAL BEHAVIOR TO CAUSE ME PROBLEMS. EXHIBIT #89 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS MY MAGAZINES THAT I HAD OBTAINED FROM THE GENERAL LIBRARY AT DIFFERENT CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAD PROVIDED FOR ME TO RECEIVE MESSAGES, HINTS OR INFORMATION THROUGH THE MAGAZINES SO I MADE THEM OUT TO BE EVIDENCE. THE MAGAZINES CONTAINS IMAGES, MESSAGES AND INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. EXHIBIT #90 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS THE CATALOGS OF APPROVED VENDORS WHO ARE OVERCHARGING ME AND OTHER INMATES OR OUR LOVED ONES FOR CLOTHING, FOOD, PERSONAL CARE AND OTHER ITEMS IN OUR PACKAGES. EXHIBIT #94 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS THE OLD, OVERSIZED, WORE OUT, STAINED, DINGY, RIPPED, WHOLLY, STITCHED UP OR DAMAGED T-SHIRTS, UNDERSHORTS AND SOCKS THAT I ~~WAS~~ FORCED TO WEAR ON A REGULAR BASIS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. EXHIBIT #95 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS (3) PAIRS OF MY UNDERSHORTS/BOXERS WHERE I HAD TO POKE HOLES IN THE PRIVATE PART AREAS OF THE UNDERSHORTS AND LACE THEM WITH STINGS TO PREVENT THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES FROM CONTINUING TO APPLY SCIENCES AND USE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF MY PENIS TO MAKE IT POP OUT THE SLIT OF MY UNDERSHORTS THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF EACH DAY. EXHIBIT #96 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS (1) PAIR OF BLOOD STAINED UNDERSHORTS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES WHO HAS BEEN USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF MY PRIVATE PARTS AND SEXUALLY ABUSE ME THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF EACH DAY FOR WELL OVER A YEAR AND A HALF NOW. EXHIBIT #97 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS MY STATE ISSUED WASH RAG(S) THAT WAS ISSUED BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO ARE ISSUING WASH RAGS TO INMATES THAT ARE NOT LASTING OVER A FEW DAYS WITHOUT TEARING DOWN THE SEAMS WHILE USING THEM TO WASH OUR BODY PARTS. WE HAVE BEEN ~~STUCK~~ WITH WASH RAGS THAT HAS TORN IN MULTIPLE PLACES BECAUSE OF THE POOR QUALITY OF THE RAGS THAT ARE BEING ISSUED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS. EXHIBIT #98 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS MY ARMANI EXCHANGE GLASSES THAT THE DEFENDANTS WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO SALE TO PREVENT ME FROM GETTING MONEY THAT WAS NEEDED TO TAKE CARE OF MYSELF. IN PRISON. EXHIBIT #99 IS A WORE OUT PAIR OF LIME GREEN JORDAN XIV THAT I HAD TO BURN OFF A HIGH SCHOOL SCHOOLMATE AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. EXHIBIT #100 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS MY FACE MASKS THAT I WAS FORCED TO WEAR AND/OR KEEP IN MY POSSESSION AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IN THE PANDEMIC

AND COVID-19 SITUATION. EXHIBIT #101 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS ONE OF MY WHITE BED SHEETS THAT I HAD TO USE TO BLOCK THE VIEW INTO MY PRISON CELL BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES HAS BEEN APPLYING SCIENCES AND USING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO MOVE MY BOWELS TO MAKE ME USE THE BATHROOM FREQUENTLY ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS AND TO FORCE ME TO TAKE OFF MY CLOTHING TO HAVE ME BUTT NAKED IN MY PRISON CELLS FOR SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNTS OF TIME ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. EXHIBIT #102 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS MY DENTURES THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD CAUSED ME TO GET AT AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY AS A RESULT OF THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS IN THE AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. EXHIBIT #103 IS A NET BAG WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL TAG ATTACHED TO IT AND CONTAINS MY BROWN, BURGUNDY AND RED SWEAT PANTS THAT I WAS FORCED TO WEAR WHILE STAINED AND STITCHED UP, DINGY, RIPPED, WHOLLY, WORE OUT, OVERSIZED, OLD OR DAMAGED AT DIFFERENT CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES FOR A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF TIME AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. EXHIBIT #104 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS MY DAMAGED ALMANAC AND DICTIONARY THAT WAS MISHANDLED BY DOCCS EMPLOYEES AT DIFFERENT FACILITIES. EXHIBIT #105 IS MY STATE ISSUED BLACK BOOTS WHICH ARE NOT INSULATED, COMFORTABLE AND SUPPORTIVE. THE BOOTS THAT ARE BEING ISSUED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS DOES NOT KEEP OUR FEET WARM IN THE WINTER, PROTECT US AGAINST THE RAIN AND PROVIDE US WITH THE RIGHT TYPE OF COMFORTABILITY AND SUPPORT AS INMATES IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. EXHIBIT #106 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS THE INCORRECT, ILLEGAL OR FRAUDULENT LEGAL MATERIALS THAT I HAD RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS SUCH AS INCORRECT FORMS, IRRELEVANT CASES, ILLEGAL STATUTE PROVISIONS, UNREQUESTED CITATION, DECEITFUL LEGAL PACKETS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. EXHIBIT #107 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS MY ILLEGALLY RETURNED MAIL AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. EXHIBIT #108 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE LABEL AND CONTAINS LETTERS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT WAS SENT TO OR RECEIVED FROM CRISIS SERVICES, SAFE HARBORS OF THE FINGER LAKES, PRISONERS' LEGAL SERVICES OF NEW YORK, THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY, BUFFALO CITY COURT, U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND OTHER AGENCIES OR PEOPLE. IT ALSO CONTAINS LETTERS THAT WASN'T MAILED OUT BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS DID NOT PROVIDE FOR ME TO OBTAIN CERTAIN ADDRESSES. EXHIBIT #109 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS MY LETTERS, GREETINGS CARDS AND PHOTOGRAPHS THAT WERE SENT TO ME FROM LOVED ONES ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS TO PROVIDE ME WITH MESSAGES, HINTS OR INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM OR CASE. EXHIBIT #110 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS MY PHOTOGRAPHS OF LOVED ONES WHO HAVE BEEN EFFECTED TREMENDOUSLY BY THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-

RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN MY JPAY EMAILS, LETTERS, LEGAL PACKETS, SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. EXHIBIT # 111 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL DOCKET SHEET OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHICH WAS FILED FOR THIS CASE. EXHIBIT # 112 IS THE INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING A COMPLAINT IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD SENT ME THE INSTRUCTIONS DOCUMENT TO COMMENCE THIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CASE IN THE DISTRICT COURTS. EXHIBIT # 113 IS A PRO SE LITIGATION GUIDELINE LEGAL PACKET THAT WAS ALSO SENT TO ME TO COMMENCE THIS CASE IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT. EXHIBIT # 114 IS A LOCAL RULES OF PRACTICE NOTEBOOK THAT WAS ALSO SENT TO ME BY THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT TO COMMENCE THIS CASE IN THEIR DISTRICT COURTS. THE LOCAL RULES OF PRACTICE NOTEBOOK, PRO SE LITIGATION GUIDELINE LEGAL PACKET AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING A COMPLAINT DOCUMENT WAS DESIGNED TO HELP PRO SE LITIGANTS LIKE ME TO BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE RULES AND PROCEDURES WHICH MUST BE FOLLOWED IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN THE WESTERN AND NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. LAWSUITS IN FEDERAL COURT GO THROUGH A NUMBER OF STEPS FROM THE TIME THEY ARE FILED UNTIL THEY ARE ULTIMATELY RESOLVED BY A JUDGE, A JURY OR THROUGH SETTLEMENT. THE LEGAL MATERIALS STATES THE GUIDELINES THAT SUMMARIZE THE PROCEDURES CONCERNING WHERE AND HOW TO FILE THE NECESSARY LEGAL PAPERS, THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION BETWEEN PARTIES, TRIAL PREPARATION AND CERTAIN OTHER LEGAL PROCEDURES WHICH SOMEONE AND THEIR OPPONENT MAY NEED TO USE BEFORE THE CASE IS FINALLY RESOLVED. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WILL BE ABLE TO USE THE LEGAL MATERIALS TO BECOME A LOT MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COURTS BELOW, DEFENDANTS AND THE PLAINTIFF WHO WAS UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO COMPLY WITH THE LOCAL RULES, FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE AND ANY OTHER OBLIGATIONS IMPOSED BY LAW. EXHIBIT # 115 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF MY (20) ENTITLED LETTERS AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON JUNE 6, 2022. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE DOCUMENTS SO I HAD MADE THIS EXHIBIT # 115. EXHIBIT # 116 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF MY (19) ENTITLED LETTERS AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON JUNE 30, 2022. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE ORIGINAL COPIES OF MY (19) ENTITLED LETTERS SO I HAD MADE THIS EXHIBIT # 116. EXHIBIT # 117 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF MY (4) DOCUMENTS ENTITLED "THE PLAINTIFF'S INTRODUCTION AND QUESTIONS FOR HIS WITNESSES, FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS

AND RELATIVES", "THE PLAINTIFF'S INTRODUCTION AND QUESTIONS FOR HIS WITNESSES", ALL DEFENDANTS", "ANNEX QUESTIONS FOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO" AND "ANNEX QUESTIONS FOR MY CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE." BECAUSE I WAS UNABLE TO USE THE DOCUMENTS TO ESTABLISH THE DETAILED, POWER, CRUCIAL AND EFFECTIVE TESTIMONIES OF MY WITNESSES AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE DOCUMENTS IS SERVING AS EVIDENCE TO SHOW HOW IMPORTANT EACH WITNESS IS, TO SHOW HOW I WAS PLANNING TO USE MY WITNESSES TO HELP PROVE MY CLAIMS, TO SHOW HOW I WAS PLANNING TO USE MY WITNESSES TO HELP PROVE THAT THEM AND OTHER PEOPLE IS WILLING TO PROMOTE, DEFEND AND SUPPORT OUR RIGHTEDUS CAUSE, TO SHOW HOW I WAS PLANNING TO HELP PROVE THAT MY WITNESSES AND OTHER PEOPLE HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE, TO SHOW HOW I WAS PLANNING TO HELP PROVE THAT MY WITNESSES AND OTHER PEOPLE WOULD LIKE TO HELP SET OUR PEOPLE FREE FROM IMPRISONMENT, MODERN DAY SLAVERY, OPPRESSION AND ENEMY OCCUPATION, AND TO SHOW HOW I ~~WAS~~ WAS PLANNING TO HELP PROVE THAT MY WITNESSES AND OTHER PEOPLE WOULD LIKE TO WORK FOR PEACE, PROSPERITY AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR COMMUNITIES OR TERRITORIES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD WHILE PROMOTING COMMUNITY WELFARE, GOODWILL AND OUR RIGHTEDUS CAUSE. EXHIBIT #118 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF MY LEGAL PACKET ENTITLED "THE PLAINTIFF'S PRESENTATION LEGAL PACKET FOR A JURY TRIAL" BECAUSE I WAS UNABLE TO USE THE DOCUMENT TO PRESENT THIS CASE UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE PRESENTATION LEGAL PACKET SERVES AS EVIDENCE BECAUSE IT SHOWS HOW I WAS GOING TO PRESENT THIS CASE, LAYS OUT THE FACTS OF THIS CASE IN WRITING AND CONSTITUTES AN OFFICIAL ACCOUNT OF OUR PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL AND LIFE PROCESS. EXHIBIT #119 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS (16) MAGAZINES THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAD PROVIDED FOR ME TO RECEIVE FROM INMATES AND THE GENERAL LIBRARY AT AUBURN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SO THAT THE MAGAZINES PUBLISHING COMPANIES WOULD BE ABLE TO PROVIDE ME WITH MESSAGES, HINTS AND INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. EXHIBIT #120 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS MY PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT AND (7) CRIME SCENE PHOTOS THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAD SENT TO ME IN THE PROCESS OF FAKING THE DEATH OF MY BROTHER KELVIN NEAL AND UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONING ME FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR. EXHIBIT #121 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A LETTER THAT WAS SENT TO ME FROM MY COUSIN DONALD SPEED WHILE UNDER THE CONTROL, INFLUENCE OR POWER OF THE GOVERNMENT WHO HAVE BEEN IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF FAKING THE

DEATH OF MY AUNT LORRAINE VANCE FOR OVER 2 YEARS NOW. EXHIBIT #122 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A PHOTO THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD PROVIDED FOR ME TO RECEIVE THROUGH THE MAIL WHILE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF FAKING THE DEATH OF MY COUSIN TIMOTHY SMITH. EXHIBIT #123 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A LETTER, ARBITRARY AND PHOTO THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD PROVIDED FOR ME TO RECEIVE THROUGH THE MAIL WHILE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF FAKING THE DEATH OF MY COUSIN ANTONIO VANCE. EXHIBIT #124 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS (2) LETTERS, (17) PHOTOS, AND A MINI ARBITRARY CARD THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD PROVIDED FOR ME TO RECEIVE THROUGH THE MAIL WHILE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF FAKING THE DEATH OF MY COUSIN JEFFREY SHANKLIN. EXHIBIT #125 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS (4) LETTERS, (3) GREETING CARDS, (4) PHOTOS, AND A ELMIRA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY GUIDANCE UNIT MEMORANDUM THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD PROVIDED FOR ME TO RECEIVE THROUGH THE MAIL WHILE IN THE LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF FAKING THE FEDERAL INCARCERATION OF MY BROTHER JAY NEAL. EXHIBIT #126 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS (23) SYNCHRONICITY PHOTOGRAPHS THAT WAS SENT TO ME BY MY LOVED ONES TO GIVE ME MESSAGES, HINTS OR INFORMATION RELATING TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. EXHIBIT #127 IS A WHITE STATE ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A ARBITRARY CARD THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD PROVIDED FOR ME TO RECEIVE THROUGH THE MAIL WHILE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF FAKING THE DEATH OF MY FRIEND ABRAHAM H. ADAMS. EXHIBIT #128 IS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINS MY HYDROCORTISONE CREME AND ACNE MEDICINE THAT WAS PROVIDED TO ME FOR MY SKIN PROBLEMS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. EXHIBIT #129 IS A VERY IMPORTANT DOCUMENT WITH THE HEADING OF EACH SECTION CONTAINING PATRICK HENRY'S SPEECH TO THE VIRGINIA CONVENTION, INFORMATION ABOUT THE ADOPTION OF THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE ORIGIN OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION, A COPY OF THE U.S CONSTITUTION AND BILL OF RIGHTS, INFORMATION ABOUT HOW A BILL BECOMES LAW, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PRESIDENTIAL OATH OF OFFICE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PRESIDENTIAL SUCCESSION, INFORMATION ABOUT CONFEDERATE STATES-SECESSION AND GOVERNMENT, INFORMATION ABOUT THE GETTYBURG ADDRESS, INFORMATION ABOUT THE ORIGIN OF THE U.S NATIONAL MOTTO, INFORMATION ABOUT THE GREAT SEAL OF THE UNITED STATES, INFORMATION ABOUT THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES AND INFORMATION ABOUT THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG AND THE HISTORY OF THE NATIONAL ANTHEM.

EXHIBIT #129 IS EVIDENCE WHICH CONTAINS INFORMATION THAT LAIDED THE FOUNDATION FOR OUR PRINCIPLES AND ESTABLISH OUR TRUTHS THAT ALL CITIZEN ARE EQUAL, HAVE EQUAL RIGHTS AND ARE SUPPOSE TO BE TREATED EQUALLY BY OUR CITY, STATE OR COUNTY. EVERYONE MUST BE GIVEN THE SAME OPPORTUNITIES OR FAIR TREATMENT IN A COURT OF LAW, AT WORK AND IN THE COMMUNITY. THE U.S CONSTITUTION IS THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW THAT CREATES THE BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT AND IDENTIFIES OUR BASIC RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS AS CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES. THE U.S CONSTITUTION IS THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND. EXHIBIT #130 IS A COPY OF THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION WHICH IS THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW THAT CREATES THE BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT AND IDENTIFIES OUR BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS. EXHIBIT #131 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE THAT IS WRAPPED WITH A WHITE EVIDENCE SUMMARY COVER AND CONTAINS THE ~~FEDERAL~~ STATUTORY LAWS IN SUPPORT OF MY PROCEDURAL DEFAULT CLAIMS AND THE UNRESOLVED ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. IT ALSO CONTAINS A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "UNRESOLVED ISSUES". EXHIBIT #132 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE THAT IS WRAPPED WITH A WHITE EVIDENCE SUMMARY COVER AND CONTAINS THE NEW YORK STATE STATUTORY LAWS AND COURT RULES THAT ARE RELEVANT TO MY CRIMINAL CASE WHICH REVOLVES AROUND MY UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JR. IT ALSO CONTAINS A COPY OF MY GRAND JURY LEGAL PACKET AND GRAND JURORS HANDBOOK. EXHIBITS #132, 130 AND 129 IS IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION ENTITLED "NOTICE OF MOTION IN SUPPORT OF MY TRIAL BRIEF AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW", AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL. EXHIBIT #133 IS A CARD-BOARD THAT IS COVERED WITH A COPY OF A PAGE FROM A BOOK ENTITLED "I AM INNOCENT" WHICH WAS WRITTEN BY ROBERT NASH TO PROVIDE US WITH INFORMATION ABOUT WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS. UPDATED EXHIBIT #134 IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS COPIES OF LETTERS; ILLEGITIMATE COURT ORDERS; FALSIFIED DECLARATIONS OF NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS JONATHAN REINER AND SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN AND SERGEANT T. WILSON; DOCCS DIRECTIVE 4919; DOCCS DIRECTIVE 4934; AND MY PRELIMINARY EXHIBIT #134, GRIEVANCE PAPERS, WITNESSES AFFIDAVIT, LIST OF EVIDENCE PART I WITH COMMENTARY, PERSONAL PROPERTY FORMS, PACKAGE ROOM RECEIPTS, PROOF OF SERVICES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE SITUATION WHERE THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO OBTAIN TRIAL CLOTHING, APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EVIDENCE AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT WAS NEEDED FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022 AND CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. EXHIBIT #135 IS A 9 PAGE PROOF OF SERVICE DOCUMENT FOR MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS THAT WAS SERVED TO THE U.S ATTORNEY FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT, U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2022.

[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 136-147, 154-183, 304-311, H06,522-526; Vo. 2 PAGES 373-432,

584-587 AND 807-831; AND V.3 PAGES 140-153]

64. ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY STAFF HAD USED DIFFERENT TACTICS TO INTERFERE WITH ME HAVING THEIR PACKAGE ROOM PROCESS AND MAILOUT MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS WHILE I WAS TRYING TO TAKE ADVICE AND PREPARE FOR THE RESCHEDULING OF MY TRIAL AND DOWNSIZE FOR THE PACK UP AFTER CORRECTIONAL STAFF HAD CAUSED ME TO HAVE TRIAL APPEARANCE, COURT TRIP PACK UP AND OTHER ISSUES. I WAS FORCED TO SUBMIT LETTERS TO ATTICA PACKAGE ROOM AND FILE A GRIEVANCE FOR MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS MAILOUT ISSUES WHICH WAS SERVED ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2022 AND LOGGED IN ATTICA GRIEVANCE OFFICE AS GRIEVANCE 2166-22 ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2022. I WAS FINALLY ABLE TO GO TO THE PACKAGE ROOM TO MAILOUT MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS # 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 101, 102, 103, 104 AND 105 TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE ON SEPTEMBER 22, 2022. I WAS'NT ALLOWED TO MAILOUT MY EXHIBIT #79- MY U PAY TABLET AND EXHIBIT #105- MY BLACK STATE BOOTS BECAUSE SERGEANT C. MUNIAK HAD SENT ME A MEMORANDUM LETTER DATED 9/22/22 CLAIMING THAT I NEEDED AUTHORIZATION FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT TO SUBMIT THE ITEMS TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY OR SYRACUSE AS EVIDENCE AFTER SOMEONE HAD INSPECTED MY MAIL FOR CONTRABAND PRIOR TO BEING MAILED OUT AND DISCOVERED THE ITEMS. I HAD SENT THE SUPERINTENDENT AT ATTICA A LETTER DATED 9/25/22 FOR AUTHORIZATION AND SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF HAD CLAIMED THAT MY STATE BOOTS ARE PROPERTY OF THE STATE AND CAN ONLY BE MAILED OUT TO THE COURT AS EVIDENCE IF I PURCHASE THEM. HE HAD ALSO CLAIMED THAT MY TABLET BELONGS TO U PAY AND THAT I NEED THEIR PERMISSION TO MAIL IT OUT TO THE COURT AS EVIDENCE. I HAD SENT EMAILS AND (2) LETTERS DATED 10/2/22 AND 10/3/22 TO U PAY BUT THEY HAD REFUSED TO RESPOND OR SEND ME A NEW TABLET AFTER RECEIVING THE TROUBLE TICKETS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. ON SEPTEMBER 28, 2022, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD ENTERED A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER TO LET IT BE KNOWN THAT THEIR CLERK'S OFFICE IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE HAD RECEIVED MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS IN A LARGE BOX TO BE FILED FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL IN WHICH I BELIEVED WAS GOING TO BE RESCHEDULED FOR A UPCOMING DATE AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS. THE COURT HAD ILLEGALLY REJECTED THE SUBMISSIONS WITHOUT REVIEWING OR ACCEPTING THE BOX FOR FILING BECAUSE THEY WERE STILL ACTING LIKE THIS CASE IS ONLY ABOUT THE TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION # 5 AND # 10 ONLY WHILE ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM SUBMITTING EVIDENCE AND PRESENTING MY CASE WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL. THE COURT HAD RETURNED THE BOX OF EXHIBITS TO ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WITH A COPY OF THE ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER AND DIRECTED THEM TO RETURN THE ITEMS TO ME. I WAS FORCED TO SUBMIT A DOCS GRIEVANCE WHICH WAS LOGGED AS GRIEVANCE 2396-22 ON OCTOBER 19, 2022 FOR THE PACKAGE ROOM TO RETURN MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO ME BECAUSE CORRECTIONAL STAFF WAS ILLEGALLY WITHHELDING THE EVIDENCE AND SETTING THINGS UP FOR THEM TO FORCE ME TO SEND THE EVIDENCE HOME. I HAD ALSO SENT LETTERS TO THE U.S DISTRICT

COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND ATTICA SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF AND PACKAGE ROOM FOR THE RETURN OF MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND THEIR CHIEF JUDGE GLENN T. SUDDABLY AND OTHER SUPERVISORS HAD REFUSED TO RESPOND TO THE LETTERS AND ADDRESS MY ISSUES RELATING TO THE ILLEGAL RETURN OF MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS BY THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE AND THE WITHHELDING OF MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS FOR A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF TIME BY ATTICA PACKAGE ROOM OFFICERS AND OTHER STAFF WHO WERE IN THE PROCESS OF FORCING ME TO SEND THE EVIDENCE HOME FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS. ON OCTOBER 18, 2022, I WAS CALLED TO THE PACKAGE ROOM WHERE A UNKNOWN OFFICER HAD TOLD ME THAT THE SUPERINTENDENT DOES NOT WANT HIM TO RETURN MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS / PERSONAL PROPERTY TO ME AND THAT THE SUPERINTENDENT HAD ORDERED ME TO SEND THE EVIDENCE HOME WITHOUT PROVIDING ME WITH A LEGITIMATE EXCUSE FOR SUCH ACTION. I HAD REFUSED TO SEND THE EVIDENCE / PERSONAL PROPERTY HOME BECAUSE IT IS NEEDED FOR PERSONAL AND LEGAL PURPOSES RELATING TO THIS CASE. ON OCTOBER 21, 2022, ATTICA SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF HAD RESPONDED TO MY CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING MY PROPERTY BY ISSUING A MEMORANDUM LETTER DATED 10/21/22 WHERE HE HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS ARE CONTAMINATED AND THEREFORE CANNOT BE RETURNED TO ME TO KEEP IN MY POSSESSION. HE HAD ALSO TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO PROVIDE THE PACKAGE ROOM WITH A ADDRESS TO HAVE THE ITEMS SHIPPED OUT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE INITIAL CALLOUT TO THE PACKAGE ROOM OR THE ITEMS WILL BE DESTROYED. ON OCTOBER 25, 2022, ATTICA SUPERINTENDENT GEORGE POFF HAD ALSO PAID ME A VISIT AT MY CELL (A-5-26 CELL) WHERE HE HAD TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO PROVIDE THE PACKAGE ROOM WITH AN ADDRESS OR MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS WILL BE DESTROYED. HE HAD ALSO TOLD ME THAT THE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT DOES NOT OVERRIDE HIS DECISION BECAUSE MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS / EVIDENCE IS CONTAMINATED AND CANNOT BE RETURNED TO ME TO KEEP IN MY POSSESSION. I TRIED TO TELL HIM THAT THE ONLY EVIDENCE THAT IS CONTAMINATED IS MY BLOOD STAINED UNDERSHORTS WHICH IS EXHIBIT #96. BUT, HE HAD REFUSED TO LISTEN AND GAVE ME THE 30 DAY TIME LIMIT TO SUBMIT AN ADDRESS WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR LEGITIMATE EXCUSE TO DENY ME MY PERSONAL PROPERTY AND FORCE ME TO SEND THE NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS / EVIDENCE HOME WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS, DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO ENCRACH ON MY INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. ON THE 26TH OR 27TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2022, I WAS CALLED TO THE PACKAGE ROOM AT ATTICA TO FILL OUT A AUTHORIZATION FOR DISPOSAL OF PERSONAL PROPERTY FORM AND A INMATE DISBURSEMENT FORM FOR THE ILLEGAL MAILING OF MY NON PAPERWORK EXHIBITS TO THE HOME OF MY COUSIN ELLA PAULK-VANCE AT 225 ROSEWOOD TER., CHEEKTOWAGA, NEW YORK 14225 WHILE THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WERE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF CAUSING ME AND OTHER INMATES PROBLEMS WITHIN THEIR CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES. THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS AND OTHER

DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN CAUSING ME AND OTHER INMATES TO HAVE PROBLEMS
TAKING CARE OF OURSELVES, OBTAINING BETTER TREATMENT AND PAY, RECEIVING
ADEQUATE MEDICAL ATTENTION AND OTHER SERVICES, GETTING HEALTHY FOODS AND EATING
WELL BALANCED MEALS, ACCESSING THE LAW LIBRARY AND OTHER PLACES, OBTAINING LEGAL,
CLEANING AND OTHER SUPPLIES, PROCESSING OUR MAIL AND GETTING APPROVED FOR ADVANCES,
ACCESSING FACILITY TELEPHONES AND PAY KIOSKS, OBTAINING NEW STATE GREEN CLOTH-
ING UPON THE SUBMISSION OF OUR STATE SHOP FORMS FOR NEW CLOTHING, RESOLVING OUR
ISSUES UPON THE SUBMISSION OF OUR GRIEVANCES, OBTAINING OR COMPLETING PROGRAMS,
STRENGTHENING OUR TIES TO FAMILY, FRIENDS AND OTHER PEOPLE, CLEANING OUR LAUNDRY
AND LIVING ENVIRONMENTS, CUTTING OUR HAIR, ESCAPING HOSTILE AND UNCLEAN ENVIRON-
MENTS, RECEIVING PERSONAL CARE AND OTHER ITEMS THROUGH THEIR PACKAGE ROOMS,
AND PREPARING FOR OUR RELEASE AND TRANSITION BACK INTO SOCIETY, ETC.
[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 213, AND Vo. 2 PAGE 832-860]

65. ON AUGUST 24, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY UPDATED CIVIL COVER SHEET ALONG
WITH MY PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED PRELIMINARY EXHIBIT #134, AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON
THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL
OFFICE. THE UPDATED CIVIL COVER SHEET DATED 8/15/22 IS NEEDED FOR THIS HISTORIC
HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE. I HAD CHECKED ALL
BOXES TO COVER THE DESCRIPTIONS FOR THE NATURE OF SUIT STATUTE CODES FOR THIS CASE.
IN AUGUST OF 2022, I HAD ALSO MAILED OUT MY EXHIBIT #88 - MY G DUPONT REGISTRY
MAGAZINES AND EXHIBIT #90 - THE CATALOGS OF APPROVED VENDORS ALONG WITH
PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY OR SYRACUSE WHO HAD
CLAIMED THAT THEY **HAD** FILED **THE** MAGAZINES ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2022 ACCORDING
TO THEIR CIVIL DOCKET SHEET ENTRY # 288. I WAS FORCED TO MAIL OUT MY EXHIBITS #88
AND #90 TO THE COURT BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS **WOULD** NOT ALLOW ME
TO PACK UP THE EXHIBITS AND BRING THEM TO COURT AS EVIDENCE UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL
COURT TRIP. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 807 - 830]

66. ON SEPTEMBER 1, 2022, I HAD SERVED CHIEF JUDGE GLENN T. SUDDABLY AND
OTHER SUPERVISORS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW
YORK WITH A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER, LETTER ENTITLED "UNRESOLVED ISSUES", AND
PRESENTATION LEGAL PACKET FOR A JURY TRIAL SO THAT THEY COULD READ THE DOCUM-
ENTS TO BECOME A LOT MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE UNRESOLVED ISSUES OF THIS CASE,
REVIEW THE CASE RECORDS, PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, REASSIGN THIS CASE
TO A NEW JUDGE AND PREVENT A UNLAWFUL LENGTHY CIVIL JURY TRIAL AND ITS SUBSTA-
NTIAL COST AND HARMFUL EFFECTS ON ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES
AND OTHER PEOPLE. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD RESPONDED
WITH THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT AFTER A FEW MORE FILING BY
ME WITHIN THEIR DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 867 -
869]

67. ON SEPTEMBER 7, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER AND PRESENTATION LEGAL PACKET FOR A JURY TRIAL TO ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JONATHAN REINER SO THAT HE COULD READ THE DOCUMENTS TO BECOME A LOT MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE UNRESOLVED ISSUES IN THIS CASE, REVIEW THE CASE RECORDS, PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, AND HELP BRING THIS CASE TO AN END. HE HAD RESPONDED BY PLAYING HIS ROLE FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 870 - 872]

68. ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2022, I HAD SERVED THE U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL, U.S ATTORNEY AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES AND OTHER SUPERVISORS WITH A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER; SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT PART 1, 2, 3 AND 4; LETTER ENTITLED "UNRESOLVED ISSUES"; LETTER ADDRESSED TO CHIEF JUDGE GLEN T. SUDDABLY AND OTHER SUPERVISORS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE; LETTER ADDRESSED TO NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE EMPLOYEE JONATHAN REINER; LETTER ADDRESSED TO MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED PRO BONO ATTORNEY GEORGE H. LOWE AND PROOF OF SERVICE SO THAT THEY COULD READ THE DOCUMENTS TO BECOME A LOT MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE UNRESOLVED ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE, REVIEW THE CASE RECORDS, PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, AND HELP BRING THIS CASE TO AN END. THEY HAD REFUSED TO RESPOND TO THE LEGAL PAPERS AND ALLOWED THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT TO ILLEGALLY DISMISS MY COMPLAINT. I HAD PROVIDED THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WITH A COPY OF MY EXHIBIT #135 WHICH CONSIST OF MY PROOF OF SERVICES FOR THE SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS THAT WAS SERVED UPON THE U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL, U.S ATTORNEY AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2022. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 873 - 881]

69. ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTERS ENTITLED "EVIDENCE, WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS AND OTHER PROBLEMS" AND "NAACP, GOD WORKS IN MYSTERIOUS WAYS" TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE, THE U.S ATTORNEY, U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL AND MY ILLEGALLY APPOINTED STANDBY TRIAL COUNSEL GEORGE H. LOWE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTERS TO MAKE TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE, REVEAL THINGS AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WOULD NOT ALLOW THE NAACP TO PROVIDE US WITH ASSISTANCE AND CAUSED THE NAACP LEGAL DEPARTMENT TO SEND ME DELAYED ILLEGITIMATE RESPONSE LETTERS DATED 9/6/22 AND 10/6/22. I DID PROVIDE THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WITH MY EXHIBIT #108 WHICH IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF THE NAACP RESPONSE LETTERS AND LETTER ENTITLED "NAACP, GOD WORKS IN MYSTERIOUS WAYS". EXHIBIT #108 DOES CONTAIN OTHER EVIDENCE IN WHICH I HAVE BEEN COVERING WITHIN THIS PETITION. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 882 - 891]

70. ON OCTOBER 5, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "THE DEFENDANTS HAS SABOTAGED OUR HOOP DREAMS, BASKETBALL" TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTER TO MAKE A TRIAL SUBMISSION, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES OF THIS CASE, MAKE DEMANDS, REVEAL THINGS AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE ENTITLED LETTER HAD RECEIVED ITS TITLE BASED OFF THE SUBJECT MATTER AND CONTENT OF THE DOCUMENT. I HAD ALSO SUBMITTED A COPY OF THE LETTER TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, U.S ATTORNEY AND DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 892-893]

71. ON OCTOBER 11, 2022, ATTICA INMATE RECORDS STAFF HAD FINALLY PROCESSED ONE OF MY DISBURSEMENT FORMS AND MAILED MY F.O.I.L PERSONAL PROPERTY ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS EVIDENCE TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE AFTER DOCCS EMPLOYEES HAD WITHHELD THE FAVORABLE EVIDENCE FOR WELL OVER 4 YEARS. THE ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS IS ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE RELATING TO MY TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION #5 AND #10. THE ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS ALSO SERVE OTHER PURPOSES IN RELATION TO OTHER CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS IS DAMAGING EVIDENCE AGAINST THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS BASED ON THE FACT THAT THE DISCIPLINARY HEARING TAPES AND AUDIO VIDEO RECORDINGS CONTAINS IMMATURE THEMES, INTENSELY SUGGESTIVE DIALOGUES, STRONG COARSE LANGUAGE, OBVIOUS LIES, COMEDIC RESPONSES TO INTENSE SITUATIONS, GRAPHIC DETAILS, VIOLENT AND ABUSIVE CONDUCT, PROCEDURAL **VIOLATIONS**, CRIMINAL ACTS AND OTHER EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT OR NEGLECT THAT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS BELIEVES WOULD OFFEND A CIVIL TRIAL JURY, GIVE THE JURY A UNFAVORABLE IMPRESSION OF THEM, AND CAUSE THE JURY TO ENTER A SPECIAL VERDICT OR JUDGEMENT AGAINST THEM. ON OCTOBER 17, 2022, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD ACKNOWLEDGED RECEIPT OF MY F.O.I.L PERSONAL PROPERTY EVIDENCE WHICH IS KNOWN AND LABELED AS (1) CD/DVD LABELED "VANCE, 12B3682, 5-11-16"; (4) TIER 3 DISCIPLINARY CASSETTE TAPES LABELED "VANCE, W, 12B3682, CH0BULLIS 16-388 6/29/16"; (2) DISCIPLINARY CASSETTE TAPES LABELED "16-1190"; (1) DISCIPLINARY CASSETTE TAPE LABELED "16-1180"; (2) DISCIPLINARY CASSETTE TAPES LABELED "16-0879"; AND (1) CD/DVD LABELED "8/26/16, L16-459". [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 861-866]

72. ON NOVEMBER 9, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTERS ENTITLED "PENOLOGY AND CORRECTIONS" AND "CRIMINOGENESIS, THE SOURCE OF OUR JUSTIFIABLE CRIMES" TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTER TO ALL PARTIES TO MAKE TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE, MAKE DEMANDS, REVEAL THINGS AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE ENTITLED LETTER HAD RECEIVED ITS TITLE BASED OFF THE SUBJECT MATTER AND CONTENT OF THE DOCUMENT. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 894-897]

73. ON NOVEMBER 14, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "LOGISTICS, SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS" TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTER TO ALL PARTIES TO MAKE TRIAL SUBMISSIONS, SEEK LEGAL SERVICES, TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE, MAKE DEMANDS, REVEAL THINGS AND EXPOSE THE TRUTH BY PLACING THINGS ON THE RECORD IN WRITING. THE ENTITLED LETTER HAD RECEIVED ITS TITLE BASED OFF THE SUBJECT MATTER AND CONTENT OF THE DOCUMENT.
[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 2 PAGES 898-900]

74. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WILL FIND THAT I HAD SERVED THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WITH A WIDE RANGE OF DIFFERENT LETTERS THROUGHOUT THIS CASE. I HAD FILED COVER LETTERS; ENTITLED LETTERS; LETTERS INQUIRING ABOUT THE STATUS OF THIS CASE WHILE THE DEFENDANTS WERE CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS UPON RECEIPT OF MY LEGAL PAPERS; LETTERS ASKING THE COURT TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY LEGAL PAPERS BECAUSE THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE WOULD NOT ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY LEGAL PAPERS UNTIL I HAD SENT FOLLOW UP LETTERS TO THE COURT ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; LETTERS COMPLAINING ABOUT THE DEFENDANTS CONTINUOUSLY ABUSING ABUSING ME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATING MY HUMAN RIGHTS; LETTERS COMPLAINING ABOUT THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT MISHANDLING THIS CASE; LETTERS DEMANDING CERTAIN RELIEF IN THIS CASE; LETTERS RELATING TO THE SCHEDULING OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCES; LETTERS ASKING THE COURT TO ISSUE SUMMONS; LETTERS ASKING THE COURT FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS WERE CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS IN THE PROCESS OF ME FILING MY LEGAL PAPERS; LETTERS ASKING THE COURT TO PLEASE RESPOND TO MY LEGAL PAPERS IN THE APPROPRIATE MANNER; LETTERS ASKING THE COURT TO PROVIDE FOR MY HEALTHCARE EXAMINATIONS OR TREATMENT FROM OUTSIDE MEDICAL PROVIDERS; LETTERS ASKING THE COURT TO REASSIGN THIS CASE TO A NEW U.S DISTRICT JUDGE, CHANGE THE VENUE, APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY, AND GRANT ME PERMISSION TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT; LETTERS ASKING THE COURT TO PROVIDE ME WITH COPIES OF THE COMPLAINT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS; LETTERS COMPLAINING ABOUT THE DEFENDANTS SEXUALLY ABUSING ME ON A REGULAR BASIS; LETTERS INFORMING THE COURT OF MY ADDRESS CHANGES; COPIES OF LETTERS THAT I HAD RECEIVED FROM PEOPLE; AND COPIES OF LETTERS THAT I HAD SENT TO AND RECEIVED FROM GOVERNMENT AGENCIES OR EMPLOYERS; AND COPIES OF LETTERS THAT I HAD SENT TO POTENTIAL WITNESSES, ETC.. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 482-529]

75. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WILL ALSO FIND THAT THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES BY COMPOSING AND ISSUING A ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL DOCKET SHEET THAT IS LACKING ACCURACY OF EXPRESSION OF DETAILS ABOUT THE TRANSACTIONS, OCCURRENCES OR EVENTS THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE IN THIS CASE, FAILS TO CLEARLY DEFINE OR IDENTIFY ITEMS, PROVIDES US WITH INCORRECT DESCRIPTIONS OF ITEMS AND FILING DATES, PROVIDES US WITH ERRONEOUS INFORMATION THAT IS CONTRARY TO WHAT IS FACT, PROVIDES US WITH FALSE STATEMENTS THAT IS KNOWN TO BE FALSE OR MADE RECKLESSLY BY THE COURT, PROVIDES US WITH FALSE STATEMENTS THAT IS LIKELY TO INDUCE

A REASONABLE PERSON TO ASSENT OR THAT THE MAKER KNOWS IS LIKELY TO INDUCE THE RECIPIENT TO ASSENT, PROVIDES US WITH A WIDE RANGE OF INFORMATION IN A DECEITFUL FORMAT TO CONFUSE THE RECIPIENT, AND PROVIDES US WITH FALSE, DECEITFUL AND OTHER INFORMATION TO SUPPRESS THE TRUTH AND ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. THE MAKER OF THE CIVIL DOCKET SHEET HAD ILLEGALLY CLAIMED THAT CERTAIN DEFENDANTS WERE TERMINATED FROM THE DOCKET WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION; REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE AGENCIES AND PERSONS WHO ARE LABELED AS DEFENDANTS IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED IN THIS CASE; UNLAWFULLY LABELED LETTERS AS LETTER MOTIONS TO CONFUSE THE RECIPIENT; FALSELY CLAIMED THAT THE FULL AMOUNT FOR THE FILING IS \$400 WHEN IT STATES THAT THE FULL AMOUNT FOR THE FILING FEE IS \$350 WITHIN THE PRO SE LITIGATION GUIDELINES LEGAL PACKET OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS; MADE ABBREVIATIONS TO LIMIT THE RECIPIENT UNDERSTANDING OF FILINGS; REFRAINED FROM ELABORATING ON FILINGS OF THE PLAINTIFF TO SUPPRESS THE TRUTH AND ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE THAT ARE STILL IN DISPUTE; ELABORATED ON THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS OF THE DEFENDANTS WHICH ARE KNOWN TO BE INVALID, VOID AND UNENFORCEABLE IN THIS CASE; REFRAINED FROM ACKNOWLEDGING THE FACTS THAT THE ORDERS OF JUDGE MAE A. D'AGOSTINO, BRENDA K. SANNES AND ANDREW T. BAXTER IS INVALID, VOID AND UNENFORCEABLE BECAUSE THEY ARE LABELED AS DEFENDANTS AND IS BEING SUED BY THE PLAINTIFF UPON RECEIPT OF MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS; AND PROVIDED US WITH FALSE, ERRONEOUS OR DECEITFUL INFORMATION UPON ENTRY #126 WHERE IT STATES THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAD PROVIDED ME WITH THE INITIAL MANDATORY PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURES WHICH IS A LIE. [SEE EXHIBIT #111 AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 482 - 529]

76. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WILL ALSO FIND THAT THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A WIDE RANGE OF ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDERS WHILE LABELED AS DEFENDANTS FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCROACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. PLEASE NOTE THAT ALL ORDERS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT ARE INVALID, VOID AND UNENFORCEABLE BECAUSE THE COURTS ARE LABELED DEFENDANTS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF ITS EMPLOYEES AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. [SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 184 - 218 AND 482 - 529]

77. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT WILL ALSO FIND THAT ON NOVEMBER 15, 2022, JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND THE U.S DISTRICT COURT CLERKS HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND FINAL ORDER WHERE THEY HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT BY FALSELY CLAIMING THAT I HAD FAILED TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS BECAUSE I HAD ALLEGEDLY ENGAGED IN DISRUPTIVE ACTIVITIES DURING SCHEDULED TELEPHONE CONFERENCES MONTHS PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE FINAL ORDER AND REFUSED TO APPEAR AT THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE FOR THE

SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022.
[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 165-183]

PROCEDURAL DEFAULTS

THE UNRESOLVED ISSUES OF THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE

78. IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 3006 AND 28 U.S.C. § 1915, THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD REFUSED TO APPOINT ME A PRO BONO LEADING ATTORNEY WHO IS WILLING TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR HUMAN RIGHTS, PRESENT THIS CASE, INVESTIGATE THE CRUCIAL FACTS AND HANDLE THE COMPLEXITY OF THE LEGAL ISSUES AND CONFLICTING EVIDENCE IMPLICATING THE NEED FOR CROSS EXAMINATION WHICH WILL BE THE MAJOR PROOF PRESENTED TO THE FACT FINDER. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES BRENDA K. SANNES, MAE A. D'AGOSTINO AND ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD REFUSED TO APPOINT ME A PRO BONO ATTORNEY THROUGHOUT THE PRE-TRIAL STAGES OF THIS CASE AND THEN, JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD DECIDED TO ILLEGALLY APPOINT ME PRO BONO ATTORNEYS FOR TRIAL PURPOSES ONLY ON SEPARATE OCCASIONS. PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEYS ELIZABETH GENUING AND GEORGE H. LOWE WERE FORCED TO WITHDRAW AS MY LEADING ATTORNEY ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS BECAUSE THEY WERE PLACED UNDER THE ILLEGAL AND STRICT ORDERS OF JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS WHO WOULD ONLY ALLOW THEM TO MISREPRESENT ME. IT WAS A MAJOR ISSUE BECAUSE THE PRO BONO TRIAL ATTORNEYS HAD TOLD ME THAT THEY COULD ONLY REPRESENT ME FOR MY TWO ASSAULT BY STAFF CLAIMS WITHIN CAUSES OF ACTION #5 AND #10 ONLY. JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD TOLD ME THE SAME THING IN COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDERS AND DURING THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES ON DECEMBER 9, 2021, JANUARY 27, 2022, MAY 24, 2022 AND JULY 26, 2022. [SEE EXHIBIT #131 AND APPENDIX A PAGE 20-21 AND 28; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 1-224 AND 482-529]

79. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 15, 28 U.S.C.A., U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD REFUSED TO GRANT ME PERMISSION TO AMEND OR SUPPLEMENT MY COMPLAINT WITH A PART 2, 3 AND 4 AFTER I HAD TOOK THE LIBERTY TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO SERVE PART 2, 3 AND 4 OF MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON JUNE 25, 2019, IN NOVEMBER OF 2019, AND MAY OF 2021. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK **WAS** REQUIRED BY LAW TO PERMIT ME TO SERVE THE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS SETTING OUT THE TRANSACTIONS, OCCURRENCES OR EVENTS THAT HAPPENED AFTER THE DATE OF THE FILING OF THE ORIGINAL PLEADINGS (PART 1 OF MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT). THE SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS ALLEGES ALL FACTS CONNECTED TO THE ORIGINAL PLEADINGS TO OBTAIN RELIEF ALONG THE SAME LINES, PERTAINING TO THE SAME CAUSE, AND BASED ON THE SAME SUBJECT MATTER OR CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AS SET OUT IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT WHICH IS STILL FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY.

[SEE APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 56-75, 106-110, 237-238, 482-529 AND 530-632]

80. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 4, 28 U.S.C.A, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD FAILED TO PROCESS AND DELIVER THE NECESSARY AMOUNT OF SUMMONS AND COPIES OF THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS TO THE NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE BY THE U.S MARSHAL WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE FILING OF MY COMPLAINT ON JUNE 21, 2018 ~~BECAUSE~~ I HAD REQUESTED POOR PERSON RELIEF FOR SUCH U.S MARSHAL SERVICES UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY MOTIONS FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF ON JUNE 21, 2018 AND OCTOBER 1, 2018. THE U.S MARSHAL HAD ONLY SERVED 12 DEFENDANTS WITH SUMMONS AND COPIES OF MY COMPLAINT AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS 1-44 AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD FILED AND SERVED ME WITH A COPY OF THE U.S MARSHAL SERVICES PROCESS RECEIPT AND RETURN FORMS FOR THIS CASE. [SEE EXHIBIT #131 AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 227-232, 490, 492, 496, 500-502 AND 653-910]

81. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 4, 28 U.S.C.A, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD REFUSED TO PROCESS AND DELIVER SUMMONS AND COPIES OF MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND U.S ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY THE U.S MARSHAL WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE FILING OF MY SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS WHICH WAS SUBMITTED AS PART 2 OF MY COMPLAINT ON JUNE 28, 2019, PART 3 OF MY COMPLAINT IN NOVEMBER OF 2019, AND PART 4 OF MY COMPLAINT IN MAY OF 2021 AFTER I HAD REQUESTED POOR PERSON RELIEF FOR U.S MARSHAL SERVICES UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY MOTIONS FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF ON JUNE 21, 2018 AND OCTOBER 1, 2018. I WAS FORCED TO MAIL COPIES OF MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT TO THE U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE, U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE WHILE IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF TRYING TO ADDRESS THE ON-GOING ISSUES IN THIS CASE ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2022. I HAD SUBMITTED THE PROOF OF SERVICES TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AS EXHIBIT #135. [SEE EXHIBITS #131 AND #135; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGES 227-232, 490, 492 AND 533-632, AND Vo. 2 PAGES 873-881]

82. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 55, 28 U.S.C.A, THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND U.S ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAD FAILED TO PROCURE THE NECESSARY DECLARATIONS FOR EACH AND EVERY CLAIM SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS WERE REQUIRED BY LAW TO SERVE AN ANSWER FOR ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT STATES CLAIMS AGAINST STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES. THE DEFENDANTS FAILURE TO ANSWER CLAIMS OR OTHERWISE DEFEND AGAINST CLAIMS IN A TIMELY FASHION IS AUTOMATIC GROUNDS FOR A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. [SEE EXHIBIT #131 AND APPENDIX A AND B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3]

83. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 16(B) AND 26(F), 28 U.S.C.A., U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2020 AND ENTITLED "MANDATORY PRE-TRIAL DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING ORDER IN CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS BROUGHT BY INMATES PROSE" WHERE HE DID NOT SCHEDULE A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE WITH HIM OR THE ASSIGNED JUDGE SETTING FORTH WHAT IS TO BE COVERED AT THE CONFERENCE AND NOTIFYING THE PARTIES THAT THEY ARE REQUIRED TO MEET AND CONFER PRIOR TO THE CONFERENCE (EITHER IN PERSON OR BY TELEPHONE) IN ORDER TO DISCUSS A PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR HOW THE CASE IS TO PROGRESS. JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER HAD TOOK IT UPON HIMSELF TO UNLAWFULLY SCHEDULE HOW THIS CASE HAS PROGRESSED WITHOUT THE COURT CONDUCTING A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE AND INVOLVING ALL PARTIES IN THIS CASE WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO ENCRDACH ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PETITIONER-PLAINTIFF, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO CONDUCT A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE SO THAT THE PARTIES COULD HAVE MET WITH THE JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE (OR MAYBE HIS OR HER LAW CLERK) AND DISCUSS, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE, SETTLEMENT, AND A PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER. [SEE EXHIBIT #131 AND APPENDIX B Vol. 1 PAGES 76-86 AND 239-248]

84. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 AND 45, 28 U.S.C.A., THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS REFUSED TO TURN-
OVER THE MANDATORY PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURE, DISCOVERY MATERIALS AND OTHER EVIDENCE DEMANDED IN WRITING UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION LETTERS, DEMAND TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL, AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND A SUMMARY JUDGMENT WHICH WAS FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD ALSO REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR MY WITNESSES TO GO IN DEPOSITION OR GIVE THEIR TESTIMONIES FOR AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH. THE COURTS HAD REFUSED TO ISSUE SUBPOENAS WHICH WAS NEEDED TO OBTAIN DOCUMENTS, ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS, TESTIMONY AND OTHER EVIDENCE FROM MY WITNESSES. THE COURTS HAD ALSO REFUSED TO TRANSFER THIS CASE TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN BUFFALO FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF WITNESSES WHO POSSESS FIRST HAND AND SPECIAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS WHICH ARE RELEVANT TO THIS CASE, THE AVAILABILITY OF PROCESS TO COMPEL THE ATTENDANCE OF UNWILLING WITNESSES, THE PLAINTIFF'S CHOICE OF FORUM, THE LOCUS OF OPERATIVE FACTS AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THE COURTS HAD ALSO REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE PHYSICAL AND MENTAL EXAMINATIONS OR TREATMENT FROM OUTSIDE MEDICAL PROVIDERS AFTER BECOMING AWARE OF MY MEDICAL CONDITIONS AND THE NATURE OF THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS HAD SABOTAGED THE DISCOVERY PROCESS IN THIS CASE TO PREVENT ME FROM FINDING OUT IMPORTANT FACTS ABOUT THIS CASE, FULLY PREPARING MYSELF FOR A POTENTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL AND PROVING ALL ELEMENTS OF MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. DISCOVERY IS THE PROCESS OF FINDING OUT IMPORTANT FACTS

OF YOUR CASE FROM YOUR OPPONENT(S), WITNESSES, EXPERTS, DOCUMENTS AND OTHER EVIDENCE. DISCOVERY IS INTENDED TO NARROW AND CLARIFY THE ISSUES WHICH WILL BE PLACED BEFORE THE COURT AND FIND OUT CLAIMS OF EACH PARTY OR IMPORTANT FACTS OF YOUR CASE. THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN MISREPRESENTING OR SUPPRESSING THE TRUTH AND CONCEALING MATERIAL FACTS WITH INTENT TO OBTAIN AN UNJUST ADVANTAGE OR FAVORABLE OUTCOME IN THIS CASE. FRAUD ON THE COURT(S) HAS RESULTED FROM THEIR SILENCE AND INACTION WHILE SUPERVISING THE DISCOVERY PROCESS IN THIS CASE WHERE THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN WITHHOLDING THE MANDATORY PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURE, DISCOVERY MATERIALS, AND EVIDENCE WHICH ~~HAS~~ BEEN CLEARLY IDENTIFIED AND DEMANDED WITHIN MY LIST OF EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL PART I, MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, AND DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION LETTERS THAT WAS FILED IN THIS CASE. [SEE EXHIBIT #131 AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 76-86, 242-263, 269-272, 490, 503, 508 AND 519, AND Vo. 2 PAGE 63-216, 373, 434, 437-440, 443-450, 476-484, 488-491, 706, 726-727]

85. IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LOCAL RULE 16.1(d), U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD CONDUCTED THE INITIAL AND OTHER PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES WHERE SHE HAD REFUSED TO DISCUSS DEADLINES FOR JOINDER OF PARTIES, AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS, COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY AND FILING OF DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS; A TRIAL DATE; REQUESTS FOR A JURY TRIAL; SUBJECT MATTER AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION; FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR CLAIMS AND DEFENSES; FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES IN DISPUTE; FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES WHICH PARTIES CAN AGREE OR WHICH THEY CAN NARROW THROUGH MOTION PRACTICE AND WHICH WILL EXPEDITE RESOLUTION OF THE DISPUTE; SPECIFIC RELIEF REQUESTED AND METHOD FOR COMPUTING DAMAGES; INTENDED DISCOVERY AND PROPOSED METHODS TO LIMIT AND/OR DECREASE TIME AND EXPENSE THEREOF; SUITABILITY OF CASE FOR VOLUNTARY ARBITRATION; MEASURES FOR REDUCING LENGTH OF TRIAL; RELATED CASES BEFORE OTHER COURTS; PROCEDURES FOR CERTIFYING CLASS ACTIONS, IF APPROPRIATE; SETTLEMENT PROSPECTS AND IF THIS CASE IS IN THE ADR TRACK, CHOICE OF ADR METHOD AND ESTIMATED TIME FOR COMPLETION OF ADR. [SEE ALL TRANSCRIPTS AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 225 - 226]

86. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 4.1, 38 AND 55, 28 U.S.C.A., U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND THE COURT CLERKS HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS WITHOUT CONDUCTING A TRIAL, EVIDENTIAL HEARING OR CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT ME OR THE DEFENDANTS WERE IN DEFAULT AND CONTEMPT OF COURT FOR ALLEGATIONS OF DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOR DURING TELEPHONE CONFERENCES MONTHS PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE FINAL ORDER AND FOR MY FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022. CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS, WHETHER CIVIL OR CRIMINAL, MUST BE BROUGHT IN THE COURT THAT WAS ALLEGEDLY DEFIED BY A CONTUMACIOUS ACT. THIS IS SO EVEN IF THE OFFENSIVE CONDUCT OR INACTION OCCURRED OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT OF THE COURT IN WHICH THE

ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDING MUST BE CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO THE ADVISORY COMMITTEES NOTES WITHIN FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 4.1, 28 U.S.C. I CANNOT BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO HAD FAILED TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE FORM E TO APPEAR IN COURT FOR THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WERE CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT. I HAD EXPLAINED THINGS TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND ASKED THEM TO RESCHEDULE THE TRIAL OR GRANT ME AN ADJOURNMENT AFTER THE DEFENDANTS HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT ME FROM APPEARING IN COURT FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS WHILE IN THEIR CARE AND CUSTODY. I HAVE NOT DONE ANYTHING "WRONG" TO OBSTRUCT, DISOBEY, HINDER, OR EMBARRASS THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT IN ITS ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE AS THE PLAINTIFF - PETITIONER WHO HAD MADE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS IN ALBANY AND SYRACUSE DEFENDANTS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF ITS EMPLOYEES AS REQUIRED BY LAW. [SEE EXHIBIT #134 AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 165-183, 233-234, 268, 273 AND 807-830]

E. IN THE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS LEGAL PROCESS ON APPEAL

87. AT THE END OF NOVEMBER 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY COVER LETTERS, NOTICE OF APPEAL #1, MOTION TO REINSTATE THIS CASE IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM D-P) AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND PROBONO STANDBY TRIAL ATTORNEY GEORGE H. LOWE. I HAD FILED MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C.A. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD RESPONDED BY SENDING ME INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO APPEAL AS A PRO SE LITIGANT, SAMPLE FORMATS, FORMS, A GENERAL DOCKET SHEET, A PRISONER AUTHORIZATION NOTICE, A NOTICE OF RECORD ON APPEAL - ELECTRONIC INDEX, A DEFECTIVE FILING NOTICE FOR MY FORM DP AND A DOCKETING NOTICE WHERE THE COURT HAD LET ME KNOW THAT MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 WAS DOCKETED ON DECEMBER 8, 2022 AS 22 - 3095 AND THAT THE NUMBER MUST APPEAR ON ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THIS CASE THAT ARE SUBMITTED FOR FILING IN THE COURT. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS HAD ALSO TOLD ME THAT THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITHIN 14 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE NOTICE. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS HAD ALSO ILLEGALLY CLASSIFIED THE FILING OF MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM DP) AS A DEFECTIVE FILING TO FORCE ME TO REDO AND RESUBMIT ANOTHER CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM DP) WITH A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ON NEW YORK STATE

APPELLEES' ATTORNEY BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD NO LATER THAN JANUARY 3, 2023 WHILE SHE WAS IN THE PROCESS OF BEING REPLACED BY NEW YORK STATE ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. MY 1ST CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM DP) IS WHERE I HAD INDICATED THAT NO TRANSCRIPTS WILL BE ORDERED AT THE TIME. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ORIGINAL COPY OF MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY TO PROVIDE THEM WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION THAT WAS NEEDED FOR THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT TO RE-EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE, CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW, PREVENT ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES AND REINSTATE THIS CASE FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. ON DECEMBER 5, 2022, U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER WHERE SHE HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE BY FALSELY CLAIMING THAT I HAD FAILED TO MEET THE STANDARD FOR RECONSIDERATION WHILE LABELED AS A DEFENDANT FOR MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO ENCROACH ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 297-335; AND APPENDIX B Vol. 1 PAGE 215]

88. ON DECEMBER 19, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #2, MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY MOTION FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF THIS CASE. I HAD FILED MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #2 IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C. A. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD RESPONDED BY SENDING ME INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO APPEAL AS A PRO SE LITIGANT, SAMPLE FORMATS, FORMS A GENERAL DOCKET SHEET, A PRISONER AUTHORIZATION NOTICE, AND A DOCKETING NOTICE WHERE THE COURT HAD LET ME KNOW THAT MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #2 WAS DOCKETED ON DECEMBER 28, 2022 AS 22-3206 AND THAT THE NUMBER MUST APPEAR ON ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THIS CASE THAT ARE SUBMITTED FOR FILING IN THE COURT. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS HAD CONSOLIDATED DOCKET NUMBERS 22-3095 AND 22-3206 FOR THIS CASE. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS HAD ALSO TOLD ME THAT THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND RETURNED WITHIN 14 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE DOCKETING NOTICE FOR DOCKET # 22-3206 WHICH WAS ISSUED ON DECEMBER 28, 2022. ON DECEMBER 28, 2022, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD ENTERED AND ISSUED A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER DENYING MY MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF AS MOOT BECAUSE THEY HAD CLAIMED THAT IT WAS UNNECESSARY BASED ON THE FACT THAT I WAS ALREADY GRANTED PERMISSION TO PROCEED IN THE DISTRICT COURTS IN FORMA PAUPERIS SO I'M ALLOWED TO PROCEED ON APPEAL IN

FOR A PAUPERIS WITHOUT FURTHER AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE ~~24,28~~ ^{USCA}. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO FORCE ME TO SUBMIT THE MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT SO THAT THEY COULD ILLEGALLY DENY THE MOTION AS MOOT WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS WHO HAD REFRAINED FROM ACKNOWLEDGING MY CORRECT IFP STATUS UNTIL JANUARY 11, 2023 TO CAUSE ME TO DO EXTRA LITIGATION WORK IN A PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS APPEAL PROCESS. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS HAD THREATENED TO ILLEGALLY DISMISS THIS CASE IF I DID NOT MOVE FOR IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS OR PAY THE \$505 FILING FEE IN FULL BY THE SPECIFIED TIME STATED ON THE PRISONER AUTHORIZATION NOTICE DATED 12/8/22 SO I HAD MOVED FOR IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS WHEN THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS WAS ALREADY AWARE OF THE FACT THAT I WAS GRANTED IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS BY THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT ALREADY. EVEN AFTER THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS HAD ACKNOWLEDGED MY CORRECT IFP STATUS ON JANUARY 11, 2022, THE CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE HAD STILL TOOK IT UPON HERSELF TO ENTER A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER WITH A INCORRECT ENTRY DATE OF JANUARY 27, 2022 THREATENING TO ILLEGALLY DISMISS THIS CASE IF I DO NOT MOVE FOR IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS OR PAY THE \$505 FILING FEE IN FULL BY JANUARY 17, 2023 TO CAUSE ME TO DO MORE LITIGATION WORK IN THE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS APPEAL PROCESS. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 1-2, 46-48, 54, 297-322, 330, 336-346, 386; AND APPENDIX B Vo. 1 PAGE 216]

89. ON DECEMBER 20, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY. I HAD MADE AND SUBMITTED THE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION WITHIN 14 DAYS AFTER I HAD CERTIFIED THAT NO TRANSCRIPTS WILL BE ORDERED ON MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM DP) DATED 12/15/22. I HAD LET ALL PARTIES KNOW THAT I'LL BE FILING MY APPEAL BRIEF, APPENDIX, MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL, AND OTHER APPEAL PAPERS WITHIN 90 DAYS AFTER THE ISSUANCE OF THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND FINAL ORDER OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DATED 11/15/22. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 328 AND 347 - 348]

90. ON DECEMBER 22, 2022, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM AND GENERAL DOCKET SHEET WITH CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION AND MY IFP STATUS WITHIN 14 DAYS OF THE DOCKETING NOTICE FOR DOCKET NUMBER 22-3095 WHICH WAS ISSUED ON DECEMBER 8, 2022. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD CLASSIFIED THE FILING OF THE DOCUMENTS AS A DEFECTIVE FILING BECAUSE THEY HAD CLAIMED THAT I DID NOT SUBMIT PROOF OF SERVICE UPON NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE AND ORDERED ME TO REDO AND RESUBMIT THE DOCUMENT NO LATER THAN JANUARY 19, 2023.

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 330 AND 349 - 350]

91. ON JANUARY 1, 2023, I HAD SERVED MY 2ND ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM AND GENERAL DOCKET SHEET WITH CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION AND MY IFP STATUS ; 2ND CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM DP) WHERE I HAD ORDERED TRANSCRIPTS OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE WITH U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS ON DECEMBER 10, 2020, AND U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS ON DECEMBER 9, 2021, JANUARY 27, 2022, MAY 24, 2022, AND JULY 26, 2022 ; A PRO SE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION FORM WHERE I HAD REQUESTED THAT MY BRIEF AND APPENDIX BE ACCEPTED FOR FILING NO LATER THAN "MARCH 1, 2022" AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES WHO HAD USED ADVANCE TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE ME TO WRITE THE WRONG DATE ON THE PRO SE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION FORM ; AND A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. ON JANUARY 18, 2023, THE COURT HAD ACKNOWLEDGED RECEIPT OF THE DOCUMENTS FOR DOCKET NUMBER 22-3095.

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 351 - 361]

92. ON FEBRUARY 3, 2023, I HAD SERVED COPIES OF MY 3RD ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM AND (2) GENERAL DOCKET SHEETS WITH CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION AND MY IFP STATUS ; PRO SE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION WHERE I HAD REQUESTED THAT MY BRIEF AND APPENDIX BE ACCEPTED FOR FILING NO LATER THAN "MARCH 1, 2023" ; 3RD CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM DP) WHERE I HAD ORDERED THE SAME TRANSCRIPTS OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE WITH U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS ON DECEMBER 10, 2020, AND U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS ON DECEMBER 9, 2021, JANUARY 27, 2022, MAY 24, 2022, AND JULY 26, 2022 ; AND A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. THE DOCUMENTS WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT FOR CONSOLIDATED DOCKET NUMBERS 22-3095 AND 22-3206. [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 362 - 383]

93. ON FEBRUARY 3, 2023 AND FEBRUARY 7, 2023, I HAD SERVED COPIES OF MY PRISONER AUTHORIZATION FORMS TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE AFTER THE CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE HAD FORCED ME TO FILL OUT AND SUBMIT A AUTHORIZATION FORM FOR EACH DOCKET NUMBER IN THIS CASE TO DIRECT THE PRISON AUTHORITIES TO SEND THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS CERTIFIED COPIES OF MY PRISON TRUST FUND ACCOUNT STATEMENTS AND TO GIVE THE PRISON CONSENT TO THE MONTHLY DEDUCTION OF FUNDS FROM MY INMATE ACCOUNT UNTIL THE COURT FILING

FEE OF \$505 ARE PAID. THE CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE HAD THREATENED TO DISMISS THIS CASE IF I DID NOT FILL OUT AND SUBMIT THE AUTHORIZATION FORMS WITHIN THE TIME FRAMES SPECIFIED IN THE PRISONER AUTHORIZATION NOTICES DATED 12/8/22 AND 12/28/22, AND THE COURT ORDER DATED 1/30/23. U.S. COURT OF APPEALS HAD ACKNOWLEDGED RECEIPT OF THE PRISONER AUTHORIZATION FORMS FOR CONSOLIDATED DOCKET NUMBERS 22-3095 AND 22-3206 UPON THEIR GENERAL DOCKET ENTRIES # 62 AND # 64 ON FEBRUARY 10, 2023.

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 3-4, 332, 346 AND 497]

94. ON FEBRUARY 14, 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY COVER LETTERS; MOTION TO APPEAL WITH A MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT AND THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND FINAL ORDERS OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ATTACHED TO IT AS EXHIBIT #136; MEMORANDUM OF LAW WITH A MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT AND EXHIBIT #136 ATTACHED TO IT; MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF WITH A MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT, PRISONER AUTHORIZATION FORM, PROOF OF OUTSTANDING OBLIGATIONS, AND EXHIBIT #136 ATTACHED TO IT; OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF WITH A CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE AND A SEPARATE BOUND VOLUME APPENDIX SPECIAL INFORMATION SHEET ATTACHED TO IT; SPECIAL APPENDIX CONTAINING A TABLE OF CONTENT WITH EVIDENCE SUMMARIES FOR SAID DOCUMENTS ON SAID PAGES; EXHIBITS #79, 83, 85, 89, 100, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135 AND 136; COPIES OF LETTERS FOR A BLUE BRIEF COVER AND A RESPONSE LETTER FROM THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLAIMING THAT THEY DO NOT HAVE ANY BRIEF COVERS AVAILABLE; A COPY OF A LETTER WHERE I ASKED THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE ORIGINAL COPIES OF ITS ATTACHED CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION (FORM DP), PRO SE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION, ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE, AND (2) GENERAL DOCKET SHEETS WITH CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION AND MY IFP STATUS; COPIES OF MY STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION GRIEVANCE PAPERS ATTACHED TO MY COVER LETTER FOR MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #1; COPIES OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL #1 AND #2; COPIES OF MY (2) DECLARATION OF FILING FORMS AND (5) COPIES OF MY CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT BECAUSE I HAD TO PLACE A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT WITHIN MY (5) LARGE MANILLA LEGAL ENVELOPES #1, 2, 3, 4 AND 5 TO DEMONSTRATE MY PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT ON FEBRUARY 14, 2023 BY THE METHODS DESCRIBED ON THE COVER PAGE OF THE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT AFTER DOCS EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD REFUSED TO ALLOW ME TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO MAILOUT MY APPEAL LEGAL PAPERS AND EXHIBITS THROUGH THE PACKAGE ROOM AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO PREVENT ME FROM SERVING ALL PAPERS AND PARTIES AT THE SAME TIME ON JANUARY 12, 2023 OR ANY DATE AFTER WHILE CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS IN THE APPEAL PROCESS. I WAS FORCED TO COME UP WITH LARGE MANILLA ENVELOPES SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO SERVE EACH PARTY

ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHO ARE BEING UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRESPECTFUL, DISRUPTIVE AND OPPRESSIVE. DOCCS EMPLOYEES HAS REFUSED TO PROVIDE ME WITH LARGE MANILLA ENVELOPES AND OTHER SUPPLIES SINCE I'VE ARRIVED AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SO I HAD TO WAIT AND RELY ON A FEW INMATES WHO HAD BROUGHT ME SOME LARGE MANILLA ENVELOPES FROM COMMISSARY SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO SERVE THE FOREMENTIONED APPEAL BRIEF, APPENDIX, MOTIONS, LETTERS, GRIEVANCE PAPERS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS UPON THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE ON JANUARY 12, 2023 AND FEBRUARY 14, 2023 BY THE METHODS DESCRIBED IN MY CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT. I WAS NOT ABLE TO BUY THE MANILLA ENVELOPES AND OTHER THINGS THAT IS NEEDED FOR MY LIVELIHOOD BECAUSE OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN MY LEGAL PAPERS FILED IN THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAVE CAUSED ME TO OWE OVER \$1,000 IN PHOTOCOPYING, MAILOUT, FILING AND OTHER FEES WHILE IN THIS PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS APPEAL PROCESS ALONE SO I HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO GO TO COMISSARY OR BUY THINGS FROM OUTSIDE VENDORS, ORGANIZATIONS OR PEOPLE FOR A LONG PERIOD OF TIME WHILE THEY ARE COLLECTING ON THE DEBTS IN THE BUSINESS OFFICE AT CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES. I DID NOTIFY THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS AND OTHER PARTIES OF MY MAILOUT AND OTHER ISSUES WHILE IN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO FILE MY APPEAL LEGAL PAPERS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. MY NYS DOCCS GRIEVANCE PAPERS RELATES TO DOCCS EMPLOYEES AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS USING DIFFERENT METHODS TO DISRUPT MY DAILY ACTIVITIES, INFLECT CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, AND INTERFERE WITH LEGAL AND LIFE PROCESSES WHILE CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS FOR ME, INMATES AND OTHER PEOPLE IN THIS PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS APPEAL PROCESS. MY MOTION FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF IS WHERE I HAD ASKED THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO WAIVE THE FILING FEE OR REDUCE IT TO A RESPECTABLE AMOUNT FOR THE INDIGENT OPPRESSED PLAINTIFF WHO HAS OUTSTANDING OBLIGATIONS, PAST DUE BALANCES AND NO MEANS OF SUPPORTING HIMSELF AND OTHERS; TO APPOINT A PRO BOND APPEAL ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHILE DEMONSTRATING MY NEED FOR SUCH REPRESENTATION AND UNSUCCESSFUL EFFORTS TO GET AN ATTORNEY TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR HUMAN RIGHTS AS PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; TO DIRECT THE COURTS BELOW TO TRANSMIT OR TRANSFER MY CASE RECORDS TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT SO THAT THEY COULD EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT OR NEGLIGENCE IN ALL PUBLIC OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE; TO ALLOW OR GRANT ME PERMISSION TO FILE THE SUBMITTED

MOTIONS, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, SPECIAL APPENDIX AND OTHER APPEAL LEGAL PAPERS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS WHO HAD PLACED ME IN UNFAVORABLE CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS SO THAT I'LL BE FORCED TO PRESENT THIS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE; TO ALLOW OR GRANT ME PERMISSION TO FILE THE SUBMITTED OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF WITHOUT A BRIEF COVER BECAUSE I DO NOT HAVE ANY MEANS OF OBTAINING A BRIEF COVER AS A INDIGENT PLAINTIFF WHO IS IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRUPTIVE AND OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS; AND TO ALLOW OR PERMIT ME TO FILE THE EXHIBITS THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS BY ME AND MY COUSIN ELLA PAULK-VANCE AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAS BEEN ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO TRY TO PREVENT ME FROM MAKING SUCH EVIDENCE AVAILABLE FOR A POTENTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR APPEAL COURT. MY MOTION TO APPEAL IS WHERE I HAD PROVIDED THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION RELATING TO MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE MOTION TO APPEAL LAYS OUT THE FACTS OF THIS CASE, PROCEDURAL VIOLATIONS AND OTHER GROUNDS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE MOTION. MY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL IS A MOTION WHERE I HAD PROVIDED THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WITH THE FACTS INVOLVED IN MY CRIMINAL CASE FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR, STATED THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS FOR ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE, AND ASKED THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO ENTER AN ORDER DIRECTING THE COURT BELOW TO TRANSFER MY CASE RECORDS TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS, TO ENTER A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER DIRECTING THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS TO REFRAIN FROM ENCRDACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS, TO ENTER AN ORDER AND/OR JUDGEMENT GRANTING MY IMMEDIATE RELEASE FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT FOR THE JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE OF MR. FREDERICK A. GELSEY JUNIOR, AND TO ENTER AN ORDER AND/OR JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE, OR TO ENTER AN ORDER DIRECTING THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN BUFFALO TO CONDUCT A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL IF NECESSARY OR PROPER IN THIS SITUATION. MY OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF IS WHERE I HAD PROVIDED THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WITH THE NAMES OF DEFENDANTS, THE FACTS OF THIS CASE, PROCEDURAL VIOLATIONS, THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS FOR MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE, AND A CONCLUSION, SUMMARY OF OUR GREAT PLANS AND THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS CASE. I WAS FORCED TO MAKE MY SPECIAL APPENDIX OUT TO BE A SEPARATE BOUND VOLUME OF OVER 650 PAGES WITH STAPLES AND TWO RUBBER BANDS WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRUPTIVE AND OPPRESSIVE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO DID NOT PROVIDE ME WITH A HOLE PUNCHER, YARN AND

OTHER SUPPLIES THAT WAS NEEDED TO DO A BETTER JOB OF SECURING THE DOCUMENTS WITHIN MY SPECIAL APPENDIX WHICH SERVES AS EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. THE SPECIAL APPENDIX CONTAINS A TABLE OF CONTENT WITH EVIDENCE SUMMARIES FOR SAID DOCUMENTS ON SAID PAGES; THE CIVIL DOCKET SHEET WITH RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT PROCEEDINGS; RELEVANT PORTIONS OF THE PLEADINGS, CHARGE, FINDINGS OR OPINIONS; ILLEGITIMATE JUDGEMENTS, ORDERS OR DECISIONS SOUGHT TO BE APPEALED AND OTHER PARTS OF THE RECORD TO WHICH I WANTED TO DIRECT THE PARTICULAR ATTENTION OF THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS AND OTHER PARTIES. PLEASE NOTE THAT THE OMISSION OF PART OF THE RECORD FROM MY SPECIAL APPENDIX DOES NOT PRECLUDE ME FROM RELYING ON THE RECORD SINCE THE RECORD IS AVAILABLE TO THE COURT AS NEEDED IN THIS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE. I WILL BE HELPING THIS U.S. SUPREME COURT BECOME THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE INTERVENING MATTERS THAT OCCURRED SINCE THE FILING OF THE FOREMENTIONED LEGAL PAPERS AND EXHIBITS WITHIN THIS PARAGRAPH #94 BY ELABORATING ON FILINGS, EVIDENCE AND THE MISCONDUCT OF THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, TO PROVIDE THIS COURT WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION THAT IS NEEDED TO ESTABLISH FACTS IN YOUR LEGAL INVESTIGATION AND ADMISSIBLE AS EVIDENCE BY LAW. I ASK THAT YOU GUYS PLEASE REVIEW THE RECORDS OF THIS CASE TO EXAMINE THE FOLLOWING EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT OR NEGLIGENCE IN PUBLIC OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE:

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 384-485 AND 502-566]

95. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE HAD REFUSED TO CORRECT THE CAPTION UPON RECEIPT OF MY ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORMS AND GENERAL DOCKET SHEETS WITH CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION AND MY IFP STATUS WHICH WAS MAILED OUT TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT ON 12/22/22, 1/1/23, AND 2/14/23. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS HAD INITIALLY USED THE INCORRECT CAPTION OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AND THEN CHANGED THE CAPTION UPON RECEIPT OF NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE'S ILLEGITIMATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORMS WITH INCORRECT CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION, AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FOR SUBSTITUTE, ADDITIONAL OR AMICUS COUNSEL FORMS. I HAD INFORMED THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT OF THE IMPROPER OR INACCURATE DESIGNATIONS IN WRITING UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORMS AND GENERAL DOCKET SHEETS WITH CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION AND MY IFP STATUS WHICH WAS SUBMITTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE OR OTHER LAWS. BUT, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES AND CORRECT THE CAPTION.

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 53, 353-361, 363-383 AND 651-657]

96. ON FEBRUARY 24, 2023, I HAD SIGNED FOR MY ILLEGALLY RETURNED LEGAL ENVELOPE #4 FROM THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT BECAUSE THE CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE HAD DISCOVERED THAT THE LEGAL ENVELOPE #4 HAD CONTAINED MY EXHIBIT #128 WHICH WAS A PLASTIC BAG WITH A EVIDENCE SUMMARY LABEL AND CONTAINED MY SKIN CREME MEDICATION BOTTLES THAT SOMEONE HAD CLAIMED THAT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS IS NOT ALLOWED TO ACCEPT AND ILLEGALLY RETURNED EVERYTHING INSIDE THE ENVELOPE WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTION. ON FEBRUARY 27, 2023, I HAD RESUBMITTED THE OTHER ITEMS THAT WAS INSIDE THE ENVELOPE WITH THE SKIN CREME MEDICATION BOTTLES BECAUSE THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS IS ALLOWED TO ACCEPT MY ADMISSIBLE EXHIBITS #83, 85, 105, 106, 109, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 126 AND 127; DECLARATION OF FILINGS AND OTHER PAPERS. MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #4 WAS REUSED TO RESUBMIT THE DOCUMENTS AND EXHIBITS TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WHO HAD REFRAINED FROM ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF THE COVER LETTER, EXHIBITS, DECLARATION OF FILING FORMS AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT.

[SEE EXHIBITS #141 AND #146; AND APPENDIX A PAGE 486-502 AND 567-575]

97. ON MARCH 3, 2023, MY COUSIN ELLA PAULK-VANCE HAD MAILED MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS #80, 81, 82, 84, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 101, 102, 103, 104 AND 110 TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT BECAUSE I HAD INSTRUCTED HER TO DO SO IN WRITING AND THROUGH UPAY EMAILS AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS HAS REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS WHICH IS ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. MY COUSIN ELLA'S PHONE NUMBER IS (716) 948-3525 IF YOU GUYS WOULD LIKE TO VERIFY THINGS WITH HER. [SEE EXHIBIT #148 AND APPENDIX A PAGES 627-630]

98. ON MARCH 20, 2023, A ATTICA C-BLOCK LEGAL MAIL OFFICER FOR 29 AND 30 COMPANY HAD ME SIGN FOR THE ILLEGAL RETURN OF MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #5 FROM THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE WHERE SOMEONE HAD TAKEN MY EXHIBIT #125 FROM MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #3 AND PLACED IT IN MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #5 FOR THE ILLEGAL RETURN OF MY COVER LETTER; ADMISSIBLE EXHIBITS #89, 100, 119 AND 125; AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT WITHOUT PROVIDING ME WITH AN EXPLANATION FOR SUCH ACTIONS. [SEE EXHIBIT #147 AND APPENDIX A PAGES 576-626]

99. IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 45, 28 U.S.C.A., THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE HAS FAILED TO RECORD ALL PAPERS AND EXHIBITS FILED IN THIS CASE ON THE FACE OF THEIR GENERAL DOCKET SHEET. SHE DID NOT RECORD ALL PROCESS AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE GENERAL DOCKET SHEET DOES NOT STATE ANYTHING ABOUT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT RECEIVING MY EXHIBITS #79, 83, 85, 89, 100, 105, 106, 107, 109, 115, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124

125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135 AND 136 WHICH WAS RECEIVED BY THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT ON FEBRUARY 17, 2023; DOES NOT STATE ANYTHING ABOUT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT ILLEGALLY RETURNING MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #4 CONTAINING MY COVER LETTER, DECLARATION OF FILINGS FORMS, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT AND EXHIBITS #83, 85, 105, 106, 109, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 126, 127 AND 128 WHICH WAS RECEIVED BY ME ON FEBRUARY 24, 2023; DOES NOT STATE ANYTHING ABOUT ME RESUBMITTING MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #4 CONTAINING MY COVER LETTER, DECLARATION OF FILINGS FORMS, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT AND EXHIBITS #83, 85, 105, 106, 109, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 126 AND 127 WHICH WAS MAILED OUT BY ME TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT ON FEBRUARY 27, 2023; DOES NOT STATE ANYTHING ABOUT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT RECEIVING MY NONPAPERWORK EXHIBITS #80, 81, 82, 84, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 101, 102, 103, 104 AND 110 WHICH WAS MAILED OUT TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT BY MY COUSIN GELLA PAULK-VANCE ON MARCH 3, 2023; AND DOES NOT STATE ANYTHING ABOUT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT ILLEGALLY RETURNING MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #5 CONTAINING MY COVER LETTER, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FORMS DOCUMENT, AND EXHIBITS #89, 100, 119 AND 125 WHICH WAS RECEIVED BY ME ON MARCH 20, 2023.

[SEE EXHIBITS #141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149 AND 152]

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 68, 486-632, AND 644-650]

100. THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE ME WITH A ORAL ARGUMENT STATEMENT FORM UPON MY REQUEST FOR SUCH FORM WHILE I WAS SEEKING ORAL ARGUMENT IN THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT. [SEE FRAP 34(a), 28 U.S.C.A.] [SEE EXHIBIT #141 TO REVIEW THE 2ND CIRCUIT GENERAL DOCKET SHEET ENTRY #30] [SEE APPENDIX A PAGE 42, 66 AND 495]

101. IN MARCH OF 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE APPELLANT'S COMPLAINTS, TO SETTLE ALL DISPUTES, CONFLICTS OR ISSUES" ALONG WITH PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTER TO ASK THE 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS TO PLEASE IDENTIFY MY COMPLAINTS AND CONDUCT A LIMITED INQUIRY; REFER THIS CASE TO THE CIRCUIT MEDIATION OFFICE FOR REVIEW, MEDIATION AND CAMP CONFERENCES; EXPEDITE THE APPEAL PROCESS BASED OFF THE EXTRAORDINARY FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE; AND COMMUNICATE ORALLY OR IN WRITING WITH ME, THE SUBJECT DEFENDANTS AND OTHERS WHO MAY HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE MATTER, AND OBTAIN AND REVIEW TRANSCRIPTS, DOCUMENTS AND OTHER RELEVANT EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE SO THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN

THIS CASE. THE 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS DID NOT ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE ENTITLED LETTER AND IDENTIFY MY COMPLAINTS OF JUDICIAL, PROSECUTORIAL AND OTHER MISCONDUCT WHILE I WAS SEEKING A RESOLUTION THROUGH THEIR COURT AND PLAYING MY PART TO ASSURE THE PUBLIC THAT THE ALLEGATIONS WILL NOT BE IGNORED. [SEE EXHIBIT #150 AND APPENDIX A PAGES 69-74 AND 633-637]

102. ON MARCH 20, 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "CAMP CONFERENCES, TO PROVIDE FOR ITEMS, SERVICES AND ACCOMMODATIONS" ALONG WITH PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTER TO ASK THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS TO REFER THIS CASE TO THE CIRCUIT MEDIATION OFFICE FOR REVIEW AND ALLOW ME TO PARTICIPATE IN CAMP CONFERENCES WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY WHO SHALL BE PREPARED TO DISCUSS IN DEPTH THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE. I HAD REQUESTED TO WORK WITH AN ASSIGNED ATTORNEY, MEDIATORS AND OTHER PARTICIPANTS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 33.1, 28 U.S.C.A. I HAD ALSO USED THE ENTITLED LETTER TO LET IT BE KNOWN THAT THE ASSIGNED ATTORNEY, MEDIATORS AND OTHER PARTICIPANTS WILL BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE FOR ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO TAP INTO OUR COUNTRY'S RESOURCES FOR THE REQUESTED ITEMS, SERVICES AND ACCOMMODATIONS. THE 2ND CIRCUIT DID NOT ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE ENTITLED LETTER OR RESPOND IN THE APPROPRIATE MANNER. [SEE EXHIBIT #151 AND APPENDIX A PAGES 41, 65, 69-74 AND 638-643]

103. ON MARCH 29, 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY LETTER ENTITLED "SPECIAL FUNCTIONS" ALONG WITH PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE TO CLARIFY THINGS AS TO THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS THAT MUST BE CARRIED OUT BEFORE I'M RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND THAT ARE ABSOLUTELY VITAL TO MY RELEASE AS I PREPARE FOR MY RE-ENTRY INTO SOCIETY AS A PRODUCTIVE LAW ABIDING CITIZEN. THE 2ND CIRCUIT DID NOT ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE ENTITLED LETTER OR RESPOND IN THE APPROPRIATE MANNER. [SEE EXHIBIT #152 AND APPENDIX A PAGE 645 AND 650]

104. ON MARCH 29, 2023, I HAD SERVED COPIES OF MY COVER LETTER, COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT, AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS #137, 138, 139 AND 140, AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS TO PROVIDE THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WITH CONCISE

STATEMENTS THAT DETAILS THE SPECIFIC FACTS ON WHICH MY CLAIMS OF MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY IS BASED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT RULE 6, 28 U.S.C.A. THE 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS IS AWARE OF INFORMATION CONSTITUTING REASONABLE GROUNDS TO INQUIRE INTO THE JUDICIAL, PROSECUTORIAL AND OTHER MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY ON THE PART OF THE SUBJECT JUDGES, CLERKS, ATTORNEYS AND OTHER CIVIL OFFICERS. THE 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE OR MOST SENIOR ACTIVE JUDGE HAS THE POWER IN HIS OR HER DISCRETION TO BEGIN AN APPROPRIATE INQUIRY TO MAKE A DECISION WHETHER TO INFORMALLY SEEK A RESOLUTION AND/OR TO IDENTIFY MY COMPLAINT AS A RESULT OF THAT INQUIRY IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT RULES 5 AND 11, 28 U.S.C.A. THE 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS HAS YET TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT AND DETERMINE WHETHER IT SHOULD BE DISMISSED ON PERMISSIBLE GROUNDS, CONCLUDED ON THE GROUND THAT VOLUNTARY CORRECTIVE ACTION HAS BEEN TAKEN, CONCLUDE BECAUSE INTERVENING EVENTS HAVE MADE ACTION ON THE COMPLAINT NO LONGER NECESSARY, OR REFERRED TO A SPECIAL COMMITTEE. IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 8, 28 U.S.C.A, THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE HAD FAILED TO OPEN A FILE, ASSIGN A DOCKET NUMBER ACCORDING TO A UNIFORM NUMBERING SCHEME PROMULGATED BY THE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AND DISABILITY, AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE COMPLAINT AS WELL. I WAS FORCED TO IMPLICATE THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE IN THE COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT BECAUSE SHE HAS BEEN ENGAGING IN THE ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES DESCRIBED IN THE COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT, MOTIONS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS; FAILED TO ISSUE SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION ORDERS; FAILED TO ISSUE AN ORDER DIRECTING THE U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND/OR THE U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK TO PROVIDE FOR THE REPRESENTATION OF ALL KNOWN DEFENDANTS - APPELLEES WHO HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES; AND FAILED TO ISSUE AN ORDER DIRECTING THE NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE TO PROVIDE FOR THE REPRESENTATION OF ALL KNOWN DEFENDANTS - APPELLEES WHO HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS NEW YORK STATE AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES. SHE HAD ALSO REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF WHICH IS NEEDED TO PREVENT REASONABLY CERTAIN DEATH, SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM OR OTHER DAMAGES, TO DETER OR PREVENT THE DEFENDANTS FROM COMMITTING FURTHER ACTS OF MISCONDUCT, TO SECURE COMPLIANCE WITH RULES AND REGULATIONS, AND TO EXERCISE INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGEMENT AND RENDER CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. [SEE EXHIBITS # 137, 138, 139, 140, AND 152; AND APPENDIX A PAGES 69-74 AND 646-650] [SEE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT RULE 5, 6, 8 AND 11, 28 U.S.C.A]

105. ON MAY 17, 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER; MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF WHICH HAS A MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT AND EXHIBIT #136 ATTACHED TO IT; SUPPORTING EXHIBITS #141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153 AND 154; U.S. DISTRICT COURT REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS; DECLARATION OF FILING FORM; AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE, CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE MOTION TO DRAW THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND OTHER PARTIES ATTENTION TO THE INTERVENING MATTERS THAT HAS OCCURRED SINCE THE FEBRUARY 17, 2023 FILING OF MY MOTIONS, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, SPECIAL APPENDIX, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS BECAUSE THE 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK OFFICE AND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND ASSISTANT SOLICITOR FREDERICK A. BRODIE, AND U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE AND/OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WAS ENGAGING IN THE ILLEGAL OR FRAUDULENT ACTIVITIES DESCRIBED IN THE APPEAL SECTION OF THIS PETITION, AND THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD REFUSED TO PLEAD, DEFEND OR PROVIDE FOR A LEGITIMATE DEFENSE IN THE PAINFULLY WICKED OR POISONOUS APPEAL PROCESS OF THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD ENGAGED IN OFFENSE TACTICS, FAILED TO FULFILL ALL PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENTS AND REFUSED TO TREAT ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WITH COURTESY AND CONSIDERATION AS PEOPLE WHO HAVE A SHARE OR INTEREST IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. I WAS FORCED TO SUBMIT THE MOTION TO RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THE 2ND CIRCUIT CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS CONDUCT A LIMIT INQUIRY; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF LEGITIMATE PROCEDURAL AND OTHER ORDERS; ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF MY UNACKNOWLEDGED LEGAL PAPERS AND EXHIBITS WHICH ARE FILED IN THE CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE; PROVIDE FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS; CORRECT THE CAPTION; PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE MY ORDERED OR REQUESTED TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS UPON RECEIPT OF MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION FORMS OR REQUESTS IN WRITING ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS; PROVIDE FOR ME TO RESUBMIT MY ADMISSIBLE EXHIBITS #89, 100, 119 AND 125; PROVIDE FOR ME TO SUBMIT MY ADMISSIBLE EXHIBIT #79 - MY JPAY TABLET, EVEN THO, I HAD ALREADY SUBMITTED MY EXHIBIT #79 EVIDENCE LABEL, SUMMARIES AND INSTRUCTION CARD TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT AS EXHIBIT #79 BECAUSE ATTICA C.F. WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO MAIL OUT MY JPAY TABLET WITHOUT RECEIVING AUTHORIZATION FROM JPAY WHO DID NOT RESPOND TO MY LETTERS; APPOINT ME A PROBOND ATTORNEY; REFER THIS CASE TO THE CIRCUIT MEDIATION OFFICE FOR REVIEW; SET UP CAMP CONFERENCES; EMPLOY MEDIATORS; MEDIATE; MAKE SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS; ALLOW ME TO PARTICIPATE IN CAMP CONFERENCES WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY; EXPEDITE THE APPEAL PROCESS BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE; COMMUNICATE ORALLY OR IN WRITING WITH ME, THE COURTS BELOW AND ANY OTHERS WHO MAY HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE MATTER, AND OBTAIN AND REVIEW

TRANSCRIPTS, DOCUMENTS AND OTHER RELEVANT EVIDENCE SO THAT WE COULD CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS ORDER DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO CLEAR MY DEBTS FOR COURT SURCHARGES AND FEES FOR APPROVED ADVANCES FOR LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES AND MAILOUTS, TO FOOT THE BILL AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO PURCHASE PERSONAL CARE, FOOD AND OTHER ITEMS FROM COMMISSARY AND APPROVED VENDORS TO HELP ME RECOVER AND TAKE CARE OF MYSELF IN THE MEANTIME, TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE NEW STATE SHOP CLOTHING FROM THE STATE SHOP, TO FOOT THE BILL AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO COMMUNICATE WITH MY LOVED ONES OVER A FACILITY TELEPHONE AND THROUGH MY JPAY TABLET, WHICH MUST BE PROVIDED TO ME BY CORRECTIONAL STAFF AFTER I SUBMIT MY OLD JPAY TABLET AS EVIDENCE TO AN APPEAL OR OTHER COURT.; AND RECEIVE VISITS FROM MY LOVED ONES WITHOUT ANYMORE INTERFERENCES OR OTHER PROBLEMS; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS ORDER DIRECTING THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES TO REFRAIN FROM ABUSING ME AND MY LOVED ONES, REFRAIN FROM COMMUNICATING WITH US THROUGH THE AIRWAVES AND CEASE FROM THEIR BAD HABITS AND EVIL PLANS, AND TO RESTORE OUR FUNCTIONS, FORM, SOUND, APPEARANCE AND CHARACTER BY MEANS OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO HANDLE OUR LEGAL, BUSINESS AND PERSONAL AFFAIRS IN A HIGHLY FUNCTIONAL, EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE MANNER; AND PROVIDE FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS ORDERS TO DETER OR PREVENT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, INTIMIDATING WITNESSES AND OTHER PEOPLE, AND CAUSING CIVIL OFFICERS TO NEGLECT THEIR DUTIES AND CONSPIRE WITH PEOPLE TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS AND PARTICIPATE IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. I HAD ALSO ASKED THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO PLEASE ENTER PRELIMINARY, PERMANENT, MANDATORY, AFFIRMATIVE, COMMON, INTERLOCUTORY, PREVENTIVE, PROHIBITORY, RESTRAINING, PROVISIONAL, REPARATIVE, RESTORATIVE, SPECIAL, EXTRAORDINARY AND OTHER INJUNCTIONS ORDERS BY EXERCISING INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGEMENT AND RENDERING CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL, AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE HAD RESPONDED TO THE MOTION, EXHIBITS AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS BY SUBMITTING A ILLEGITIMATE MEMORANDUM DATED MAY 25, 2023 IN OPPOSITION OF THE MOTION WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. HE HAD SUBMITTED THE ILLEGITIMATE MEMORANDUM TO ENGAGE IN CONDUCT INVOLVING CORRUPTION, DISHONESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT AND MISREPRESENTATION TO INFLUENCE IMPROPERLY, OR UPON IRRELEVANT OR ILLEGITIMATE GROUNDS THE COURT OR JUDGES TO INDUCE THEM TO ILLEGALLY DENY THE MOTION. HE HAD ASKED THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO ILLEGALLY DENY THE MOTION BECAUSE HE DID NOT WANT THEM TO MEDIATE, PROVIDE FOR A REMEDY, HELP NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WOULD

HAVE EXPEDITED THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL, PRODUCE AGREEMENTS AND RECONCILIATION, AND PROVIDE FOR THE ENTITLED RELIEF THAT IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY FOR US TO PROMOTE COMMUNITY WELFARE AND OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE. I HAVE SHOWN GOOD CAUSE THROUGHOUT THIS CASE AND HE STILL WILL NOT SUPPORT, DEFEND AND PROMOTE OUR RIGHTEOUS CAUSE TO FULFILL HIS OBLIGATIONS AND ABIDE BY THE LAW. HE HAS REFUSED TO FULFILL PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENTS, PROVIDE FOR OUR BASIC HUMAN NEEDS, PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT, AND DIRECT THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO CEASE FROM THEIR EVIL PLANS, RESPECT OUR HUMAN RIGHTS AND FOLLOW RULES WHICH WERE ESTABLISHED FOR THE PRINCIPAL PURPOSE OF RESOLVING AND SATISFYING THE CLAIMS ARISING FROM OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACTS OR OMISSIONS RELATING TO OUR CASE, REAL LIFE CRISIS AND ITS PRELUDE, VICTIMS OR TARGETS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS PERSECUTION, TRANSACTIONS WITH OR ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS ALLIES, OR TREATMENT OF THE PLAINTIFF - PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN SUFFERING FROM A LONG HISTORY OF VIOLENT, CRUEL AND OPPRESSIVE TREATMENT BECAUSE OF OUR APPOINTED LOT, STATUS, RACE, COLOR, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, RELIGION, BELIEFS, INHERENT QUALITIES OF MIND AND CHARACTER, DISPOSITION AND OTHER ASPECTS OF OUR BACKGROUND.

[SEE EXHIBITS #141-154 ; AND APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

106. ON JUNE 7, 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER, LETTER ENTITLED "EVIDENCE BASED POLICY MAKING, IN THE WORLD OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS", EXHIBIT #155, AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. THE ENTITLED LETTER WAS SUBMITTED TO LET THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS AND OTHER PARTIES KNOW THAT I HAD DISCOVERED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES WITHIN THE UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED STATUTE PROVISIONS WHICH SERVES AS LEADING EVIDENCE AND A GUIDE INTO THE AFFAIRS OF GOVERNMENTS, ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, BUSINESSES, TECHNOCRATS, TECHNOLOGISTS, TECHNICAL EXPERTS, SCIENTISTS, PROFESSIONALS, DEVELOPERS, OFFICIALS, OFFICERS, AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES DOES PROVIDE US WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION RELATING TO EVIDENCE BASED POLICY MAKING, INTERNATIONAL PARTNERSHIPS AND COOPERATION, CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS AND PRIORITY GOALS, CONGRESSIONAL DECLARATIONS OF PURPOSES, CHIEF SCIENCE OFFICERS AND SCIENTIFIC INTEGRITY OFFICIALS, WORKING GROUPS ON INCLUSION IN STEM FIELDS, PUBLIC ACTIVITIES AIMED AT THE RESOLUTION OF PUBLIC POLICY ISSUES WITH SIGNIFICANT SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL ASPECTS, SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL COMMITTEES, THE PROFOUND IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE ON SOCIETY, SCIENTIFIC TESTING AND EXPERIMENTATION, SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY, CROWDSOURCING AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS, RESOURCES,

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES, LOGISTICS AND IDENTIFICATION, OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES, ACTION PLANS, INDUSTRY AND INDUSTRIES, ECONOMIC COMPETITIVENESS, BIOETHICAL ISSUES, FISCAL BARRIERS, ALLOCATIONS, ASSISTANCE AND SUPPORT, PROGRAMS, ACCOUNTABILITY, REMEDIATION, APPLICATION SCIENCE DATA AND COMPUTATIONAL WORKLOADS ACROSS APPLICATION INTERESTS, NATIONAL LABORATORIES AND INSTITUTIONS, CYBER SECURITY, NATIONAL SECURITY, NETWORKING, THE CHANGING GLOBAL CLIMATE, PHYSICAL SCIENCE, MATERIAL SCIENCE, BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE, UNDERGROUND SCIENCE, QUANTUM INFORMATION SCIENCE, FUSION ENERGY SCIENCE, HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS, PLASMA PHYSICS, CHEMISTRY, BIOMEDICINE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, BASIC ENERGY SCIENCE, ROBOTIC SCIENCE, RADIATION BIOLOGY, BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS, HIGH END COMPUTING, COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, NEUROSCIENCE, BRAIN RESEARCH THROUGH ADVANCING INNOVATIVE NEUROTECHNOLOGIES INITIATIVES, MATERIALS GENOME AND BRAIN INITIATIVES, BIOLOGICAL AND BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH, EARTH AND OTHER SCIENCES, TECHNOLOGIES, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, SOFTWARE CONTRACTORS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE INTERNET, ENERGY APPLICATIONS, COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTERING AND SYSTEMS, SPECIAL PURPOSE AND EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEMS, HUMAN CENTERED SYSTEMS, HIGH CONFIDENCE SYSTEMS, CYBER PHYSICAL SYSTEMS, THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOFTWARE AND HARDWARE, TECHNOLOGY TRANSFERS, NEW JOBS IN THE STEM FIELD, SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL INVESTMENTS AND SHAREHOLDERS, EVIDENCE BUILDING PLANS, HUMAN SUBJECTS OF CLASSIFIED RESEARCH, AGENCY HEAD APPROVAL OF CLASSIFIED RESEARCH PROJECTS INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS, ANNUAL PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF THE NUMBER OF CLASSIFIED RESEARCH PROJECTS INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS, STRENGTHENED PROTECTIONS FOR HUMAN SUBJECTS OF CLASSIFIED RESEARCH, THE MANDATORY INFORMING CONSENT FROM ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS, THE MANDATORY INFORMING OF HUMAN SUBJECTS OF THE IDENTITY OF THE SPONSORING AGENCY, THE MANDATORY INFORMING OF HUMAN SUBJECTS THAT THE PROJECT INVOLVES CLASSIFIED RESEARCH, THE MANDATORY APPROVAL OF AN INDEPENDENT PANEL OF NONGOVERNMENTAL EXPERTS AND CITIZEN REPRESENTATIVES TO CONDUCT CLASSIFIED HUMAN RESEARCH PROJECTS, THE MAINTAINING OF PERMANENT RECORDS OF THE PANEL'S DELIBERATIONS AND CONSENT PROCEDURES, AND LEADING EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES AND THEIR ADOPTED COURSES OR PRINCIPLES WITHIN THE WORLD OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS. I AM SEEKING TO SECURE DEMANDED RESOURCES DIRECTLY FROM GOVERNMENTAL ALIGNMENTS, CONNECTIONS, ORGANIZATIONS, EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND OTHER AGENCIES WHO ARE UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE US WITH INFORMATION, SUGGESTIONS, ESTIMATES, STATISTICS, TECHNICAL AND OTHER ASSISTANCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF CARRYING OUT OUR FUNCTIONS. THE FOLLOWING SOURCES IDENTIFIED GOVERNMENTS, ORGANIZATIONS, BUSINESSES, AGENCIES

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS, CONGRESS AND LEGISLATURE, GOVERNORS, CABINETS, ADMINISTRATIONS, COMMITTEES, COUNCILS AND CIVIL OFFICERS WHO ARE REQUIRED BY LAW TO WORK WITH US TO EXERCISE INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGEMENT, CARRY OUT SYSTEMATIC OPERATIONS, ESTABLISH AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND ALLIANCE, EXECUTE OUR EVIDENCE BUILDING AND STRATEGIC PLANS, RENDER CANDID ADVICE, ENGAGE IN EVALUATION AND STATISTICAL ACTIVITIES, DEVELOPE OUR PORTFOLIO OF EVALUATIONS, AND IDENTIFY PERSONS, AGENCIES AND PLACES FOR ASSISTANCE AND/OR ASSESSMENT USING SYSTEMATIC DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS OF ONE OR MORE PROGRAMS, POLICIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, PLACES, PERSONS OR ITEMS WHILE CONDUCTING OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD :

THE NAMES OF IDENTIFIED SOURCES

- FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
- NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS
- THE UNITED NATIONS AND U.S AMBASSADORS
- JOSEPH BIDEN AND HIS ADMINISTRATION
- DONALD TRUMP AND HIS ADMINISTRATION
- BARRACK H. OBAMA AND HIS ADMINISTRATIONS
- BILL CLINTON AND HIS ADMINISTRATIONS
- GEORGE W. BUSH AND HIS ADMINISTRATIONS
- U.S CONGRESS AND THEIR COMMITTEES AND ARMED SERVICES
- FORMER U.S CONGRESS MEMBERS
- FEDERAL AND STATE EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND CABINETS
- STATE GOVERNORS, LEGISLATURE AND ADMINISTRATIONS
- PRIVATE AND GOVERNMENT OWNED BUSINESSES
- THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE
- THE OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS
- THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT
- THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
- THE OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES
- THE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION
- THE OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT
- THE NATIONAL NANO TECHNOLOGY COORDINATION OFFICE
- THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND ACADEMIC RESEARCH
- THE ADMINISTRATION OFFICE OF THE COURTS
- THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER
- THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE AND OTHER PENAL INSTITUTIONS
- THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

- THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
- THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
- THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE
- THE DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY
- THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS
- THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
- THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND U.S. ENERGY ADMINISTRATION
- THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
- THE DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
- THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
- THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
- THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
- THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
- THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
- THE U.S. CENSUS BUREAU
- THE BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
- INTERPOL WASHINGTON - THE U.S. NATIONAL CENTRAL BUREAU
- THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE
- THE NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION AND INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION
- THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
- THE NATIONAL TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE COUNCIL
- THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY
- THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIONS
- THE COMMUNICATION SECURITY ADVISORY COUNCIL
- THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
- THE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
- THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION
- THE GENERAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATION
- THE NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES
- THE FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE
- THE INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS ACTIVITIES (IARPA)
- THE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY / CENTRAL SECURITY AGENCY
- NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE
- THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
- THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
- THE U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE
- THE MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
- AND OTHER AGENCIES AND DEPARTMENTS AS I OR
SOMEONE ELSE CONSIDER APPROPRIATE

PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT MY LETTER ENTITLED "EVIDENCE BASED POLICY MAKING, IN THE WORLD OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS" IS WHERE I HAD INITIALLY PROVIDED THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS AND OTHER PARTIES WITH CONCISE STATEMENTS TO EXPLAIN HOW THE UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES STATUTE PROVISIONS IS RELEVANT EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE, WHERE I HAD INITIALLY DISCLOSED THE IDENTITY AND NATURE OF THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES; WHERE I HAD INITIALLY REVEALED THE IDENTIFICATION OF SOURCES AND CITED AUTHORITIES, AND WHERE I HAD INITIALLY EXPLAINED WHERE THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES CAN BE FOUND. THE UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES STATUTE PROVISIONS WAS NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE, THAT WITH REASONABLE DILIGENCE, COULD NOT HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED BY ME AT ANY TIME SOONER BECAUSE OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAS BEEN UNCOOPERATIVE, DISRESPECTFUL, DISRUPTIVE AND OPPRESSIVE TO CAUSE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO HAVE A WIDE RANGE OF LEGAL AND PERSONAL ISSUES AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. ALSO, I HAD SUBMITTED THE ENTITLED LETTER SUPPORTING EXHIBIT #155 SO THAT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS AND OTHER PARTIES COULD EXAMINE EXHIBIT #155 WHICH IS A MANILLA ENVELOPE WITH A BRIEF EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND CONTAINS A COPY OF THE ENTITLED LETTER ALONG WITH LAW LIBRARY PRINTOUTS OF (34) UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES STATUTE PROVISIONS RELATING TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. AFTER I HAD FINALLY DISCOVERED THE UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES STATUTE PROVISIONS ON A LAW LIBRARY COMPUTER AT ATTICA CORRECTION FACILITY ON MAY 9, 2023, IT TOOK ME APPROXIMATELY 3 WEEKS TO GET PRINTOUTS BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT'S CYBERPUNK EMPLOYEES HAD USED DIFFERENT METHODS TO ATTEMPT TO DETERIOR PREVENT ME FROM GETTING PRINTOUTS WHILE CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS. ON MAY 30, 2023, I WAS FINALLY ABLE TO GET PRINTOUTS OF THE FOLLOWING UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICIES STATUTE PROVISIONS WHICH ARE EVIDENCE THAT IS CONTAINED WITHIN MY EXHIBIT #155 MANILLA ENVELOPE: 2 U.S.C. A § 471; 2 U.S.C. A § 472; 2 U.S.C. A § 475; 15 U.S.C. A § 3724; 15 U.S.C. A § 5501; 15 U.S.C. A § 5502; 15 U.S.C. A § 5503; 15 U.S.C. A § 5511; 15 U.S.C. A § 5512; 15 U.S.C. A § 5521; 15 U.S.C. A § 5523; 15 U.S.C. A § 5524; 15 U.S.C. A § 5527; 15 U.S.C. A § 5542; 22 U.S.C. A § 2656b; 42 U.S.C. A § 1861; 42 U.S.C. A § 1862; 42 U.S.C. A § 1862s-4; 42 U.S.C. A § 6601; 42 U.S.C. A § 6602; 42 U.S.C. A § 6624; 42 U.S.C. A § 6625; 42 U.S.C. A § 6626; 42 U.S.C. A § 6633; 42 U.S.C. A § 6686; 42 U.S.C. A § 7112; 42 U.S.C. A § 7381; 42 U.S.C. A § 1864; (2) 42 U.S.C. A § 18642;

42 U.S.C.A § 18643 ; 42 U.S.C.A § 18644 ; 50 U.S.C.A § 3243 ; AND 51 U.S.C.A § 20161 .
[SEE EXHIBIT #155 AND APPENDIX A PAGES 126-283, 389-485, AND
698-707] [SEE NYS PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00 AND 40.05 ; AND NYCPL § 210.40]

107. ON JUNE 14, 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER, LETTER ENTITLED
"SPECIAL VICTIMS, OF CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS",
A PRINTOUT OF TITLE 15 U.S.C.A § 3724 AS EXHIBIT #156 AND TITLE 42 U.S.C.A § 6601 AS
EXHIBIT #157, AND PROOF OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK'S
OFFICE, CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS ; U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE, DR.
FREDERICK A. BRODIE, LETITIA JAMES AND OTHER SUPERVISORS OF THE NEW YORK STATE
ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, AND U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OTHER SUPERVISORS OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. THE ENTITLED LETTER WAS SUBMITTED TO LET THE U.S COURT OF
APPEALS AND OTHER PARTIES KNOW THAT FEDERAL SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, INTELLIGENCE,
LAW ENFORCEMENT AND NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCIES HAS BEEN CONSPIRING WITH PEOPLE
FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO APPLY SCIENCES AND USE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TO CAUSE
THE PLAINTIFF - PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS,
RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO BE MADE OUT TO BE SPECIAL VICTIMS OF CROWDSOURCING,
HUMAN RESEARCH AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS,
VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS
CASE. IN VIOLATION OF EXECUTIVE ORDERS, RELEVANT FEDERAL RESEARCH
MISCONDUCT AND APPLICABLE ETHICS POLICIES INCLUDING TITLE 15 U.S.C.A
§ 3724, THE FEDERAL SCIENCE AND OTHER AGENCIES HAS DELIBERATELY AND UNLAWFULLY
CAUSED US TO BE MADE OUT TO BE SPECIAL VICTIMS OF CROWDSOURCING, HUMAN RESEARCH AND
CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS WITHOUT ME AND OTHER HUMAN SUBJECTS BEING AWARE OF THE
OPPRESSIVE LIFELONG SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES UNTIL THE LATER PHASES
IN OUR LIFE ; WITHOUT THE HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWINGLY, INTELLIGENTLY AND WILLINGLY
ENTERING INTO AGREEMENTS THROUGH INFORMED CONSENT ELECTRONICALLY OR IN WRITING ;
WITHOUT DISCUSSING OR DETERMINING THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CONSENT, REGISTRATION,
OR ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF THE TERMS OF USE THAT ARE REQUIRED FROM THE HUMAN SUBJECTS
IN CROWDSOURCING, OR CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS ON A PER-PROJECT BASIS ; WITHOUT ALL
HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES WORKING COOPERATIVELY,
MAY UTILIZE CROWDSOURCING AND CITIZEN SCIENCE TO CONDUCT PROJECTS DESIGNED TO
ADVANCE THE MISSION OF A RESPECTIVE SCIENCE AGENCY OR THE JOINT MISSION OF THE
FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT CROWDSOURCING
IS A METHOD TO OBTAIN NEEDED SERVICES, IDEAS OR CONTENT BY SOLICITING VOLUNTARY
CONTRIBUTIONS FROM A GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS, ESPECIALLY FROM ONLINE
COMMUNITY ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT CITIZEN SCIENCE IS A FORM OF
OPEN COLLABORATION IN WHICH INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS PARTICIPATE VOLUNTARILY
IN THE SCIENTIFIC PROCESS IN VARIOUS WAYS TO ENABLE THE FORMULATION OF RESEARCH

QUESTIONS, CREATE AND REFINE PROJECT DESIGN, CONDUCT SCIENTIFIC EXPERIMENT, COLLECT AND ANALYZE DATA, DEVELOPE TECHNOLOGIES AND APPLICATIONS, MAKE DISCOVERIES AND SOLVE PROBLEMS ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THE PROJECT ARE BEING MADE PUBLIC AND PROMOTED TO ENCOURAGE BROAD PARTICIPATION ; WITHOUT INFORMING ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS THAT THE PROJECTS INVOLVES CLASSIFIED RESEARCH ; WITHOUT DISCLOSING THE PRIVACY, PROPERTY, DATA OWNERSHIP, COMPENSATION, SERVICE, PROGRAM , AND OTHER ITEMS OF USE TO ALL HUMANS IN A CLEAR AND REASONABLE MANNER ; WITHOUT EACH HUMAN SUBJECT IN THE CROWDSOURCING, OR CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS AGREEING TO ASSUME ANY AND ALL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH PARTICIPATION ; WITHOUT EACH HUMAN SUBJECT IN THE CROWDSOURCING, OR CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS AGREEING TO WAIVE ANY CLAIMS AGAINST THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND ITS RELATED ENTITIES AS A RESULT OF WILFUL MISCONDUCT, NEGLIGENCE, PERSONAL INJURY, WRONGFUL DEATH OR LOSS OF PROPERTY, REVENUE OR PROFITS (WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL) ARISING FROM PARTICIPATION IN THE PROJECTS ; WITHOUT EACH HUMAN SUBJECT IN THE CROWDSOURCING OR CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS BEING COMPENSATED FOR OUR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE ; WITHOUT INFORMING ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS OF THE IDENTITY OF THE SPONSORING AGENCY OR AGENCIES ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR OF GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION, IN COORDINATION WITH THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY IS IDENTIFYING AND DEVELOPING RELEVANT PRODUCTS, TRAINING, AND SERVICES TO FACILITATE THE USE OF CROWDSOURCING AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS, INCLUDING BY SPECIFYING THE APPROPRIATE CONTRACT VEHICLES AND TECHNOLOGY AND ORGANIZATIONAL PLATFORMS TO ENHANCE THE ABILITY OF THE FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES TO CARRY OUT THE PROJECTS ; WITHOUT COORDINATING FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES IN THE CROWDSOURCING, OR CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS MAKING ALL PRACTICABLE EFFORTS TO ENSURE THAT PARTICIPANTS ADHERE TO ALL RELEVANT FEDERAL RESEARCH MISCONDUCT AND OTHER APPLICABLE ETHICS POLICIES ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THE HEAD OF EACH FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCY IS ENGAGING IN CROWDSOURCING OR CITIZEN SCIENCE AND THAT THE HEADS WORKING COOPERATIVELY HAS BEEN ENTERING INTO CONTRACT OR OTHER AGREEMENTS TO SHARE ADMINISTRATIVE AND OTHER DUTIES ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT IN CARRYING OUT THE CROWDSOURCING AND CITIZEN PROJECTS, THE HEADS OF THE FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES WORKING COOPERATIVELY ARE USING FUNDS APPROPRIATED BY CONGRESS AND ARE PUBLICIZING PROJECTS AND SOLICITING AND ACCEPTING FUNDS **OR IN-KIND SUPPORT FOR SUCH PROJECTS**, TO BE AVAILABLE TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY APPROPRIATIONS ACTS FROM OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES AND FOR PROFIT OR NONPROFIT PRIVATE SECTOR ENTITIES INCLUDING PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION, OR STATE, TRIBAL, LOCAL, OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT AGENCIES INCLUD-

ING PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THE FEDERAL AGENCIES HAS THE AUTHORITY TO PURSUE THE USE OF INCENTIVE PRIZES AND CHALLENGES TO YIELD NUMEROUS BENEFITS ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT CROWDSOURCING AND CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS HAVE A NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL UNIQUE BENEFITS INCLUDING ACCELERATING SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, INCREASING COST EFFECTIVENESS TO MAXIMIZE THE RETURN OF TAXPAYER DOLLARS, ADDRESSING SOCIETAL NEEDS, PROVIDING HANDS ON LEARNING IN STEM, CONNECTING MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC DIRECTLY TO FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCY MISSIONS AND TO EACH OTHER, AND GRANTING FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES THE DIRECT EXPLICIT AUTHORITY TO USE CROWDSOURCING AND CITIZEN SCIENCE TO ENCOURAGE ITS APPROPRIATE USE TO ADVANCE FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCY MISSIONS AND STIMULATE AND FACILITATE BROADER PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE INNOVATION PROCESS YIELDING NUMEROUS BENEFITS TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND CITIZENS WHO PARTICIPATE IN SUCH PROJECTS ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THE FEDERAL AGENCIES HAS BEEN ENDEAVORING TO MAKE TECHNOLOGIES, APPLICATIONS, CODE AND DERIVATIONS OF SUCH INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPED THROUGH THE CROWDSOURCING OR CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS ; WITHOUT NOTIFYING ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS OF THE EXPECTED USES OF DATA COMPILED THROUGH THE PROJECTS AND HOW THE DATA AND RESULTS FROM THE PROJECTS HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE FOR THE PUBLIC OR THIRD PARTY USE ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THEIR RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE PROJECTS ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THEY ARE SERVING A MIGHTY PURPOSE AND ARE BEING FORCED TO COMMIT TO A CAUSE THAT RESTRICTS CERTAIN FREEDOM OF ACTION WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE PROJECTS ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN ENTRUSTED WITH PUBLIC FUNDS, PROPERTY AND OTHER ASSETS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO MEET THE DEBTS, COMMITMENTS AND LEGACIES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT GOVERNING BODIES IS REQUIRED BY LAW TO PROVIDE FOR THE STRENGTHENED PROTECTIONS OF HUMAN SUBJECTS OF CLASSIFIED RESEARCH ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THE FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES MUST RECEIVE THE APPROVAL OF AN INDEPENDENT PANEL OF NONGOVERNMENTAL EXPERTS AND CITIZEN REPRESENTATIVES TO CONDUCT THE CLASSIFIED HUMAN RESEARCH PROJECTS ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT A AGENCY, GROUP OR PERSON IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING AND PRODUCING PERMANENT RECORDS OF THE PANEL'S DELIBERATIONS AND CONSENT PROCEDURES ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS BEING AWARE OF THE FEDERAL SCIENCE AGENCIES SCIENTIFIC- INTEGRITY POLICIES AND EVIDENCE BASED POLICY MAKING ; WITHOUT ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS KNOWING THAT THE DIRECTOR OF OSTP HAS BEEN REPORTING THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CLASSIFIED RESEARCH PROJECTS AND PARTICIPATING SUBJECTS TO THE PRESIDENT, CONGRESSIONAL ARMED SERVICES AND INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES, AND PUBLISHING THE NUMBERS IN THE FEDERAL REGISTRY FOR THE ANNUAL PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF THE NUMBER OF CLASSIFIED RESEARCH PROJECTS ; WITHOUT

ALL HUMANSUBJECTS IN THE CROWDSOURCING OR CITIZENSCIENCE PROJECTS RECEIVING INFORMATION RELATING TO THEIR IMPORTANCE, WORTH OR USEFULNESS IN TERMS OF HUMAN CAPITAL; WITHOUT THE FEDERAL AGENCIES PROVIDING ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS IN THE CROWDSOURCING OR CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECTS WITH A REMEDY TO RECTIFY ALL GRIEVANCES AND PRODUCE AGREEMENTS AND RECONCILIATION; AND WITHOUT THE FEDERAL AGENCIES PROVIDING FOR ALL HUMAN SUBJECTS TO BE PLACED IN OUR RIGHTFUL PLACE, POSITION AND CIRCUMSTANCES, ETC. THE FEDERAL EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES IS UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO WORK WITH US TO CARRY OUT OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD, ENSURE THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF INTEGRITY IN ALL ASPECTS OF EXECUTIVE BRANCH INVOLVEMENT WITH THE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL PROCESSES, EXECUTE OUR EVIDENCE BUILDING AND STRATEGIC PLANS, AND PLACE OUR PEOPLE IN OUR RIGHTFUL PLACE, POSITION AND CIRCUMSTANCES. THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES THAT FUND, CONDUCT OR OVERSEE THE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH PROJECTS HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THAT THE SCIENTIFIC INTEGRITY POLICIES OF THEIR AGENCIES CONSIDER, SUPPLEMENT AND SUPPORT THEIR PLANS FOR FORMING EVIDENCE BASED POLICIES, INCLUDING THE EVIDENCE BUILDING PLANS REQUIRED BY 5 U.S.C § 312 (a) AND THE ANNUAL EVALUATION PLANS REQUIRED BY 5 U.S.C § 312 (b). THIS RESPONSIBILITY SHALL INCLUDE ENSURING THAT EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES ESTABLISH AND ENFORCE SCIENTIFIC- INTEGRITY POLICIES THAT BAN IMPROPER POLITICAL INTERFERENCE IN THE CONDUCT OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND IN THE COLLECTION OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL DATA; AND THAT PREVENT THE SUPPRESSION OR DISTORTION OF SCIENTIFIC OR TECHNOLOGICAL FINDINGS, DATA, INFORMATION, CONCLUSIONS OR TECHNICAL RESULTS. IMPROPER POLITICAL INTERFERENCE IN THE SCIENTIFIC LIFEWORK OF THE PLAINTIFF- PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO SUPPORT THE WORK OF GOVERNMENT AND IN THE COMMUNICATION OF SCIENTIFIC FACTS UNDERMINES THE WELFARE OF ALL NATIONS, CONTRIBUTES TO SYSTEMIC INEQUITIES AND INJUSTICES AND VIOLATES THE TRUST THAT THE PUBLIC PLACES IN GOVERNMENT TO BEST SERVE ITS COLLECTIVE INTERESTS. THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY SHALL, AS APPROPRIATE, CONVENE AND CONFER WITH THE HEADS OF AGENCIES AND WITH PERSONNEL WITHIN THE OFFICES OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, INCLUDING THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. I WOULD LIKE FOR THIS U.S SUPREME COURT TO SERVE AS THE INTERMEDIATE AGENCY WHO WILL FORM THE CONNECTING LINKS BETWEEN US AND OTHER PEOPLE IN HIGH PLACES, INTERVENE BETWEEN PARTIES, AND PRODUCE AGREEMENTS AND RECONCILIATION WHILE WE ARE CARRYING OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION FOR THE ENTRY OF A JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY

AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE. (SEE EXHIBIT # 156 AND # 157; AND APPENDIX A PAGES 124 - 125, 132 - 137, 193 - 223 AND 389 - 485) [SEE NYS CPL § 210.40 AND PENAL LAW § 35.00, 35.05, 40.00, 40.05]

108. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, STATE OF NEW YORK DOCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE MY ORDERED OR REQUESTED TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS UPON RECEIPT OF MY MOTIONS AND AUTHORIZATION FORMS FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF, CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS INFORMATION FORMS, TRANSCRIPTS REQUEST LETTERS, AND AUTHORIZED ADVANCE REQUEST FORMS FOR LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE ON 12/10/20, 12/9/21, 1/27/22, 5/24/22 AND 7/26/22. THEY HAD FAILED TO MAKE RESPECTABLE ARRANGEMENTS AND RESPOND IN A PROMPT AND APPROPRIATE MANNER TO PREVENT ME FROM RECEIVING THE ORDERED OR REQUESTED TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS AS A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT WHILE THEY WERE CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS IN THE APPEAL PROCESS TO VIOLATE THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT RULE 11.3, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THEY HAVE BEEN ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO WITHHOLD THE TELEPHONE TRANSCRIPTS WHILE AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THE TRANSCRIPTS IS NECESSARY FOR AN APPEAL AND CRUCIAL EVIDENCE AGAINST THEM. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD REFUSED TO APPOINT ME AN ATTORNEY TO PREVENT ME FROM OBTAINING THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS THROUGH A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY AND RECEIVING THE EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IN THE APPEAL PROCESS. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD INITIALLY DENIED ME THE ORDER OR REQUESTED TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS UPON THE ENTRY OF THEIR ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER ON MARCH 17, 2023 FOR A ILLEGITIMATE REASON TO FORCE ME TO RESUBMIT ANOTHER REQUEST FOR THE TRANSCRIPTS AND ORDER THEM BY SUBMITTING A COPY OF MY U.S. DISTRICT COURT TRANSCRIPT REQUEST FORM THAT WAS MAILED OUT TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ALBANY, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE AND NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE ON MAY 17, 2023. IN RESPONSE TO THE TRANSCRIPT REQUEST FORM, U.S. DISTRICT COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD HAD SENT ME A LETTER DATED 5/24/23 WHERE SHE HAD CLAIMED THAT MY POOR PERSON STATUS OR IFP STATUS DOES NOT EM COMPASS THE COST OF TRANSCRIPTS AND PROVIDED ME WITH RIDICULOUSLY HIGH ESTIMATES FOR THE COST OF PRODUCTION OF EACH TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT AND TOLD ME TO MAKE PAYMENT TO THE COURT REPORTER THAT COVERED EACH PROCEEDING WITHOUT ANY AUTHORITY OR RIGHT FOR SUCH ACTIONS WHILE ASSISTING THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IN A LONG DRAWN OUT PROCESS OF TRYING TO DETER OR PREVENT ME FROM GETTING THE FAVORABLE EVIDENCE. U.S. COURT REPORTER JODI

HIBBARD TOLD ME TO MAKE PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$113.15 FOR THE OVERLY PRICED TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS OF U.S COURT REPORTER HANNAH CAVANAUGH DATED 12/9/21 AND 5/24/22; \$29.20 FOR THE OVERLY PRICED TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT OF U.S COURT REPORTER EILEEN McDONOUGH DATED 1/27/22; AND \$105.85 FOR THE OVERLY PRICED TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT OF U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD DATED 7/26/22. U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD KNEW THAT I WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO MAKE THE PAYMENTS WITHOUT ASSISTANCE AND REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT TO RECEIVE HIS CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS WHEN I HAD SHOWED A INABILITY TO PAY OR TO GIVE SECURITY FOR FEES AND COSTS. SHE HAD REFUSED TO ASSIST ME WHILE KNOWING THAT I'M ALLOWED TO PROCEED ON APPEAL WITHOUT PREPAYING OR GIVING SECURITY FOR FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 24, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD REFUSED TO GRANT ME THE CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS ON CONSIGNMENT AND PROVIDE FOR DOCCS EMPLOYEES TO COLLECT ON THE DEBT FOR THE TRANSCRIPTS BY AUTOMATIC DEDUCTIONS FROM MY PRISONER TRUST FUNDS ACCOUNT IN MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS WHENEVER I RECEIVE FUNDS FROM DOCCS, HOME OR ANY OTHER PLACE. I HAD TRIED TO GET THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT TO GRANT ME THE CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS ON CONSIGNMENT AND PROVIDE FOR DOCCS EMPLOYEES TO COLLECT ON THE DEBT FOR THE TRANSCRIPTS UPON THE SUBMISSION OF MY MOTIONS AND AUTHORIZATION FORMS FOR POOR PERSON RELIEF, CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION FORMS, U.S DISTRICT COURT TRANSCRIPT REQUEST FORM, TRANSCRIPT REQUEST LETTERS, AND LETTER DATED 8/5/23 AND PRISONER AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENT BY ENTERING A ILLEGITIMATE COURT DOCKET TEXT ORDER ON 8/16/23 CLAIMING THAT I COULD PAY FOR THE TRANSCRIPTS IN INSTALLMENTS BY SENDING PAYMENTS TO THE CORRECT COURT REPORTER FOR EACH TRANSCRIPT AND THAT THE COURT REPORTER WILL NOT SEND ANY TRANSCRIPTS TO ME UNTIL SUCH TIME AS I HAVE PAID FOR A FULL TRANSCRIPT. THE BUSINESS OFFICE / CORRESPONDENCE AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAD REFUSED TO PROPERLY PROCESS MY COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPES, APPROVE ADVANCES FOR LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS, PLACE THE APPROPRIATE AMOUNT CHECK IN EACH COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPE, AND MAIL THEM TO THE U.S COURT REPORTERS JODI HIBBARD, HANNAH F. CAVANAUGH AND EILEEN McDONOUGH AT THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS WHILE CAUSING DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS IN THE APPEAL PROCESS. I HAD SENT LETTERS TO THE BUSINESS OFFICE / CORRESPONDENCE AT ATTICA TO TRY TO GET THEM TO PROCESS MY COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPES, AUTHORIZED ADVANCE REQUEST FORMS, AND APPROVE ADVANCES FOR ME TO RECEIVE MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS BUT THEY WOULD NOT DO THE RIGHT THING WHILE CONSPIRING WITH THE OTHER DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE AND INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS. INMATE ACCOUNTS EMPLOYEE M. WETZLER HAD TOOK IT UPON HIMSELF TO SEND ME A LETTER DATED 7/17/23 ILLEGALLY DENYING ME THE LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES ADVANCES BECAUSE HE HAD CLAIMED THAT COUNSEL OFFICE DOCUMENTS REVEALED THAT MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS ARE NOT REQUIRED BY THE COURT WHILE

FULLY AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS ARE CITED MATERIALS THAT ARE NECESSARY FOR MY APPEAL, CRUCIAL EVIDENCE AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS, AND REQUIRED BY THE COURTS. IN RESPONSE TO INMATE ACCOUNTS M. WETZLER'S LETTER, I HAD SENT HIM OR HER A LEGAL PACKET ON HOW TO APPEAL TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, A PRINTOUT OF THIS **COURT RULE 14, 28 U.S.C.A.**, AND A LETTER DATED 7/20/23 SO THAT HE OR SHE WOULD BE ABLE TO APPROVE THE ADVANCES FOR LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS AFTER LOOKING THROUGH THE DOCUMENTS TO SEE THAT THE CITED TRANSCRIPTS ARE REQUIRED BY THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND THIS U.S. SUPREME COURT ON APPEAL. A MONTH AND SOME DAYS LATER, INMATE ACCOUNTS M. WETZLER HAD RESPONDED BY SENDING ME A LETTER DATED 8/29/23 CLAIMING THAT I HAVE TO GET A COURT ORDER AUTHORIZING DOCCS EMPLOYEES TO APPROVE THE ADVANCES FOR ME TO OBTAIN THE LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS DUE TO THE FACT THAT MY ADVANCE REQUESTS WERE FOR COPIES FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT AND THE AMOUNT OF MY REQUESTS NEEDED TO BE REVIEWED BY CENTRAL OFFICE / COUNSEL'S OFFICE. WITH THE NEW INFORMATION THAT I HAD PROVIDED TO THE COUNSEL OFFICE THROUGH INMATE ACCOUNTS M. WETZLER, **HE** SAID THAT THE COUNSELOR OFFICE HAD SUGGESTED THAT THE COURT PROVIDE AN ORDER AUTHORIZING SUCH AN ALLOWANCE AND UPON RECEIPT THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WOULD COMPLY. DOCCS EMPLOYEES ALREADY HAVE AUTHORITY TO APPROVE THE LEGAL PHOTOCOPY ADVANCES FOR MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS BUT REFUSED TO APPROVE THE LEGAL PHOTOCOPY ADVANCES WHILE I'M IN THEIR CARE AND CUSTODY. I DID FILE 2 GRIEVANCE LETTERS DATED 6/25/23 AND 7/3/23 RELATING TO THIS SITUATION AND THE GRIEVANCES **WAS** LOGGED AS 1352 - 23, CODE 3 IN THE GRIEVANCE OFFICE AT ATTICA ON JULY 5, 2023. THE INMATE GRIEVANCE OFFICE DID NOT ADDRESS MY ISSUES OR RESPOND IN THE APPROPRIATE MANNER. I HAD ALSO SENT A LETTER DATED 7/3/23 TO ATTICA DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT FOR ADMINISTRATION (S. BECK) RELATING TO THIS SITUATION AND HE OR SHE HAD REFUSED TO RESPOND OR ADDRESS MY ISSUES. I HAD ALSO SENT A LETTER DATED 7/9/23 TO THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF PROGRAMS **LT. M. Y. D. LEBROOK** AT ATTICA RELATING TO THIS SITUATION AND SHE HAD RESPONDED BY FORWARDING MY TAB TO THE SENIOR OFFENDER REHABILITATION COORDINATOR K. WARNER FOR ACTION DEEMED APPROPRIATE. **SORC** K. WARNER HAD REFUSED TO ADDRESS MY ISSUES AND CAUSED INMATE ACCOUNTS M. WETZLER TO SEND ME HIS ILLEGITIMATE RESPONSE LETTER DATED 8/29/23. **SORC** K. WARNER AND OTHER CORRECTIONAL STAFF HAVE MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO RECEIVE MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS THROUGH THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS WITHOUT A COURT INTERVENING OR ISSUING AN ORDER ON MY BEHALF AS A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT WHILE IN THEIR CARE AND CUSTODY. I HAVE LET THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT KNOW ABOUT MY CURRENT DILEMMAS BUT THEY HAVE REFUSED TO MAKE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO FACILITATE MY ABILITY AND CONDUCT THE PERSONAL AND EXTRAJUDICIAL ACTIVITIES THAT IS NECESSARY FOR AN APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND

CASE. PLEASE NOTE THAT ADVANCES FOR LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES OF DOCUMENTS HAS BEEN PLACING ME AND OTHER INMATES IN DEBT TO THE STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WHO IS COLLECTING 100% OF THE INCOME SENT FROM HOME, EARNED OR GAINED THROUGH IDOL PAY TO COLLECT ON THE LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES DEBTS, LEAVE US HELPLESS AND UNABLE TO SUPPORT TO OURSELVES, DISCOURAGE US FROM LITIGATING, AND PREVENT US FROM PURCHASING COMMISSARY, STAMPS, PHONE TIME, PHOTO TICKETS, CLOTHING, FOOTWEAR, GIFTS FOR LOVED ONES, PERSONAL CARE AND OTHER ITEMS FROM APPROVED VENDORS, JPAY OR OTHER COMPANIES UNTIL THE DEBTS ARE COMPLETELY PAID WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO ARE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. I DID INITIALLY TRY TO GET THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT AND U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE MY CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS FREE OF CHARGE. THEY HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS DATED 12/9/21, 1/27/22, 5/24/22 AND 7/26/22 THROUGH A COURT OFFICIAL, COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY, OR APPELLEES ATTORNEY FREDERICKA. BRODIE FREE OF CHARGE AS A INDIGENT LITIGANT WHO WAS GRANTED POOR PERSON RELIEF AND PERMISSION TO PROCEED ON APPEAL IN IFP STATUS. IN AUGUST OF 2023, THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DID SEND ME A FREE COPY OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT OF COURT REPORTER HANNAH F. CAVANAUGH DATED 12/10/20. I HAD RECEIVED THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT DATED 12/10/20 APPROXIMATELY 2 MONTHS AFTER THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL. I HAD DISCOVERED THAT NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT HAD ALSO PROVIDED ME WITH A FREE COPY OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT OF COURT REPORTER HANNAH F. CAVANAUGH DATED 12/10/20 AT SOME POINT WHILE HE WAS REPRESENTING DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS. HE HAD ALSO PROVIDED ME WITH A FREE COPY OF MY DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS OF U.S COURT REPORTERS ABRIGAIL HALLOWELL AND ALEXANDER JONES DATED 11/27/20 AND 1/21/21. U.S DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS ABRIGAIL HALLOWELL AND ALEXANDER JONES HAD FAILED TO TRANSCRIBE THE EXCHANGES CORRECTLY, ACCURATELY AND COMPLETELY DURING THE DEPOSITION PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE ON 11/27/20 AND 1/21/21. U.S DISTRICT COURT REPORTER HANNAH CAVANAUGH HAD ALSO FAILED TO TRANSCRIBE THE EXCHANGES CORRECTLY, ACCURATELY AND COMPLETELY DURING THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE PROCEEDING THAT TOOK PLACE ON 12/10/20. THE DEPOSITION AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TRANSCRIPTS CONTAINS CRITICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND FORMALITIES, TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, WORDS THAT I DID NOT SAY, OMMITS WORDS THAT I DID SAY, AND LACKS EXACTNESS AND ACCURACY OF EXPRESSION OF DETAILS ABOUT TRANSACTIONS, OCCURRENCES OR EVENTS THAT I HAD TESTIFIED TO OR SPOKE ON WHILE IN DEPOSITION OR ON THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL. THE TRANSCRIPTS PROVIDES US WITH EVIDENCE OR INFORMATION INDICATING THAT THE U.S COURT REPORTERS HAD DELIBERATELY MISTRANScribed THE PROCEEDINGS ON 11/27/20, 12/10/20 AND 1/21/21 TO DISTORT, MISREPRESENT OR SUPPRESS THE TRUTH WITH INTENT TO OBTAIN A UNJUST ADVANTAGE

OR FAVORABLE OUTCOME FOR THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS; PROVIDES US WITH EVIDENCE OR INFORMATION TO THE EFFECT THAT GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS IS VIOLATIVE OF CONSTITUTIONAL STANDARDS; PROVIDES US WITH A INCORRECT CASE TITLE FOR THIS CASE; PROVIDE US WITH A WIDE RANGE OF INFORMATION IN A DECEITFUL FORMAT TO CONFUSE THE RECIPIENT; PROVIDE US WITH FALSE STATEMENTS THAT IS KNOWN TO BE FALSE OR MADE RECKLESSLY BY NEW YORK STATE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC PINSONNAULT, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ANDREW T. BAXTER, AND U.S. COURT REPORTERS ABRIGAIL HALLOWELL, ALEXANDER JONES AND HANNAH F. CAVANAUGH; PROVIDES US WITH FALSE STATEMENTS THAT IS LIKELY TO INDUCE A REASONABLE PERSON TO ASSENT OR THAT THE MAKERS KNOW IS LIKELY TO INDUCE A RECIPIENT TO ASSENT; PROVIDES US WITH EVIDENCE OR INFORMATION WHICH DEMONSTRATES THAT THE COURT REPORTERS HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO SET THINGS UP FOR THEM TO ESTABLISH A RECORD THROUGH FRAUDULENT BEHAVIOR, ACT LIKE I WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE, DISRUPT MY SPEECH AND/OR CUT ME OFF WHILE I WAS TRYING TO PRESENT MY CASE, SPEAK OVER ME AND THREATEN ME WITH SANCTIONS OR GIVE INADVERTENT, SURPRISING OR UNNECESSARY WARNINGS ON THE RECORD TO CONTROL THE DEPOSITION AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS THROUGH MISCONDUCT; AVOID ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF THE CASE, AND PREVENT ME FROM REVEALING THING AND SPEAKING OUT ON THE RECORD WHILE EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT; AND PROVIDES US WITH EVIDENCE OR INFORMATION DEMONSTRATING THAT THE U.S. COURT REPORTERS AND OTHER COURT OFFICIALS HAS BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE U.S. GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES HAD TOLD ^{THE} THAT U.S. COURT REPORTERS HANNAH F. CAVANAUGH, JODI HIBBARD AND EILEEN MCDONOUGH HAD ALSO FAILED TO TRANSCRIBE THE EXCHANGES CORRECTLY, ACCURATELY AND COMPLETELY DURING THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE ON 12/9/21, 1/27/22, 5/24/22 AND 7/20/22. I BELIEVE THAT THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES PROCEEDINGS WAS NOT PROPERLY TRANSCRIBED DUE TO THE FACTS OF THIS CASE AND THAT THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS CONTAINS CRITICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND FORMALITIES, TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS, WORDS THAT I DID NOT SAY, OMITS WORDS THAT I DID SAY AND LACKS EXACTNESS AND ACCURACY OF EXPRESSION OF DETAILS ABOUT TRANSACTIONS, OCCURRENCES OR EVENTS THAT I HAD SPOKE ON DURING THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES. IT IS EVIDENT THAT THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, NEW YORK STATE DOCCS AND OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS TO WITHHOLD FAVORABLE MATERIALS OR TO CONCEAL, DISTORT OR MISREPRESENT MATERIAL EVIDENCE OR INFORMATION REGARDING FACTS OR OCCURRENCES OR THE ABSENCE OF FACTS OR OCCURRENCES, KNOWN TO THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS OR WHICH COULD BECOME

KNOWN UPON DILIGENT INQUIRY TO THOSE CONDUCTING AN INVESTIGATION. THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS IS FAVORABLE EVIDENCE TO ME AND IS MATERIALS TO THE GUILT OR CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT OF THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS IS REQUIRED TO TURN OVER ANY AND ALL EVIDENCE WHICH TENDS TO BE FAVORABLE TO THE PLAINTIFF - PETITIONER UPON MY REQUESTS FOR SUCH EVIDENCE. THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS DETRACTS FROM THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS CASE AND IS UNSUPPORTIVE OF THE POSITIONS URGED OR LIKELY TO BE URGED BY THEM AT ANY STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS U.S SUPREME COURT. I DID EXPLAIN THIS TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS SITUATION TO MY COUSIN ELLA PAULK-VANCE WHILE SEEKING HELP FROM FAMILY, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. SHE HAD CAME UP WITH \$300 FROM MY BROTHER JAY NEAL FOR ME AND I TOLD HER TO USE THE MONEY TO PAY FOR ME TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS AND THAT I'LL BE SENDING HER INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO MAKE THE PAYMENTS BY CHECK THROUGH THE MAIL. I HAD SERVED MY COUSIN ELLA WITH MY U.S COURT REPORTER JODI HIBBARD LEGAL ENVELOPE #1, U.S COURT REPORTER EILEEN McDONOUGH LEGAL ENVELOPE #2, AND U.S COURT REPORTER HANNAH CAVANAUGH LEGAL ENVELOPE #3 BY ATTACHING A INSTRUCTIONS LETTER TO EACH UNSEALED COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPE FOR MY COUSIN ELLA TO READ, PLACING EACH UNSEALED COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPE WITH THE ATTACHED LETTERS INTO A PROPERLY ADDRESSED MANILLA LEGAL ENVELOPE AND PLACING SAID PROPERLY ADDRESSED MANILLA ENVELOPE INTO A MAIL BOX IN C-BLOCK AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO BE PROCESSED AND MAILED TO MY COUSIN ELLA PAULK-VANCE AT 225 ROSEWOOD TER., CHEEKTOWAGA, NEW YORK 14225 ON AUGUST 15, 2023 SO THAT SHE COULD READ EACH LETTER, WRITE OUT 3 CHECKS, PLACE THE APPROPRIATE AMOUNT CHECK IN EACH COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPE THAT CONTAINED A LETTER ADDRESSED TO EACH COURT REPORTER ALREADY, AND MAIL THE ALREADY STAMPED COURT REPORTER LEGAL ENVELOPES TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE, NEW YORK FOR ME TO OBTAIN MY CIVIL APPEAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCES TRANSCRIPTS. MY COUSIN ELLA HAD SENT ME A PAY EMAIL IN WHICH I WAS FINALLY ABLE TO READ ON THE SPAY KIOSK AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ON 10/11/23 AFTER WAITING OVER A MONTH TO USE THE KIOSK DUE TO SPAY KIOSK AND OTHER ISSUES WHILE HOUSED ON 28 COMPANY IN C-BLOCK AT ATTICA. MY COUSIN ELLA HAD TOLD ME THAT SHE HAD RECEIVED MY MAIL AND THAT SHE HAD FOUND OUT THAT SHE COULD USE MONEY ORDERS TO MAKE THE PAYMENTS FOR THE TRANSCRIPTS. SHE SAID THAT SHE WAS GOING TO SEND OUT THE MONEY ORDERS BEFORE OR AFTER HER VACATION. AT THIS POINT, I DO NOT KNOW IF SHE WAS ABLE TO MAKE THE PAYMENTS FOR THE TRANSCRIPTS YET BECAUSE I HAVE NOT HEARD FROM HER EVER SINCE AND I HAVE NOT RECEIVED THE TRANSCRIPTS FROM THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE YET EITHER. I HOPE THAT SHE IS OK AND THAT YOU GUYS WILL HELP ME FIND OUT WHAT IS GOING ON BECAUSE I'M HAVING DIFFICULTIES COMMUNICATING WITH THE OUTSIDE WORLD IN THESE HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS.

[SEE APPENDIX A PAGES 20-21, 32, 54, 297-312, 337-343, 352, 360-362, 383, 389-396 AND 497; AND APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 PAGES 216-218, AND VOLUME 3 PAGES 156-517]

109. THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND/OR THE U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND REPRESENTATION OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND ALL FEDERAL AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES WHO WERE NAMED, IDENTIFIED OR LABELED AS KNOWN DEFENDANTS, - APPELLEES IN THIS CASE WHILE I WAS SEEKING TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO APPEAL WITHIN THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT. THEY HAD FAILED TO FILE THE REQUIRED FORMS, MOTIONS, BRIEF(S), APPENDICES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS OR OTHERWISE FAILED TO ACT UNDER THE TIME PERIOD SET IN THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT LOCAL RULES AND APPLICABLE STATUTES. I WAS NOT ABLE TO FIND THE GENERAL DUTIES OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND/OR THE U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE ON THE LAW LIBRARY COMPUTER AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY BUT I WAS ABLE TO GET A PHOTOCOPY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BASIC FUNCTIONS FROM THE ALMANAC TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF FEDERAL LAWS AND INVESTIGATION OF VIOLATIONS, FURNISH LEGAL COUNSEL IN CASES INVOLVING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND INTERPRET LAWS RELATING TO THE ACTIVITIES OF OTHER FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS, AND SUPERVISE FEDERAL PENAL INSTITUTIONS. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND/OR THE U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAS BEEN NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES AND DISOBEYING THE LAWS BY REFUSING TO EXPRESS VIEWS ON MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN IN THE CONTEXT OF TEACHING AND PROVIDING ME AND OTHER PARTIES WITH INFORMATION, SUGGESTIONS, ESTIMATES, STATISTICS, ASSISTANCE OR ADVOCACY. [SEE APPENDIX A, AND B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3]

110. NEW YORK STATE ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE HAD PROVIDED ME WITH A COPY OF HIS ILLEGITIMATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM, INCORRECT CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION, AND ILLEGITIMATE NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM FOR SUBSTITUTE, ADDITIONAL OR AMICUS COUNSEL FOR DOCKET # 22 - 3095 AT SOME POINT AFTER THE FILING OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL # 1. HE HAD ALSO PROVIDED ME WITH A COPY OF HIS ILLEGITIMATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM ALONG WITH A COPY OF HIS ILLEGITIMATE NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORM FOR SUBSTITUTION, ADDITIONAL OR AMICUS COUNSEL FOR CONSOLIDATED DOCKET # 22 - 3206 AT SOME POINT AFTER THE FILING OF MY NOTICE OF APPEAL # 2. HE HAD REPLACED NEW YORK STATE ASSISTANT SOLICITOR BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD AND ILLEGALLY CLAIMED THAT HE IS ONLY APPEARING FOR 9 DEFENDANTS - APPELLEES WHO ARE IDENTIFIED AS NEW YORK STATE DOCCS EMPLOYEES BY THE NAMES OF TRAVIS BAXTER, S. BARCOMB, GLEN ENSTROM, C. COX, J. REIF, J. RUFA, CHAD ROWE, J. RUSSELL AND AMBROSE WALDRON. AFTER REMOVING BARBARA

D. UNDERWOOD FROM THIS APPEAL CASE, THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO APPOINT AN ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT ALL STATE AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES WHO WERE NAMED, IDENTIFIED OR LABELED AS KNOWN DEFENDANTS - APPELLEES IN THIS CASE. THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE AND FREDERICK A. BRODIE HAD FAILED TO FILE THE APPROPRIATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORMS; CORRECTIONS FOR THE CAPTION; NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FORMS FOR SUBSTITUTE, ADDITIONAL OR AMICUS COUNSEL; AND RESPONSE PAPERS TO MY MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, BRIEF, APPENDIX AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED IN THIS CASE. THEY HAD FAILED TO PROVIDE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS AND REPRESENTATION OF ALL KNOWN DEFENDANTS - APPELLEES AND PROCURE THE NECESSARY DECLARATIONS FOR EACH AND EVERY CLAIM SET FORTH IN THIS CASE UPON THE SUBMISSION OF THEIR ILLEGITIMATE FORMS; ILLEGITIMATE MEMORANDUM DATED MARCH 9, 2022 IN OPPOSITION OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW; ILLEGITIMATE MEMORANDUM DATED MAY 25, 2023 IN OPPOSITION TO MY MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF; BRIEF FILING POSTPONEMENT LETTER DATED MAY 9, 2023; AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE, DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL JEFFREY LANG AND ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES HAS MADE FALSE STATEMENTS OF FACT OR LAW TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND FAILED TO CORRECT FALSE STATEMENTS OF MATERIAL FACT OR LAW PREVIOUSLY MADE TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS. THEY ARE AWARE OF THE FACT THAT CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. CHRISTIAN, SERGEANT T. WILSON AND NYS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS JONATHAN REINER AND SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI HAD FALSIFIED DECLARATIONS AND SUBMITTED FABRICATED EVIDENCE TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT SO THAT THE COURT CLERKS AND JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES COULD PLAY THEIR ROLES FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THEY ARE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE BY REASON OF PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE, EXPERIENCES, INVESTIGATIONS, CONFERENCES WITH THE DEFENDANTS AND PROSECUTIONS, AND BY REASON OF THE PRIOR PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS FOR THIS CASE. THEY KNOW THAT I DID NOT ENGAGE IN ANY ILLEGAL, DISRUPTIVE OR DISRESPECTFUL ACTIVITIES TO WARRANT OR JUSTIFY ANY SANCTIONS, THREATS OF SANCTIONS OR THE DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT, AND THAT I DID NOT SIGN A COURT REFUSAL FORM, REFUSE TO BE TRANSPORTED FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022 AND CANCELLED BY THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS WHILE EXECUTING THEIR ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE PLANS FOR THE WRONGFUL DISMISSAL OF MY COMPLAINT, AND THAT I DID NOT REFUSE TO PACK UP MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT WAS PREPARED AND MADE AVAILABLE FOR THE CIVIL JURY TRIAL COURT TRIP THAT WAS SUPPOSE TO TAKE PLACE ON

JULY 27, 2022, BUT ATTICA A-BLOCK OFFICERS HAD CAME TO MY CELL (A-5-26 CELL) TO PACK ME UP FOR THE COURT TRIP AND REFUSED TO PROVIDE ME WITH THE RIGHT SIZE DRAFT BAG OR ENOUGH DRAFT BAGS TO PREVENT ME FROM PACKING UP LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY SO THAT THEY COULD CAUSE A NUMBER OF DISPUTES, CANCEL THE COURT TRIP, FABRICATE EVIDENCE, HOLD ME IN THIS POSITION EVEN LONGER AND TRY TO PREVENT ME FROM MAKING KNOWN ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AVAILABLE FOR A POTENTIAL CIVIL JURY TRIAL OR AN APPEAL COURT. NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES, DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL JEFFREY LANG, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE AND OTHER EMPLOYEES WITHIN THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE KNOWS THAT I HAD EXPLAINED THE SITUATION TO THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT, ASKED THEM TO RESCHEDULE THE TRIAL OR GRANT AN ADJOURNMENT AND ISSUE ANOTHER COURT ORDER DIRECTING DOCCS EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO PACK UP AND APPEAR IN COURT FOR TRIAL PROCEEDINGS BECAUSE DOCCS EMPLOYEES HAD REFUSED TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO OBTAIN TRIAL CLOTHING, APPEAR IN COURT AND PRODUCE LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT WAS NEEDED FOR A CIVIL JURY TRIAL COURT TRIP WHILE IN THE CARE AND CUSTODY OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. THEY KNOW THAT U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD REFUSED TO RESCHEDULE THE TRIAL OR GRANT AN ADJOURNMENT AND ISSUE ANOTHER COURT ORDER DIRECTING THE DEFENDANTS TO OBEY THE LAW, COMPLY WITH HER COURT ORDERS AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO PACK UP AND APPEAR IN COURT WITH MY LEGAL MATERIALS, EXHIBITS, AND OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY THAT IS NEEDED FOR OUR CIVIL JURY TRIAL. THEY ALSO KNOW THAT U.S DISTRICT JUDGE BRENDA K. SANNES HAD ILLEGALLY DISMISSED MY COMPLAINT FOR ILLEGITIMATE REASONS WITHOUT CONDUCTING A TRIAL, EVIDENTIAL HEARING OR CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT ME OR THE DEFENDANTS WERE IN DEFAULT AND CONTEMPT OF COURT FOR ALLEGATIONS OF DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOR DURING TELEPHONE CONFERENCES MONTHS PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE FINAL ORDER AND FOR MY FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE U.S DISTRICT COURT IN SYRACUSE FOR THE ILLEGALLY SCHEDULED CIVIL JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS SET FOR AUGUST 1, 2022. THEY ARE AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THE RECORDS FOR THIS CASE CLEARLY DEMONSTRATES THAT I DID NOT FAIL TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE OR COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS AND THAT I HAVE BEEN PROSECUTING THIS CASE DILIGENTLY, PLEADING MY CASE, OR OTHERWISE DEFENDING MYSELF AGAINST THE FALSE ALLEGATIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN MISHANDLING THIS CASE AND ENCROACHING ON THE INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS OF THE PLAINTIFF-PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE. IN VIOLATION OF 22 NYCRR SECTION 1200.0 RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT RULES 1.1, 1.3, 1.6, 1.13, 2.1, 2.3, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.4, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 8.3 AND 8.4, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES, DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL JEFFREY LANG, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS ERIC PINSONNAULT, JONATHAN REINER AND SHANNAN C. KRASNOKUTSKI, AND OTHER EMPLOYEES WITHIN THE NEW

YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OR DEPARTMENT OF LAW IS KNOWINGLY ASSISTING THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS IN CONDUCT THAT IS A VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE RULES OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT OR OTHER LAW; ENGAGING IN CONDUCT IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW THAT THEY OR THE NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE KNOWS OR REASONABLY SHOULD KNOW CONSTITUTES UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION OR HARASSMENT; ENGAGING IN ILLEGAL CONDUCT THAT ADVERSELY REFLECTS ON THEIR HONEST, TRUSTWORTHINESS OR FITNESS AS ATTORNEYS; ENGAGING IN CONDUCT THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE; VIOLATING THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT; ENGAGING IN CONDUCT INVOLVING DISHONESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT OR MISREPRESENTATION; FAILING TO REPORT THE MISCONDUCT OF THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS; CONDUCTING THIS CASE FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREVENTING, DELAYING OR PROLONGING THE RESOLUTION OF LITIGATION; CONCEALING OR KNOWINGLY FAILING TO DISCLOSE THAT WHICH THEY ARE REQUIRED BY LAW TO REVEAL; KNOWINGLY USING OR RELYING ON PERJURED TESTIMONY OR FALSE EVIDENCE; PARTICIPATING IN THE CREATION OR PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE THAT THEY KNOW OR IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THE EVIDENCE IS FALSE; FAILING TO EXPRESS VIEWS ON MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN IN THE CONTEXT OF TEACHING AND PROVIDING US WITH INFORMATION, SUGGESTIONS, ESTIMATES, STATISTICS, ASSISTANCE OR ADVOCACY; FAILING TO PROVIDE COMPETENT REPRESENTATION WITH LEGAL KNOWLEDGE, SKILL, THOROUGHNESS AND PREPARATION REASONABLY NECESSARY FOR THE REPRESENTATION; FAILING TO ADDRESS AND ELABORATE ON LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE; ENGAGING IN CONDUCT TO INFLUENCE IMPROPERLY OR UPON IRRELEVANT OR ILLEGITIMATE GROUNDS THE COURT OR JUDGES TO INDUCE THEM TO ILLEGALLY DENY MY MOTIONS, AFFIRM THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS OF THE COURTS BELOW OR ILLEGALLY DISMISS MY APPEAL, AND ILLEGALLY DENY ME THE ENTITLED RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CASE; FAILING TO REVEAL CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY REASONABLY KNOW IS NECESSARY TO PREVENT REASONABLY CERTAIN DEATH OR SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM, TO PREVENT OR DETER THE OTHER DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS FROM COMMITTING FURTHER ACTS OF MISCONDUCT, TO WITHDRAW WRITTEN OR ORAL OPINIONS OR REPRESENTATION PREVIOUSLY GIVEN BY THEM AND REASONABLY BELIEVED BY THEM TO STILL BE RELIED UPON BY A THIRD PARTY, TO SECURE LEGAL ADVICE ABOUT COMPLIANCE WITH RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, AND TO DEFEND THEMSELVES, CO-WORKERS, ASSOCIATES AND AGENCIES AGAINST ACCUSATIONS OF WRONGFUL CONDUCT; FAILING TO EXERCISE INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGEMENT AND RENDER CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE; USING MEANS THAT HAVE NO SUBSTANTIAL PURPOSE OTHER THAN TO EMBARRASS OR HARM THIRD PARTIES, THE PLAINTIFF-PETITIONER AND OTHER PEOPLE; AND KNOWINGLY ENGAGING IN OTHER ILLEGAL CONDUCT CONTRARY TO ESTABLISHED RULES OF PROCEDURE OR OF EVIDENCE. I WAS ABLE TO GET A PRINTOUT OF MCKINNEY'S EXECUTIVE LAW § 63 WHICH PROVIDES US WITH INFORMATION RELATING TO THE GENERAL DUTIES OF NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES AND HER EMPLOYEES UNDER NYS EXECUTIVE LAW SECTION 63, 63-a, 63-b, 63-c, AND 63-d

WITHIN THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY OFFICE OR DEPARTMENT OF LAW WHO HAS BEEN NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES AND DISOBEYING THE LAW DUE TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. THE NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OR DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND THE U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AND THE U.S ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAS REFUSED TO ADDRESS AND/OR INQUIRE INTO MATTERS CONCERNING THE PUBLIC PEACE, PUBLIC SAFETY AND PUBLIC JUSTICE. [SEE EXHIBITS #153 AND #154; AND APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G; AND MCKINNEY'S EXECUTIVE LAWS 63]

III. ON JULY 6, 2023, THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ENTERED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER THROUGH CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE WHO HAD ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND OTHER RELIEF AS UNNECESSARY; ILLEGALLY DENIED MY MOTION TO APPEAL, MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION TO APPEAL, AND MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER, INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF; AND WRONGFULLY DISMISSED MY APPEAL FROM THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND ORDERS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK BECAUSE SHE HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT IT "LACKS AN ARGUABLE BASIS EITHER IN LAW OR FACT" WHILE PARTICIPATING IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE HAS GREAT MERITS AND ESTABLISHED THE ARGUABLE BASIS OF THE APPEAL WHICH WAS ILLEGALLY DISMISSED WITHOUT TAKING A PROPER COURSE WHEN I HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAL PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 3 AND 4, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. MANY ISSUES IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT CASE INVOLVE ELEMENTS OF BOTH LAW AND FACT. WHETHER THESE BE REFERRED TO AS MIXED QUESTIONS OF LAW AND FACT, OR LEGAL INFERENCES FROM THE FACTS, OR THE APPLICATION OF LAW TO THE FACTS, THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL AUTHORITY THAT THEY ARE REVIEWABLE AND APPEALABLE. THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE ARE CERTAIN AND MATERIAL POINTS ARISING OUT OF THE ALLEGATIONS AND CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES AND THEY ARE THE MATTER AFFIRMED ON ONE SIDE AND DENIED, IGNORED, UNACKNOWLEDGED OR UNADDRESSED ON THE OTHER. WHEN THE LEGITIMATE CLAIMS OR ISSUES OF THIS CASE WERE ALLEGED IN MY COMPLAINT, MOTIONS, OVERSIZE APPEAL BRIEF, LETTERS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS, AND THEN ILLEGALLY DISMISSED, DENIED, IGNORED, UNACKNOWLEDGED OR UNADDRESSED UPON THE DEFENDANTS' ILLEGITIMATE ORDERS, ANSWER, MOTIONS TO DISMISS, REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW, OPPOSITION MOTIONS, DECLARATIONS, LETTERS AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS, THE MATTER WAS THEN PUT IN ISSUE BETWEEN PARTIES. AS TO ANY OR ALL PARTIES IN THIS CASE, THE 2ND CIRCUIT WAS REQUIRED BY LAW TO RENDER JUDGEMENT OF AFFIRMANCE, JUDGEMENT OF REVERSAL AND FINAL JUDGEMENT OR ORDER UPON THE RIGHT OF ANY OR ALL OF THE PARTIES, OR JUDGEMENT OF MODIFICATION THEREON ACCORDING TO LAW, EXCEPT WHERE IT MAY BE NECESSARY OR PROPER TO GRANT A TRIAL OR HEARING AFTER THE APPEAL HAS TAKEN ITS PROPER COURSE. THE U.S COURT OF

APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND/OR CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE DID NOT APPLY THE LAW TO THE SPECIFIC FACTS BEFORE THE COURT TO REACH A DECISION IN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL MANNER. SHE DID NOT PROVIDE US WITH A WRITTEN EXPLANATION OF HOW AND WHY THE APPEAL LACKS AN ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW OR FACT. SHE DID PROVIDE US WITH CITATION IN HER ILLEGITIMATE ORDER TO MAKE US BELIEVE THAT THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD RELIED ON 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (e) ~~AND A~~ EXTREMELY OLD CASE FROM THIS U.S SUPREME COURT "NEITZKE V. WILLIAMS, 990 U.S 319, 325 (1989)" TO ILLEGALLY DISMISS MY APPEAL. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AND/OR CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE DOES NOT HAVE ANY LEGITIMATE GROUNDS TO DISMISS MY APPEAL UNDER 28 U.S.C § 1915 (e) BECAUSE MY ALLEGATIONS OF POVERTY IS TRUE, THE APPEAL WAS NOT FRIVOLOUS OR MALICIOUS, AND I DID NOT FAIL TO STATE A CLAIM ON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED OR SEEK MONETARY RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANTS WHO ARE IMMUNE FROM SUCH RELIEF. PLUS, I DO NOT HAVE ANY HISTORY OF BRING CIVIL ACTIONS OR APPEALS THAT ARE BEING DISMISSED ON THE GROUNDS THAT THEY ARE FRIVOLOUS, MALICIOUS OR FAILED TO STATE A CLAIM ON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED WHILE INCARCERATED IN ANY FACILITY OR FREE IN SOCIETY. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DIDN'T EVEN DISMISS MY COMPLAINT FILED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON ~~ANY~~ GROUNDS STATED WITHIN 28 U.S.C § 1915. MY COMPLAINT WAS ILLEGALLY DISMISSED BECAUSE THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT HAD FALSELY CLAIMED THAT I HAD FAILED TO PROSECUTE THIS CASE AND COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS. THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT DID NOT CERTIFY IN WRITING THAT MY APPEAL WAS NOT BEING TAKEN IN GOOD FAITH TO THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT OR FIND THAT I'M NOT OTHERWISE ENTITLED TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS UNDER THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 24, 28 U.S.C.A. THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT OR PROHIBIT ME FROM APPEALING THE ILLEGITIMATE CIVIL JUDGEMENT AND ORDERS OF THE U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G] [SEE 28 U.S.C.A § 702, 703, 704 AND 706; AND 42 U.S.C.A § 1985]

112. ON JULY 18, 2023, I HAD SERVED A COPY OF MY COVER LETTER, MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL, MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT, EXTENSION LETTER DATED 7/13/23, THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE, DECLARATION OF FILING AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UPON THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE, CHIEF JUDGE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS; U.S ATTORNEY OFFICE IN SYRACUSE; AND NEW YORK STATE ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE. I HAD SUBMITTED THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THIS CASE AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL WITHIN THE 2ND CIRCUIT, VACATE THE ILLEGITIMATE ORDER OF 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O' HAGAN WOLFE, CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW OR FACT, PREVENT THE MANIFEST OF ANY FURTHER

INJUSTICES AND SEEK OTHER RELIEF BECAUSE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WERE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE COURT'S, CLERK'S AND OTHER PARTIES' ACTIONS. I HAD ASKED FOR PANEL RECONSIDERATION AND RECONSIDERATION EN BANC UNDER THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT RULES 35.1, 40.1 AND 40.2, 28 U.S.C.A.; AND FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 35, 28 U.S.C.A. THE 2ND CIRCUIT JUDGES WHO ARE IN REGULAR ACTIVE SERVICE AND WHO ARE NOT DISQUALIFIED HAD REFUSED TO ORDER THAT AN APPEAL OR OTHER PROCEEDING BE HEARD BY THE COURT OF APPEALS EN BANC. AN EN BANC HEARING SHOULD HAVE BEEN ORDERED BECAUSE EN BANC CONSIDERATION IS NECESSARY TO SECURE OR MAINTAIN UNIFORMITY OF THE COURT'S DECISIONS AND THE PROCEEDING INVOLVES QUESTIONS OF EXCEPTIONAL IMPORTANCE EACH OF WHICH WAS CONCISELY STATED FOR THE APPEAL. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ALSO REFUSED TO SUBSTANTIVELY AMEND ITS OPINION OR ORDER. ON JULY 27, 2023, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2ND CIRCUIT CLERK CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE HAD ENTERED A ILLEGITIMATE ORDER WHERE SHE HAD CLAIMED THAT A PANEL HAD CONSIDERED MY REQUESTS AND DETERMINED MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION WHICH WAS ILLEGALLY DENIED. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, I ASSUME THAT 2ND CIRCUIT JUDGES RAYMOND J. LOHIER JUNIOR, MICHAEL H. PARK AND WILLIAM J. NARDINI WAS THE PANEL OF JUDGES WHO HAD ILLEGALLY ORDERED THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL. THE ARBITRARY, CAPRICIOUS AND ILLEGAL DENIAL OF MY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL WAS APPROXIMATELY 7 DAYS BEFORE THE ISSUANCE OF THE MANDATE TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AND OTHER PARTIES ON AUGUST 4, 2023. PLEASE NOTE THAT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT WAS UNDER A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO REINSTATE MY APPEAL FOR THE SPECIAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING REASONS STATED IN THIS CASE. [SEE APPENDIX A, B VOLUME 1, 2 AND 3, C, D, E, F AND G]

F. THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS OF MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE

113. THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION WAS USED TO ESTABLISH THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS OF MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE AND ITS PREAMBLE READS "WE THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES, IN ORDER TO FORM A MORE PERFECT UNION, ESTABLISH JUSTICE, INSURE DOMESTIC TRANQUILITY, PROVIDE FOR THE COMMON DEFENCE, PROMOTE THE GENERAL WELFARE, AND SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY, DO ORDAIN AND ESTABLISH THIS CONSTITUTION FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA". THIS SECTION PROVIDES US WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AND THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PROVIDES IN ITS RELEVANT PARTS

THE FOLLOWING CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS WHICH SUPPORTS MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE: U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2 - "THIS CONSTITUTION, AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES WHICH SHALL BE MADE IN PURSUANCE THEREOF; AND ALL TREATIES MADE, OR WHICH SHALL BE MADE, UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES, SHALL BE THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND; AND THE JUDGES IN EVERY STATE SHALL BE BOUND THEREBY, ANYTHING IN THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF ANY STATE TO THE CONTRARY NOTWITHSTANDING." U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 4 SECTION 3 CLAUSE 2 - "THE CONGRESS SHALL HAVE POWER TO DISPOSE OF AND MAKE ALL NEEDFUL RULES AND REGULATIONS RESPECTING THE TERRITORY OR OTHER PROPERTY BELONGING TO THE UNITED STATES; AND NOTHING IN THIS CONSTITUTION SHALL BE SO CONSTRUED AS TO PREJUDICE ANY CLAIMS OF THE UNITED STATES OR OF ANY PARTICULAR STATE." U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 3 - "THE JUDICIAL POWER OF THE UNITED STATES, SHALL BE VESTED IN ONE SUPREME COURT, AND IN SUCH INFERIOR COURTS AS THE CONGRESS MAY FROM TIME TO TIME ORDAIN AND ESTABLISH. THE JUDGES, BOTH OF THE SUPREME AND INFERIOR COURTS, SHALL HOLD THEIR OFFICES DURING GOOD BEHAVIOUR, AND SHALL, AT STATED TIMES, RECEIVE FOR THEIR SERVICES, A COMPENSATION, WHICH SHALL NOT BE DIMINISHED DURING THEIR CONTINUANCE IN OFFICE." "THE JUDICIAL POWER SHALL EXTEND TO ALL CASES, IN LAW AND EQUITY, ARISING UNDER THIS CONSTITUTION, THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, AND TREATIES MADE, OR WHICH SHALL BE MADE, UNDER THEIR AUTHORITY..."

U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 2 SECTION 4 - "THE PRESIDENT, VICE PRESIDENT AND ALL CIVIL OFFICERS OF THE UNITED STATES, SHALL BE REMOVED FROM OFFICE ON IMPEACHMENT FOR, AND CONVICTION OF, TREASON, BRIBERY, OR OTHER HIGH CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS." U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 - "THE PRIVILEGE OF THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS SHALL NOT BE SUSPENDED, UNLESS WHEN IN CASES OF REBELLION OR INVASION THE PUBLIC SAFETY MAY REQUIRE IT." "NO MONEY SHALL BE DRAWN FROM THE TREASURY, BUT IN CONSEQUENCE OF APPROPRIATIONS MADE BY LAW." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 1 - "CONGRESS SHALL MAKE NO LAW RESPECTING AN ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION, OR PROHIBITING THE FREE EXERCISE THEREOF; OR ABRIDGING THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH, OR OF THE PRESS; OR THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE PEACEABLY TO ASSEMBLE, AND TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 2 - "THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS, SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 4 - "THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSES, PAPERS, AND EFFECTS, AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCHES AND SEIZURES, SHALL NOT BE VIOLATED, AND NO WARRANTS SHALL ISSUE, BUT UPON PROBABLE CAUSE, SUPPORTED BY OATH OR AFFIRMATION, AND PARTICULARLY DESCRIBING THE PLACE TO BE SEARCHED, AND THE PERSONS OR THINGS TO BE SEIZED." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 5 - "NO PERSON SHALL BE HELD TO ANSWER FOR A CAPITAL, OR OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIME, UNLESS ON A PRESENTMENT OR INDICTMENT OF A GRAND JURY, EXCEPT IN CASES ARISING IN THE LAND OR NAVAL FORCES, OR IN THE MILITIA, WHEN IN ACTUAL SERVICE IN TIME OF WAR OR PUBLIC DANGER; NOR SHALL ANY PERSON BE SUBJECT FOR THE SAME OFFENCE TO BE TWICE PUT IN JEOPARDY OF LIFE OR LIMB; NOR SHALL BE COMPELLED IN ANY CRIMINAL CASE TO BE A WITNESS AGAINST HIMSELF; NOR BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR

PROPERTY, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 6 - "IN ALL CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS, THE ACCUSED SHALL ENJOY THE RIGHT TO A SPEEDY AND PUBLIC TRIAL, BY AN IMPARTIAL JURY OF THE STATE AND DISTRICT WHEREIN THE CRIME SHALL HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, WHICH DISTRICT SHALL HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY ASCERTAINED BY LAW, AND TO BE INFORMED OF THE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE ACCUSATION; TO BE CONFRONTED WITH THE WITNESSES AGAINST HIM; TO HAVE COMPULSORY PROCESS FOR OBTAINING WITNESSES IN HIS FAVOR, AND TO HAVE THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL FOR HIS DEFENCE." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 7 - "IN SUITS AT COMMON LAW, WHERE THE VALUE IN CONTROVERSY SHALL EXCEED TWENTY DOLLARS, THE RIGHT OF TRIAL BY JURY SHALL BE PRESERVED..." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 8 - "EXCESSIVE BAIL SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED, NOR EXCESSIVE FINES IMPOSED, NOR CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS INFLICTED." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 10 - "THE POWERS NOT DELEGATED TO THE UNITED STATES BY THE CONSTITUTION, NOR PROHIBITED BY IT TO THE STATES, ARE RESERVED TO THE STATES RESPECTIVELY, OR TO THE PEOPLE." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 13 - "NEITHER SLAVERY NOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, EXCEPT AS A PUNISHMENT FOR CRIME WHEREOF THE PARTY SHALL HAVE BEEN DULY CONVICTED, SHALL EXIST WITHIN THE UNITED STATES, OR ANY PLACE SUBJECT TO THEIR JURISDICTION." U.S. CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT 14 - "ALL PERSONS BORN OR NATURALIZED IN THE UNITED STATES, AND SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION THEREOF, ARE CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES AND OF THE STATE WHEREIN THEY RESIDE. NO STATE SHALL MAKE OR ENFORCE ANY LAW WHICH SHALL ABRIDGE THE PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES OF CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES; NOR SHALL ANY STATE DEPRIVE ANY PERSON OF LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW; NOR DENY TO ANY PERSON WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS." [SEE EXHIBIT #129 AND APPENDIX B VO. 1 PAGE 333-351]

114. THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION WAS ALSO USED TO ESTABLISH THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS OF MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE. THIS SECTION PROVIDES US WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AND THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION PROVIDES IN ITS RELEVANT PARTS THE FOLLOWING CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS WHICH SUPPORTS MY CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE: NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 1 - "NO MEMBER OF THIS STATE SHALL BE DISFRANCHISED, [FNI] OR DEPRIVED OF ANY OF THE RIGHTS OR PRIVILEGES SECURED TO ANY CITIZEN THEREOF, UNLESS BY THE LAW OF THE LAND, OR THE JUDGMENT OF HIS OR HER PEERS..." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 2 - "TRIAL BY JURY IN ALL CASES IN WHICH IT HAS HERETOFORE BEEN GUARANTEED BY CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION SHALL REMAIN INVIOLEATE FOREVER" -- NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 3 - "THE FREE EXERCISE AND ENJOYMENT OF RELIGIOUS PROFESSION AND WORSHIP, WITHOUT DISCRIMINATION OR PREFERENCE, SHALL FOREVER BE ALLOWED IN THIS STATE TO ALL HUMANKIND." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 4 - "THE PRIVILEGE OF A WRIT OR ORDER OF HABEAS CORPUS SHALL NOT BE SUSPENDED, UNLESS, IN CASE OF REBELLION OR INVASION, THE PUBLIC SAFETY REQUIRES IT." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 5 - "EXCESSIVE BAIL SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED NOR EXCESSIVE FINES IMPOSED, NOR SHALL CRUEL AND UNUSUAL

PUNISHMENTS BE INFLICTED" ... NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 6 - "NO PERSON SHALL BE HELD TO ANSWER FOR A CAPITAL OR OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIME (EXCEPT IN CASES OF IMPEACHMENT, AND IN CASES OF MILITIA WHEN IN ACTUAL SERVICE, AND THE LAND, AIR AND NAVAL FORCES IN TIME OF WAR, OR WHICH THIS STATE MAY KEEP WITH THE CONSENT OF CONGRESS IN TIME OF PEACE, AND IN CASES OF PETIT LARCENY UNDER THE REGULATION OF THE LEGISLATURE), UNLESS ON INDICTMENT A GRAND JURY, EXCEPT THAT A PERSON HELD FOR THE ACTION OF A GRAND JURY UPON A CHARGE FOR SUCH AN OFFENSE, OTHER THAN ONE PUNISHABLE BY DEATH OR LIFE IMPRISONMENT, WITH THE CONSENT OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY" ... "NO PERSON SHALL BE SUBJECT TO BE TWICE PUT IN JEOPARDY FOR THE SAME OFFENSE; NOR SHALL HE OR SHE BE COMPELLED IN ANY CRIMINAL CASE TO BE A WITNESS AGAINST HIMSELF OR HERSELF" ... "THE POWER OF GRAND JURIES TO INQUIRE INTO THE WILFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE OF PUBLIC OFFICERS, AND TO FIND INDICTMENTS OR TO DIRECT THE FILING OF INFORMATIONS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH INQUIRIES, SHALL NEVER BE SUSPENDED OR IMPAIRED BY LAW. NO PERSON SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 - "EVERY CITIZEN MAY FREELY SPEAK, WRITE AND PUBLISH HIS OR HER SENTIMENTS ON ALL SUBJECTS, BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ABUSE OF THAT RIGHT" NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 - "NO LAW SHALL BE PASSED ABRIDGING THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE PEACEABLY TO ASSEMBLE AND TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT, OR ANY DEPARTMENT THEREOF" NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 11 - "NO PERSON SHALL BE DENIED THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS OF THIS STATE OR ANY SUBDIVISION THEREOF. NO PERSON SHALL, BECAUSE OF RACE, COLOR, CREED OR RELIGION, BE SUBJECTED TO ANY DISCRIMINATION IN HIS OR HER CIVIL RIGHTS BY ANY OTHER PERSON OR BY ANY FIRM, CORPORATION, OR INSTITUTION, OR BY THE STATE OR ANY AGENCY OR SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 12 - "THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSES, PAPERS AND EFFECTS, AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCHES AND SEIZURES" NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 16 - "THE RIGHT OF ACTION NOW EXISTING TO RECOVER DAMAGES FOR INJURIES RESULTING IN DEATH, SHALL NEVER BE ABROGATED; AND THE AMOUNT RECOVERABLE SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO ANY STATUTORY LIMITATION." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 17 - "LABOR OF HUMAN BEING IS NOT A COMMODITY NOR AN ARTICLE OF COMMERCE AND SHALL NEVER BE SO CONSIDERED OR CONSTRUED." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 2 SECTION 1 - "EVERY CITIZEN SHALL BE ENTITLED TO VOTE AT EVERY ELECTION FOR ALL OFFICERS ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE AND UPON ALL QUESTIONS SUBMITTED TO THE VOTE OF THE PEOPLE PROVIDED THAT SUCH CITIZEN IS EIGHTEEN YEARS OF AGE OR OVER AND SHALL HAVE BEEN A RESIDENT OF THIS STATE, AND OF THE COUNTY, CITY, OR VILLAGE FOR THIRTY DAYS NEXT PRECEDING AN ELECTION." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 2 SECTION 3 - "THE LEGISLATURE SHALL ENACT LAWS EXCLUDING FROM THE RIGHT OF SUFFRAGE ALL PERSONS CONVICTED OF BRIBERY OR OF ANY INFAMOUS CRIME." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 3 SECTION 25 - "NOTWITHSTANDING

ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS CONSTITUTION, THE LEGISLATURE, IN ORDER TO INSURE CONTINUITY OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS IN PERIODS OF EMERGENCY CAUSED BY ENEMY ATTACK OR BY DISASTERS (NATURAL OR OTHERWISE), SHALL HAVE THE POWER AND THE IMMEDIATE DUTY (1) TO PROVIDE FOR PROMPT AND TEMPORARY SUCCESSION TO THE POWERS AND DUTIES OF PUBLIC OFFICES, OF WHATEVER NATURE AND WHETHER FILLED BY ELECTION OR APPOINTMENT, THE INCUMBENTS OF WHICH MAY BECOME UNAVAILABLE FOR CARRYING ON THE POWERS AND DUTIES OF SUCH OFFICES, AND (2) TO ADOPT SUCH OTHER MEASURES AS MAY BE NECESSARY AND PROPER FOR INSURING THE CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 SECTION 3 - "THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE REVIEW OF QUESTIONS OF LAW". "APPEALS TO THE COURT OF APPEALS MAY BE TAKEN IN THE CLASSES OF CASES HEREAFTER ENUMERATED IN THIS SECTION; IN CRIMINAL CASES, DIRECTLY FROM A COURT OF ORIGINAL JURISDICTION WHERE THE JUDGMENT IS OF DEATH, AND IN OTHER CRIMINAL CASES FROM AN APPELLATE DIVISION OR OTHERWISE AS THE LEGISLATURE MAY FROM TIME TO TIME PROVIDE." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 SECTION 5 - "UPON AN APPEAL FROM A JUDGMENT OR AN ORDER, ANY APPELLATE COURT TO WHICH THE APPEAL IS TAKEN WHICH IS AUTHORIZED TO REVIEW SUCH JUDGEMENT OR ORDER MAY REVERSE OR AFFIRM, WHOLLY OR IN PART, OR MAY MODIFY THE JUDGMENT OR ORDER APPEALED FROM, AND EACH INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT OR INTERMEDIATE OR OTHER ORDER WHICH IT IS AUTHORIZED TO REVIEW, AND AS TO ANY OR ALL OF THE PARTIES. IT SHALL THEREUPON RENDER JUDGMENT OF AFFIRMANCE, JUDGMENT OF REVERSAL AND FINAL JUDGMENT UPON THE RIGHT OF ANY OR ALL OF THE PARTIES, OR JUDGMENT OF MODIFICATION THEREON ACCORDING TO LAW, EXCEPT WHERE IT MAY BE NECESSARY OR PROPER TO GRANT A NEW TRIAL OR HEARING, WHEN IT MAY GRANT A NEW TRIAL OR HEARING. IF ANY APPEAL IS TAKEN TO AN APPELLATE COURT WHICH IS NOT AUTHORIZED TO REVIEW SUCH JUDGMENT OR ORDER, THE COURT SHALL TRANSFER THE APPEAL TO AN APPELLATE COURT WHICH IS AUTHORIZED TO REVIEW SUCH JUDGEMENT OR ORDER." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 SECTION 18 - "TRIAL BY JURY IS GUARANTEED AS PROVIDED IN ARTICLE ONE OF THIS CONSTITUTION". "THE LEGISLATURE MAY PROVIDE FOR THE MANNER OF TRIAL OF ACTIONS AND PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE." NYS CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 6 SECTION 20 - "A JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, JUDGE OF THE COURT OF CLAIMS, JUDGE OF A COUNTY COURT, JUDGE OF THE SURROGATE'S COURT, JUDGE OF THE FAMILY COURT OR JUDGE OF A COURT FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION FIFTEEN OF THIS ARTICLE WHO IS ELECTED OR APPOINTED AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ARTICLE MAY NOT: ENGAGE IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW, ACT AS AN ARBITRATOR, REFEREE OR COMPENSATED MEDIATOR IN ANY ACTION OR PROCEEDING OR MATTER OR ENGAGE IN THE CONDUCT OF ANY OTHER PROFESSION OR BUSINESS WHICH INTERFERES WITH THE PERFORM-

ANCE OF HIS OR HER JUDICIAL DUTIES. JUDGES AND JUSTICES OF THE COURTS SPECIFIED IN THIS SUBDIVISION SHALL ALSO BE SUBJECT TO SUCH RULES OF CONDUCT AS MAY BE PROMULGATED BY THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE COURT OF APPEALS." [SEE EXHIBIT #130 AND APPENDIX B VOLUME 1 PAGES 352 - 370]

A CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY OF OUR GREAT PLANS

RELIEF SOUGHT

115. THIS U.S SUPREME COURT IS UNDER A LEGAL AND MORAL OBLIGATION TO ENTER AN ORDER AND/OR JUDGEMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS PETITION AND MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S), AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS BEEN OPPRESSIVE, ABUSIVE, UNBEARABLE, UNYIELDING, INCOMPETENT, UNFAIR, EVIL, DISRUPTIVE, UNCOOPERATIVE, MISSTEPIING, DEGENERATING, CONSTRICTING, DISRESPECTFUL, UNCOMPRMISING, NEGLECTING THEIR DUTIES, DISOBEYING THE LAW, MISHANDLING THIS CASE, ENCRDACHING ON OUR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES OR RIGHTS, CHEATING THROUGH DECEPTION OR FRAUD, REFUSING TO TELL THE TRUTH, RESISTING OUR UNWELCOMING DEMANDS, REFUSING TO PROVIDE ME WITH A PRO BONO ATTORNEY WHO IS WILLING TO PROTECT AND DEFEND OUR HUMAN RIGHTS, REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR THEIR DEFENSE AND THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS, FAILING TO RAISE A LEGITIMATE DEFENSE AND PRESENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE THEIR INNOCENCE OR MINIMIZE THE DAMAGES, REFUSING TO TURNOVER DISCOVERY MATERIALS AND EVIDENCE, REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOR MY WITNESSES TO TURNOVER EVIDENCE AND GIVE THEIR TESTIMONIES IN DEPOSITION OR UPON A CIVIL JURY TRIAL, REFUSING TO FILE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE, REFUSING TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF EXHIBITS AND DOCUMENTS, AND CAUSING LAPSES, SETBACKS, DELAYS, HINDERANCES AND OTHER PROBLEMS. THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS HAS DELIBERATELY SABOTAGED THIS ENTIRE CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT CASE BECAUSE THEY DO NOT WANT TO ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WISHES OF OUR PEOPLE, PROVIDE FOR US TO RECEIVE OUR JUST DUE, RELEASE ME FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND BE HELDED ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR WRONGFUL ACTIONS IN OUR LIFE SITUATION. THEY HAVE REFUSED TO RESTORE ME AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE TO GOOD HEALTH, PROVIDE FOR OUR BASIC HUMAN NEEDS, RESPECT OUR HUMAN RIGHTS AND CHANGE OUR HELLISH CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. THE TESTIMONIAL, DOCUMENTARY, PHYSICAL, ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS AND OTHER EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE SERVES AS PROOF OF OUR EXISTING REAL LIFE CRISIS AND URGENT NEED FOR CRISIS INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES FROM ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO RIGHT THE ECONOMY AND CHANGE THE WORLD. ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT

IS REQUIRED TO WORK WITH OUR PEOPLE TO PROMOTE COMMUNITY WELFARE, GOODWILL AND OUR RIGHTED US CAUSE. I HAVE SET OUT TO LEAD OUR PEOPLE INTO A NEW DIRECTION FOR A BETTER WAY OF LIFE WHERE WE WILL AIM TO WORK FOR PEACE, PROSPERITY AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR COMMUNITIES OR TERRITORIES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. I SEE OUR PEOPLE AS GREAT ASSETS FOR ALL COUNTRIES WHO ARE STRIVING FOR SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT, ADVANCEMENT AND REFORM. WITH THAT IN MIND, I AM SUPPLYING THE GREAT PLANS OR IDEAS FOR OUR PEOPLE TO HAVE A POWERFUL IMPACT ON THE WORLD FOR THE GREATEST REVOLUTION OF ALL TIMES. I HAVE DEMANDED UNLIMITED RESOURCES SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO FINISH EVINCING THE DESIGN TO DECLARE OUR INDEPENDENCE, PROCLAIM OUR TERRITORIES AND RESCUE OUR PEOPLE FROM ABSOLUTE DESPOTISM IN ORDER TO FORM A MORE PERFECT UNION, ESTABLISH JUSTICE, INSURE DOMESTIC TRANQUILITY, PROVIDE FOR THE COMMON DEFENSE, PROMOTE THE GENERAL WELFARE AND SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY. I AM SEEKING TO TAP INTO OUR COUNTRY'S RESOURCES ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO ESTABLISH THE COMPONENTS OF OUR INTERNATIONAL GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS THAT IS CONCERN WITH THE SUPPLY OF TECHNICAL AND OTHER SERVICES, MONEY, PROPERTY, FACILITIES, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, GOODS, STAFF AND OTHER ASSETS THAT WILL BE DRAWN ON BY OUR PEOPLE DURING OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS WHILE WE WORK FOR PEACE, PROSPERITY AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR COMMUNITIES OR TERRITORIES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD. THE TECHNICAL SERVICES SHALL REVOLVE AROUND COMMUNICATIONS, LABORATORIES, IDENTIFICATION, RECORD KEEPING AND OTHER SPECIALIZED BRANCHES OF OUR AGENCIES. WE WILL NEED ADVANCED UP TO DATE HIGH TECH TECHNOLOGICAL SYSTEMS, NETWORKS AND PROGRAMS WITH SURVEILLANCE, ESPIONAGE, COUNTERESPIONAGE AND OTHER APPLICATIONS FOR OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS. WE WILL BE OPERATING FROM STATE OF THE ART HIGH TECH FACILITIES, OFFICE BUILDINGS AND OTHER ESTABLISHMENTS WHILE CONDUCTING OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE INVESTIGATIONS AND EXAMINATIONS OF MISMANAGEMENT, CORRUPTION OR MISCONDUCT. WE WILL HAVE CONTROL BASES WITH SOME OF THE WORLD'S FASTEST SUPERCOMPUTERS THAT WILL HAVE SPECIALLY DESIGNED SOFTWARE AND NEURAL NETWORKS MODELED ON THE HUMAN BRAIN AND NERVOUS SYSTEM TO REGULATE OR CONTROL THE FUNCTIONALITY OF OUR ORGANS, TISSUES AND NERVOUS SYSTEM TO CAUSE US TO BE HEALTHY AND RESTORED TO OUR MAXIMUM CAPACITY, SAFEGUARDED, MONITERED AND WELL GOVERNED AT ALL TIMES. WE WILL MAKE IT OUR JOB TO SET OUR PEOPLE FREE FROM IMPRISONMENT, MODERN DAY SLAVERY, OPPRESSION AND ENEMY OCCUPATION. WE WILL BE UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO LIVE A HEALTHY AND LUXURIOUS LIFESTYLE WITHOUT COMPROMISING OUR MORALS AND PRINCIPLES WHILE RESPECTING AND ABIDING BY OUR OATH AND CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS FOR THE GLORY OF A TRU LEGACY AND THE HONOR OF OUR PEOPLE. ALL FORMS OF

GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN ENTRUSTED WITH PUBLIC FUNDS, PROPERTY AND OTHER ASSETS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO MEET THE DEBTS, COMMITMENTS AND LEGACIES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION. WE WOULD LIKE TO HELP OURSELVES AND THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN WRONGED BY THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS OR SOMEONE ELSE SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO MAKE THINGS RIGHT IN OUR RECOVERY AND RECONCILIATION PROCESS THAT WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY. WE ARE SEEKING TO REMEDY OR RECTIFY ALL WRONGS OR GRIEVANCES IN OUR LIFE SITUATION WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF OUR PROFESSIONALS. WE WILL COME TOGETHER TO PROVIDE THE WORLD WITH A UNIVERSAL REMEDY FOR PEACE, PROSPERITY AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WORLD. WE WILL MAINTAIN OUR INTERNATIONAL INDEPENDENT FEDERAL ORGANIZATION THAT WILL CONSTITUTE A SEVERE AND EXACTING MONITORING SYSTEM WHERE WE WILL REGULARLY REVIEW CASES, SUPERVISE AND WORK WITH ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT TO ADVOCATE AND BRING ABOUT MORAL, POLITICAL, RELIGIOUS, EDUCATION, SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC REFORM. SUCH ORGANIZATION WILL GREATLY IMPROVE OUR CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEMS AND CREATE A PERFECT UNIVERSAL SYSTEM AND BRING ABOUT A MORE PRECISE METHOD OF PROTECTING AND SERVING THE PEOPLE WHILE SEEKING PEACE, JUSTICE, EQUALITY AND LIBERTY FOR ALL. WE WILL MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT, NEGOTIATE AGREEMENTS AND TREATIES, AND SUPPORT TRADE. WE WILL CONDUCT OUR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS AS UNIFIED COMMANDS TO ENSURE PEACE, CONTROL AND TREATY COMPLIANCE. WE WILL SUPERVISE ACQUISITION, TECHNOLOGY, LOGISTICS, INTELLIGENCE, PERSONNEL, READINESS AND POLICY. WE WILL ESTABLISH A UNIFIED CORE FOR OUR INTERNATIONAL NETWORK OF ESTABLISHMENTS, ORGANIZATIONS, BUSINESSES AND OTHER AGENCIES INVOLVED IN EFFORTS TO SECURE OUR BORDERS, INFRASTRUCTURE, PROPERTY, MAJOR EVENTS, AND PEOPLE. WE WILL SET UP OUR PUBLIC, CIVIL AND ECCLESIASTICAL OFFICES WHERE WE WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS. WE WILL BE AMONGST THE HIGHEST CLASS IN SOCIETY HOLDING OUR OFFICES AS PRIVILEGED INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE WEALTHY, INFLUENTIAL AND POWERFUL. WE WILL SET UP OUR PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS CALLED "A TRU CORPORATION" AND "BLACK DIAMOND" WHERE BOTH ORGANIZATIONS WILL HAVE THEIR OWN OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE WITH THE PLAINTIFF-PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AS THE SOLE PROPRIETOR OF OUR PROPERTY, ORGANIZATIONS, COMPANIES, BUSINESSES AND OTHER AGENCIES WITH ALL PRIVILEGES, ADVANTAGES AND RIGHTS RESERVED BY OUR PEOPLE. I HAVE ALREADY COME UP WITH OVER 50,000 ORGANIZATIONS, COMPANIES, BUSINESSES AND OTHER AGENCIES THAT WILL BE UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF A TRU CORPORATION. I WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO WORK WITH MY LOVED ONES AT SOME POINT SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO COME UP WITH A LOT MORE ORGANIZATIONS, COMPANIES, BUSINESSES AND OTHER AGENCIES THAT WILL BE PLACED UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF A TRU CORPORATION AND BLACK DIAMOND.

MY LOVED ONES WILL OWN, DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN THEIR PRIVATE PROPERTY THAT WILL BE SELECTED OR DEVELOPED THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER COUNTRIES. THEY WILL BE COMPENSATED FOR THEIR GREAT SERVICES, EFFORTS, ACHIEVEMENTS, AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED AS A RESULT OF THE WRONGFUL ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE. WE WILL DEAL WITH THE DISPOSITION OF MONEY, PROPERTY, MATERIALS, MINERALS, GOODS AND OTHER ASSETS BY ALLOTMENTS, ORDERS, DEEDS AND CONTRACTS. WE WILL WORK WITH ALL FORMS OF GOVERNMENT TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN OUR TREATY ORGANIZATION CALLED "A TRU WORLD TREATY ORGANIZATION" AND OUR TREATY CALLED "A TRU WORLD TREATY" WHICH WILL BE A INTERNATIONAL TREATY OF PEACE, FRIENDSHIP, ALLIANCE, AND MUTUAL ASSISTANCE. WE WILL SEEK A RATIFIED AGREEMENT BETWEEN ALL COUNTRIES TO JOIN FORCES TO WORK FOR PEACE, PROSPERITY AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WORLD. IT WILL BE OUR MISSION TO PUT AN END TO TYRANNY, CORRUPTION, CYBER TERRORISM, HUMAN TESTING, INVASIONS, WARS, VIOLENT CONFLICTS, BIOLOGICAL WARFARE, DISASTERS, DISEASES, FAMINES, POVERTY, UNEMPLOYMENT, UNJUST COMPENSATION, MIGRATION PROBLEMS, HUMAN TRAFFICKING, DRUG TRAFFICKING, DRUG AND ALCOHOL ABUSE, MASS INCARCERATION, SUICIDE, HUMAN DYSFUNCTIONALITY, AND THE POSSESSION, DEVELOPMENT, TESTING AND USAGE OF NUCLEAR AND OTHER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION, ETC. [SEE APPENDIX H]

116. WE WILL BE FILING THE NECESSARY LEGAL PAPERS TO COMMENCE OUR SECOND CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT CASE AT SOME POINT AFTER I'M RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT. WE WILL HAVE OUR FEDERAL EMPLOYEES WORKING WITH US TO PERFECT OUR CIVIL COMPLAINT, PROPOSAL AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS WITHIN OUR FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION BUILDING CALLED "WAYNE P. VANCE, FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION BUILDING". WE WILL PRESENT THOSE LEGAL PAPERS AND REVEAL THE REST OF OUR GREAT PLANS OR IDEAS TO THE COURT(S) BELOW AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. THE SECOND LAWSUIT CASE WILL BE CONNECTED TO THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE. WE WILL HAVE DEPARTMENTS OR DIVISIONS WITHIN OUR ESTABLISHMENTS, BUSINESSES AND OTHER AGENCIES INVOLVED IN THE ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE OR ITEMS RELATING TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN OUR CIVIL CASES. WE WILL CONDUCT THOROUGH INVESTIGATIONS OF AGENCIES, PERSONS, PROPERTY AND OTHER POSSESSIONS TO ESTABLISH GUILT, ADMINISTER PUNISHMENT, SEIZE PROPERTY, COLLECT RESTITUTION, COMPENSATE AND DETERMINE IF SOMEONE OR SOME AGENCY HAS CONCEALED POSSESSIONS, ITEMS OR EVIDENCE RELATING TO OUR CIVIL CASES. THE DEFENDANT-RESPONDENTS WILL NOT BE ENTITLED TO THE APPLICATION OF IMMUNITY BECAUSE 42 U.S.C § 1983 WAS DESIGNED TO ALLOW SUITS AGAINST ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, BUSINESSES, GOVERNMENT

OFFICIALS, CIVIL OFFICERS OR OTHER PEOPLE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY WRONGS. I WOULD LIKE FOR THIS U.S SUPREME COURT CHIEF JUDGE, 2ND CIRCUIT JUSTICE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS TO INTERVENE BETWEEN PARTIES TO HELP RESOLVE THIS CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT CASE, PRODUCE AGREEMENTS IN A RECONCILIATION PROCESS AND ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL LAWS. AS OFFICERS OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM, THIS COURT CHIEF JUSTICE, 2ND CIRCUIT JUSTICE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS HAS A DUTY TO UPHOLD THE LEGAL PROCESS, TO DEMONSTRATE RESPECT FOR THE LEGAL SYSTEM, TO SEEK IMPROVEMENT OF THE LAW AND TO PROMOTE ACCESS TO THE LEGAL SYSTEM AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. IN ADDITION, WE SHOULD FURTHER THE PUBLIC'S UNDERSTANDING OF AND CONFIDENCE IN THE RULE OF LAW AND THE JUSTICE SYSTEM BECAUSE, IN A CONSTITUTIONAL DEMOCRACY, LEGAL INSTITUTIONS DEPEND ON POPULAR PARTICIPATION AND SUPPORT TO MAINTAIN THEIR AUTHORITY. WE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBSERVANCE OF THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL, JUDICIAL OR OTHER CONDUCT AND ALSO SHOULD AID IN SECURING THEIR OBSERVANCE BY OTHER PEOPLE. NEGLIGENCE OF THESE RESPONSIBILITIES COMPROMISES THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE PROFESSION AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST THAT IT SERVES. COMPLIANCE WITH THE RULES DEPENDS PRIMARILY UPON OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE RULES AND DESIRE TO COMPLY WITH THE PROFESSIONAL NORMS THEY EMBODY FOR THE BENEFIT OF PEOPLE AND THE LEGAL SYSTEM, AND, SECONDARILY, UPON REINFORCEMENT BY CIVIL OFFICERS, PUBLIC SERVANTS AND PUBLIC OPINION. SO LONG AS ITS PRACTITIONERS ARE GUIDED BY THESE PRINCIPLES, THE LAW WILL CONTINUE TO BE A NOBLE PROFESSION.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT ALLOW OR PERMIT ME TO SUBMIT THIS EXTRAORDINARY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND MY APPENDICES, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD SET BY APPLICABLE STATUTES OR THIS COURT RULES, ORDER OR FILING EXTENSION LETTER IF ONE OR THE OTHER WAS ISSUED.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT GRANT A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND REVIEW THIS CASE FOR THE SPECIAL, IMPORTANT AND COMPELLING REASONS STATED IN THIS APPLICATION IN COMPLIANCE WITH THIS U.S SUPREME COURT RULE 10, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT CHIEF JUSTICE, 2ND CIRCUIT JUSTICE AND OTHER SUPERVISORS PLEASE CONDUCT A LIMITED INQUIRY ; EXPEDITE THE APPEAL PROCESS BASED OFF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE ; TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION AND HANDLE THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES IN A PROMPT, EFFICIENT AND FAIR MANNER ; PROVIDE FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE AND ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS ; CORRECT CLEAR ERRORS OF LAW OR FACT AND HELP PREVENT THE MANIFEST

OF ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES ; PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE RELEASE FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT ; TAKE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO FACILITATE THE ABILITY OF A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT TO HAVE THIS MATTER FAIRLY HEARD ; MAKE SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS ; PROVIDE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF LEGITIMATE PROCEDURAL AND OTHER ORDERS ; PROVIDE FOR MEDIATION AND ALLOW ME AND OTHERS TO ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCES AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY ; COMMUNICATE ORALLY OR IN WRITING WITH ME , THE COURTS BELOW, DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS, AND OTHERS WHO MAY HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE MATTER, AND OBTAIN AND REVIEW TRANSCRIPTS, DOCUMENTS, ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS AND OTHER RELEVANT EVIDENCE SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO CARRY OUT THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS TO NARROW THE ISSUES AND DISCUSS MATTERS THAT WILL EXPEDITE THE DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL FOR THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER AND/OR JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS PETITION AND MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S) AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE ; AND CARRY OUT THE OTHER PERSONAL AND EXTRAJUDICIAL ACTIVITIES THAT IS NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE .

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AN ORDER AND/OR JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT OR NECESSITY AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS FOR THE RELIEF DEMANDED IN THIS PETITION AND MY SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT, BRIEF(S) AND MOTION PAPERS FOR A SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO ADDRESS ALL ISSUES IN THIS CASE .

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER PRELIMINARY, PERMANENT, MANDATORY, AFFIRMATIVE, COMMON, INTERLOCUTORY, PREVENTIVE, PROHIBITORY, RESTRAINING, PROVISIONAL, REPARATIVE, RESTORATIVE, SPECIAL, EXTRAORDINARY AND OTHER INJUNCTIONS ORDERS BY EXERCISING INDEPENDENT PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT AND RENDERING CANDID ADVICE BY REFERRING TO LAW AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS SUCH AS MORAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT TO OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE. THE INJUNCTIONS AND RESTRAINING ORDERS IS REQUIRED BY LAW TO STATE THE REASON WHY THEY WERE ISSUED, STATE THEIR TERMS SPECIFICALLY AND DESCRIBE IN REASONABLE DETAILS THE ACT OR ACTS RESTRAINED OR REQUIRED PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 65, 28 U.S.C.A AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS. THIS COURT IS ALSO UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE FOR ALL PARTIES TO RECEIVE ACTUAL NOTICE OF THE INJUNCTIONS AND RESTRAINING ORDERS BY PERSONAL

PERSONAL SERVICE OR OTHER MEANS SO THAT THE ORGANIZATIONS, AGENCIES, BUSINESSES, GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS, JUDGES, CLERKS, COURT REPORTERS, OFFICERS, PASTORS AND CLERGY MEMBERS, PUBLIC SERVANTS, AND OTHER PERSONS WILL BE SERVED. [SEE THE UNITED STATES CODE, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND OTHER LAWS]

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE PROVIDE FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS BECAUSE I'M SEEKING ORAL ARGUMENT WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY WHO SHALL BE PREPARED TO DISCUSS THE LEGAL, FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES OF THIS CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER INJUNCTIONS ORDERS TO DETER OR PREVENT THE DEFENDANTS - RESPONDENTS FROM OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, INTIMIDATING WITNESSES AND OTHER PEOPLE, AND CAUSING CIVIL OFFICERS TO NEGLECT THEIR DUTIES, DISOBEY THE LAW AND PARTICIPATE IN THE DANGEROUS, VICIOUS, IMMORAL AND OPPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES UNDERLYING THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THIS CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS ORDER DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION TO CLEAR MY DEBTS FOR COURT SURCHARGES AND FEES OWED FOR APPROVED ADVANCES FOR LEGAL PHOTOCOPIES AND MAILOUTS; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO FOOT THE BILL AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO GO TO COMMISSARY WITH A \$75 DOLLAR COMMISSARY BUY LIMIT EACH AND EVERY OTHER MONDAY OR TUESDAY SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO PURCHASE PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS, FOOD AND BEVERAGES, STAMPS, SUPPLIES AND OTHER ITEMS THAT IS NEEDED TO TAKE CARE OF MYSELF AND TAKE CARE OF THINGS IN THE MEANTIME; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO FOOT THE BILL AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO PURCHASE AND RECEIVE PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS, FOOD AND BEVERAGES, SUPPLIES AND OTHER ITEMS IN PACKAGES FROM APPROVED VENDORS THROUGH THE PACKAGE ROOM AT MY HOUSING FACILITY SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO TAKE CARE OF MYSELF IN THE MEANTIME; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO FOOT THE BILL AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO COMMUNICATE WITH MY LOVED ONES OVER A FACILITY TELEPHONE AND THROUGH A NEW JPAY TABLET ON A DAILY BASIS SO THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO HANDLE MY LEGAL, BUSINESS AND PERSONAL DUTIES IN THE MEANTIME; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO FOOT THE BILL AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO GET HAIRCUTS, BEARD TRIMMING AND LINE UPS FROM PROFESSIONAL, CERTIFIED AND EXPERIENCED BARBERS AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK IN THE MEANTIME; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO TAKE SHOWERS ON THE COMPANY

OR IN A SECLUDED AREA ON A EVERY DAY BASIS IN THE MEANTIME ; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO PROVIDE ME WITH NEW STATE SHOP CLOTHING BECAUSE A UNKNOWN STATE SHOP LADY AND LAUNDRY FEMALE WORKER AT ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HAS BEEN GIVING ME OLD, USED, RIPPED AND DAMAGED STATE SHOP CLOTHING UPON RECEIPT OF MY STATE SHOP FORMS AND LETTERS ON DIFFERENT OCCASIONS WHILE HELPING THE OTHER DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS PLACE ME IN UNFAVORABLE CIRCUMSTANCES OR CONDITIONS TO CAUSE PROBLEMS, MAKE ME FEEL HELPLESS AND TRY TO REDUCE MY CHANCES OF SUCCESS OR EFFECTIVENESS IN ANY LEGAL ACTIONS ; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO PROVIDE ME WITH A BRAND NEW 13' INCH TELEVISION AND A SANGEAN WR-ZCL CLEAR DIGITAL TABLE RADIO WITH PERMITS MADE OUT IN MY NAME ; DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO PROVIDE FOR ME TO BE HOUSED IN THE HONOR BLOCK AT WENDE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WHILE I'M WAITING TO BE RELEASED FROM THIS UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND WORKING WITH ALL PARTIES TO CARRY OUT THE PERSONAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES THAT IS NECESSARY FOR THE APPEAL AND REQUIRED IN OUR LIFE SITUATION, SYSTEM AND CASE ; AND DIRECTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCCS TO PROVIDE ME WITH MAGAZINES, NEWSPAPERS AND OTHER PERIODICALS ON A REGULAR BASIS .

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS ORDER DIRECTING THE DEFENDANTS- RESPONDENTS TO FOOT THE BILL AND PROVIDE FOR ME TO RECEIVE VISITS FROM FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE WITHOUT ANY MORE INTERFERENCE OR OTHER PROBLEMS.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS ORDER DIRECTING THE U.S GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES TO REFRAIN FROM ABUSING ME AND MY LOVED ONES, REFRAIN FROM COMMUNICATING WITH US THROUGH THE AIRWAYS AND CEASE FROM THEIR BAD HABITS AND EVIL PLANS.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS ORDER DIRECTING THE U.S GOVERNMENT'S CYBER PUNK EMPLOYEES TO RESTORE THE FUNCTIONS, FORM, SOUND AND APPEARANCE OF THE PLAINTIFF- PETITIONER, ME, WAYNE P. VANCE SENIOR AND MY FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND OTHER PEOPLE BY MEANS OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO HANDLE OUR LEGAL, BUSINESS AND PERSONAL AFFAIRS IN A HIGHLY FUNCTIONAL, EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE MANNER .

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE PROVIDE FOR ME TO SUBMIT MY ADMISSIBLE EXHIBIT #79 - MY OPAY TABLET TO THIS COURT AS EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT I DID SUBMIT A PHOTOCOPY OF MY EXHIBIT #79 EVIDENCE LABEL, SUMMARIES AND INSTRUCTIONS CARD TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT AS EXHIBIT #79 BECAUSE ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO MAILOUT MY OPAY TABLET TO A COURT WITHOUT RECEIVING AUTHORIZATION FROM OPAY WHO HAVE NOT RESPONDED TO MY LETTER(S) AS EXPLAINED IN MY LEGAL PAPERS.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ALLOW OR PERMIT ME TO FILE MY EXHIBIT #89 - MY 15 MAGAZINES FROM THE GENERAL LIBRARY, EXHIBIT #100 - MY COVID-19 FACE MASKS, EXHIBIT #119 - MY 25 MAGAZINES, AND EXHIBIT #125 - (4) LETTERS, (3) GREETING CARDS, (4) PHOTOS AND A ELMIRA GUIDANCE UNIT MEMORANDUM WHICH WAS SUBMIT TO THIS COURT AS EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. I WAS FORCED TO SUBMIT THE EXHIBITS TO THIS COURT ON MY OWN BECAUSE THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ILLEGALLY RETURNED THE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE TO ME WITHOUT PROVIDING ME WITH ANY EXCUSE FOR SUCH ACTION. PLEASE BE MINDFUL THAT I DID SUBMIT PHOTOCOPIES OF EVIDENCE RELATING TO THE ILLEGAL RETURN OF MY LEGAL ENVELOPES #4 AND #5 CONTAINING MY EXHIBITS AND LEGAL PAPERS. [SEE EXHIBITS #146 AND #147; AND APPENDIX A PAGE 567 - 626]

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ALLOW OR PERMIT ME TO FILE MY EXHIBIT #128 - MY SKIN CREME MEDICATION BOTTLES WHICH WAS SUBMITTED TO THIS COURT AS EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. I WAS FORCED TO SUBMIT THE EXHIBIT TO THIS COURT ON MY OWN BECAUSE THE 2ND CIRCUIT HAD ILLEGALLY RETURNED THE ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE WHILE CLAIMING THAT THE COURT IS NOT ALLOWED TO ACCEPT IT AND ILLEGALLY RETURNING EVERYTHING INSIDE OF MY LEGAL ENVELOPE #4. [SEE EXHIBIT #146 AND APPENDIX A PAGE 567 - 575]

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT AND OTHER PARTIES PLEASE PRODUCE AND RESEARCH THE FOLLOWING FEDERAL POLICY FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS BECAUSE I WAS NOT ABLE TO PRODUCE THE MATERIALS YET DUE TO LAW LIBRARY ISSUES AND OTHER PROBLEMS AS DESCRIBED IN THIS CASE: 6 CFR PART 46; 7 CFR PART 10; 10 CFR PART 745; 14 CFR PART 1230; 15 CFR PART 27; 16 CFR PART 1028; 21 CFR PARTS 50, 56, 312 AND 812; 21 CFR PART 1316; 22 CFR PART 225; 24 CFR PART 60; 28 CFR PART 46; 29 CFR PART 21; 32 CFR PART 219; 34 CFR PART 97; 38 CFR PART 16; 40 CFR PART 26; 45 CFR PART 46; 45 CFR PART 690; 49 CFR PART 11; AND 20 CFR PART 431

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ALLOW OR PERMIT ME TO FILE THIS EXTRAORDINARY PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI WHICH WAS NOT PREPARED ON A COMPUTER OR TYPEWRITER AND HAS UNDERSTANDABLY, JUSTIFIABLY OR EXCUSABLY EXCEEDED THE APPLICABLE 40 PAGE LIMIT OF WRITING TEXT DUE TO THE EXCEPTIONAL FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF OUR LIFE SITUATION AND THE SEVERITY AND MAGNITUDE OF THIS HISTORIC HIGH PROFILE CIVIL RIGHTS CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE PROVIDE FOR INCARCERATED INDIGENT LITIGANTS TO RECEIVE THEIR CIVIL APPEAL TRANSCRIPTS FREE OF CHARGE AND IN A PROMPT MANNER WHILE PROCEEDING ON APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS TO ENSURE A FAIR LEGAL PROCESS AND PREVENT ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES IN CASES WITH SIMILAR ISSUES AS THIS ONE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE ENTER AN ORDER DIRECTING THE U.S COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT, U.S DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE NORTHERN AND WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS, NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 3RD DEPARTMENT, NEW YORK STATE APPELLATE DIVISION 4TH DEPARTMENT, NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY SUPREME COURT, NEW YORK STATE ALBANY COUNTY SUPREME COURT, NEW YORK STATE ERIE COUNTY BUFFALO CITY COURT, NEW YORK STATE TONAWANDA TOWN COURT, AND NEW YORK STATE CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN COURT TO TRANSFER OR TRANSMIT MY CASE RECORDS TO THIS COURT SO THAT YOU GUYS WILL BE ABLE TO REVIEW MY CASES AND EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT OR NEGLECT IN ALL PUBLIC OFFICES INVOLVED IN THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE.

WHEREFORE, I RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT THIS COURT PLEASE DISPENSE WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF A JOINT APPENDIX AND ALLOW THIS CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE TO BE HEARD ON THE ORIGINAL RECORDS OF THE COURTS BELOW BECAUSE I'M A UNREPRESENTED OPPRESSED INDIGENT LITIGANT WHO CANNOT AFFORD THE FEES AND COSTS OF PRODUCING ANY JOINT APPENDIX, WHO DOES NOT HAVE THE MEANS OF OBTAINING ANY OTHER RECORDS WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF THE COURT AND OTHER PARTIES, AND WHO DOES NOT HAVE THE MEANS TO MAKE THE JOINT APPENDIX PHOTOCOPIES, PRINTOUT AND DISTRIBUTIONS, AND WHO SHOULD NOT BE HANDLING A CASE OF GREAT ELEMENTS AND MAGNITUDE WITHOUT A LEGAL TEAM, THE ASSISTANCE OF A LAW FIRM OR LEGAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION.

117. FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THIS COURT SHALL GRANT THE REQUESTED RELIEF IN ITS ENTIRETY, AND SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELIEF AS THIS COURT DEEMS JUST AND PROPER.

I DECLARE THAT THE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

W. P. V.
WAYNE P. VANCE 1283682
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
P.O. BOX 149
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011-0149

TO: THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
1 FIRST STREET, N.E.
WASHINGTON DC 20543

THE SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
ROOM 5616
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20530-0001

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
DIVISION OF APPEALS AND OPINIONS
THE CAPITOL
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12224

SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON THE 8th DAY
OF October 2023

[Signature]
NOTARY PUBLIC

Michael A Barham
Notary Public, State of New York
Reg. No. 01BA6392051
Qualified in Erie County
Commission Expires 06/03/2027

COUNSEL OF RECORD AND ATTORNEY INFORMATION

WAYNE PHILLIP VANCE SENIOR, PRO SE
ATTICA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
P.O. BOX 149
639 EXCHANGE STREET
ATTICA, NEW YORK 14011

THE U.S. SOLICITOR GENERAL OFFICE
THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
ROOM 5616
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC, 20530-0001

NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES
NYS DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL JEFFREY LANG
NYS ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL FREDERICK A. BRODIE
COUNSEL OF RECORD - FREDERICK A. BRODIE
NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE
DIVISION OF APPEALS AND OPINIONS
THE CAPITOL
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12224
TEL: (518) 776-2317
FAX: (518) 915-7723
EMAIL: FREDERICK.BRODIE@ag.ny.gov