

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AUG 8 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ROBERT G. PULLEY,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

DANIEL PARAMO, Warden; KAMALA D.
HARRIS,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 22-55388

D.C. No. 3:14-cv-02034-JLS-MDD
Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

Before: TALLMAN and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

This appeal is from the denial of appellant's Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) motion. The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry No. 4) is denied because appellant has not shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012); *Gonzalez v. Crosby*, 545 U.S. 524, 530-31 (2005); *United States v. Winkles*, 795 F.3d 1134, 1143 (9th Cir. 2015); *Ortiz v. Stewart*, 195 F.3d 520, 520-21 (9th Cir. 1999); *Lynch v. Blodgett*, 999 F.2d 401, 403 (9th Cir. 1993) (order).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SEP 14 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ROBERT G. PULLEY,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

DANIEL PARAMO, Warden; KAMALA D.
HARRIS,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 22-55388

D.C. No. 3:14-cv-02034-JLS-MDD
Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

Before: GRABER and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's motion for reconsideration (Docket Entry No. 7) is denied. *See*
9th Cir. R. 27-10.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.