

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

**APPENDIX  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

*On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari  
to the Washington State Supreme Court*

**CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN**

*v.*

**STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,**

CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN  
CANDIDCAROLYN®  
(ref: Dkt. #54 formally & AKA)  
PO Box 38097, Phoenix, AZ 85069  
candidCarolyn@gmail.com  
(253) 588-8100  
Petitioner self-represented

**APPENDIX A: WA STATE SUPREME COURT**

03/27/2023 determination for reconsideration

**APPENDIX B: Washington State Supreme Court:**

03/08/2023 determination for review

**APPENDIX B-1: Washington State Supreme Court:**

03/08/2023 determined public funds

02/06/2023 appellants answer to supreme court clerk's questions

01/11/2023 questions from court clerk to be answered by appellant

12/15/2022 error by supreme court claiming court of appeals waived  
filing fee when in fact appellant paid fee of \$290

*Providence:* direct causation to this case and a related case

**APPENDIX C: WA STATE SUPREME COURT**01/20/2023 clerk instruction for deadline filings**APPENDIX D: Washington State Supreme Court:**01/17/2023 letter from the court clerk01/19/2023 appellant motion for permission to accept friends of the court in support of petition for review: Dkt #269-1 pp. 1-66**APPENDIX D-1: appendix of friends of the court that include clerk's designation papers: Dkt #269-1 pp. 67-122****APPENDIX E: Washington State Supreme Court:**01/17/2023 objection by appellant to clerks' motion to strike reply to answer**APPENDIX F: Washington State Supreme Court:**12/29/2022 clerk's motion to strike reply to answer**APPENDIX G: Washington State Supreme Court:**12/28/2022 reply to answer**APPENDIX H: COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION-II**04/12/2023 mandate**APPENDIX I: Court of Appeals Division-II:**10/13/2022 determination motion for reconsideration**APPENDIX J: Court of Appeals Division-II:**09/13/2022 unpublished opinion**APPENDIX K: Court of Appeals Division-II:**03/23/2022 determination for *supplementing* the record03/03/2022 appellant's motion to *supplement* the record03/24/2022 appellant's motion to *modify* the ruling**APPENDIX L: Court of Appeals Division-II:**03/21/2022 determination for consolidation the record03/03/2022 motion to consolidate the record

(partial record due to file size/cost, summons perfected)

03/24/2022 motion to *modify* the ruling**APPENDIX M: Court of Appeals Division-II:**01/26/2022 determination for ADA, and court showing bias01/12/2022 motion for American Disabilities Act (ADA)

(only partial record filed, due to file size/cost)

**APPENDIX N: Court of Appeals Division-II:**01/11/2022 determination for *supplement* clerk's papers01/12/2022 motion to modify the ruling to *supplement* clerk's papers

APPENDIX O: CONFLICTING FEDERAL RULINGS WAWD:

11/12/2020 conflicting ruling 3:20-cv-06112-BHS, defendant removal  
05/05/2020 in further support of petitioner removal  
04/14/2022 conflicting ruling 3:22-cv-05258-JCC  
05/18/2022 petitioner objects to magistrate judge  
05/18/2022 mag judge TLF assigned herself to 3:22-cv-05258-JCC  
06/14/2022 petitioner paid filing fee of \$402.00  
06/08/2022 magistrate judge report and recommendation

APPENDIX P: NOTICE OF RELATED CASES:

12/08/2022: Dkt #262 *list*  
05/03/2023: Dkt #276  
03/31/2023: Dkt #273  
02/07/2023: Dkt #269  
01/23/2023: Dkt #267  
08/26/2022: Dkt #226

APPENDIX Q: JOINDER DOE-1 Providence WAWD: 2:21-cv-01276-RAJ:

03/16/2022 motion to Joinder: Dkt #48  
03/28/2022 affidavit proof of perfected service: Dkt # #146, 146-1  
03/28/2022 in further support of joinder: Dkt #144  
03/17/2022 certificate of perfected service: Dkt #143-1  
03/31/2022 electronic agreement of 12/31/2020: Dkt #152-2  
*See Dkt # # 126, 126-1, Dkt #258 series*

APPENDIX R: REOPEN “case splitting” case WAWD: 3:20-cv-06112-BHS:

03/16/2022 motion to reopen Dkt #49  
04/01/2022 affidavits of perfected service: Dkt #158 (*see appx S & T*)  
09/09 & 09/13 2021 court determination for voluntary dismissal due to  
 the state’s case splitting accusation: Dkt # # 119, 118

APPENDIX S: AFFIDAVITS of Perfected Service Dept of VeteransAPPENDIX T: AFFIDAVITS of Perfected Service Western StateAPPENDIX U: FORCED DRUGGING a “Competent” individual:

20-2-07852-8 aka 3:20-cv-06112-BHS aka 20-2-07851-0 aka 557908  
aka 101455-4 now here. Bypassing judicial determination *chemically lobotomizing* a “Competent” individual; 18 U.S.C. § 1621 – Perjury; No Due Process; violations of the First Amendment; infringement of the Second Amendment; violations of the Sixth Amendment; violations of the Fourteenth Amendment; violations of the ADA.  
 And, 20+ Years of Excessive Drugs by the Dept of Veterans  
*See Dkt #258 series in 2:21-cv-01276-RAJ. Drug violations against Dept of Veterans were timely filed.*

**APPENDIX V:      REFERENCE LIST** regarding the abuse, violence, deaths at  
Western State Hospital, & Eastern State Hospital.

**APPENDIX W:      REFERENCE LIST** as filed in #2:21-cv-01276-RAJ  
Dkt #36-1 pp.175-179.

# In the Supreme Court of the United States

## APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

*On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari  
to the Washington State Supreme Court*

**CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN**

*v.*

**STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,**

CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN  
CANDIDCAROLYN®  
(ref: Dkt. #54 formally & AKA)  
PO Box 38097, Phoenix, AZ 85069  
candidCarolyn@gmail.com  
(253) 588-8100  
Petitioner self-represented

### **APPENDIX A: WA STATE SUPREME COURT**

03/27/2023 determination for reconsideration

### **APPENDIX B: Washington State Supreme Court:**

03/08/2023 determination for review

### **APPENDIX B-1: Washington State Supreme Court:**

03/08/2023 determined public funds

02/06/2023 appellants answer to supreme court clerk's questions

01/11/2023 questions from court clerk to be answered by appellant

12/15/2022 error by supreme court claiming court of appeals waived filing fee when in fact appellant paid fee of \$290

*Providence:* direct causation to this case and a related case

**APPENDIX C: WA STATE SUPREME COURT**01/20/2023 clerk instruction for deadline filings**APPENDIX D: Washington State Supreme Court:**01/17/2023 letter from the court clerk01/19/2023 appellant motion for permission to accept friends of the court in support of petition for review: Dkt #269-1 pp. 1-66**APPENDIX D-1: appendix of friends of the court that include clerk's designation papers: Dkt #269-1 pp. 67-122****APPENDIX E: Washington State Supreme Court:**01/17/2023 objection by appellant to clerks' motion to strike reply to answer**APPENDIX F: Washington State Supreme Court:**12/29/2022 clerk's motion to strike reply to answer**APPENDIX G: Washington State Supreme Court:**12/28/2022 reply to answer**APPENDIX H: COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION-II**04/12/2023 mandate**APPENDIX I: Court of Appeals Division-II:**10/13/2022 determination motion for reconsideration**APPENDIX J: Court of Appeals Division-II:**09/13/2022 unpublished opinion**APPENDIX K: Court of Appeals Division-II:**03/23/2022 determination for *supplementing* the record03/03/2022 appellant's motion to *supplement* the record03/24/2022 appellant's motion to *modify* the ruling**APPENDIX L: Court of Appeals Division-II:**03/21/2022 determination for consolidation the record03/03/2022 motion to consolidate the record

(partial record due to file size/cost, summons perfected)

03/24/2022 motion to *modify* the ruling**APPENDIX M: Court of Appeals Division-II:**01/26/2022 determination for ADA, and court showing bias01/12/2022 motion for American Disabilities Act (ADA)

(only partial record filed, due to file size/cost)

**APPENDIX N: Court of Appeals Division-II:**01/11/2022 determination for *supplement clerk's papers*01/12/2022 motion to *modify* the ruling to *supplement clerk's papers*

**APPENDIX O: CONFLICTING FEDERAL RULINGS WAWD:**

11/12/2020 conflicting ruling 3:20-cv-06112-BHS, defendant removal  
05/05/2020 in further support of petitioner removal  
04/14/2022 conflicting ruling 3:22-cv-05258-JCC  
05/18/2022 petitioner objects to magistrate judge  
05/18/2022 mag judge TLF assigned herself to 3:22-cv-05258-JCC  
06/14/2022 petitioner paid filing fee of \$402.00  
06/08/2022 magistrate judge report and recommendation

**APPENDIX P: NOTICE OF RELATED CASES:**

12/08/2022: Dkt #262 *list*  
05/03/2023: Dkt #276  
03/31/2023: Dkt #273  
02/07/2023: Dkt #269  
01/23/2023: Dkt #267  
08/26/2022: Dkt #226

**APPENDIX Q: JOINDER DOE-1 Providence WAWD: 2:21-cv-01276-RAJ:**

03/16/2022 motion to Joinder: Dkt #48  
03/28/2022 affidavit proof of perfected service: Dkt # #146, 146-1  
03/28/2022 in further support of joinder: Dkt #144  
03/17/2022 certificate of perfected service: Dkt #143-1  
03/31/2022 electronic agreement of 12/31/2020: Dkt #152-2  
*See Dkt # # 126, 126-1, Dkt #258 series*

**APPENDIX R: REOPEN “case splitting” case WAWD: 3:20-cv-06112-BHS:**

03/16/2022 motion to reopen Dkt #49  
04/01/2022 affidavits of perfected service: Dkt #158 (*see appx S & T*)  
09/09 & 09/13 2021 court determination for voluntary dismissal due to  
 the state’s case splitting accusation: Dkt # # 119, 118

**APPENDIX S: AFFIDAVITS of Perfected Service Dept of Veterans****APPENDIX T: AFFIDAVITS of Perfected Service Western State****APPENDIX U: FORCED DRUGGING a “Competent” individual:**

20-2-07852-8 aka 3:20-cv-06112-BHS aka 20-2-07851-0 aka 557908  
aka 101455-4 now here. Bypassing judicial determination *chemically lobotomizing* a “Competent” individual; 18 U.S.C. § 1621 – Perjury; No Due Process; violations of the First Amendment; infringement of the Second Amendment; violations of the Sixth Amendment; violations of the Fourteenth Amendment; violations of the ADA.  
 And, 20+ Years of Excessive Drugs by the Dept of Veterans  
*See Dkt #258 series in 2:21-cv-01276-RAJ. Drug violations against Dept of Veterans were timely filed.*

**APPENDIX V: REFERENCE LIST** regarding the abuse, violence, deaths at  
Western State Hospital, & Eastern State Hospital.

**APPENDIX W: REFERENCE LIST** as filed in #2:21-cv-01276-RAJ  
Dkt #36-1 pp.175-179.

SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
*On Petition for Writ of Certiorari for  
the Washington State Supreme Court*

# APPX A

**CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN**

*v.*

**STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL  
AND HEALTH SERVICES (DSHS), ET AT.,**

**Washington State Supreme Court  
determination for reconsideration  
March 27, 2023**

CANDIDCAROLYN®  
CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN  
PO Box 38097, Phoenix, AZ 85069  
(253) 588-8100  
candidCarolyn@gmail.com

**THE SUPREME COURT**  
STATE OF WASHINGTON

ERIN L. LENNON  
SUPREME COURT CLERK

SARAH R. PENDLETON  
DEPUTY CLERK/  
CHIEF STAFF ATTORNEY



*B*  
*A1*

TEMPLE OF JUSTICE  
P.O. BOX 40929  
OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0929

(360) 357-2077  
e-mail: supreme@courts.wa.gov  
www.courts.wa.gov

March 27, 2023

**LETTER SENT BY E-MAIL ONLY**

Carolyn Sioux Green  
P.O. Box 2494  
Scottsdale, AZ 85252

Sarah Jane Coats  
Derek Milligan  
Attorney General of Washington  
P.O. Box 40124  
Olympia, WA 98504-0124

Re: Supreme Court No. 101455-4 - Carolyn Sioux Green v. State of Washington, DSHS,  
et al.  
Court of Appeals No. 55790-8-II

Counsel and Carolyn Sioux Green:

On March 27, 2023, the Court received the "APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION." The motion seeks reconsideration of this Court's order dated March 8, 2023, denying the petition for review.<sup>1</sup>

RAP 12.4(a) provides that a party may not file a motion for reconsideration of a Supreme Court order denying a petition for review. Therefore, no action can be taken on the motion for reconsideration.

Accordingly, although the motion has been placed in the closed file, this Court can take no further action on it.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sarah Pendleton".

Sarah R. Pendleton  
Supreme Court Deputy Clerk

SRP:bw

<sup>1</sup> It is noted that the Department of the Court that unanimously denied the petition for review was comprised of five of the nine Justices of this Court, a majority of the Court.

SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
*On Petition for Writ of Certiorari for  
the Washington State Supreme Court*

# APPX B

***CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN***

*v.*

***STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL  
AND HEALTH SERVICES (DSHS), ET AT.,***

**Washington State Supreme Court**  
determination for review  
March 8, 2023

CANDIDCAROLYN®  
CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN  
PO Box 38097, Phoenix, AZ 85069  
(253) 588-8100  
[candidCarolyn@gmail.com](mailto:candidCarolyn@gmail.com)

FILED  
SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF WASHINGTON  
3/8/2023  
BY ERIN L. LENNON  
CLERK

B1

# THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN,	)	No. 101455-4
	)	
Petitioner,	)	<b>O R D E R</b>
	)	
v.	)	Court of Appeals
	)	No. 55790-8-II
STATE OF WASHINGTON, DSHS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	
	)	
	)	


Department II of the Court, composed of Chief Justice González and Justices Madsen, Stephens, Yu, and Whitener (Justice Johnson sat for Justice Whitener), considered at its March 7, 2023, Motion Calendar whether review should be granted pursuant to RAP 13.4(b) and unanimously agreed that the following order be entered.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

That the petition for review is denied. The Deputy Clerk's motion to strike the reply to the answer to the petition for review is granted. The "Appellants' Motion for Permission to Accept Friends of the Court in Support of the Petition for Review" is denied.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, this 8th day of March, 2023.

For the Court

  
González C.J.  
CHIEF JUSTICE

SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
*On Petition for Writ of Certiorari for  
the Washington State Supreme Court*

# APPX I

CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL  
AND HEALTH SERVICES (DSHS), ET AT.,

Court of Appeals Division-II  
determination motion for reconsideration  
October 13, 2022

CANDIDCAROLYN®  
CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN  
PO Box 38097, Phoenix, AZ 85069  
(253) 588-8100  
candidCarolyn@gmail.com

Filed  
Washington State  
Court of Appeals  
Division Two

October 13, 2022

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**

**DIVISION II**

CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN,

No. 55790-8-II

Appellant,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT  
OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES, et.  
al.,

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION

Respondent.

Appellant Carolyn Sioux Green moves for reconsideration of the court's September 13, 2022 opinion. Upon consideration, the court denies the motion. Accordingly, it is

SO ORDERED.

PANEL: Jj. Maxa, Lee, Price

FOR THE COURT:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MAXA, P.J.

SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
*On Petition for Writ of Certiorari for  
the Washington State Supreme Court*

# APPX J

CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL  
AND HEALTH SERVICES (DSHS), ET AT.,

Court of Appeals Division-II  
unpublished opinion  
September 13, 2022

CANDIDCAROLYN®  
CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN  
PO Box 38097, Phoenix, AZ 85069  
(253) 588-8100  
candidCarolyn@gmail.com

Filed  
Washington State  
Court of Appeals  
Division Two

September 13, 2022

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**

**DIVISION II**

CAROLYN SIOUX GREEN,

No. 55790-8-II

Appellant,

v.

UNPUBLISHED OPINION

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT  
OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES, et.  
al., and DOE's 1 through 1,000,

Respondent.

MAXA, P.J. – Carolyn Green appeals the trial court’s dismissal under CR 12(b)(6) of her complaint against the State and the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS). Green’s complaint related to her detention at Western State Hospital (WSH) in 2001 pending a hearing on a petition for 14 days of involuntary treatment. However, Green did not file her complaint regarding her WSH detention until 2020, approximately 19 years later.

We hold that the trial court did not err in dismissing Green’s complaint because Green failed to file the complaint within the three-year statute of limitations and she presented insufficient evidence to show that the statute of limitations should be tolled. Therefore, we affirm the trial court’s order dismissing Green’s complaint with prejudice.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> DSHS also argues that Green failed to present a tort claim to the State’s office of risk management 60 days before filing her complaint as required by RCW 4.92.100(1) and RCW 4.92.110. Because of our resolution of the statute of limitations issue, we do not address this argument.

## FACTS

On or around July 2, 2001, Green was admitted to WSH for evaluation and treatment under a 72-hour hold and was given medication for her behavioral and mental health issues. WSH subsequently filed a petition for 14 days of involuntary treatment, which was heard on July 5. The trial court granted WSH's petition and ordered that Green be involuntarily committed at American Lake Veterans Administration Hospital (ALVAH) for an additional 14 days. Green later was detained for an additional 90 days, during which time she received treatment at home as an alternative to inpatient hospitalization.

In September 2020, Green filed a lawsuit against the State and DSHS, alleging in part that at WSH she repeatedly was medicated involuntarily and denied her right to refuse antipsychotics, that the petition for 14 days of involuntary treatment lacked proper signature, and that she did not voluntarily sign the waiver of her right to remain off medications 24 hours before her hearing. Green subsequently filed a pleading titled "Demand for Relief Sought by Plaintiff," which stated that she was seeking two billion dollars in damages and other nonmonetary relief for unlawful imprisonment; maiming of her brain and other body parts; loss of wages and economic value; and loss of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

The State filed a CR 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss with prejudice Green's complaint, arguing in part that the complaint was time barred because the statute of limitations on her claims expired in 2004. Green did not substantively respond to the State's motion to dismiss. Instead, she filed a motion for summary judgment.

The trial court granted the State's motion to dismiss with prejudice and denied Green's motion for summary judgment. Green appeals the trial court's order dismissing her complaint with prejudice.

## ANALYSIS

Green argues that her claims were not time barred by the statute of limitations because equitable tolling should be applied in her case. We disagree.

### A. LEGAL PRINCIPLES

RCW 4.16.080(2) provides that “[a]n action for taking, detaining, or injuring personal property, including an action for the specific recovery thereof, or for any other injury to the person or rights of another” shall be commenced within three years. This three-year statute of limitations applies to tort claims. *Woods View II, LLC v. Kitsap County*, 188 Wn. App. 1, 20, 352 P.3d 807 (2015). The statutory limitations period begins to run when the plaintiff’s claim accrues. RCW 4.16.005. In general, accrual occurs “when the plaintiff discovers the salient facts underlying the elements of the cause of action.” *1000 Va. Ltd. P’ship v. Vertecs Corp.*, 158 Wn.2d 566, 576, 146 P.3d 423 (2006).

However, if a person who is “incompetent or disabled to such a degree that he or she cannot understand the nature of the proceedings . . . the time of such disability [as determined according to chapter 11.88 RCW] shall not be a part of the time limited for the commencement of action.” Former RCW 4.16.190(1) (2006). The party asserting entitlement to tolling bears the burden of proof. *Price v. Gonzalez*, 4 Wn. App. 2d 67, 75, 419 P.3d 858 (2018).

In addition, equitable tolling of the statute of limitations may apply when justice requires. *Id.* The party asserting that equitable tolling should apply bears the burden of showing (1) bad faith, deception, or false assurances on the part of the defendant and (2) that the plaintiff acted with reasonable diligence. *Id.*

No. 55790-8-II

B. ANALYSIS

Green's complaint, filed in 2020, related to events that occurred during her involuntary detention at WSH in July 2001. Green's claim accrued at that time. As a result, absent any tolling, the statute of limitations expired in July 2004.

Green argues that the statute of limitations was tolled. To the extent that her argument can be construed as implicating former RCW 4.16.190(1), her argument fails because the evidence she presented in the trial court does not support her claim that she had a mental disability that prevented her from understanding the nature of the proceedings at any time between July 2001 and 2020. In fact, as the State points out, the evidence shows that during this period Green graduated with honors from Pierce College in 2010 and graduated from the University of Washington – Tacoma with a degree in environmental science in 2014.

Green primarily argues equitable tolling. However, she fails to provide any meaningful evidence or analysis showing both bad faith, deception, or false assurances on the part of DSHS and that she acted with reasonable diligence.


Accordingly, we hold that the trial court did not err when it dismissed Green's complaint with prejudice based on the statute of limitations.

CONCLUSION


We affirm the trial court's order dismissing Green's complaint with prejudice.

No. 55790-8-II

A majority of the panel having determined that this opinion will not be printed in the Washington Appellate Reports, but will be filed for public record in accordance with RCW 2.06.040, it is so ordered.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MAXA, P.J.

We concur:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FIFE, J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRICE, J.

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**