

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 23-3248

Robert Swint v. Supreme Court of the United States, et al

(U.S. District Court No.: 2-23-cv-04736)

ORDER

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 3(a) and 3rd Cir. Misc. LAR 107.2(b), it is

ORDERED that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellant failed to file a brief and appendix as directed. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that a certified copy of this order be issued in lieu of a formal mandate.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit
Clerk

Dated: February 29, 2024
CJG/cc: Robert James Swint



A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszuweit


Patricia S. Dodszuweit, Clerk
Certified Order Issued in Lieu of Mandate

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT JAMES SWINT : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. : NO. 23-4736
:
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED :
STATES OF AMERICA, THE U.S. :
MARSHALS, CONGRESS :

ORDER

AND NOW, this 4th day of December 2023, upon considering the Oregon Plaintiff's Application to proceed without paying fees or costs (ECF No. 1) and screening his handwritten *pro se* Complaint (ECF No. 2) apparently seeking to sue the Supreme Court, the United States Marshals, and Congress for undecipherable political questions seemingly related to the national debt and some unidentifiable investigation, finding Plaintiff qualifies to proceed without paying the filing fees but, upon our Congressionally-mandated screening consistent with section 1915 confirming the immunity of the named parties and otherwise unable to offer redress to political questions, we cannot permit this Complaint (ECF No. 2) by an Oregon citizen against immune actors relative to political questions to proceed in federal court, but mindful of his *pro se* status, it is **ORDERED** we **GRANT** Plaintiff's Motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1) but **DISMISS** the Complaint (ECF No. 2) without prejudice as Plaintiff has not alleged a claim against these parties challenging political questions or otherwise indecipherable allegations unrelated to a case or controversy but grant Plaintiff leave to file an amended Complaint consistent with Rule 8 by no later than **December 27, 2023** or we will close the case.



KEARNEY, J.