

CASE NO. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October 2023 Term

ALLEN BROOKS, JR.

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
To the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals

APPENDIX TO

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

1. *United States v. Allen Brooks, Jr.*, No. 23-1694
Slip Opinion (8th Cir., October 18, 2023) 1-2
2. *Allen Brooks, Jr. v. United States*, No. 23A648, Order granting
application to file Petition by Mar. 16, 2024) 3

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 23-1694

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Allen Brooks, Jr.

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Missouri - Cape Girardeau

Submitted: August 21, 2023

Filed: October 18, 2023

[Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, ERICKSON, and KOBES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM

Allen Brooks, Jr., was charged with violating the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (“SORNA”), 18 U.S.C. § 2250(a)(3), after he failed to notify local law enforcement in Missouri within three days of moving into the jurisdiction.

Brooks entered a conditional guilty plea, and the district court¹ sentenced him to 33 months' imprisonment, to be followed by a 20-year term of supervised release.

Prior to pleading guilty, Brooks moved to dismiss the indictment, arguing that (1) SORNA impermissibly delegates legislative authority to the Attorney General when it authorizes him to decide whether the statute applies to individuals convicted of a sex offense prior to its enactment; and, (2) SORNA is an improper exercise of Congress' Commerce Clause powers. See U.S. Const. Art. I, § 1 (Legislative Power Vested in Congress); id. at § 8, cl. 3 (Regulation of Commerce). Brooks concedes that his arguments are barred by existing precedent and the district court denied the motion. Brooks appeals raising the same grounds, which we review *de novo*. See United States v. Anderson, 771 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (8th Cir. 2014) (we review *de novo* a defendant's challenge to the constitutionality of a statute and the denial of a motion to dismiss the indictment).

In Gundy v. United States, 588 U.S. ___, 139 S.Ct. 2116, 2129 (2019), a plurality of the Supreme Court held that SORNA's limited delegation of authority as applied to pre-Act offenders was not impermissible. See also United States v. Kuehl, 706 F.3d 917, 920 (8th Cir. 2013) ("We conclude that SORNA provides the Attorney General with an intelligible principle, and is a valid delegation of legislative authority."). In United States v. May, 535 F.3d 912, 921-22 (8th Cir. 2008), we held SORNA's criminal penalties are a valid exercise of the Commerce Clause. See also Anderson, 771 F.3d at 1069-71.

We are bound by these precedents, and we affirm.

¹The Honorable Stephen N. Limbaugh, Jr., United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.



Search documents in this case:

No. 23A648

Title: **Allen Brooks, Jr., Applicant**
v.
United States

Docketed: **January 12, 2024**

Lower Ct: **United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit**

Case Numbers: **(23-1694)**

| DATE | PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS |
|-------------|---|
| Jan 12 2024 | Application (23A648) to extend the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari from January 16, 2024 to March 16, 2024, submitted to Justice Kavanaugh. Main Document Lower Court Orders/Opinions Proof of Service |
| Jan 12 2024 | Application (23A648) granted by Justice Kavanaugh extending the time to file until March 16, 2024. |

| NAME | ADDRESS | PHONE |
|--|--|--------------|
| Attorneys for Petitioner | | |
| Amanda L Altman Counsel of Record | FDO for the Eastern 325 Broadway, 2nd Floor Cape Girardeau, MO 63701 | 573-339-0242 |
| Amanda_Altman@fd.org | | |
| Party name: Allen Brooks | | |
| Attorneys for Respondent | | |
| Elizabeth B. Prelogar Counsel of Record | Solicitor General United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001 | 202-514-2217 |
| SUPREMECTBRIEFS@USDOJ.GOV | | |