

23-7072

No. _____

FILED

APR 17 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

GREGORY C. STOCKMAN — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

THE People, Plaintiff and — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT DIV ONE A 164558

Supreme Court of California, San Francisco S 277553
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GREGORY C. STOCKMAN
(Your Name)

2100 Napa-Vallejo Hwy
(Address)

Napa, California 94558-6234
(City, State, Zip Code)

(707) 253-5000 MAIN/NO:
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

In the MHM, Sonoma County Conditional Release Program, the terms and conditions of outpatient treatment for Gregory Stockman on August 14, 2017; the 13 pages was drawn up as a SVP (sexual violent predator) contract by Dr. Katie Messeroll, Conrep Program Manager. ~~eight days~~
During my Sonoma County Superior Court hearings, July 25, 26, 27, 28 2017 / August 1, 2, 3, 4, March 2, 3, 4, 2017
The presiding Judge was Dana Simonds, who stated in open court, she did NOT see or read the 13 page Conrep Terms and Conditions. This following statement was also mentioned in the Court of Appeal, State of California 1st Appellate District, Division one.

Evidence Code

Section 1271
Section 1280 } *Hearsay*

No Conrep Terms and Conditions in Court Evidence for Review

DR. Katie Messeroll Testimony of August 1, 2017

Reporter Deanne Murphy CSR 11582

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A (N/A)

APPENDIX B (N/A)

APPENDIX C (N/A)

APPENDIX D (N/A)

APPENDIX E N/A)

APPENDIX F (N/A)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES *N/A*

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES *N/A*

OTHER *N/A*

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at Sonoma County Superior Court SCR 20636; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the Court of Appeal FIRST Appellate District No. one court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is A164 558

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**: Pending

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: Petition FOR Review, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix None. CALIFORNIA Supreme Court 1/25/2023

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

N/A

42 U.S. Code § 10841

Restatement of Bill of Rights

42 U.S.C. 9501

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I, GREGORY C. Stockman, AM FILING this WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN PRO per AND CAN NOT AFFORD Legal help IN ORDER to CONSTRUCT the proper, CORRECT sequel for FILING the WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN the Legal REQUEST for UNCONDITIONAL Release from NAPA State Hospital. Deprivation of life, Liberty AND property without due process. I WILL NOT SIGN A FAULDULENT CONREP CONTRACT of A SVP that CLASSIFIED me as a Sexual Violent Predator.

I, GREGORY C. Stockman, AM BEING INCARCERATED AT NAPA STATE HOSPITAL NOT BECAUSE I AM SHOWING MY MENTAL ILLNESS (BI-POLAR) BECAUSE I ASKED MY CONDITIONAL RELEASE PROGRAM AGENT, ERNIE HERNANEZ FOR PERMISSION TO REFINANCE MY PRIMARY RESIDENCE (831 HOWARD ST. SANTA ROSA, CA 95404) HOWEVER HE DID NOT INFORM ME THAT I COULD NOT DO SO. IN COURT, MR. HERNANEZ AND HIS CONREP MANAGERS, DR. CHRISTINA BARRASH TOLD THE COURT THAT MR. STOCKMAN COULD NOT REFINANCE HIS HOME AND THAT HE DID IT ANYWAY. (17 yrs ago.)

These 17 years ago have destroyed my Quality of life, my physical Health, and my past and current financial welfare, and well being. Everything that I have was taken from me; which that I have worked for during my lifetime. I CANNOT SIGN a CONREP CONTRACT THAT SAYS THAT I MUST TAKE A POLI-GRAPH TEST BECAUSE OF MY ALLEGED PAST SEXUAL DEVIENT BEHAVIOR. This 13 page contract has kept me at Napa State Hospital for over 7 years. I was released on October 6th, 2017 into the Sonoma County CONREP. I WILL NOT SIGN A FRIVOLOUS CONREP CONTRACT THAT WOULD HAVE REMOVED MY SUPPORT OF MY DAUGHTER, LAUREN, 2 GRANDCHILDREN, TAYLYN, 8 years, Tenny, 3 yr.old AND MY LOYAL FRIEND WHO HAS BEEN THERE FOR ME FOR 30 years.

Please help me so I can lead a successful productive life! Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,
Gregory C. Stockman

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I, GREGORY C. Stockman, have NOT had any psychotic breakdowns since April 1993, AND this has been DOCUMENTED IN A COURT of Law By Judge Simonds on October 6th 2017. However, the Judge did not Read the (ConRep) the 13 page TERMS and Condition of Release Programs terms in open COURT, as it is a usual procedure. If Judge Simonds had Read the ConRep CONTRACT, she would have realized that it was NOT REFERRING to my 1026 Commitment, but instead it was an SVP (sexual Violent Predator) CONTRACT. Judge Simonds had stated in open court that she was only going to release me in Sonoma County ConRep because I had Social contacts that were there (Santa Rosa) and are helpful resources. Sonoma County ConRep manager, DR. Katie Messeroll had refused to change the Terms and Conditions of the 13 page contract.

I Am 76 years old (07/07/1947) with numerous medical issues. Some surgeries completed and some procedures and surgeries that didn't work out and have to be done over. These can be done in the community. I am also stuck/cannot leave for any reasons by Q3 & Q4 units for over 3 years. I have not been able to meet my medical needs which are very serious. I have filled out General Requests to my Treatment Team with no response and action.

Respectfully submitted,
Gregory C. Stockman

CONCLUSION

I, GREGORY C. STOCKMAN, have proven for 30 years that I AM NO LONGER a danger to myself OR society. I CAN NO LONGER A DANGER AND CAN SAFELY FUNCTION IN the Community AS I did from 2001- 2006. Please give me a CHANCE, BY GRANTING AN UNCONDITIONAL RELEASE.

I, GREGORY C. STOCKMAN, the Petitioner, Am entitled to Release. (987 Fed.R.Civ.P 8a[2])

I, GREGORY C. STOCKMAN, Petitioner, AM REQUIRED TO ALLEGED ENOUGH FACTS TO STATE A CLAIM FOR RELEASE THAT IS PLAUSIBLE ON ITS FACE. (42 U.S.C. 1983) DEPRIVATION OF ANY RIGHTS

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

(Gregory C. Stockman)

Date: MARCH 5th 2024