

Petition for rehearing case 23-7011.

Compelling Grounds and newly uncovered information from 11th Circuit.

Governors of Fl. and Ca., former president Trump and the Court restrained violent offender have Supreme Courts of Ca., Fl., and the Washington D.C. District Court of Appeals on their side in this case; all three courts tacitly upheld the government's immunity from any responsibility for assaults on underaged child in 2018, and for keeping the subject of title 9 assaults captive of the defendants - gag ordered hostage kept in undisclosed location in 2024 - right now. June 18 starts a second year of the plain view captivity fashioned as house arrest without a crime or a charge in the life of a humanist youth - no release date.

Assaults on underaged student on campus of State University constitute Title 9 crime. Retaliation for reporting it is whistleblower retaliation as defined by the High Court in Jackson v. Birmingham Board of Education. Placing a gag order on a student in retaliation for undesirable report is forbidden under the Tinker v. Des Moines.

Methods used by the governors of Ca. and Fl. in executing retaliation are identical in both States: mother of the victim is thrown in internment. Charge of trespassing at own residence is used in Ca. first, then repeated in Fl. Petitioner's Family is repeatedly torn apart, blocked from accessing medical care, assaulted physically and sexually.

The latest compelling grounds for rehearing are the the facts attesting to collaboration at States' Executive and Judicial branches level in executing and covering up retaliation.

Cooperation went as far as allowing a county commissioner supervisor of the district in Fl., where plaintiff's family house is located to impersonate a State court judge in Ca., San Diego- where the plaintiff was repeatedly thrown in internment jail. That information alone begs for the rehearing of this case not only for the audacity of unleashed corruption mixed with interstate organized crime,

1 cc 6.12.24

but for the more civil yet no less important original question: do whistleblowers on campus have freedom of speech protected in our state university?

State courts are powerless to protect whistleblowers speech. Federal courts turn blind eye, retaliation is on until predictable end.

All courts look at the Supreme for answers to the only question on the minds of judiciary in this two judicial Circuits: 9th, 11th and Washington D. C. District case, canvassing First Amendment.

The question to the High Court is boiled down to whether it is a violation of freedom of speech on campus to gag order anyone for reporting Title 9 crime ? In Fl. the gag order is supplemented with the trespass order - a ban from the university grounds, the kidnapping of whistleblower and declaring him a person no grata on the government Watch List.


Trespass and kidnapping are backgrounds illuminating the Question posed to this Court: did the governing boards of two major State universities violate the freedom of speech in this case?

2 cc 6.12.'24 Petition for rehearing 23-7011

To: The Honorable Clerk Harris
US Supreme Court of Appeals.

From: Irina Collier, Prose Petitioner,
Appeal from Ca. Supreme Court Case
\$ 282929 with collateral attack
\$ 282951, dismissed on 1.10.24.,
And dismissed by the US Supreme
Court on May 17, 2024: case 23-7011.
3729 S.W. 65 dn
Gainesville, FL. 32608
650-695-9000
imbusy74@outlook.com

in re:
Petition for Rehearing



Dear Clerk,

Please file and docket this timely
2 pages petition for rehearing case 23-7011
in light of the newly uncovered
compelling grounds, showcasing
the astonishing extent of Constitu-
tional and statutory violations,

The least of those include impersonation
in Ca. of a Judge by a government official
from FL. Respectfully, LC 6.12.24

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**