

23-7011

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

JAN 25 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Irina Collier and for kidnapped son PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Governor Newsom — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Supreme Court of California : S282929
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Irina Collier and the son
(Your Name)

3729 S.W. 65 dn.
(Address)

Gainesville, FL. 32608
(City, State, Zip Code)

650-695-9000
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Whether Governor Newsom in his capacity as the regent of UC Berkeley has violated First Amendment freedom of speech right on campus of public university by placing mandatory gag order on 16 year old student for reporting Title IX violation on campus of the UC Berkeley?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Charles Wade Collier

Bruce Ritchie

Alana Robinson

Summer Sabraw

DHS Inspector General years 2018-

Donald Trump Jr.

Governor De Santis

The list above is not all inclusive; all co-conspirators listed in related cases below are included as responders - directly connected to Collier v. Newsom Et. AL.

RELATED CASES

Pending: 24-cv-22 Collier v. Sabraw Et. AL, US court San Diego, CA
24-7018 Collier v. Trump; US court of Appeals
Washington D.C.,

18DV000161 - Collier v. Collier San Jose Superior Court

Dismissed:

23-2420 Federal Circuit Court of Appeals Collier v. Trump Et. AL

23-2052 Federal Circuit Court of Appeals Collier v. US (real party of interest - Trump)

1:23-167 US District Court of Florida
Collier v. Trump Et. AL.

1:23-01820 US District Court, Washington D.C.
Collier v. Trump Et. AL.

Dismissed docketed directly related US Supreme court cases:

18 21-7285: Collier v. Ueberkeley; 22-7357 Collier v. President of Stanford

How does this case serve US Supreme Court's jurisdictional mission? - pages 1(a), 1(b), 1(c) between page 26 and 27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Parties - - - - -	18
OPINIONS BELOW	19
JURISDICTION.....	21
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	20
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	10-17
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	22
CONCLUSION.....	25
Affidavit of attempted service - - - - -	26
Table of Authorities - - - - -	23, 24

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	- Orders of the Ca. Supreme Court - -	28, 29
APPENDIX B	- Order denying Habeas and Petitioner's response -	31-48
APPENDIX C		
APPENDIX D		
APPENDIX E		
APPENDIX F		

Enclosure I Ca. Courts fraud & perjury - - 50-55
Enclosure II US Courts and Fed. agencies - 57-84

84 pages + 3 (how does case serve Court's mission?)
1(a), 1(b), 1(c)
= 87

JURISDICTION

Collier v. Trump: 23-2420
Collier v. U.S.: 23-2052

☒ For cases from federal courts: *Directly related cases 23-2420 and 23-2052*

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 12.15.23 *Federal Circuit Court of Appeals*
Mandate issued 2.7.24,

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

Original jurisdiction in presented State of Ca. and all directly related US Courts of Appeals cases is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1)

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 1.10.24.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: *on or about 1.10.24 verbally*, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix phone call re: petition for rehearing resulted in a "NOT APPLICABLE" answer from a clerk.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

Cases in the courts of appeals may be reviewed by the Supreme Court by the Writ of Certiorari granted upon the petition of any party to any civil or criminal case, before or after rendition of judgement.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts:** *directly related to the judgement of the Ca. Supreme court*

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts:** *Under the jurisdiction of 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a)*

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

1.

Final judgements rendered by the highest court of a State in which a decision could be had, may be reviewed by the Supreme Court by writ of certiorari on grounds of State action being repugnant to the Constitution or where immunity is claimed under the USA

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

First Amendment Freedom of Speech

Statement of the Case

In the fall of 2020 when I blew the whistle on Fraud Waste and Abuse at UC Berkeley, I knew Stanford is involved, and so is Mensa, I knew my husband is at the bottom of it all, I knew at the top somebody was so powerful, lawyers were afraid to spell their names. Now I know principal names: Trump, governors of Fl. & Ca., president of Stanford, provost of Harvard, general Flynn. Retaliation for my whistleblowing is still on. My husband's ties, leading to the January 6 insurrection, are now preparing for the next one, plotted in the open against all three branches when Trump loses to Biden again, are dead serious about the next brewing coup. The opposition in Collier v. Newsom Et. Al. and Collier v. Trump Et. Al., backing my husband, is determined to wipe the victims/witnesses to the string of penal code violations without statute of limitations off the face of the Earth.

Photo

All lower tribunals in States' and US Courts, all government agencies and departments claim lack of jurisdiction, or simply refuse to enforce powers of their offices.

Hate crimes (religiously motivated) underlie the case. My child is still in organized by my husband' family and carried out by faith-based police constructive custody reformatory- reeducation religious involuntary boot camp, with forced labor- modern day slavery. I am thrown in jail repeatedly by faith-based police, all under the Patriot (Freedom) Act' powers, misused and reinterpreted by countless loyalists of Trump. One can't reason with cult-like loyalty to violent religious fanaticism, it just is. My child is in slavery, both of us are in unconstitutional constructive custody internment style; no crime, no good cause, just blatant misuse of power. No freedom to leave the state of Ca., and not allowed to reunite in our safe@home flat in Ca. I have not seen my son in 8 months, he is not allowed to send a selfie.

In February 2020 my husband knew our son's recorded voice was used by traffickers, he used it to convince me he spoke with our son in covering up truth about the child's slavery which he called "volunteering". Today, synthesizing my sons voice is as easy as downloading chat GPT app. I have no real way of confirming if my son is still around; his kidnapping this time was organized by the same team that concocted perjury in judge Church' court and perjury in US Court, the latter dating back to the GAO case covered up under misused government privilege seal, which in turn recycles back to Trump's state department during February 2020, and to the 2016. Contempt of court and Title 9 violations(2018) are also covered up under misused government privileges in this case.

Crimes against myself and my child were conceived in my husbands mind and carried out by government officials, and by US chamber of commerce dignitaries in government-funded UC Berkeley and in privately operated Stanford on Ca.' soil. Government privileges were invoked in covering up the crimes reported to every judge in this case' lower tribunals from states' to federal courts for 6 years straight.

Educational discrimination of my son on campus of UC Berkeley was brutal. He was admitted as honors courses premed student, but ended

up from day one in religious radicalization white Christian nationalist boot camp-human trafficking of extension school instead, at 16y.o. His previous academic history included taking SAT math subject test at 9y.o., scoring above average, being featured on ABC news, and taking biology honors course at UF at the same age. He took physics Sat at 11, scored even higher then, general SAT at 13 and scored near the highest, at 14 he took MCAT and got invited to 35 medical schools before entering college, at 15 he took ACT and scored perfectly. At 21y.o. on 8.15.23. He retook MCAT and scored above perfect, the evaluator couldn't determine how much higher his true score went, as machines don't measure above 100%.

At 9y.o. he proved to be on par with older classmates in UF honors natural science course. At 15y.o. he was ready to complete two years of community college in one academic year, but college did not allow it. He didn't need more than two years at UCBerkeley to finish four years of academic work; he was astonished at how easy science courses at Berkeley are, he helped all in class with homework, but got first intentionally reduced grade instead. Reduced grades and doing everyone's homework on Piazza App followed him through all semesters. First two years were not even on main campus, extension school fraud and daily slavery assignments happened in UCBerkeley, but were conceived while still in San Diego 2016 by paternal family.

Every court case in the last 6 years - state, federal, municipal, civil, criminal, family, small claims, lower and appellate- dealt with serious federal infractions and contempt of state court, that were always classified as civil matters, regardless of documents attesting to violent crimes against DV survivors- mom and son. When case was filed in criminal court, it reversed victim and offender as if by mistake, only no judge corrected it, record in San Diego superior court still lists Irina Collier as restrained DVRO offender, while verbally clerks assured Irina that all 3 charges brought by "victim" Chad White were already dropped since they represented only Chad's perjury and fraud in judges chambers.

Judicial conflict of interest runs red thread through the fabric of this case, with continued Contempt of Court from Ca. Superior in San Jose

Case, with continued Contempt of Court from Ca. Superior in San Jose to FL Superior in Gainesville, to Circuit 9 appellate district, and up to the federal circuit appellate Court in Washington D.C. The conflict reflects no unintentional errors in judgement, and presents sufficient evidence of rulings based in judges' family, political, religious affiliation and/or financial interests. The latter constitutes insurmountable obstacle to law and justice in all lower tribunals- Contempt of Court, Habeas Writs, Title 9 and 13th amendment violations are continuously ignored - exposing plaintiff's family to repeated false imprisonment and delivery of the final deadly harm. None of the judges above upheld best interests of the child in this case, all know who protects violent offender of record nationwide from 2016 to today. Direct harm to child's life and health is seared in 8 years - a third of child's life today- spent in reformatory hell, culminating in kidnapped existence as crypto mining slave now; responsibility for the hell is shared by all co-conspirators in all related to Collier v. Newsom: 23-25211 cases. Every judge who insisted on shredding the fabric of related cases into unconnected threads knew exactly why they did it, for whom, and how to get away with Contempt of Court in their chambers; they simply obstruct all evidence of contempt and bold criminality, or refuse to produce records of hearings with perjuries and frauds in their chambers. The scope of coverup in this case includes cases without immunity and without statute of limitations in two states and Washington DC. Banking fraud, and hate crime circled the globe in this case.

My son is still kept hostage in broad day light , surrounded by our opposition in court, all alone against organized group united with greed, hate and propensity for violence . He is a survivor of physical tortures and assaults that can't be described openly ; there are real repercussions for recounting. We both learned about repercussions the hardest way.

Case 23-1248 : Collier v. Trump ,(related to 23-2052, and to 23-170, and to 23-25211, 23-6445, 23-678, 18DV000161) was dismissed by the chief judge Sabraw of US District court , San Diego . My initial case in chief Sabraw's court reads: "Regardless of an apparent conflict of interest in District Attorney Sabraw's domain, any court has jurisdiction

... District Attorney Sabraw's son-in-law, only court case, perjury
... interstate contempt of court case.

Chief US Court judge Sabraw failed to honorably recuse, indeed
expressed random assignment as soon as he saw his wife's name in the
case related to perjury and fraud in court-based matter: m287263.

Judge Church - former US Attorney in chief Sabraw's court presided
over the perjury in D.A. Sabraw's territory.

Chief Sabraw's wife - first ignored my reports of DVRO violations, then
created a TRO against me. Over five months of impunity for perjury and
fraud in San Diego courts a DVRO was created against me. The DVRO
went through several reincarnations: judge Davis downgraded it back to
TRO, the same week TRO was turned to DVRO again, the next trumped
up charge can feature any imaginable offense, the sentence is a solid
promise of death penalty in penitentiary. The jury is out on the speed of
execution, but not on certainty of the ultimate penalty for report of Title
9 violations, hate crimes and whistleblower retaliation in Ca., Fl., and
Washington D.C. The name of the "victim" in crimes I'm charged with by
Sabraw's circle is Chad White, he is a federal BAR criminal defense
attorney for opposition. Judge Church and Chad White are members of
the same federal BAR association in San Diego, along with more than a
dozen Ca. and US Courts judges, all of whom turned blind eye to
Contempt of Court case in their chambers.

Chad impersonated apartment administrator of my son's and my rented
flat. He filed a TRO in District Attorney Sabraw court services for victims
department. I asked for help in that department in January 2023 as DV
survivors, seeking protection under DVRO 18dv000161. D.A. Sabraw
allowed undercover attorney for my opposition in case 23-1185, (related
to Collier v. UCBerkeley whistleblower retaliation case- Qui Tam
22-15369- from 9th circuit appellate, under seal), Chad White, to file
TRO in the wrong jurisdiction, under assumed identity, and in a
fabricated claim. D.A. Sabraw and her husband dismissed all my
 Habeas Corpus Writs in their respective jurisdictions, resulting in my
 repeated jailing simply for living in apartment operated by UCBERKELEY
 and a Veterans Administration contractee. My son was ordered to live
 in UCBerkeley section 8 rental if he wanted to eat his UCB dinner.

Photo ~



Done

only in UCBerkeley section 8 rental if he wanted to get his UCB diploma: live under UCB control and keep slaving, keep following orders of Chad White and the VA police, keep with the reformatory-dictated gag order about his 6years long involuntary labor— if he wanted to ever be free again.

8.31.23 Judge Davis temporarily declared DVRO against me to not be valid, she stated that case against me is a misdemeanor trespassing withing 20 feet of victim Chad on territory of my rented apartment. On 12.8.23. It was still unclear if misdemeanor trespass TRO against me by Chad White is back in force, or if it is upgraded to DVRO felony offense again, or if the newest perjury and fraud by the government officials— under the color of white, doctors and nurses, falsely signing under untrue statements, is going to pass the test of the people fed up with corruption, fed up with seeing too many sane folk thrown in confinements to please insanely bloated brain of Melendez's and Trumps around. The next time I disappear without a trace, it'll be internment in psych unit as presenting some danger to the likes of my husband. He is surrounded with money, power and crème of the crop law firms, but scared of a judicial panel. He was never scared of me or our child. Facing law on laws terms terrifies daylight out of professor Collier—violent offender of record.

Opposition in Collier v. Trump (Newsom - governor of California, and DeSantis the Governor of FL, & Charles Collier names are among co-conspirators) is never going to present response brief in this case. Documents attest to opposition ignoring all courts from state to federal, to appellate federal circuit and to the US Supreme Court. No one is above the law according to States' and the US Constitution, though opposition's behavior proves that at least two governors put their faith in Trump's pardons over the law in this case.



12.15.23.

June 18, 2023 I saw my child for the last time. He was kidnapped, Chad White ordered him to run as fast as he could to the specified location for ongoing human trafficker' assignment, or be thrown in jail. Less than a month later I was thrown in jail by Chad White.

Evidence confirms Chad perjured in court, and kidnapped my son. Perjury is easily supported by his true address, found in his drivers license. If Chad didn't lie about his true address, it would have been impossible for him to kidnap my son and to throw me in jail illegally, and to control our banking, all while paid by the State of Ca. for damage control work— for Whistleblower retaliation. Retaliation started back in 2018 with the first report of title 9 violation on campus of UC Berkeley. Regent Newsome' retaliation for reporting title 9 violation to his police force started

Photo ✓

9 violation to his police force started with an order to police stating to not take any crime reports from me ever. The totality of the order destroyed my sons and my court order protecting us from domestic violence; no matter how severe DVRO violations are we can not get police response in Ca.

I am not allowed to send a cell photo in more than 14 days and with the fact of his voice being either pre-recorded or recorded 10 years ago when his traffickers kept him against his will. He is now wondering if my car is still alive. If he is, traffickers are still keeping him out of their cell, and still forcing him to remain silent, all under threat of repeat violence. He is not allowed to leave the cell and is not allowed to talk about who and why controls his life.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

None of the lower tribunals can answer the question of immunity to Governors, Trump, and any other American government official for crimes committed in this case by the defendants. Indeed, even a civil liability is inapplicable according to State and US Judiciary in this case, when defendants' names include Donald Trump, Governor Newsom, Collier-Barbers, names of States and Federal Judges, Scientology and Mormon churches, president of Stanford, provost of Harvard, and the recently uncovered fact-based documentation of the DHS Inspector General's involvement in this case from 2018-on: Joseph Cuffari.

California Supreme Court and the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals declined to answer the Constitutional question of immunity, thus implicitly recognising existence of absolute immunity to Plaintiff's opposition, and placing lives of Petitioner and son at the mercy of defendants, who kidnapped Plaintiff's son and threw Plaintiff in jail-internment style, with intent to cause ultimate harm.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Tinker v. Des Moines

First Amendment Freedom of Speech merit;
the case involved dismissal of members
of a religious denomination from a land
grant college for refusal to participate
in military training.

STATUTES AND RULES

Tinker: 393 U.S. 503
89 S.Ct. 733

21 L. Ed. 2d 731

Rule 12.4: Statement regarding jurisdiction
in this case is attached on the adjacent page

OTHER

On 2.24.1969 Supreme Court ruled in 7-2 decision
that students do not shed their constitutional
rights to freedom of speech or expression at the
school gate.

Statutes and Rules continued

Rule 12.4. jurisdictional statement applies in so far as questioning validity of any claim of the Ca. State' government to absolute immunity in acts of whistleblower retaliation involving gag order on a 16 y.o. for reporting Title IX violation (sexual assault). By declining En Banc review of the case with Prima Facie US and Ca. State' Constitutional violations - Bill of Rights, First, Thirteen, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as penal code's anti-retaliation infractions - Ca. Supreme Court called into question validity of any statute it relied on in dismissing the case solely on the basis of the names of the defendants, foregoing the merits. Did the State of Ca. Highest Court have the authority to supersede its own state constitution and the US constitution by placing the Governor, Trump and Ca. judiciary above the law in clearly criminal case, misfiled intentionally as a civil one from the start in 2018?

Ca. Supreme Court refused to answer a simple question: Is anyone above the law in Ca.? Thus drawing its inaction and the action in this case into question, to be answered by any federal court of appeals.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

llc IRINA COLLIER

Date: 3. 12. 24

pages: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

[illegible]

Waiving the justities
then: Does a sitting governor
enjoy full immunity
in this case, as confirmed
in a time at US DOJ and
is involved one way or the
and for the Plaintiffs

13-0002511 CV-MR-CL
Lawson M. H.

This case, related to 100A -
Corporate case 37-13-100445:
Gill v. White

3340 Longshore St.
#207 San Diego, CA 92101
619-592-7100

12.22.23

Gill v. Trump S 282929

Sworn Declaration in Support of the Emergency Writ

This case involves - for the first time in our nation -
the question of whether a sitting governor and a for-
mer president enjoy complete immunity for committing
crimes and covering them up with government
privilege seal in Ca. and US courts in this case

US DOJ and Ca. DOJ has evidence of the above.
That is proven in time - judges are unsure if they
can use evidence of crimes against the defendants
- until the High Court answers definitively if such
immunity exists. Unsubstantiated retaliation in this
case led to unconstitutional crimes against a minor
and an older woman of DV, physical and sexual
assaults of both survivors perpetrated in the
open. It is unknown if the kidnapped youth is
still in Ca. and if he is still alive.

Governor and Trump claim that they have immu-
nity from prosecution for actions as sitting head of
State and a former president.

A question of immunity - which was never
previously challenged in Ca. is at the heart of this case.
While the High Court of our land is to answer
the question of immunity in Trump's election interfer-
ing case, this Court is asked to answer the im-
munity question in Ca. On 12.8.23 plaintiff is facing

This Petition for Emergency relief
to clarify constitutional questions
and a former president
from crimes, they commit
with evidence that sits for
Ca. 100, until the present
other for all of the nation

Original case: 37-

Callan v.
Unconstitutional retaliation
total attack leads

Ca. Supreme Court Case: 534227 P. 2d

12.22.53. Callan v. Callan

Unconstitutional commitment in Ca. Callan

also unlawful - by the former's legal team

coming any day first week of January.

The enclosed exhibits represent a fraction of all
evidence of crimes - attempted killings, poisonings,
unlawful drugging of mother & of a 15yo, in 2017
- that US & Ca DOs are in full power -
mean while the question of former
president's and gubernatorial immunity, criminal
immunity, which is life and death questions for the
Callan family, and has implications for beyond
Ca. & USA.

perpetual debt must be present in action
to January 6 to cause the events of that day. Most
evidence appears to be reliable.

Outcome - request of US Supreme Court ordered
unlawful retaliation.

Certificate

I, Callan, attest to truthfulness of my
statements under penalty and to the best of
my knowledge.

Callan, 12.22.53

How does this case fit the US
Supreme Court's mission.

1(c)