

# INDEX TO ATTACHMENTS

<u>ATTACHMENT 1</u>	THE COURT OF APPEAL GRANTED SINGH'S MOTION FOR NEW EVIDENCES SHOWING SINGH'S CONVICTION FOR FALSE, FABRICATED AND NON-CRIME CHARGES BASED ON FALSE AND FABRICATED TESTIMONY OF PROSECUTOR'S WITNESS, JONATHAN HOBBS.	PAGES ① TO ②⑦
EXHIBIT A OF ATTACHMENT 1	OPINION OF FIRST APPEAL SHOWING WRONGFUL CONVICTION OF SINGH BASED ON FALSE AND FABRICATED TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN HOBBS.	PAGES ⑦ TO ②①
EXHIBIT B OF ATTACHMENT 1	IT SHOWS THAT THE JUDGMENT WAS AGAINST RAWAT, NOT AGAINST SINGH. THUS, TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN HOBBS WAS FALSE AND FABRICATED	PAGES ②② TO ②④
EXHIBIT C OF ATTACHMENT 1	IT SHOWS CIVIL COURT'S RULING THAT ALLEGED CHARGES ARE NOT CRIMES.	PAGES ②⑤ TO ②⑦
<u>ATTACHMENT 2</u>	FINAL OPINION SHOWING THAT THE COURTS REFUSED TO ADDRESS ITS OWN RULING (SEE ATTACHMENT 1) AND SINGH'S DEFENSES INCLUDING THAT ALLEGED CHARGES ARE NOT CRIMES (SEE PAGES ③① TO ③②). THUS, GROSS INJUSTICE RESULTED IN.	PAGES ②⑧ TO ③④

AS SHOWN HERE, SINGH WAS CONVICTED FOR FALSE, FABRICATED AND NON-CRIME CHARGES BASED ON FALSE AND FABRICATED TESTIMONY. BUT, THE STATE COURTS REFUSED TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES (SEE PAGES ③① AND ③②). THIS RESULTED IN INJUSTICE IN THIS CASE. THE STATE COURTS ARE SO FAMOUS FOR DELAY AND INJUSTICE THAT, IN 2022, EX-ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE HON. VANCE RAYE BECAME FIRST JUSTICE IN HIS TORY TO BE PUNISHED FOR THIS. A REVIEW IS ALSO NEEDED TO END PUBLIC TERROR CREATED BY EX-DISTRICT ATTORNEY. SO, PLEASE REVIEW IT.

SUPREME COURT  
**FILED**

JAN 10 2024

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

---

Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District - No. C097137 Deputy

S282993

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

**En Banc**

---

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RAGHVENDRA SINGH, Defendant and Appellant.

---

The petition for review is denied.

**GUERRERO**

---

*Chief Justice*

## ATTACHMENT 2

### APPELLATE COURT'S OPINION

ATTACHMENT 1 IS APPELLATE COURT'S RULING ALLOWING EVIDENCE FOR THE FOLLOWING:

"THE COURTS REPEATEDLY RULED THAT ALLEGED CHARGES ARE NOT CRIMES; AND SINGH'S CONVICTION IS FOR FALSE, FABRICATED AND NON-CRIME CHARGES BASED ON FALSE AND FABRICATED TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN HOBBS. SO, ALLEGED CHARGES SHOULD BE DISMISSED. PROSECUTION BLOCKS ACCESS TO COURTS AND IS ITSELF A CRIME."

THIS OPINION DOES NOT CONSIDER THIS. THIS FACT ALONE IS A GROUND FOR REVERSAL. SO, PLEASE DISMISS THE CHARGES. NO MATTER WHAT, NON-CRIME CAN NEVER BE CRIME.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT  
(Sacramento)

----

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RAGHVENDRA SINGH,

Defendant and Appellant.

C097137

(Super. Ct. No. 19FE010982)

This is an appeal after remand for resentencing. Appointed counsel for defendant Raghvendra Singh has asked this court to review the record to determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant filed a supplemental brief. Having reviewed the record and defendant's supplemental arguments, we affirm the judgment.