


No. A21-1271

23 - 6958



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
of America

Mal Tharjins — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

The State of Minnesota — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Minnesota Supreme Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Mal Tharjins
(Your Name)

5329 Osgood Ave N Stillwater
(Address)

Stillwater MN 55082-1111
(City, State, Zip Code)

402-378-0530
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. I ask the definition of the charge be changed.
2. I ask can 10 whites, a asian, or a Native American look past my race when being condemned to prison.
3. I ask may I be allowed my witnesses.
4. I ask can we use reason when being denied petty hearings for future court dates.
5. I ask before violating my constitutional rights why didn't you lie and say you didn't before not giving me a trial.

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Minnesota Supreme Court
Washington County Corrections

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A 5-18-23 State of Minnesota deny's me a trial after violating my constitutional rights.

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 5-16-23.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Annette Fritz - Initial appearance judge
Thaddens Jude - trial Judge
Miss Halvers - Prosecuting attorney
District judge - Helen Brosnahan
Chief judge - Susan Segal

When I was released on my own recognizance video conferences, attorney phone calls, my determination to make in person court hearings should have informed the courts my desire to resolve the case outside of court was all I intended. A jury trial in Washington county is less than fair. The case should have never went to trial. I ask that the truth be verbalized, documented then shared with the public.

This information is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I request post conviction relief based on the facts of this document.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Mal Margiath

Date: 12-5-23