

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
No. 23-6844

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Deborah Elizabeth ~~and~~ Chassas  
Petitioner,

California Supreme Court  
et al,  
Respondents,

Extraordinary  
Petition for Rehearing

Supreme Court of the United States

Deborah Elizabeth ~~and~~ Chassas  
PO Box 1889  
Beverly Hills, California  
90213

831-383-3437

RECEIVED  
MAY 23 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## List of Parties

State of California Hearing Board  
Monterey County Superior Court  
Monterey County Family and  
Children Services

Department of Children and Family  
Services, Los Angeles

Edmund D. Edelman Children Court  
Sixth Appellate Court, San Jose, CA

1      Questions Presented for Review  
2

3      Why is the State of California Supreme  
4      Court can not have the Los Angeles  
5      County Department of Family Children  
6      Service correct the Criminal  
7      information in Petitioner's files.  
8

9  
10     2. Why the State of California  
11     State Hearing have the Department  
12     of Children Family Service correct  
13     the Petitioner's file with incorrect  
14     Criminal information.  
15

16     3. Why can't the Sixth Appellate Court,  
17     San Jose, CA, have Department of Children  
18     Family Service Los Angeles correct Petitioner's  
19     files.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 4. Why Can't Elderman's Children County  
2 in Monterey Park, CA have Department  
3 of Children Family Service Correct the  
4 Petitioner Files which has the incorrect  
5 Criminal Information.  
6  
7

8  
9 5. Why Can't Monterey County Can't  
10 request that the incorrect <sup>General</sup> information  
11 be corrected by the Department of  
12 Family and Children Services Los Angeles.  
13  
14

15 6. Why can't Department of Children  
16 and Family Service-Los Angeles, govern  
17 themselves and correct the incorrect  
18 in Petitioners file, that was supposed  
19 to be corrected back 1999 first hearing.  
20  
21

22 7. Why is all government Agencies  
23 of Courts act as if they do not have  
24 to respond when they are the  
25 ones that have wronged petitions.  
26  
27

| <u>Table of Contents</u> |  |      |
|--------------------------|--|------|
| 1                        | List of Parties                            | 1    |
| 2                        | Questions Presented for Review             | 2-3  |
| 3                        | Table of Contents                          | 4    |
| 4                        | Jurisdiction                               | 5    |
| 5                        | Federal Rule of Civil Procedure            | 5    |
| 6                        | Statement of Facts                         | 5-6  |
| 7                        | Index Appendices - A, B, C, D, E, F, F1    | 7    |
| 8                        | Reason for Granting Rehearing              | 8-9  |
| 9                        | Certificate of Compliance                  | 9-10 |
| 10                       | Certificate of Good Faith & Good Handlings | 10   |
| 11                       | Verification                               | 10   |
| 12                       | Certification of Counsel                   | 11   |
| 13                       | Conclusion                                 | 11   |
| 14                       |  |      |
| 15                       |  |      |
| 16                       |  |      |
| 17                       |  |      |
| 18                       |  |      |
| 19                       |  |      |
| 20                       |  |      |
| 21                       |  |      |
| 22                       |  |      |
| 23                       |  |      |
| 24                       |  |      |
| 25                       |  |      |
| 26                       |  |      |
| 27                       |  |      |
| 28                       |  |      |

## Jurisdiction

The date on which California Supreme Court decided my decision on 8 January 2024 Upon request petitioners of new evidence, Petitioners request to be heard.

# Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

Federal Rule 60(A)

Federal Rule 60(B)

## Statement of facts

Petitioner has demanded that the Department of Children Family Service correct records with Department of Family Children Service - Los Angeles to correct errors from 1999. August 2020, Haas brought my attention that children where in Foster Care System

1 Case No. 19JD000089, 19JD000890 and  
2

3 21JD000051. Since January 2021 I  
4 had been working with Monterey County  
5 Department of Family and Children.

6 Petitioner had her apartment Certified  
7 with State of California and Los Angeles County  
8 to become Certified Foster Parent. Also had  
9 necessary to become a foster parent also CPR.

10 As of 2019 I had started Expunging  
11 Criminal Record to become an Attorney that  
12 was completed May 2021. All of a sudden  
13 in September 2021 I was denied

14 eligibility because I was in Child  
15 Abuse Central Index (CACI) I had  
16 no idea of what they were about and  
17 questioned the information they provided

18

19

20

21

## Index Appendices

Supreme Court of the U.S. 28 FEB

## Appendix A - Supreme Court of the U.S. 16 FEB 24

## Appendix B - Supreme Court of the U.S. 5 FEB 24

Appendix C California Supreme Court 28 Feb 24

9  
10 Appendix D - California Supreme Court 8 Jan 24

11  
12 Appendix E- County of Los Angeles 22 Aug 22  
13 Department of Children and Families  
Services

14  
15 Appendix F - CACI Report  
16  
17 F1 Delay Notification

**Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

February 28, 2024

**Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011**

Ms. Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis  
PO Box 1889  
Beverly Hills, CA 90213

Re: In Re Deborah E. Gouch-Onassis, Petitioner  
No. 23-6844

Dear Ms. Gouch-Onassis:


The petition for a writ of mandamus in the above entitled case was filed on February 23, 2024 and placed on the docket February 28, 2024 as No. 23-6844.

A form is enclosed for notifying opposing counsel that the case was docketed.

Sincerely,

**Scott S. Harris, Clerk**

by

  
**Angela Jimenez  
Case Analyst**

Enclosures

*Appendix A 7-1*

**Supreme Court of the United States**

**Deborah E. Gouch-Onassis**  
(Petitioner)

v.

**No. 23-6844**

---

(Respondent)

To \_\_\_\_\_ Counsel for Respondent:

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that a petition for writ of mandamus in the above-entitled case was filed in the Supreme Court of the United States on February 23, 2024, and placed on the docket February 28, 2024.

Beginning November 13, 2017, parties represented by counsel must submit filings through the Supreme Court's electronic filing system. Paper remains the official form of filing, and electronic filing is in addition to the existing paper submission requirement. Attorneys must register for the system in advance, and the registration process may take several days. Further information about the system can be found at <https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/electronicfiling.aspx>.

Ms. Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis  
PO Box 1889  
Beverly Hills, CA 90213  
(831) 383-3437

NOTE: This notice is for notification purposes only, and neither the original nor a copy should be filed in the Supreme Court.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

February 16, 2024

Deborah E. Gouch-Onassis  
PO Box 1889  
Beverly Hills, CA 90213

RE: Writ of Mandate

Dear Ms. Gouch-Onassis:

Please find enclosed correspondence dated from February 5, 2024.

Sincerely,  
Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
By:

  
Angela Jimenez  
(202) 479-3392

Enclosures

*Appendix B 7-3*

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

February 5, 2024

Deborah E. Gouch-Onassis  
PO Box 1889  
Beverly Hills, CA 90213

RE: Writ of Mandate

**COPY**

Dear Ms. Gouch-Onassis:

The above-entitled petition for an extraordinary writ of mandate was received on January 26, 2024. They are returned for the following reason(s):

The Rules of the Court make no provision for filing a writ of mandate. To the extent you meant to file an extraordinary writ of mandamus, your filing must comply with Rule 20.

The petition does not show how the writ will be in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction, what exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and why adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court. Rule 20.1.

No notarized affidavit or declaration of indigency is attached. Rule 39. You may use the enclosed form.

You must provide an original and 10 copies of your petition and motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Rule 20.2.

Sincerely,  
Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
By:

**COPY**  
Angela Jimenez  
(202) 479-3392

Enclosures

*Appendix B*  
7-A



## Supreme Court of California

JORGE E. NAVARRETE  
CLERK AND EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
OF THE SUPREME COURT

EARL WARREN BUILDING  
350 McALLISTER STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102  
(415) 865-7800

February 28, 2024

Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis  
P.O. Box 1889  
Beverly Hills, California 90213

Re: S282795 — *In Re G.L.*

Dear Ms. Gouch-Onassis:

No action may be taken on your documents received on February 5, 2024. The order of this court filed on January 8, 2024, denying the above-referenced vexatious litigant application, was final forthwith and may not be reconsidered or reinstated. Please rest assured, however, that the entire court considered the vexatious litigant application, and the contentions made therein, and the denial expresses the court's decision in this matter.

Very truly yours,

JORGE E. NAVARRETE  
Clerk and  
Executive Officer of the Supreme Court

By: D. Urzua, Assistant Deputy Clerk

cc: Rec.

Enclosure

Appendix C

7-5

JAN - 8 2024

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

  
Deputy

S282795

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

---

In re G.L. et al., Persons Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

---

MONTEREY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL & EMPLOYMENT SERVICES,  
Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

D.G., Defendant and Appellant.

---

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is  
hereby denied.

GUERRERO

---

*Chief Justice*

Appendix D

7-6



**County of Los Angeles  
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES**

510 S Vermont, Los Angeles, California 90020  
(213) 351-5602

BRANDON T NICHOLS  
Director

Board of Supervisors  
HILDA L. SOLIS  
First District  
 HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
Second District  
 SHEILA KUEHL  
Third District  
 JANICE HAHN  
Fourth District  
 KATHRYN BARGER  
Fifth District

August 22, 2022

*Elizabeth*  
Deborah Gouch - *Onassis*  
P.O. Box 1889  
Beverly Hills, CA 90213

**RE: Request for Child Abuse Central Index Grievance Review Hearing  
Suspected Child Abuse Referral Number 1532-5287-9702-7020074**

Dear Ms. Gouch - *Onassis*

The Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) previously received your request to review the submission of your identifying information to the California Department of Justice (DOJ) Child Abuse Central Index (CACI). Following a review of the information available, including your legal file, your request cannot be accepted or processed, as the information that was used to report your identifying information to the CACI Database was previously adjudicated in Juvenile Dependency Court in Department 405. California Department of Social Services (CDSS) Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) Division 31-021.31, No Grievance Review Hearing shall be required when a court of competent jurisdiction has determined that the suspected abuse or neglect has occurred, or when the allegation of child abuse or neglect resulting in the referral to the Child Abuse Central Index is pending, before the court. Because the allegations of abuse were determined to be true in Juvenile Dependency Court, you are ineligible for any legal relief and/or appeal of these findings. In the event that you are concerned about this information, please feel free to discuss this matter with a legal professional.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

---

Michael D. Watrobski MA MFT  
Chief Grievance Review Officer  
Office of CACI Appeals  
County of Los Angeles  
Department of Children and Family Services  
510 S Vermont #10-159  
Los Angeles, CA 90020  
[watrom@dcfs.lacounty.gov](mailto:watrom@dcfs.lacounty.gov)

*"To Enrich Lives... Our Effective and Caring Service"*

*Appendix E 7-7*

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis  
P.O. Box 160207  
Sacramento, CA 95816-0207

DATE: 12/08/2022

DEBORAH ELIZABETH GOUCHEONASSIS  
PO BX 1889  
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90213

\* \* \* \* \* CA DELAY NOTIFICATION \* \* \* \* \*

RE: This is to notify you of a delay in completing the following applicant transaction.

APP ORI: CA0349435  
APP NAME: DEBORAH ELIZABETH GOUCHEONASSIS  
APP TYPE: RECORD REVIEW  
APP TITLE: SEAL RECORDS  
APP SERVICE REQUESTED: CA/  
OCA:  
SID: A012407831  
DOB: 01/09/1967  
SSN: 1730  
CDL: A2510674  
ATI: B342GOD692  
DATI:  
DATE SUBMITTED: 12/08/2022  
SCN #: RE3P3420C08

APP ADDRESS: PO BX 1889 BEVERLY HILL CA 90213

Delays in processing are caused by many factors beyond the control of the Department of Justice. However, most processing delays are resolved and a completed response is provided within 30 business days. If there is no further response within this time frame, please call (916) 227-3849

Appendix F 7-8

Received  
13 Dec

BUREAU OF CRIMINAL INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS  
Child Abuse Central Index  
P.O. Box 903387  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94203-4170  
Telephone: (916) 210-4206  
Facsimile: (916) 227-3253  
Facsimile: (916) 227-6364

November 28, 2022

Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis  
PO BOX 1889  
Beverly Hills, CA 90213

RE: Child Abuse Central Index Name Search - Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis

The Department of Justice has received your notarized letter requesting a search of the Child Abuse Central Index (CACI). Using your name and other personal descriptor information, the search results did not match any report on file.

If you have further questions, please contact our agency at (916) 210-4206.

Child Abuse Index Unit

Sam Denlap  
Sam.Denlap@doj.gov

Appendix F-1

7-9

## The Reason for Shanty Rehearsal

Petitioner request that Supreme Court of the United States hear this case is because Extraordinary Request for hearing, no other Court in the land can grant it, it is not in scope of the Supreme Court of California San Francisco. Petitioner believes that there was a very miscarriage of justice which only this court can undo.

Petitioner request that this case be remanded to lower Courts for Correction of my records which the Court and petitioner agree with for the children to placed in my parents care until I could get them. Petitioner has never been a child abuser and is not in the CACI System as Los Angeles DCFS never corrected. This has costed me my children, I never got them back or my nephew.

1 Also, it has cost me a chance with  
2 my grandchild and her sibling as of  
3 October 2021. My children are grown 36, 33, 30  
4 I never got two Certificate of Compliance  
5 Children back.

6  
7 No. \_\_\_\_\_

8  
9 Deborah Elizabeth Gouch Chasses  
10  
11 Petitioner,

12  
13 V.

14  
15 State of California Supreme Court  
16 Monterey Superior Court  
17 Monterey County Family and Children  
18 Services  
19 Department of Children and Family  
20 Services, Los Angeles  
21  
22 Respondents,

23 As required by the Supreme Court Rule 33.1(b)  
24 I certify that the Petition for Writ of Mandate  
25 Contains less than 30 Pages, excluding the  
26  
27

1 the parts of the Petition that are  
2 exempted by Supreme Court Rule 32(d)  
3

4 I declare under the penalty of Perjury  
5 that the foregoing is true and correct.  
6  
7

8 Executed on : 19 May 2024  
9

10 ~~Beloved Elizabeth Birch has~~  
11 ~~formally Foster, formally Foster~~  
12

13 Certificate of Good Faith & Good Standing  
14

15 Writs Mandate is on the Supreme Court  
16 of the United States has Constitutional grounds  
17 given to be heard by the Justices. Petitioner  
18 has under the penalty of perjury in the State of  
19 California, County of Los Angeles has honestly  
20 Pleaded all documents Superior Court of California  
21 Monterey County and Los Angeles County, Sixth  
22 Appellate Court, San Jose and California  
23 Supreme Court, San Francisco, CA.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


1  
2 Certificate of Counsel

3  
4 The Undersigned Certifies that the  
5 matter should be heard in Supreme  
6 Court of the United States, Question  
7 US from California Supreme Court.  
8

9 I declare under the penalty of perjury  
10 that the laws of the State of California  
11 that the foregoing is true and correct.  
12

13 Executed: 19 May 2024

14 Respectfully  
15


16   
17 Deborah Elizabeth French-  
18 Grassy  
19   
20 Jamilly Foster, Esq.  
21   
22 Jonathan S. Sackett  
23

24 Conclusion

25 Petitioner request that the Supreme Court of the U.S.  
26 Grant the Request for Rehearing to FRCP  
27 given FRCP 60(A) FRCP(B)

28 Executed: 19 May 2024

Respectfully,

  
Deborah Elizabeth French-Grassy  
Jamilly Foster, Jonathan S. Sackett