

ORIGINAL

No. ~~23-6844~~

FILED

FEB 23 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

In The Supreme Court of the United States

In Re: Heloah Elizabeth Louch-Chassis
Petitioner.

VS.
Supreme Court of California
State of California State Hearing

Monterey Superior Court

Edmund D. Edelman Children Court

Monterey County Family and Children Services
6th District, Court of Appeals

Department of Children and Family Services
Los Angeles

Extradinary Writ of Mandamus-

California Supreme Court 3282795

Heloah Elizabeth Louch-Chassis
PO Box 1889
Buneshills, CA

90213

831-383-3437

Pro Per

No. _____

In The Supreme Court of the United States

In Re: Nicholas Elizabeth Louch-Chassis
Petitioner,

VS.
Supreme Court of California
State of California State Hearing

Monterey Superior Court

Edmund D. Edelman Children Court

Monterey County Family and Children Services
6th District, Court of Appeals

Department of Children and Family Services
Los Angeles

Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus-

California Supreme Court 3282795

Nicholas Elizabeth Louch-Chassis
PO Box 1884
Beverly Hills, CA

96213

831-383-3437

Pro Per

Questions Presented

1. Incorrect information in DCFS
Los Angeles County files.

2. Stolen Identity

3. Petitioner is not in the CACI System.

4. County, State refused to correct in
the System as of 1999.

List of Parties

Monterey County Superior Court
Juvenile Court
240 Church Street
Salinas, CA 93901

Monterey County Family
and Children Services
1000 S. Main St.
Salinas, CA 93901

Edmund D. Edelman Children's Court
201 Centre Plaza West
Monterey Park, CA 91754

Department of Children
and Family Services
Attn: Brandon Nichols
501 S. Vermont Ave
Los Angeles, CA
90020

1 California Supreme Court
2
3 350 McAllister Street
4 San Francisco, CA
5 94102
6
7

Cour of Appeals,
Six Appellate Districts
333 W. Santa Clara
San Jose, CA 95113

8 State of California
9 State Hearing
10
11

12 Sacramento, California
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Table of Content

Question Presented

1

List of Parties

1-2

Table of Content

3

Appendix

3-1

Jurisdiction

4

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

4

Statement of Case

4-9

The Reason For Granting this Writ of Mandate

9-10

Certificate of Compliance

10

Certificate of Good Standings and Faith

11

Verification

12

Certificate of Counsel

13

Conclusion

13

Certificate of Service

14

Jurisdiction

The date on which California Supreme Court decided my decision was on 8 January 2024. Upon request Petitioner of new evidence, Petitioner request to be heard

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

Federal Rule 60(a)

Federal Rule 60(b)

Statement of Facts

Children were taken back in 1999 from Petitioner, I was upset because the information was wrong. I went to court and cleared it up with Judge Emily Stevens. I had got into a fight with gang members threatening our building. The statement made was I would slit your throat and drink your blood.

1 I made a statement to young members not
2 my nephew Henry W. Ramph Jr. The judge
3 did reprimand me that I should not
4 have said that and I apologize to her.
5 For the hearing I was not notified for
6 CACI Hearing. I did write a letter to
7 that stating that I had a deadline
8 in 9th Circuit, Los Angeles with the
9 US Army. As court proceeded the
10 Judge told me to go get myself together
11 and get my children. At the time my
12 ex-husband Charles Ray Foster came to
13 Court and got the children out of Foster Care.
14 Even though I had written a letter
15 of the error with the CACI, it was
16 placed in the files. My exhusband immediately
17 giving me spousal support, and filed

for divorce, even though we were
California Residents for me as of November
1986. Court Continued by that time the
Judge asked where were the children.
I told her that they were in Chicago (Harvey)
Illinois with my parents. She was
upset and Ordered the children to be
in the care of my parents until I could
get a place and care for my children.
He later went to my parents home got
the children and filed for divorce May 2002.
He left me with no money and filed
for divorce in Arkansas. I had left the
US Army as a civilian 23 Jul 1997 in
a retaliatory discharge and was trying to
receive Workers' Compensation. I had
no income until September 1998. I
am still fight for my Workers Compensation

as of Today 26 years later. My ex-husband
had an told the court I was unfit, and that
I did not have 12 years vested in the
marriage. At the time of the initial divorce
hearing, I was in Chicago, Illinois in
Court as my ex husband No. 2 wife
Jasie Rockett had left my son for dead
in Chicago and I had no knowledge of
this until 9/11 my mom thought the
world was going to end. So, the State
of Illinois flew me in for Court from
Los Angeles for Court and Visitation.

My attorney in the divorce called
me with short notice to be in Arkansas
and I explained to him that I could
not because my son was in Court
and foster care and could be ask

7

1 he said he would try but he really didn't.
2 The divorce was granted, I got nothing
3 I had 7 Attorney that did nothing in
4 my behalf, then the attorney claimed that
5 he was trying to get as back into court
6 it took 3 years. I went to Appeals
7 Court and finally Arkansas Supreme
8 Court and still nothing. And My military
9 case was dropped in the United States
10 Supreme Court for \$110 million, with
11 a Right to Sue letter from CEOCRA
12 The late Jogo D. West, my case was
13 dropped for asking for a continuance twice.
14 I had 55 Federal Statues against the
15 U.S Army with the help of Leon Panetta
16 which was my congressman at the time.
17 The only thing I was guilty of was being
18 sleep when DCF's came to my home.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Reason for Granting the WRIT of Mandate

Petitioner request that Supreme Court of the United States hear this case is because extraordinary Writ of Mandate, no other court in the land can grant it, it is not in Scope of the Supreme Court of California San Francisco. Petitioner believes that there was a very messarrage of justice which only this court can undo.

Petitioner request that this case be remanded to lower courts for Correction of my records which the court and petitioner agree with for the children to placed in my parents care until I could get them. Petitioner has never been a child abuser and is not in the CACI System as Los Angeles DCFS never corrected. This has costed me my Children, I never got them back or my nephew.

Also, it has cost me a chance with
my grandchild and her siblings as of
October 2021. My children are grown 35, 32, 30
I never got two Certificate of Compliance
Children back.

No. _____

Deborah Elizabeth Gough-Grasses
Petitioner,

Supreme Court of the State of California
State of California State Hearing
Monterey Superior Court
Monterey County Family and Children Services
Edward D. Edelman Court
Department of Children and Family
Services, Los Angeles
10th District, Court of Appellate Respondents,

As required by the Supreme Court Rule 33.1(a)
I certify that the Petition for Writ of Mandate
Contains less than 30 Pages, including the

the parts of the Petition & it are
exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33(d)

I declare under the penalty of perjury
that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on : 18 January 2024

~~Deborah Elizabeth Hough Chase~~
~~Formally Foster, Formally Foster~~

Certificate of Good Faith & Good Standing


Writ of Mandate is on the Supreme Court
of the United States has constitutional grounds
given to be heard by the Justices. Petitioner
has under the penalty of perjury in the State of
California, County of Los Angeles has honestly
pledged all documents Superior Court of California
Monterey County and Los Angeles County, Sixth
Appellate Court, San Jose and California
Supreme Court, San Francisco, CA.

1 With due diligence and honesty, Petitioner
2 has used all legal resource to expedite
3 the case without delay to the Court System.
4
5

6
7 Verification
8

9 This is to Verify that I am the Petitioner
10 in this case, and all documents and
11 statements are true and correct,
12

13 Executed: 18 January 2024 Respectfully,
14
15
16
17

18 
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Certificate of Counsel

The Undersigned Certifies that this matter should be heard in Supreme Court of the United States, Jurisdiction is from California Supreme Court.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: 18 January 2024

Respectfully

Deborah Elizabeth Gonsky
Formally Justly, Formally
Society

Conclusion

Petitioners request that the Supreme Court of the U.S. Grant this Writ of Mandate due to FRCP Given FRCP 60(A) FRCP(B)

Executed: 18 January 2024

Respectfully,

13

Deborah Elizabeth Gonsky
Formally Justly, Formally
Society