

23-6719

No.:

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY

WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION

IN RE ANTHONY MICHAEL DELAROSA - PETITIONER,

VS.

UNITED STATES, et al. - RESPONDENT(S).

ON PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF MANDAMUS

AND PROHIBITION TO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - DISTRICT OF OREGON


Name: Anthony Michael Delarosa, SID #16396753

Address: Snake River Correctional Institution

777 Stanton Blvd.,

Ontario, Oregon 97914

Signed: AM c p 4



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

With such clear and consire evidence presented within the annexed documents & exhibits, herein, Petitioner prays that this Honorable Court review the totality of the matter beginning from the Washington County, State of Oregon, Circuit Court, leading to the Oregon Appellate Court, and finally to the United States District Court, Court of Oregon, and all other court faculties in between including, but not limited to, the Oregon State Bar, and, the Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability; Also the United States Court of Appeals Federal Judicial Misconduct Complaints and ask, " Could a case of this magnitude be especially significant to the federal judicial system and to the whole of the nation?" " Could a case such as this, if decided upon, prevent extreme miscarriages of justice ~~against~~ caused by groups or networks of corrupt actors committing crimes constituting the R.I.C.O. Act?" Or put more simply, " If so, should it be ruled upon, for case law?" I fervently belief these things should be so for the future.

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page, and annexed Exhibits.

☒ ^{Some} ~~All~~ parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: All other parties applicable to the "et al." are labeled in the 42 USC § 1983 Complaints and annexed from within the system accordingly.

JURISDICTION

In regards to the evidence and issues presented, Petitioner is unable to cite very much to specific dates and events due to prison officials retaliating and keeping suppressed Petitioner's legal property from his possession [SEE 'Special Housing Law Library Request Form' Exhibits herein].

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a).

* Also, 28 U.S.C. § 2403(a), 28 U.S.C. § 2403(b), and/or 28 U.S.C. § 451 may be applicable as well.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Including, but not limited to, the First, Sixth, Eighth, ~~and~~ Fourteenth, and Seventh Amendments of the United States Constitution.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. In June of 2021, Petitioner was jumped and assaulted by multiple family members and neighbors and was subjected to serious physical injury including multiple abrasions, a broken nose, and a fractured right orbital (eye); Petitioner was taken to a Portland Hospital, outside the Beaverton Police jurisdiction; CATs were taken.
2. Beaverton Police then arrested Petitioner only, and charged him with two counts of Felony Assault in the Fourth Degree; Charges were endorsed by the Washington County District Attorney and a deputy district attorney proceeded to issue the accusatory instrument despite the obvious illegalities which would include overwhelming evidence of Ballot Measure 11s according to Oregon State laws, caused by the culpable persons involved.
3. Petitioner faced many illegalities with appointed counsel and therefore filed Oregon State Bar complaints, opted to represent himself pro se with advisory counsel, and asserted his State and Federal rights.
4. Throughout the proceedings, Petitioner is subject to hearings that are seeming as mock trials or kangaroo court hearings, for example, when Petitioner went before a judge [Christopher C. Colburn] for a Motion To Withdraw [As Counsel] (filed by appointed counsel Brenden Hooks), the judge saw that there was a breakdown in the attorney/client relationship, terminated him as counsel, granted Petitioner's motion to represent himself, and then re-appointed the terminated counselor as "advisory counsel." Throughout the proceedings there were state and federal violations especially during trial when the police officers involved in the case were either intentionally or unintentionally not subpoenaed by the State to testify about their submitted accusations. Petitioner also had a case that was reversed and remanded, and temporarily made nugatory, by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals which effected the Petitioner's criminal history, sentencing grid-block score, (if any), and testimony* impeachment issues. [It should've been a mistrial or acquittal on that one specific basis*]... not to mention Petitioner attempted to make the Court aware of such a Federal Mandate & Judgment at a Motion For Omnibus Hearing, pretrial, but was erroneously ignored and denied on the record. There was also a pending probation violation against Petitioner which was a recommended 90-day jail sanction; At that point in time on December 8th, 2021, Petitioner had already illegally served over-time served at 163 days; Instead of merging and consolidating, the judge [Ramon A. Pagan], issued 45 months.
5. Petitioner attempted to appeal once incarcerated after losing at trial the very next day on December 9th, 2021, while at the Coffee Creek Correctional Facilities (Male Intake Center). Throughout the appeal process, the illegalities that were dished out upon Petitioner at Washington County's jail and system were the exact same in manner and harm with appointed counsel Anne Fujita Munsey in the appellate court.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED...

6. In this year of 2023, Petitioner attempted to file two separate 42 USC §1983 Complaints and was illegally denied entry and proper action into federal court by two separate judges... one U.S. District Court Judge Ann L. Aiken, and a U.S. Magistrate Judge Mustafa T. Kasubhai. The way in which these officials went about denying Petitioner's federal actions constitutes moral turpitudes and extreme miscarriages of justice. [SEE Exhibits presented herein].
7. Accordingly Federal Judicial Complaints of Misconduct were filed to the Judicial Council of the United States Court of Appeals at 625 Indiana Ave., Suite 900, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20004-2950. Petitioner has not received any response from there to date...
8. As can be viewed in the Exhibits presented herein, Petitioner attempted to send a letter of importance and complaint to the United States Attorney General, with evidence, however was illegally denied indigent mailing services & indigent legal copies by the Special Housing Law Library coordinator at Snake River Correctional Institution. Petitioner thereafter attempted to do the same process and petition, attempting to send evidence and request for inquiry to the United Nations World Court in New York, however was illegally denied indigent legal services in the same manner. [SEE Exhibits annexed to the Letters & Petition, also the Declarations].
9. Aside from these things, and while imprisoned, Petitioner has ~~been~~ been assaulted and injured, has been denied adequate mental and physical health treatment, if or when injured or having difficulties, and cannot get a reasonable word in edgewise with any of the correctional officials around him, whether verbal or written communication. Officials beginning at the state level, leading all the way up to the federal level are impeding on Petitioner's constitutional rights to pursue law as in Due Process, and these officials are seeming to be a large criminal network tyrannically oppressing Petitioner; not to mention they are doing so overtly as these things are in open court and have a vast digital and/or paper-trail of proof.

DECLARATION OF ANTHONY MICHAEL DELAROSA ****

I, Anthony Michael Delarosa, the submitting movant in this Petition for an Extraordinary Writ, hereof, hereby Certify and Declare the following:

1. I am unlawfully incarcerated at the Snake River Correctional Institution in Ontario, Oregon, and, am unlawfully detained in the Special Housing Unit "IMU\DSU" section of SRCI.
2. All of the Exhibits presented herein are certified true copies.
3. All supplemental documents presented as Exhibits are necessary for the overall scope of the circumstances and provable law violations caused by public and correctional officials.
4. I made a due diligent effort to reach out to the United States Attorney General however was denied access to indigent legal photocopying and mailing services by the Special Housing Law Library Coordinator of SRCI.
5. I made another due diligent effort to reach out to the other high court that our Nation is annexed to, (the United Nation World Court) in New York, however was denied indigent photocopying and mailing services the same.
6. I will also make an attempt to send all of these documents herein to the Solicitor General of the United States in accordance with Rule 29 of the U.S. Supreme Court Rules.
7. At this time and country, some legal services are not being denied, but as for the many that are being sporadically denied, I cannot reasonably or openly present a notion or motive as to why I'm being subject to this level of oppression and retaliation; I cannot openly do so at this time.
8. Aside from this mass network of state and federal officials, (both public and correctional), blatantly and openly undermining the laws of the United States, they are also violating the balance.

I hereby Declare that these statements are correct and the full truth to the best of my knowledge, belief, and awareness, and I fully comprehend that this is to be evidence for open court in the Highest Courts of our United States and is subject to penalty for perjury.

DATED this 28th day of December, 2023.

Signed By:  74
Print name: Anthony Michael Delarosa
SID #: 16396753
Address: SRCI
777 Stanton Blvd
Ontario, Oregon 97914

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This Petition for an Extraordinary Writ should be granted because not only has Petitioner exhausted every possible remedy within both state and Federal applications, but also he has no other remedy, is in physical danger, mental anguish and turmoil, and is beyond injured.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted; Specifically an Extraordinary Writ is in order, pursuant to Rule 20 of U.S. Supreme Court Rules, and whatever else the Court deems fit in the Interest of Justice.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony Michael DeLaRosa

Date: December 28th, 2023