

No.

FILED

JAN 03 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

(Texas) vs.

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

(Your Name)

(Address)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- ① How many Double Jeopardy's does Austin Texas get to commit and keep killing on cases?
- ② Does Austin Texas get to commit many constitutional errors and not have to answer to them.
- ③ Due Process 14th Amendment

## LIST OF PARTIES

- [ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Petitioner Lamar Lovett

Respondents (Texas) Brian Collier

## RELATED CASES

- State v. Gasser 355 So 342 La Lexis 1967, 2021  
WL 5999978 (La 5th Cir Dec 16 2021)
- Fong Foo v. U.S. 369 U.S. 141 (1962)
- Sandoval v. State 665 S.W. 3d 496
- ONTON v. Johnson 467 U.S. 493 (1984)
- Green v. U.S. 355 U.S. 189 (1957)

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

State v Gasser 355 86 342 La Ltr 1967-2021  
WL 5999978 (La 5th Cir Dec 16 2021)  
Fong Foo v. U.S. 369 U.S. 141 (1962)  
Ollie Johnson 467 U.S. 493 (1984)  
Green v. U.S. 189 U.S. 189 (1957)  
Sandvol v State 665 S.W. 3d 496

### STATUTES AND RULES

The 5th ~~Amendment~~ Amendment  
The 14th Amendment

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Court of Criminal Appeals court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 12-6-2023.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 12-6-23, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The 5th Amendment and the 14th Amendment  
Right to Due Process also In the 5th Amendment  
Right to Double Jeopardy Protections

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On December 16th 2010. I was convicted of a Betrayment, The Original Charges were not Dismissed or Replied ~~to~~ until after Jeopardy Attached at Trial on Conviction. The Lesser Included offense was included. It was also unconstitutionally vacated after the Conviction of it. A Conviction of a Lesser Included offense is a verdict of acquittal on the Greater offense. That I am doing 70 years for right now in Texas. Several Double Jeopardys have been committed. In the same Case on Trial, Look at the Rendition and Pleasurals. It is plain on the face of the documents. Look at the Dates they were filed

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Constitution grants right to due  
process and Double Jeopardy.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Date: 

---