


ORIGINAL

23-6660
No. _____



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

^{since} Douglas Manning, Pose — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

State of New Jersey — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of NJ, 25 Market St, Trenton NJ 08625
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Douglas Manning #451366
465528C
(Your Name)

Lock Bag R (NJDoc)
(Address)

Railway NJ 07065
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- I. Belief amounting to conviction that it's true (I know, I'm satisfied, etc)
- III. Belief that it's true, yet consciously recognized as not convincing
- II. Lack of belief that it's true, which may be: (A) Belief or Knowledge (conviction) that it's false, or (b) Lack of definite belief either way (reckless statements)

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- I. Honorable Stuart Rabner, Chief Justice, Supreme Court of NJ
- II. Honorable Carmen Messano, Presiding Judge for Administration
- III. Joseph H. Orlando, Esq. Clerk of the Appellate Division
- IV. Heather Joy Baker, Clerk of Supreme Court of New Jersey
- V. Carol M. Henderson, Assistant Attorney General No. 019281978
- VI. Matthew J. Plakin, Attorney for Plaintiff-Respondent
- VII. Philip D. Murphy, Governor of NJ, Melissa H. Raksa Esq. Atty.
- VIII. Derek Nececkas, Acting Director for Respondent
- IX. John K. Grant, Deputy Clerk Appellate Division Case Processing
- X. Marie C. Hanley, Esq. Deputy Clerk, Administrative Service Appellate Division
- XI. Stacy A. Fols, Esq. Director, Central Research, Appellate Division

Related Case

Manning v. Sgt. St. Paul, et al. Docket No. 22-7477, U.S. Supreme Court, No. 2-23-cv-01623-MCA-MAH, 3-21-2023 initial Pleading

State of NJ v. Douglas Manning G. Docket No. 088197, No. A000906-22-T2 Writ of Mandamus, 6-10-2023

Douglas Manning v. Administrator, East Jersey State Prison, et al. Docket No. 22-7789, U.S. Supreme Court. June 15, 2023, Writ of Certiorari

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	State of NJ V. Douglas Manning Docket No. A-000906-22 T2 Appellate court notice of Appeal, 12-21-2022 Superior court of NJ
APPENDIX B	State of NJ V. Douglas Manning Docket No. A-000906-22 T2 Appellate court Order Dismissing Appeal, 2-15-2023 Superior court of NJ
APPENDIX C	State of NJ V. Douglas Manning Docket No. 088197. Supreme court, Order Granted (Pc), (NPc), MPI, 6-31-2023 Chief Justice Judgement
APPENDIX D	State of NJ V. Douglas Manning Docket No. 088197. Supreme court order denied (Pc) 9-19-2023 Chief Justice Judgement
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
I. State of NJ V. Douglas Manning, Docket No. A-000906-22-T2, Notice of Appeal December 21, 2022 Appellate court Superior court of NJ	(5)
II. State of NJ V. Douglas Manning, Docket No. A-000906-22-T2 Notice of Appeal November 30, 2022 Appellate court Superior court of NJ	(5)
III. State of NJ V. Douglas Manning, Docket No. A-000906-22-T2 Judgement Dismissing Appeal, 2-15-2023, Appellate court Superior court of NJ	(5)
IV. State of NJ V. Douglas Manning, Docket No. 088197, Judgement Granted (PC), (NPC). Supreme court of NJ Chief Justice	(5)
V. State of NJ V. Douglas Manning, Docket No. 088197, Judgement Denied (PC) 9-19-2023 Supreme court of NJ Chief Justice	(5)
VI. State of NJ V. Douglas Manning, Docket. 088197-A-000906-22-T2 Writ of Mandamus Superior court 7-10-2023	(5)
VII. R: 5-1, R: 2:5-1	(5)
V. R: 1:4-1	(5)
VI. Douglas Manning V. Nogan, et al Docket No. 2-23-cv-00279, U.S. Court of Appeal for the third circuit. 3-15-2023 (COA)	(5)
VII. Douglas Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, et al, Docket No. 22-7477 Supreme court of U.S. April 7, 2023 Writ of certiorari Denied 10-02-2023	(5)
VIII. Douglas Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, et al, Docket No. 23-1052 U.S. court of Appeal for the third circuit 9-11-2023 closed	(5)
OTHER	
IX. State of NJ V. Douglas Manning, Docket No. A-000906-22-T2, No. 088197 motion in lieu of A Prerogative Writ, Superior court of NJ, 6/10/2023	(5)
X. Douglas Manning V. Administrator, East Jersey state Prison, et al Docket Number 22-7789 Supreme court of the U.S. June 14, 2023 Writ of Certiorari. Denied 10-02-2023	(5)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Appellate Div superior court court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 6-31-2023.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 9-19-2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix D.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including 6-31-2023 (date) on 9-19-2023 (date) in Application No. C A 000906-22T2

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

005 Civil rights, Title 59
699 tort
618 Law against Discrimination
701 Action in lieu of Prerogative writs

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

United States Constitution

New Jersey Constitution

United States Code Annotated

Federal Supplement

United State Report

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Motion in lieu of A Prerogative writ
Appendix C
U.S.C, N.J.C, U.S.C.A, F.S, U.S.R

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Finding Common law the Wrong law by First Law of Nature discretion reverberated throughout the system statutory law Follow UP Toughness Law against Vice, Criminal Justice Make Dangerous Psychological Violence hopelessly on array of Well Known Biases Not Reporting Procedure written Notice Substantative Due Process Lengthy Prison term, More (AIUSA) Amnesty source applied standard extending the Protection of constitutional right to Prisoner yet to be resolved, accountability of unforeseeable inspired attack, Not hate excessive anonymity an account of race, color, religion, Sex or National Origin. Taken holding in Confinement without the Force of law. Psychological Pressure cause unsanitary and inhumane upon rehabilitation. Sentenced to endure a long list of other hardships including Permanent Loss of Rights to Privacy made clear that Fourteenth amendment due-Process clause incorporated the Cruel and unusual Punishment clause, thereby make it applicable to the state as well as to the Federal Government organization received inadequate treatment For suffering, inadequate Medical Prescription For Pain. Any willful and unlawful use of force or violence Provision For Mandatory Report by Professional.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I have been previously Granted Motion For leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis other thing in list of Parties Related case. Federal District court of N.J. Third circuit Court of Appeal until a Petition for rehearing, Sua-on Pursuant. Manning V. St. Paul. No. 22-7477 Petition civil right 42.U.S.C. At 1983. Amended Complaint, Prayed for injunctive relief declaratory relief and damages For other thing disciplinary civil liberty deprivation of Procedural due Process in connection with N.J. Dept. of correction. District court Granted summary Judgement in favor of the Prison officials civil right claim. Monetary liable for their action on the same basic that a state official would be. Third circuit Court of Appeal, Granted a (COA). on the civil complaint claim of ineffective assistance of Counsel at the critical stage of Plea bargaining. Motion by Appellant For Certificate of Appealability.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I have been Previously Granted Motion for leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis other thing in list of Parties-related case. Federal District court of N.J., Third circuit court of Appeal, until a Petition for rehearing. Pursuant Manning V. NOGan. NO.22-7789. Petition Amended Federal habeas Corpus raised six claim, I. lack of a Knowing and Voluntary Plea, II. ineffective assistance of Counsel during the investigative and trial Preparation, III. ineffective assistance of counsel during the Plea Negotiation entry stage of case. Monetary liable for their action on the same basic that a state official would be liable. Civil right 42.U.S.C. At 1983. Constitution right, 2253. Entitled habeas relief under, A.E.D.P.A. antiterrorism and effective Death Penalty Act N.J.S.A. Const. Article 1, Part 1. Ineffective assistance of Appellate Counsel, Third Circuit court Appeal Granted (COA) on the civil Complaint claim of ineffective assistance of counsel at the Critical Stage of Plea bargaining. Certificate of Appealability.

APPendix C

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

APPendix C

5 APPIed Standard Motion APPELLant has Failed to Procecute

The APPEal Notion of APPEal, Not aPPEalable in this
APPELLate court must be APPEaled in Federal Court,
The aPPEal Filed in this District court, the Civil
DisOBedience violate Eighth amendment right and
Fourteenth amendment right must be withdrawn
Motion on Own Motion you included is addressed
to the District court, order Dismissing APPEal
burden of Proof is Placed on the court Administration
OF law that do Not Violate the very foundation
OF Civil Liberties extending the Protection of Constitu-
Tional right to Prisoners. AMNesty Prove inhumane
Adversary system in Declaration of right (1689) was
enacted to Affirm, Specific Privileges guaranteed to the
People such as the right to Petition Citizen under
Our Law need right to survived Condition in confine-
ment or corporal Punishment in Prison APPELLate
Court Motion have to be aPPEalable in Federal Court.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas Manning #451366
4655286

Date: November 02, 2023