


ORIGINAL

No. 23-6651



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Falastin Martin

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

JS Government and et al

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Federal appellate court in Richmond VA

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Falastin Martin

(Your Name)

13119 Autumn Woods Way

(Address)

Fairfax VA 22033

(City, State, Zip Code)

571-461-9172

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Will the courts recognize that individuals that work for the U.S. Government are not above U.S. law? Will the courts realize that it's of national importance to follow rules and laws?

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Advent Health University and Nova Southeastern University, Douglas County Sheriff and Douglas County Human Services, Alexandria City and Fairfax police department, Domestic violence solutions shelter and St Vincent Shelter, and my employers. The FBI and the other government agencies involved.

RELATED CASES

Originating case number: 1:22-
cv-00197-LMB-IDD

U.S. Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit

22- 1404

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 08/15/23.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

“The Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex or national origin. Provisions of this civil rights act forbade discrimination on the basis of sex, as well as, race in hiring, promoting, and firing. The Act prohibited discrimination in public accommodations and federally funded programs”.

<https://www.dol.gov>

The defendants violated my civil rights since the year of 2007-until the present time. Moreover, the defendants do not qualify for immunity because they abused their positions of authority by violating rules and laws, and because they neglected to document, investigate and follow-up on the concerns and reports.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I am being forced to handle this conflict that is of great national importance through non-criminal agencies despite it being a criminal matter. This type of corruption affects the entire nation.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. There's a conflict among the lower courts, police officers, social workers, and attorneys. Conservatives seem to outnumber liberals and seem to justify violating the rules and laws.
 2. This conflict is of great importance and affects more individuals other than myself.
-

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Falastin Martin

Date: **09/20/2023**
