


(9)

No. 23-6462

ORIGINAL



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Arthur Harris

Arthur Harris — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

Shellpoint — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Court of Appeals 9th Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Arthur Harris
(Your Name)

1902 2nd Ave # 520
(Address)

Seattle, WA 98101
(City, State, Zip Code)

206-640 3598
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Although Dicta in The Court of Appeals decision in this case, is their Kimble Case that they cited against Petitioner is unconstitutional in violation of The United States Constitutional Separation of Power Clause, especially so, because nor the Supreme Court or Congress made no such rule before hand. and did the District Court and the Court of Appeals in this case deny Petitioner Due process of Law when they failed to address many of his claims set forth in his opening brief because of that Kimble Case Decision. and did the Court of Appeals Kimble Case decision conflict with all of the other Circuits opinions on this same point.

(11)

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

(12)
(12)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	see exhibit (A) and (B)	1
JURISDICTION	This Court has Jurisdiction	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED		
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	See exhibit C	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	Matter of public importance	
CONCLUSION		

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

(14)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

(15)

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 9/11/2023.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[X] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 9/11/2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

(16)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

United States Separation of power clause

5th amendment Due process clause

(17)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

See EXHIBIT on are about September 30, ~~2020~~ 2020
Appellant Filed a Lawsuit against Shellpoint Claimm.
That They charge Him addition money For mort-
mortg (C)ge under. In The District Court a
Few months Later, The District Court Rule That
Harris Could not Sue Shellpoint under the
Statute and That He Lacked Standing To Sue Under
Calif

(18)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

matter of public importance

Decision conflicts with other
circuit opinions

19)

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

X am Hren

X Date: 12/19/2023