


No. U.S. APPENDIX 23-35482.  
**23-6457**

**ORIGINAL**

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



PETER GRIGG — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

BARBARA BENSON — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)


PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PETER GRIGG  
(Your Name)

3055 HWY 2W  
(Address)

KALISPELL MONTANA 59901  
(City, State, Zip Code)

406 253 5381  
(Phone Number)



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

VIOLATIONS OF US & MT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS

VIOLATIONS OF US COURTS JUDICIAL CANONS.

VIOLATIONS OF MONTANA CODE ANNOTATED

VIOLATION OF THE "AMERICAN CODE" (ALL PARTIES PAY OWN ATTORNEY FEES) - EXCESSIVE PUNISHMENT

FURTHER EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED, ADMITTED  
AND RELIED UPON AT JURY TRIAL - PER US  
CONSTITUTION.

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

DR-20-79

DA-21-0195.

AND ALL CASES DISMISSED IN MONTANA;  
VEXATIOUS LITIGANT CLAIM (CHIEF JUSTICE MIKE  
MC GRATH) - TRIPLE JEOPARDY, EXCESSIVE PUNISHMENT  
AND POLITICAL/NATIONAL ORIGIN DISCRIMINATION

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A ORDER DISMISSING DC 9:23-CV-00067-DLC.

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

DR 20-79  
DA 21-0195.

### PAGE NUMBER

### STATUTES AND RULES

US CONSTITUTION.

MT CONSTITUTION.

US COURTS JUDICIAL CANONS

CIVIL RIGHTS 1964

MONTANA CODE ANNOTATED.

MONTANA DISTRICT COURT RULES

### OTHER

FURTHER EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED, ADMITTED AND  
RELIED UPON AT JURY TRIAL - PER US CONSTITUTION

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is  
 reported at 29<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2023; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## **JURISDICTION**

**[ ] For cases from federal courts:**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

**[ ] For cases from state courts:**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

US CONSTITUTION: 1<sup>ST</sup>, 5<sup>TH</sup>, 6<sup>TH</sup>, 7<sup>TH</sup> & 8<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENTS

MT CONSTITUTION:

CIVIL RIGHTS 1964 SECTION VII

US COURTS JUDICIAL CANONS 1, 2, 3.

TRIPLE JEOPARDY / EXCESSIVE PUNISHMENT, SOCIAL AND NATIONAL DISCRIMINATION.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

JUDGE MATTHEW CUFFE RECUSED HIMSELF PRIOR TO SUMMONS ISSUANCE ON PETITIONER (DR 2079) YET CONTINUED FURTHER IN THE CAUSE WITH BIAS, DISCRIMINATION, EXTREME PREJUDICE, MALICE, EXCESSIVE PUNISHMENT AND RETALIATION.

DEFENDANT BENSON RECEIVED THE ABOVE CASE ON THE MORNING OF THE ONLY HEARING (UNFAMILIAR WITH THE CASE) AND EVEN THOUGH "CONFUSED" (TRANSCRIPT) AWARDED EVERYTHING TO MRS GRIGG IN THE DISSOLUTION - VIOLATION OF JUDICIAL CANONS, EXCESSIVE PUNISHMENT AND CONTEMPT OF A PRO SE LITIGANT.

OBJECTION TO THE FINDINGS OF FACT (ALL PROVEN TO BE FALSE, FRAUDULENT & PERJURY) WAS IGNORED - VIOLATION OF RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS AND RIGHT TO APPEAL.

FURTHER EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED, ADMITTED AND RELIED UPON AT JURY TRIAL - PER US CONSTITUTION

DEFENDANT BENSON HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE PETITIONER (DR DAVID NEUMAN - LONG TIME LOVER, & PODIATRIST OF MRS GRIGG) YET REFUSED SUBSTITUTION.

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

VIOLATIONS OF RIGHTS.


CONTEMPT OF A PRO SE LITIGANT.

TRIPLE JEOPARDY/EXCESSIVE PUNISHMENT; SOCIAL,  
FINANCIAL AND POLITICAL DISCRIMINATION.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 3<sup>RD</sup> OCTOBER 2023.