

No. 23-2334  
**23-6389**

**ORIGINAL**

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
**DEC 26 2023**  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Michael L. Boyd — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Lay, LeWarden et al. RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Michael L. Boyd  
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 970  
(Address)

Marianna, FL 72360  
(City, State, Zip Code)

112  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

QUESTION Number #1 is it not cruel and unusual Punishment to denied inmate medical supplies that is need for treatment.

QUESTION Number #2 is it not when a patient has a ileostomy Pouch THE SUPPLIES is needed to stop leak's. Pertaining to surgeon THOSE's supplies is needed.

QUESTION Number #3 is it or not deliberate indifference to an inmate violates Eighth Amendment is it cruel and unusual Punishments when it's a need.

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

#1 see Helling v McKinney also Wilson v Seiter

#2 Estelle v Gamble #3 West Lynn Creamery Inc, et al.

#4 Watson Commissioner of Massachusetts Department of Food and Agriculture

#5 Richards v S.E.O. gmp  
1:21-cv-00225-SEB-KMB S.D.  
Ind. Mar 28, 2023

#6 Ortiz v Fibreboard 527 U.S  
815, 119 Sct 2295, 144 L.Ed 2d  
715 1999

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

**APPENDIX A**

**APPENDIX B**

**APPENDIX C**

**APPENDIX D**

**APPENDIX E**

**APPENDIX F**

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

Richards v SEO gpo

Ortiz v Fibreboard 527 U.S. 815, 119  
S.Ct 2295, 144 L.Ed 2d 715 1998

Estelle v Gamble 100-74-3727

### STATUTES AND RULES

ark. Code [REDACTED] 20-46-308

((Minimum standards Periodic audits

ARKANSAS CODE 2023 )) Mental Health

Agencies and Facilities Sec. 20-46-101 to

Sec. 20-46-703. Public Health and Welfare

Sec 20-86-113. Ark Code 20-14-902 Health and  
Safety ( sec 20-6-101 to sec 20-38-113 )

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at Eighth Circuit; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[ ] reported at Eastern District of Arkansas, or,  
[✓] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[✓] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 5 2023.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Oct 5 2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

#1 Ark Code 23-79-510 outline of benefits (Arkansas Code (2023 Edition)) Sec. 23-1-101 TO Sec 23-118-103  
Sec. 23-60-101 TO Sec 23-103-417 Sec. 23-79-101 TO Sec 23-79-2802. Sec 23-79-517)

#2 Ark Code 20-47-805 Establishment of crisis intervention (Arkansas Code 2023 Edition)  
Public Health and Welfare Sec 20-2-101 TO Sec 20-86-113  
Mental Health Sec 20-45-101 TO Sec 20-50-106

#3 Ark Code 23-97-304 Definitions (Arkansas code 2023 Edition) Public Utilities and Regulated Industries  
Sec 23-1-101 TO Sec 23-118-103 / 23-60-101 TO Sec 23-103-417  
Long Term Care Insurance Sec 23-97-201 - 23-97-213 TO  
Sec 23-97-321 Sec 23-97-301 TO Sec 23-97-321

#4 Ark Code 20-36-102 Definitions (Arkansas code 2023)  
Public Health and Welfare Sec 20-2-101 TO Sec  
20-86-113 Health and Safety Sec. 20-6-101 TO Sec  
20-38-113 Arkansas Biological Agent Registry Act  
Sec 20-36-101 TO Sec 20-36-105

#5 Ark Code 2-16-403 Definitions (Arkansas code 2023 Edition) Agriculture Sec 2-1-101 TO Sec 2-40-1305  
Agronomy Sec 2-15-101 TO Sec 2-25-106 )  
Plant Disease and Control Sec 2-16-101 TO Sec  
2-16-909 ) Pesticide Control Sec 2-16-401 TO  
Sec 2-16-419 Definitions

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON MARCH 18, 2022 PLAINTIFF HAD TO HAVE Colon and Rectal Surgery. By Surgeon SZETO, PATRICK M.D.  
Second surgery 8-3-22 TO 8-10-22 PLAINTIFF ALSO HAD TO HAVE Rectal surgery. Third surgery 10-18-22 TO 10-25-22 HAD TO HAVE A REVERSE. CONSIDERED SECOND SURGERY PLAINTIFF STILL HAD A ILEOSTOMY POUCHES PLAINTIFF CAME BACK FROM SURGERY BACK TO EAST WIC RESLONAL UNIT NURSE DAVIS INDICATE PLAINTIFF IN BACK COULD NOT HAVE ILEOSTOMY SUPPLIES. THAT WAS NEEDED TO STOP LEAK'S WHICH MADE PLAINTIFF SKIN IRRITATION AROUND THE STOMA AND PAIN AND STRESS PLAINTIFF LEAK FOR OVER A MONTH EVERY DAY ALL TO THE POINT THE ILEOSTOMY POUCH STAYED 80% OF THE TIME PLAINTIFF HAD INTESTINE IN HIS HAND ON 9-24-22 PLAINTIFF WAS PLACED IN THE INFIRMARY FOR TREATMENT. WAS LOCK IN A ROOM WITH A TOILET AND WAS GIVEN 16-4X4 GUAZE TO CLEAN AND CUT OFF FECES NURSE DAVIS AND NPN BENNETT INDICATE N BACK COULD NOT HAVE TOILET PAPER. SOMETIME IT WOULD BE HOURS SOME MORE 4X4 PLAINTIFF HAD TO USE HIS CLOTHES TO CLEAN. WITH PLAINTIFF STAY 2 DAY IN THE INFIRMARY PLAINTIFF DID NOT GET A BAG UNTIL THE LAST DAY 9-26-22 ON THE LAST DAY NURSE HASTHETT CAME TO THE ROOM I WAS IN AND SEEN WHAT WAS GOING ON AND INDICATE DR. BENNETT AUTHORIZED THIS. FIRST SURGERY PLAINTIFF DID NOT HAVE PROBLEM WITH THE ILEOSTOMY POUCH BECAUSE PLAINTIFF SUPPLIES. HAD SUPPLIES. COME 1000 9-4-22 I WAS CALL TO TREATMENT CALL BECAUSE OF LEAK'S PLAINTIFF TRY TO SEE NURSE MIRON SHE INDICATE I COULD NOT HAVE ANY ILEOSTOMY SUPPLIES AND INDICATED GET OUT. PLAINTIFF SEE GRIEVANCE EA-22-00577 AND AND GRIEVANCE EA-22-00538

### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

because wrong is wrong and white's  
white and it's unconstitutional to be  
Pained OR suffering affecting THE body  
OR bodily Part OR THE mind.

Plaintiff Pray that honorable court  
Grant Relief

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Doe". It is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Date: 12-11-23