

CASE No. 23-6247


SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES

In RE: Tonya Knowles

On Petition for an Extraordinary Writ of
Habeas Corpus to the United States
Supreme Court

Petitioners Request for Rehearing

Tonya Knowles
1201 Seminole Blvd
Apartment 474
Largo, Florida 33770
(239)672-5623
Pro Se



Relief Sought

I, Tonya Knowles, am Requesting the full rights of the “Crown” for

a. United States:

1. Title: Monarch, Head of State for the United States due to the Breach in the Constitution
 - To Include: Title, Rights, Roles and Responsibilities as the Sovereign of State

b. United Kingdom

2. Title: Monarch, Head of State for the United Kingdom:

- To Include: Title, Rights, Roles and Responsibilities of the Sovereign of State for the United Kingdom
- The United Kingdom is a Constitutional Monarchy
- The United Kingdom is attached to the United States: Bill of Rights
- The Bill of Rights of 1688 Outlines the Rights to the Crown

In Addition: I am Requesting that it is Noted that I am the Successor:

1. Tupac Shakur
 - a. Date of Death: September 13th 1996
 - b. Tupac Shakur was the King of the United States
2. Queen Elizabeth
 - a. Date of Death: September 08th 2022
 - b. Elizabeth II was the Queen of the United Kingdom

Questions Presented

1. Does Donald Trump have Presidential Immunity in regard to January 06th Insurrection when he resigned from office as the President of the United States on February 11, 2019 through Executive Order No. 14091 and provided his “Executive Power” to artificial Intelligence because according to Article II, Section 3, Clause 5.1: The Court Stated, it had no Jurisdiction to enjoin the President in the performance of his “official duties.”
2. Can Donald Trump “Resign” from office via Executive Order No. 14091 and be a subordinate employee performing the task of President of the United States as a Presidential Candidate? Because according to article II, Section 1, Clause 5 a presidential candidate must be a natural born citizen, or a citizen of the United States, and shall have attained the age of 35 years and have been fourteen years a resident of the United States.
3. Was Mike Pence allowed to affirm the election results of President-Elect Joe Biden on January 07, 2021, because on February 11, 2019 Donald Trump issued a Notice of Resignation through Executive Order 14091 and transferred his “Executive Power” to Artificial Intelligence. Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 states that a presidential candidate must be a natural born citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, and shall have attained the age of 35 years and have been fourteen years a resident of the United States.
4. Is Joe Biden allowed to sign into Law the Chips and Science Act and provide over 90 billion dollars for American Semi-Conductor Research, development and manufacturing as a Presidential Candidate because according to Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 a presidential candidate must be a natural born citizen and shall have attained the age of 35 years and have been fourteen years a resident of the United States.
5. Is Kamala Harris allowed to break “ties” in the senate as she confirmed Loren Alikhan to become the First South Asian Woman Judge on the Federal District Court in Washington D.C. as a Presidential Candidate? According to Article II, Section 1, Clause 5: a Presidential candidate must be a natural born citizen and shall have attained the age of 35 years and have been fourteen years a resident of the United States.
6. Can an Associate Justice i.e. Sandra Day O'Connor, Lie in State without the approval of the House and be within the Guidelines of Article 1, Section 1, Clause 1 which states that all legislative powers herein shall be vested in a Congress of the United States.
7. In Roe vs. Wade was Chief Justice John Roberts required to uphold the Constitutional law that was provided to Women because according to Article 1 Section 1 all legislative powers are vested in a Congress of the United States.
8. Can Candidate Alejandro Mayorkas be Sworn in as a Secretary of Homeland Security without the Consent of Congress because Article I Section 1 states all Legislative Powers shall be vested in a Congress of the United States.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

The names of all parties appear on the caption of the case cover page.

Table of Contents

	Page
Relief Sought.....	i
Questions Presented.....	ii
Parties to the Proceeding.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv-v
Table of Authorities.....	vi-xi
The Appellate Statement of Jurisdiction	xii
Constitutional & Statutory Provisions.....	xii
Exceptional Circumstance that Warrants the Courts Discretionary Powers....	xii-xiii
Statement of Case.....	1-10
A. Insurrection: White House Republican Party Executive Branch	
a. President: Donald Trump: (Candidate)	
b. Vice President: Mike Pence: (Candidate)	
c. Homeland Security: Chad Wolf (De Facto, Unlawful)	
B. Insurrection: White House Democratic Party Executive Branch	
a. President Joe Biden (Candidate)	
b. Vice President Kamala Harris (Candidate)	
c. Second Gentleman Douglas Emhoff Jewish Community	
d. Homeland Security: Alejandro Mayorkas (Candidate)	
C. Insurrection: Congress Legislative Branch	
a. House Republicans	
1. Remove Homeland Security: Alejandro Mayorkas (Candidate)	
2. House Republicans Election: Mike Johnson, Speaker of the House	
b. House Democrats	
1. Remove Homeland Security: Alejandro Mayorkas (Candidate)	
2. House Democrats Election: Hakeem Jefferies, Minority Leader	
c. Senate	
1. Remove Homeland Security: Alejandro Mayorkas (Candidate)	
2. Senate Election	

- D. Insurrection: US Supreme Court | Judiciary Branch
 - a. Chief Justice: John Roberts
 - b. Associate Justice: Sandra Day O'Connor | Lie in State
 - c. Associate Justice: Ketanji Brown Jackson (Candidate)
 - d. Judge: Karen Le Craft Henderson: Presidential Immunity
 - e. Judge: Florence Y. Pan: Presidential Immunity
 - f. Judge: J. Michelle Childs: Presidential Immunity
 - g. Judge: Lewis Kaplan, Rape and Defamation
 - h. Judge: Alvin Hellerstein: Hush Money Provided to Porn Star, Election
- E. Insurrection: The Department of Justice | Investigations and Indictments
 - a. Attorney General: Merrick Garland | “Speedy Trial”
 - b. Special Counsel: Robert Hur | Classified Documents
 - c. Special Counsel: Jack Smith | Classified Documents & January 06th
 - d. District Attorney: Alvin Bragg | Hush Money, Election, Falsifying Records
- F. Insurrection: My House | Royal Archives: The States Custodian
 - a. Beyonce Knowles Carter aka Queen Bey
 - b. Tina Knowles (TK)
 - c. The Basquiat Case: Tupac Shakur
- G. Insurrection: My House | Royal Archives: United Kingdom
 - a. King: Charles Philip Arthur George (Husband)
 - b. Queen: Camilla Rosemary Shand (Wife)
 - c. Prince Harry, Duke of Sussex
 - d. Princess Meghan Markle, Duchess of Sussex

Reason for Granting the Writ of Habeas Corpus.....	10-19
Certificate of Service.....	20
Certificate of Compliance.....	21

Table of Authorities

<u>Carr v. Social Security Administration,</u> 185 F. 3d 1313, 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	13
<u>Chambers v. Department of the Interior,</u> 116 M.S.P.R. 17, 69 (2011).....	15
<u>E. Jean Carroll vs. Donald J. Trump,</u> 1:22-cv-10016.....	2,18
<u>Lachance v. White,</u> 174 F. 3d 1378, 1380 (Fed. Cir. 1999), cert denied 528 U.S.1153 (2000).....	11
<u>Lachance v. White,</u> 174 F. 3d 1378, 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	11
<u>Mattil v. Department of State</u> 118 M.S.P.R. 662, 14 (2012).....	11
<u>Miller v. Department of Justice,</u> 842 F. 3d 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16
<u>Miller v. Department of Justice,</u> 842 F. 3d 1262 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16
<u>Phillips v. Department of Transportation,</u> 113 M.S.P.R. 73, 23 (2010).....	15
<u>Rubendall v. Department of Health and Human Services,</u> 101 M.S.P.R. 59, 11 (2006).....	12
<u>Russell v. Department of Justice,</u> 76 M.S.P.R. 317 (1997).....	13
<u>Schnell v. Department of the Army,</u> 114 M.S.P.R. 83, 18 (2010).....	11
<u>Schnell v. Department of the Army,</u> 114 M.S.P.R. 83, 21 (2010).....	12

<u>Stephanie Clifford vs. Donald J. Trump,</u> 2:18-cv-06893.....	1,17
<u>Sullivan v. Dep't of the Navy,</u> 720 F. 2d 1266, 1278 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (Nies., J., concurring)	13
<u>Hyman v. Cohen,</u> 73 So. 2d 393 (Fla 1954).....	8
<u>Szwak v. Earwood,</u> 592 F. 3d 664, 668 (Fed Cir. 2009).....	12
<u>The People of The State of New York vs. Donald J. Trump,</u> IND-71543-23.....	2,18
<u>United States District Court Eastern District of NY v. Chad Wolf, Donald Trump,</u> 1:16-cv-04756-NGG-VMS.....	xiii, 18
<u>United States v. Fred C. Trump, Donald Trump and Trump Management Inc,</u> 1:73-01529.....	17
<u>Whitmore v. Department of Labor,</u> 680 F. 3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	13
<u>Whitmore v. Department of Labor,</u> 680 F. 3d 1370-71 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15
<u>Whitmore v. Department of Labor,</u> 680 F. 3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	16
<u>STATUES</u>	
5 U.S.C. 1221(e).....	11
5 U.S.C. 1221(e)(2).....	12,16
5 U.S.C. 2302 (a)(2)(A).....	11
5 U.S.C. 2302 (b)(8).....	xii,11,16
5 U.S.C. 2302 (b)(9).....	xii,11,16

5 U.S.C. 7701 (c)(2)(B).....	13
5 U.S.C. Chapter 43.....	11
5 U.S.C. Chapter 75.....	11
28 U.S.C. 1361	xii
28 U.S.C. 2242.....	xii

REGULATIONS

5 C.F.R. 1201.4(q).....	11
5 C.F.R. 1209.4(d).....	11

OTHER AUTHORTIES

1. ABC News: Who Killed Tupac, Latest developments in cold case (2023).....	9
https://abcnews.go.com/US/killed-tupac-latest-developments-cold-case-explored-new/story?id=103286245	
2. AP News: Speaker Johnson insists he's sticking to budget deal but announces no plan to stop partial shutdown (January 12, 2024).....	5-6
https://apnews.com/article/congress-shutdown-speaker-johnson-freedom-caucus-a9683a7381563499e0ba10987e93fb92	
3. American Federation of Government Employees, Settlement Agreement, Hyman Cohen (March 13, 2021).....	8
https://www.afge.org/globalassets/documents/va/2023-07-28---afge-va-714-settlement-agreement.pdf	
4. Ballotpedia: United States Senate Elections (2024).....	6
https://ballotpedia.org/United_States_Senate_elections,_2024	
5. BBC: Prince Harry Condemns 'dangerous spin' about his Taliban Comments (2023).....	5
https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-64231560	
6. Business Insider: Meghan and Tyler Reportedly living in Tyler Perry's Beverly Hills Home (2020).....	4
https://www.businessinsider.com/meghan-marke-prince-harry-tyler-perry-beverly-hills-home-report-2020-5	
7. CBS News: Beyonce's Childhood home in Houston damaged after catching fire early Christmas morning (December 26, 2023).....	8
https://www.cbsnews.com/news/beyonce-childhood-home-houston-fire-damage-christmas-morning/	
8. CBS News: Former President Barack Obama Surprise South Shore Preschoolers (2023).....	5
https://www.cbsnews.com/chicago/news/barack-obama-south-shore-storytime/	

9. CBS News: Harry and Meghan detail Royal Struggles(May 2021).....	4
https://www.cbsnews.com/live-updates/oprah-winfrey-interviews-meghan-markle-prince-harry/	
10. Center for Immigration Studies: 14 House Democrats Vote to Denounce Biden's Open-Border Policy (2024).....	6
https://cis.org/Arthur/14-House-Dems-Vote-Denounce-Bidens-OpenBorders-Policies	
11. CNN Exclusive: Attorney General Merrick Garland says there should be speedy trial in the election subversion case against Donald J. Trump (2024).....	3
12. CNN, Hakeem Jeffries makes history as the first Black Lawmaker to lead a Party in Congress (January 7, 2023).....	6
https://www.cnn.com/2023/01/04/politics/hakeem-jeffries-history-first-black-partyleader/index.html#:~:text=In%20addition%20to%20being%20the,House%20speaker.%20California%20Republican%20Rep.	
13. CNN Politics, Trump in 1989 Central Park Five Interview: "Maybe hate is what we need" (2016).....	17
https://www.cnn.com/2016/10/07/politics/trump-larry-king-central-park-five/index.html	
14. CNN.com: Trump says US will not pay for Prince Harry and Meghan's security(March 29, 2020).....	9
https://www.cnn.com/2020/03/29/politics/meghan-prince-harry-trump/index.html	
15. Department of Homeland Security: Alejandro Mayorkas Sworn in as Secretary of Homeland Security(2021).....	6
https://www.dhs.gov/news/2021/02/02/alejandro-mayorkas-sworn-secretary-homeland-security	
16. Federal Bureau of Investigations: FBI Records, The Vault: Tupac Shakur (1996).....	8
https://vault.fbi.gov/Tupac%20Shakur%20/Tupac%20Shakur%20Part%201%20of%201/view	
17. Federal Register, Maintaining American Leadership in Artifical Intelligence (Feburary 14, 2019).....	1
https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/02/14/2019-02544/maintaining-american-leadership-in-artificial-intelligence	
18. Forbes, Special Counsel will Reportely be Sharply Critical of Biden's Handling of Classified Docs-But No Charges Expected (2023).....	5
https://www.forbes.com/sites/alisondurkee/2023/11/16/biden-classified-documents-investigation-special-counsel-will-reportedly-be-sharply-critical-of-president-but-no-charges-expected/?sh=4500c9835a35	
19. George W. Bush, White House Archives, Homeland Security archives.....	4
https://georgewbush-whitehouse.archives.gov/infocus/homeland/	

20. Historic royal Palaces: Crown to Couture Kensington Palace (2023).....	9-10
https://www.hrp.org.uk/kensington-palace/whats-on/crown-to-couture/#gs.2v9t6h	
21. NBC News, House Speaker Mike Johnson calls on Biden to take Executive actions on the border. (2023).....	5
https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/congress/house-speaker-mike-johnson-calls-biden-take-executive-actions-border-rcna130805	
22. Netflix, Mea Culpa-Netflix Official Site	8
https://www.netflix.com/title/81577005	
23. New York Post, NYC Councilwoman seeks to declare Dec. 4 'Jay-Z Day (December 23, 2023).....	9
https://nypost.com/2023/12/23.metro/nyc-councilwoman-seeks-to-declare-each-dec-4-jay-z-day	
24. Oprah Daily, The Color Purple Movie (2023).....	5
https://www.oprahdaily.com/entertainment/a37871805/color-purple-movie-musical-cast-release-date-news/	
25. Pet for Cert., United States v. Donald J. Trump.. Case No. 23-624 (December 11, 2023).....	2
26. Pet for Cert, United States Court of Appeals v. Donald J. Trump-Case No. 23-3228 (January 09, 2024).....	7
27. Politico: Doug Emhoff emerges as the face of Biden's fight against antisemitism (2022).....	5
28. Reuters: US Supreme Court Overturns Roe v. Wade(2022).....	7
https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-supreme-court-overturns-abortion-rights-landmark-2022-06-24/	
29. SCOTUSblog, Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, lauded as "a Human Being, Extraordinary" lies in repose at the Court (December 18, 2023).....	7
https://www.scotusblog.com/2023/12/justice-sandra-day-oconnor-lauded-as-a-human-being-extraordinary-lies-in-repose-at-the-court	
30. The New York Times, Kamala Harris Breaks Record of Tie Breaking Votes (December 5, 2023).....	5
https://www.nytimes.com/2023/12/05/us/politics/kamala-harris-tiebreaking-vote-record.html	
31. The New York Times: Why is Donald Trump facing E. Jean Carroll a Second Time (2024).....	2
https://www.nytimes.com/2024/01/18/nyregion/trump-trial-e-jean-carroll.html	
32. The Royal Family, The Coronation (May 04, 2023)	xiii
https://www.royal.uk/coronation	
33. The White House, Fact Sheet: Chips and Science Act (August 9, 2022).....	4
https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2022/08/09/fact-sheet-chips-and-science-act-will-lower-costs-create-jobs-strengthen-supply-chains-and-counter-china/	

34. The White House, US Announcement of Humanitarian Assistance to the Palestinian People (2023).....	4
https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/10/18/us-announcement-of-humanitarian-assistance-to-the-palestinian-people/	
35. Washington Post: Pence declares Biden winner of the presidential election (Jan 7, 2021).....	3
https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2021/01/06/congress-electoral-college-vote-live-updates/	
36. Youtube, Beyonce: My House (2023).....	8
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hAsDiZn61Wo	
37. Youtube, DJ Khaled: I got the Keys ft. Jay-Z, Future (2016).....	9
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SFLSOIufuhM	
38. Youtube, Pres. Bush's Last Press Conference (January 12, 2009).....	4
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZZkKTTZuxO8&t=444s	
39. Youtube, Tupac Shakur: All eyes on me (1996).....	9
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zgZ52M4a_R0	

Decision Below

The opinion of the United States Court of Appeals is reported as Non-Precedential.

The Appellate Statement of Jurisdiction

On January 10, 2020, the United States Court of Appeals issued a decision denying my request for corrective action under the Whistleblower Protection Act. The Supreme Court of the United States has jurisdiction to review my case in accordance with 28 U.S.C 1361 which gives the Supreme Court the action to compel an officer of the United States to Perform his Duty and for those reasons I have not made an application to the United States District Court, 28 U.S.C. 2242.

Constitutional & Statutory Provisions

The First Amendment to the United States Constitution provides, in pertinent part, that Congress shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech. Furthermore, the thirteenth amendment of the U.S. Constitution states neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as punishment for a crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist in the United States, or any place subject to their Jurisdiction. In addition, the fourteenth amendment of the United States Constitution states that no state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protections of the laws.

The Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989, 5 U.S.C. 2302 (b)(8)-(9), Pub. L. 101-12 as amended, is a United States Federal Law that protects federal whistleblowers who work for the government and report the possible existence of an activity constituting a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, gross mismanagement, gross waste of funds, abuse of authority, or substantial and specific danger to public health and or safety.

Exceptional Circumstances Warrant the Exercise of the Courts Discretionary Power

The exceptional circumstance that warrants the exercise of the Courts discretionary power is that I, Tonya Knowles, am a Monarch for the United States and the United Kingdom and the 45th President of the United States, Mr. Donald J. Trump used Executive Order No. 13859: titled Maintaining American Leadership and Artificial Intelligence (AI) to Transfer his “Executive Power” to an AI Sovereign which essentially was his notice of “termination” and the removal of his executive power. Please Note: Donald J. Trump Transferred his “Executive Power” to artificial intelligence on February 11, 2019, but he was still performing executive duties as a Presidential Candidate from February 11, 2019, to January 20, 2021. Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 states that a Presidential Candidate is a Natural born citizen, who attained to the age of thirty-five years, and been fourteen years a resident within the United States.

Furthermore, while Donald J. Trump was in office as a presidential candidate, he became a threat to public health due to his response to COVID-19 and the related symptoms. According to the National Library of Medicine “once China informed the world of a disease outbreak on December 31, 2019, the Trump Administration’s response was marked by downplaying the threat, inaction or partial measures, confusion, and inaccurate public statements. As a result, opportunities to slow the spread by facilitating a vigorous public health response of containment and suppression based on testing, contact tracing, and isolation were missed following the confirmation of the first US case on January 21, 2020. After banning foreign nations from entering the US, if they had been in China in the prior 2 weeks, on January 31, an apparently overconfident Trump Administration was blindsided by the rapid community outbreak of COVID-19, necessitating a declaration of a national emergency on March 13, 2020. At that time, the federal government remained unable to help states carry out widespread testing—despite Trump’s false claims that anyone who wanted a test could get one—and had not addressed the expected massive shortfalls of personal protective equipment (PPE) and ventilators. By March 26, 2020, 22 states had issued shelter-in-place orders, and some 1 in 2 Americans were in lockdown. By March 30, 2020, the death toll had exceeded 3000 and by May 28, 2020, deaths passed the 100,000 mark. At the close of 2020, the US had surpassed 346,000 deaths from SARS-CoV-2 (AJMC,2021).” see The National Institute of Health, The Trump Administration and the COVID-19 crisis (2021).

In addition, Donald J. Trump is requesting Absolute Immunity as a Presidential Candidate regarding January 06th insurrection, but his request is an abuse of authority and must be void. Please Note: a Presidential Candidate is not provided with Executive Power. According to the *United States v. Nixon*, The Court held that President Richard Nixon was amenable to a Subpoena to produce evidence for use in a federal criminal case. There the President had argued that he was immune to judicial process, claiming “that the independence of the Executive Branch within its own sphere insulates a President from a Judicial Subpoena in an ongoing criminal prosecution. The Supreme Court unanimously disagreed, holding that “neither the doctrine of separation of powers, nor the need for confidentiality of high-level communications, without more, can sustain an absolute, unqualified Presidential Privilege of immunity from judicial process under all circumstances. see Article II, S3.5.1

Please Note: Mr. Donald J. Trump is attached to a 911 State of Emergency that is connected to Homeland Security and “Border” Protection. On Nov. 14, 2020, the US District Court Held that Mr. Chad Wolf was not lawfully serving as acting Secretary. see United States District Court Eastern District of New York vs. Chad Wolf, 16-CV-4756. In a Synopsis there is No “Border Security” in the United States dating back to February 11, 2019, while Donald J. Trump was in Office as President.

Statement of Facts

White House | Republican Party: Donald Trump

October 7, 2016, the Washington Post published a video, now infamously known as the Access Hollywood Tape, depicting Mr. Trump making lewd remarks about women. In it, Mr. Trump described his attempt to seduce a married woman and how he may start kissing a woman he and his companion was about to meet. He then added: "I don't even wait. And when you're a star, they let you do it, you can do anything..." Within days of the publication of the Access Hollywood Tape, several women came forward publicly to tell their personal stories about their sexual encounters with Mr. Trump. Stephanie Clifford aka Stormy Daniels who is a "porn star" sought to share details concerning her relationship and encounters with Mr. Trump with various media outlets. After discovering Ms. Stephanie Clifford's plans, Mr. Trump, with the assistance of his attorney Michael Cohen, aggressively sought to silence Ms. Clifford as part of an effort to avoid her telling the truth, thus helping to ensure he won the Presidential Election.

October 28, 2016: Stormy Daniels received 130,000 after she signed an "Hush Money" agreement that was presented to her by Donald Trump's attorney-Mr. Michael Cohen. February 13, 2018, Mr. Michael Cohen issued a public statement regarding Stephanie Clifford, the existence of a Hush Money Agreement, and details concerning the "Hush Money" agreement. Mr. Cohen stated: "In a private transaction in 2016, I used my own personal funds to facilitate a payment of 130,000 to Ms. Stephanie Clifford. March 06, 2018: Stephanie Clifford aka Stormy Daniels filed suit against Donald J. Trump at the Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles requesting relief, wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, declaring that no agreement was formed between the parties, or in the alternative, to the extent an agreement was formed, it is void, invalid or otherwise unenforceable. see Stephanie Clifford vs. Donald J. Trump (2:18-cv-06893).

February 11, 2019: The 45th President of the United States-Mr. Donald J. Trump transferred his Executive Power to "Artificial Intelligence" via Executive Order No. 13859 and served in office as a Presidential Candidate from February 11, 2019 to January 20, 2021 as he informed staff that the objective of Artificial Intelligence is to drive growth of the United States Economy, Ensure National Security, and improve the Quality of life for Residents within the United States. see Federal Register: Maintaining American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence (2019). According to Article 2, Section 1-Clause 6: In case of removal of a President from office or of his Death, Resignation, or inability to discharge the powers and duties of the said Office, Congress may by law declare what officer shall act as President, and

such officer shall act accordingly until the disability be removed or President shall be elected.

On June 21, 2019, E. Jean Carroll published an article in New York magazine that stated that Donald Trump sexually assaulted her in late 1995 or early 1996 in Bergdorf Goodman department store in New York City. November 2019: While Donald J. Trump was in Office-E. Jean Carroll sued Donald Trump alleging that Donald J. Trump raped her in a retail store dating back to the year of 1996. Trump Denied the sexual assault allegations made by Ms. E. Jean Carroll and the case was closed. Ms. E. Jean Carroll filed her second complaint against Donald J. Trump renewing her claim of defamation and added a claim of battery under the Adult Survivors Act. see The New York Times, Why is Donald Trump facing E. Jean Carroll in Court a Second Time (2024). May 2023: Donald J. Trump was found liable for sexually abusing and defaming Carroll and he was ordered to pay 5 million in damages. See Carroll v. Trump, 1:22-cv-10016.

April 4th 2023, Manhattan District Attorney Alvin L. Bragg announced the indictment of Donald Trump for falsifying New York Business Records in order to conceal damaging information and unlawful activity from American voters before and after the 2016 election. During the election, Trump and others employed a “catch and kill” scheme to identify, purchase, and bury negative information about him and boost his electoral prospects. Trump is charged in a New York State Supreme Court Indictment with 34 Counts of Falsifying Business Records in the First Degree. see. Manhattan District Attorney’s Office: District Attorney Bragg Announces 34-Count Felony (2023). The People of The State of New York Vs. Donald Trump, IND-71543-23

December 11, 2023: Jack Smith petitioned the US Supreme Court for a Writ of Certiorari, Docket No. 23-624, asking if Donald J. Trump was “immune” from federal prosecutions for crimes committed while in office or is he constitutionally protected from federal prosecutions when he has been impeached but not convicted before the criminal proceedings begin. see Pet for Cert., United States v. Donald J. Trump. Case No. 23-624 (December 11, 2023). Please Note: In an 1867 decision Mississippi v. Johnson, the Supreme Court established that the President is largely beyond the reach of the Judiciary by Holding that it could not direct President Andrew Johnson in how he exercised his “purely executive and political powers.” The court Stated, it had “no Jurisdiction to enjoin the President in the performance of his official duties. But in the Case of Donald Trump, he provided “Notice of Termination” onto the Federal Register when he Transferred Power to Artificial Intelligence on February 11, 2019.

Furthermore, in United States v. Nixon the Court held that President Richard Nixon was amenable to a Subpoena to produce evidence for use in a federal criminal case. There, the President had argued that he was immune to judicial process,

claiming “that the independence of the Executive Branch within its own sphere insulates a President from a Judicial subpoena in an ongoing criminal prosecution.” The Supreme Court unanimously disagreed, holding that “neither the doctrine of separation of powers, nor the need for confidentiality of high-level communications, without more, can sustain an absolute unqualified presidential privilege of immunity from judicial process under all circumstances. The Court noted that the constitutional duty of the courts “to do justice in criminal prosecutions was counterbalanced by the claim of presidential immunity. To accept the President’s argument, the Court further reasoned, would undermine the separation of powers that was at the core of “a workable government” as well as “gravely impair the role of the court”. see Article II, Section 3, Clause 5.1

December 20, 2023: Michigan Supreme Court Ruled that Donald J. Trump is allowed to stay on the Primary Ballot after participating in January 06th insurrection. see The Guardian, Michigan Supreme Court rules that Trump will stay on state ballot. Article I, Section 1 states that all legislative Powers herein shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives. January 09, 2024: Donald trump team argues that assassination of rivals is covered by Presidential Immunity. see The Rolling Stone: Trump Lawyer argues that a President can order an Assassination. Article II, Section 3. Clause 5.1 states that the Court had no Jurisdiction to enjoin the President in the performance of his “official duties.” January 19, 2024: Attorney General Merrick Garland said in an interview with CNN that he believes there should be a “speedy trial” in the election subversion case against Donald Trump, while also pushing back on allegations that his department is targeting the former president for political reasons. see CNN, Exclusive: Attorney General Merrick Garland says there should be a “speedy trial” of Trump as 2024 election looms.

White House | Republican Vice President:

January 07, 2021: After 15 hours and Rioters attacking the US Capitol a Presidential Candidate Mr. Mike Pence affirmed Joe Biden’s win as the 46th US President of the United States. see Washington Post: Pence declares Biden winner of the Presidential election (January 07, 2021). Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 states that a Presidential Candidate must be a natural born citizen and shall have attained the age of 35 years and have been fourteen years a resident of the United States.

White House | Democratic Candidate: Joe Biden

October 8, 2021, George W. Bush established the Homeland Security Counsel due to the aftermath of the 9/11terrorists attacks through the issuance of Executive order 13228. The Homeland Security purpose is to ensure coordination of all homeland security-related activities among executive departments and agencies, and to promote the effective development and implementation of all homeland

security policies. see George W. Bush White House Archives, Homeland Security Council. November 23, 2020: A Presidential Candidate-Mr. Joe Biden announced that he would nominate Alejandro Mayorkas as secretary of homeland security. see PBS, Watch Biden formally introduce his national security team. Article II Section 1, Clause 5: a presidential candidate must be a natural born citizen or a citizen of the United States and shall have attained the age of 35 years and have been fourteen years a resident of the United States.

March 07, 2021: CBS aired a “Special Premier” of Ms. Oprah Winfrey, Meghan Markle, and Prince Harry to discuss the reasons why Prince Harry and Meghan Markle was stepping Down from their “royal roles” in the United Kingdom and going remote to become online influencers in the United States. Meghan Markle informed Ms. Oprah Winfrey that she felt sidelined by the institution, she worried about her baby’s skin tone and Meghan discussed suicidal ideations. see CBS News: Harry and Meghan detail royal struggles from discussion of babies’ skin tone to suicidal thoughts. May 2021: The United Kingdom “Royals” Meghan Markle and Prince Harry were reportedly living in Tyler Perry’s Beverly Hills Home. see Business Insider: Meghan and Harry reportedly living in Tyler Perry’s Beverly Hills Home (2020). Article I, Section 9, Clause 2: The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in cases of rebellion or invasion the Public Safety may Require it.

August 09, 2022: The Chips and Science Act was enacted by the 117th United States Congress and signed into law by a presidential candidate Mr. Joe Biden. The Chips and Science Act Provides 52.7 billion for American Semiconductor research, development, manufacturing, and workforce development. This includes 39 billion in manufacturing incentives, including 2 billion for the legacy chips used in automobiles and defense systems, 13.2 billion in R&D and workforce development and 500 million to provide for international information communications technology security and semiconductor supply chain activities. The Chips and Science Act also provides a 25 percent investment tax credit for capital expenses for manufacturing, semiconductors and related equipment. See the White House | Fact Sheet: CHIPS and Science Act (2022). In addition, on December 06, 2023: Joe Biden announced the approval of an additional 4.8 Billion in student loan debt relief for 80,300 borrowers as a Presidential Candidate. See. The Department of Education: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Nearly 5 Billion Dollars (2023).

Furthermore, a Presidential Candidate: Mr. Joe Biden is Funding Wars related to HAMAS and has spent more than 100 Million on humanitarian aid to assist Palestinian Territories see the White House, U.S. Announcement of Humanitarian Assistance (October 18, 2023). Please Note: The 43rd President of the United States, Mr. George W. Bush, called for a Sustainable Cease Fire in HAMAS related to the GAZA-Israel conflict prior to him leaving office. see YouTube, C-SPAN: Pres. Bush’s last Press Conference (January 12, 2009). In addition, Mr. Joe Biden is Under

investigation regarding classified documents, and it is being reported by Special Counsel Mr. Robert Hur that Charges are not expected. see. Forbes, Special Counsel will be sharply Critical of Bidens Classified documents, but no charges expected. (November 16, 2023). Article One, Section One of the United States Constitution states that all legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States.

December 2023: The 44th President of the United States Mr. Barack Obama surprised South Shore Pre-K with Presents and a Story as “Santa Clause.” see CBS News: Former President Barack Obama surprises South Shore preschoolers (2023). Please Note: Barack Obama has disclosed via book “the Promise Land” that the Gift is attached to a 911 state of Emergency and the killing of Osama Bin Laden. In addition, “Clause” is attached to the US Constitution and the American Flag. December 25, 2023: On Christmas day, Oprah Winfrey provided her fans with a “Gift” The Color Purple film. see Oprah Daily: The Color Purple 2023 Movie. January 11, 2023: Prince Harry Published a Book titled SPARE in which he reveals the first time that he killed 25 enemy fighters during two tours in the Helmand region of Afghanistan. see BBC, Prince Harry condemns ‘dangerous spin’ about his Taliban comments (2023). The Alien and Sedition Act of 1798 made it a crime for American Citizens to print, utter and publish any false, scandalous, or malicious writing about the Government (2023).

White House | Vice President Candidate: Kamala Harris

December 06, 2022: Doug Emhoff the Husband of Vice President Kamala Harris emerged as the face for the fight against antisemitism within the Jewish Community. See. Politico: Doug Emhoff emerges as the face of Biden’s fight against antisemitism (2022). December 5, 2023: Kamala Harris set the Record for breaking the Most ties in the Senate as a Candidate for Vice President as she cast a vote to confirm Joe Biden’s nominee Loren Alikhan to become the First South Asian Woman Judge on the federal district court in Washington. see, The New York Times: Kamala Harris Breaks Record of Tie Breaking Votes (December 5, 2023). Article I, Section 2, Clause 5 states that the House of Representatives shall chuse their speakers and other officers; and shall have the sole power of Impeachment.

The Legislative Branch: House Republicans

December 21, 2023: House Speaker Mike Johnson sent a Letter to President Joe Biden, blaming him for the border Crisis and urged him to take a number of executive actions “to stem the record tide of illegal immigration.” see. NBC News, House Speaker Mike Johnson calls on Biden to take Executive actions on the border. (2023). January 2024: Mike Johnson a Republican Candidate for Speaker of the House is attempting to resolve dilemmas regarding Budget and a Government Shut Down. see AP News: Speaker Johnson insists he’s sticking to budget deal but

announces no plan to stop partial shutdown (January 12, 2024). January 23, 2024: Speaker Mike Johnson stated that President Biden can't be trusted on the border, further complicating negotiations on national security spending. Article 1, Section 7 Clause 1: all bills for raising revenue shall originate with the house of representatives.

January 18, 2024: House Republicans are moving quickly on advancing impeachment proceedings against Homeland Security Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas. GOP Rep Mark Green of Tennessee, states that the "Hearing is about the human cost of Secretary Mayorkas' egregious misconduct and failure to fulfill his oath of office. see CBS News, House Committee holds final impeachment hearing for DHS Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas. According to Article I, Section 2, Clause 5; The House of Representatives shall choose their speakers and other officers and shall have sole power of impeachment.

The Legislative Branch: House Democrats

January 04th 2023: Hakeem Jeffries makes History as the first Black lawmaker to lead a party in Congress in his role as the minority leader. see CNN, Hakeem Jeffries makes history as the first Black Lawmaker to lead a Party in Congress (January 7, 2023). January 17, 2024: 14 House Democrats voted with 211 of their GOP colleagues to Denounce the Biden Administration's open border policies, condemning the national security and public safety crisis along the southwest border, and urging President Biden to end his administrations open-border policies. see Center for Immigration Studies, 14 House Democrats vote to Denounce Biden's Open-Border (2024).

The Legislative Branch: Senate

February 2, 2021: Candidate Alejandro Mayorkas was confirmed by the Senate on a 56-43 vote as the United States Secretary of Homeland Security. see. The Department of Homeland Security: Alejandro Mayorkas Sworn in as Secretary of Homeland Security. Article I Section 1: All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

In addition, The Elections for the US Senate will be held on November 5, 2024, and 34 of the 100 seats are up for election. Thirty-three of those seats are up for regular election, and one is up for a special election. See Ballotpedia: United States Senate Elections, (2024). Article I, Section 2, Clause 5: The House of Representatives shall choose their speakers and other officers and shall have the sole power of impeachment.

Judicial Branch | US Supreme Court

June 24, 2022: The US Supreme Court overturned the Constitutional right to an abortion, reversing Roe v. Wade, In a 6-3 ruling powered by its conservative majority, and upheld a Republican back Mississippi law that bans abortions after 15 weeks of pregnancy. The vote was 5-4 to overturn Roe, with conservative Chief Justice John Roberts writing separately to say he would have upheld the Mississippi law without taking the additional step of erasing the Roe precedent all together. see Reuters: US Supreme Court overturns Roe v. Wade (2022). Article I, Section 1. All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States. June 30, 2022: Judicial Candidate, Ketanji Brown Jackson gave her first dissent in a US Supreme court case regarding Davel Chinn v. Tim Shoop-a Capitol Case at the US Supreme Court Docket No. 22-5058. Article 2, Section 2, Clause 2: The President of the United States shall have the power, by and with the Advice and Consent of the senate shall nominate judges of the Supreme Court.

December 1, 2023: The first woman to serve as a Justice at the US Supreme Court Died and her name was Sandra Day O'Connor. December 18, 2023, Sandra Day O'Connor lies in repose. see SCOTUSblog, Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, lauded as “a Human Being, Extraordinary” lies in repose at the Court (December 18, 2023). Article I, Section 1, Clause 1: all legislative powers herein shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

Article I, Section 3, Clause 6 states that when the president of the United States is tried for impeachment, the Chief Justice Shall preside. The Chief Justice is John Roberts but in the case of the former president Mr. Donald J. Trump three judges have been assigned to hear his case regarding Presidential Immunity the judges are listed as follows: (1) Judge: Karen Le Craft Henderson-Presidential Immunity, (2) Judge Florence Y. Pan-Presidential Immunity and (3) Judge J. Michelle Childs-Presidential Immunity. see Pet for Cert. United States Court of Appeals v. Donald J. Trump, Case No. 23-3228. In addition, (4) Judge Lewis Kaplan is Handling the Rape and Defamation Case regarding E. Jean Carroll and Donald Trump (5) and Judge Alvin Hellerstein case deals with Hush Money that was provided to a pornstar, falsifying Records, and the 2016 Election. In addition, four federal government attorneys are attached to the impeachment of Donald Trump they are listed as follow (1) Attorney General: Merrick Garland, Request for a speedy trial, (2) Special Counsel: Robert Hur-Classified Documents, (3) Special Counsel: Jack Smith, Classified Documents and January 06th Insurrection, and (4) District Attorney: Alvin Bragg, Hush Money, the 2016 Election, and falsifying Records.

December 2023: I, Tonya Knowles was provided with a settlement agreement that is attached to “My House” and a John Doe court filing titled Hyman Cohen. see The American Federation of Government Employees: Settlement agreement-Hyman

Cohen (July 18, 2023) but the estimated settlement amount of 75,000.00 was illegal. Please Note: My Court Filings have been closed. In addition, Chief Justice Roberts provided a dissent regarding Hyman et al. v. Cohen in which Chief Justice Roberts was attempting to settle a controversial case dealing with a Notice of Eviction, and a Breach of Contract. See. Hyman et al. v Cohen, 73 So. 2d 393 (1954)

Queen | "My House": Renaissance

February 23, 2024: Tyler Perry released a Netflix Film Mea Culpa which is a American legal thriller. The film stars Kelly Rowland as a Criminal Defense attorney who takes the case of an artist played by Travante Rhodes, who is accused of murdering his girlfriend. see Netflix: watch Mea Culpa, Netflix Official Site. March 2023: Beyonce Knowles Carter aka Queen Bey's Halo and Dress was on Display in the United Kingdom at the Kensington Palace. see Historic Royal Palaces: Crown to Couture, Kensington Palace. December 5, 2023: Ms. Beyonce Knowles Carter released a song titled "My House" that is attached to the Renaissance album. In the song "My House" Beyonce allowed her followers to note that in the Renaissance as Queen she uses Gun, "Clips" to protect her home and she has asked her followers: who let them "Goons" out that house, Who out there talking out there mouth, and she told her fans Don't make her get up out that Seat as she notes that she "Carries" in which she is referencing Gun Possession. see YouTube, Beyonce: My House (2023). December 25 2023, at approximately 2:00am in the Morning Ms. Tina Knowles (TK) and Beyonce Knowles Carter family home in Texas was attached to a State of Emergency when it caught on Fire. see CBS News, Beyonce's Childhood home in Houston damaged after catching fire early Christmas morning (December 26, 2023). Article I, Section 9, Clause 2: The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in cases of rebellion or invasion the Public Safety may Require it. In addition, In the Renaissance Ms. Beyonce Knowles Carter becomes the Mother (TK) of the House.

Royal Archives | The States Custodian: Beyonce Knowles Carter

July 04, 2016: DJ Khaled released a song titled "I Got the Keys" with featured artist: Jay-Z and Future and it was filmed at a prison with inmates and guards. In this Song (1) Rapper: Future, Lets Us Know that when he goes to Court, he Pleads the Fifth. (2) Rapper: Tupac Shakur is the "Basquiat" an Image (3) Jay Z raps for approximately four minutes about key ownership, being "Free" and not being asleep since the year 1996 as he sped through life with no safety belt. Jay-Z references his Musical Style to an NFL Star Josh Norman, and he notes that he is a Project "Nigga" out in Beverly Hills, California, as he attaches his location to "wraith talk." Wraith is a ghost or ghostlike image of someone. Please Note: On September 07, 1996, Tupac Shakur was fatally shot in a drive by shooting in Las Vegas, Nevada. see the Federal Bureau of Investigations: The Vault, Tupac Shakur (1996). In addition, Tupac Shakur has a Song titled: California Love and although he was a

“Super Star” Mr. Tupac Shakur is still considered a Cold Case twenty-seven years later. see ABC News: Who Killed Tupac latest developments in cold case (2023)

Furthermore, Mr. Shawn Carter attaches “Wraith Talk” to a “copyright”. A copy is to duplicate. Rights by legal definition is a power or privilege held by the general public as the result of a constitution, statue, regulation, judicial precedent, or other type of law. Note: Prior to Mr. Tupac Shakur’s Death he took on the title Makeveli which means the “King of light”.

In addition, Mr. Shawn Carter provided his fans with a Case Notice as he informs his audience that he has a bag for lawyers due to his attachment to “Charges”. Then Shawn Carter aka Jay-Z asked his fans do they know facts from fiction and attached his lyrics to a “Statement of Facts”. For Example, (a) Real Life I’m like HOV, (b) Real Life I’m Life Goals, (c) Real Life they are like Me (d) Real Life I’m like “No.” Next, Mr. Shawn Carter provides his fans with a “Prayer for Relief” as he says, “God Bless You all” and informs his audience that he only talks (1) Special Talk and (2) Special Cloth. Please Note: The Special Talk is attached to Court Filings and Legal Counsel, i.e. The “Special Counsel” of the United States and a Mantle is a Royal garment that is worn by emperors, kings, or queens as a symbol of authority. Furthermore, Mr. Shawn Carter aka Jay-Z uses visuals to show his audience that when he walks out of a prison, he is a free man faced with a direct Protest see. YouTube, DJ Khaled: I got the Keys ft. Jay-Z, Future (2016). Furthermore, (4) DJ Khaled does a Spoken Word about having “Keys” that is attached to Success. Note: On Tupac Shakur’s Song: All eyes on me, he asked “What they think I’m walking around with some Keys in my Pocket or Something” and if they think I’m going back to Jail they really on Dope. see YouTube: 2Pac, All Eyes on Me (1996).

December 2023: New York City Council Woman Farah Louis seeks to Declare December 04th “Jay Z Day.” see the New York Post NYC Council woman seeks to declare each Dec. 4 Jay-Z Day (December 23, 2023).

My House | Royal Archives: Husband & Wife: United Kingdom

March 2020: Trump informs Prince Harry and Meghan Markle that if they move from the United Kingdom to the United States he will not pay for their security. see CNN.com: Trump says US will not pay for Prince Harry and Meghan’s security. Article I, Section 7, Clause 1: all bills for raising revenue shall originate with the house of representatives.

December 5, 2023: Beyonce Knowles Carter HALO and Dress was on Display at the Kensington Palace from April 05, 2023, to October 29, 2023, in a Royal Exhibit-The Crown to Couture. see Historic Royal Palaces: Crown to Couture, Kensington Palace. December 8, 2023: Prince Harry is in a legal dispute regarding “Security” in

the UK as he states “He can’t put his wife in Danger. He wants his kids Archie and Lilibet to feel at home in the UK but it is not possible to keep them safe.” see Harper’s Bazaar: Prince Harry Continues legal battle for Security for the UK. Treason is a crime of betraying ones country by attempting to kill the sovereign or overthrow the Government.

May 06, 2023: Charles III and Camilla were Coronated, and they became Queen and King of the United Kingdom see the House of Commons Library: The Coronation of King Charles III and Queen Camilia (September 12, 2023) and they used a “Copyright” to attach themselves to the US Constitution. Copy by Definition is to Duplicate. Rights by Legal Definition is a power or privilege held by the general public as a result of a constitution, statute, regulation, Judicial precedent or other type of law. Note: The Bill of Rights of 1688 outlines the Rights of the Crown, The Tender of the Crown, Regal Power Exercised and Allegiance of the Crown. Furthermore, The Bill of Rights of 1688 was adopted by the United States on December 15, 1971.

Reason for Granting the Writ of Mandums is because adequate Relief Can’t be obtained in any other form or from any other Court.

Prohibited Personnel Practices in the federal government are employment related activities that are banned in the federal workforce because they violate the merit system through some form of employment discrimination, retaliation, improper hiring practices, or failure to adhere to laws, rules, or regulations that directly concern the merit system principle. I made protected disclosures about how the release of information department was not safeguarding, tracking and securing documents and I became the subject of ongoing repeated reprisal and egregious harassment. In a synopsis, the harassment that I was subjected to for making a disclosure was being physically hit with documents, being a suspect in police investigations on more than one occasion after I reported inappropriate behavior, being involved in an illegal psychological/psychiatric evaluation that was done without knowledge and or consent, I was poisoned with perfume and other fragrances to the point where I had to stuff my nose with Kleenex so I would not smell the fragrance, I am stalked online and followed around Bay Pines CW Bill Young Campus, I am currently the target of inappropriate sexual innuendos, I am only assigned to open, sort, and stamp mail for eight hours a day, and I am disabled from VA Health Care System. Please note that my date of termination went into effect on July 22, 2020

In reviewing the merits of an IRA appeal, the Administrative Judge must examine whether I proved by preponderant evidence¹ the following four elements: (1) the

management official has the authority to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action. (2) the aggrieved employee made a disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 2302(b)(8) or engaged in protected activity under 5 U.S.C. 2302(b)(9); (3) the management official use his authority to take, or refuse to take, a personnel action against the aggrieved employee; and (4) the protected disclosure was a contributing factor in the agency's personnel action in the absence of the disclosures. *Lachance v. White*, 174 F. 3d 1378, 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2010); *Lachance v. White*, 174 F. 3d 1378, 1380 (Fed. Cir. 1999), cert. denied 528 U.S. 1153 (2000). If so, corrective action shall be ordered unless the agency established by clear and convincing evidence² that it would have taken the same personnel action in the absence of the disclosures. *Schnell v. Department of the Army*, 114 M.S.P.R. 83, ¶ 18 (2010); see 5 U.S.C. § 1221(e).

I provided the Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge with fifty-one disclosures due to his Order to show cause (Appx105-155). In response to his Order to Show Cause Administrative Judge Morris found that I asserted *inter alia*, on or about July 26, 2016 protected disclosures to my management chain to the effect that personal identifiable information (PII) was not safeguarded in violation of, *inter alia*, the Privacy Act and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA). By Order Dated February 14, 2019, the Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge found that I had non frivolously alleged that a disinterested observer with knowledge of the essential facts known to and readily ascertainable could reasonably conclude that the agency's actions evidenced wrongdoing as defined by the WPA. The Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge further found that the disclosure was raised before OSC (Appx156-163). The agency has not disputed that I made a protected disclosure. A "personnel action" is defined as follows: (i) an appointment; (ii) a promotion; (iii) an action under 5 U.S.C. Chapter 75 or other disciplinary or other corrective action; (iv) a detail, transfer, or reassignment; (v) a reinstatement; (vi) a restoration; (vii) a reemployment; (viii) a performance evaluation under 5 U.S.C. Chapter 43; (ix) a decision concerning pay, benefits, or awards, or concerning education or training if the education or training may reasonably be expected to lead to an appointment, promotion, performance evaluation, or other personnel action; (x) a decision to order psychiatric testing or examination; (xi) the implementation or enforcement of any nondisclosure policy, form, or agreement and (xii) any other significant change in duties, responsibilities, or working conditions. 5 U.S.C. 2302(a)(2)(A); *Mattil v. Department of State*, 118 M.S.P.R. 662, 14 (2012). Here it is undisputed that the agency twice suspended me (in 2017 and 2018), issued two information security

¹ Preponderant of the Evidence is that amount of relevant evidence which a reasonable person, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find that a contested fact is more like true than untrue. 5 C.F.R. 1201.4(q)

² Clear and convincing evidence is that measure or degree of proof that produces in the mind of the trier of fact a firm belief as to the allegations sought to be established. 5 C.F.R. 1209.4(d)

violation memoranda (in January and February 2017), and proposed my removal (in June 2018). The record reflects that the Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge found that these actions all qualify as “personnel actions” under the WPA (Appx157-160).

I may demonstrate that a disclosure was a contributing factor in a personnel action through circumstantial evidence, such as evidence that the official taking the personnel action knew of the disclosure, and that the personnel action occurred within a period of time such that a reasonable person could conclude that the disclosure was a contributing factor in the personnel action, also known as the “knowledge/timing test.” Once the knowledge/timing test has been met, the MSPB Administrative Judge must find that I have established that my protected whistleblowing activity was a contributing factor in the personnel action at issue, even if, after a complete analysis of all of the evidence, a reasonable fact finder could not conclude that the appellant’s whistleblowing was a contributing factor in the personnel action. See, e.g. Schnell v. Department of the Army, 114 M.S.P.R. 83, 21 (2010).

To satisfy the “knowledge/timing test, I need only demonstrate that the fact of, not necessarily the content of, the protected disclosure was one of the factors that tended to affect the personnel action in any way. See Rubendall v. Department of Health and Human Services, 101 M.S.P.R. 59, 11 (2006). The record reflects that the Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge Jeffrey S. Morris has stated that all of the agency’s actions occurred within approximately one year of my protected disclosures and I met the burden of proving the contributing factor. (Appx161-162)

Standard of Review

The questions posed by this issue is a mixed case of law and fact. I have not argued that the Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge failed to get the facts right, just that they misapplied the facts of the law. As such, I am requesting that this Court review this case *de novo*. Szwak v. Earwood, 592 F. 3d 664, 668 (Fed Cir. 2009).

Argument

The agency has not shown by clear and convincing evidence that it would have taken the personnel actions absent my protected disclosures. If the agency does not dispute that whistleblowing contributed to the agency decision to take adverse personnel actions against an employee, the agency must prove it would have taken the same action absent the whistleblowing. See 5 U.S.C. 1221 (e)(2). In determining whether an agency has met its burden of clear and convincing evidence that it would have taken the same personnel action in the absence of whistleblowing the following factors (the “Carr Factors”) should be considered (1) the strength of the agency’s evidence in support of its personnel action; (2) the

existence and strength of any motive to retaliate on the part of the agency officials who were involved in the decision; and (3) any evidence that the agency takes similar actions against employees who are not whistleblowers but who are otherwise similarly situated. See *Whitmore*, 680 F. 3d at 1365; *Carr v. Social Security Administration*, 185 F. 3d 1313, 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

In respect to the first Carr Factor, The Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge erred in finding that the strength of the agency's evidence supports its personnel action. I made protected disclosures in which I alleged that the release of information department was not safeguarding and securing patient information according to protocol, there was no tracking system to account for first and third-party authorization forms, and the release of information department was hostile. After, I made a disclosure I was subjected to ongoing egregious reprisal by my co-workers and my direct chain of command to include Ms. Rosa Sly (Release of Information Supervisor), Ms. Donna Griffin Hall (Chief, Business Office Service) and Ms. Kristina Brown (Deputy Director). The information that I disclosed was what I reasonably believed evidenced a violation of a law, rule, and regulation and once I made a protected disclosure I was investigated, although my co-workers, and management were all subject to investigation due to my protected disclosures. See *Russell v. Department of Justice*, 76 M.S.P.R. 317 (1997) (investigations of the employee were initiated by the agency because of allegations made by two subjects of the protected disclosures).

Furthermore, the individuals that I made protected disclosures against provided me with adverse personnel actions and requested ongoing investigations. The board has no discretion to affirm a penalty tainted in illegal reprisal, even if the agency's penalty might otherwise have been reasonable. See 5 U.S.C. 7701(c)(2)(B); *Sullivan v. Dep't of the Navy*, 720 F. 2d 1266, 1278 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (Nies., J., concurring). In an adverse action proceeding the merits cannot be the determinative factor that there was no reprisal. A meritorious adverse action must be set aside where there is reprisal. If the agency fails to prove that it would have taken the same action absent whistleblowing, the Board must set aside the agency's penalty decision and order corrective action. See 5 USC 7701 (c)(2)(B).

In regard to the second Carr factor the Strength of the agency's motive to retaliate; The Business Office Service leadership team, including Ms. Donna Griffin Hall (Chief, Business Office Service) and Ms. Rosa Sly (Supervisor, Release of information) were placed on a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) dated March 14, 2016 (Appx164-175). The Performance Improvement Plan focused on areas that the Business Office Service leadership team was underperforming in as follows: For Fiscal Year 2015 the performance improvement plan focused on inadequate staffing, equipment failures, stress and morale amongst staff and reports of a hostile work environment. Fiscal Year 2016 focused on Vacant FTEE/Demand Greater than resources, equipment failures, missing request, improving hiring retention, and

stress and morale amongst staff to include reports of hostile work environment. The Performance Improvement Plan also included recommendations as follows: Review and assess ROI practices and procedures, consistent monitoring and tracking and reconciliation, secure request, and use an electronic tracking system. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) conducted a health care inspection into the delays in processing Release of Information Requests at Bay Pines VA Health Care System Report No. 16-02864-71 in May 2016 (Appx176-179). The OIG substantiated that under the Business Office Service Chief's direction, ROI Staff did not comply with VHA prioritization policy during the first quarter of FY 2015. During their inspection the OIG also found that the ROI Section workplace culture contributed to the challenges in resolving backlog and sustaining effective processes. These long-standing workplace culture challenges included medical record technicians and manager vacancies and turnover, interpersonal conflicts, lack of trust amongst staff and managers, and performance issues. The OIG recommended that the System Director ensure the: strengthening of procedures for timely processing of ROI requests, capturing and trending of complaints related to ROI requests, evaluating of personnel issues negatively impacting staff retention and hiring in the ROI section and taking appropriate action, monitoring of ROI staff productivity, and tracking and monitoring ROI request processing.

The OIG also substantiated that facility managers were unable to locate 547 hard copy ROI requests logged into ROI Plus from approximately January 2014 through June 2016. The total 547 missing authorizations affected 513 unique patients and resulted in 483 credit monitoring letters and 30 next of kin letters. The missing request led Privacy Officers to submit 10 Violation Memorandums to PSETS. From March 2015 through February 2016, the Privacy Officers Submitted 9 PSETS memoranda that accounted for 260 Missing authorizations. The OIG also found that staff members were not securing documents according to protocol. In February 2016, managers found a "stack" of requests dating back to the prior year in an employee's desk. Additionally, In May 2016 the Business Office Service (BOS) Chief learned that the supervisors tracking was "sporadic and inconsistent". In May 2017, the Business Office Service (BOS) Chief learned that the facility ROI Supervisor did not arrange ongoing quality audits at the termination of the DMS staff auditors' detail almost a year prior (June 2016).

In addition, I filed a formal complaint with the Office of Special Counsel (OSC) disclosure unit in June 2017. The complaint was filed because the release of information department was not safeguarding, securing and tracking documents according to protocol. The OSC Complaint Number is DI-17-4282 and in that complaint I was informed on September 29, 2017 that Bay Pines VA Healthcare System has begun safeguarding documents containing PHI/PII in compliance with agency regulations (Appx180-181). My effective hire date in the release of information department was April 06, 2016 (Appx182). The Office of Special

Counsel (OSC) confirmed that the agency is following protocol one year and five months after my effective hire date in the release of information department. Also, On August 24, 2019 I disclosed via email to Ms. Rosa Sly that the medical records Supervisor, Mr. Dana Askew, was safeguarding my documents and his staff, and it went against the Memorandum which states that the Supervisor, Ms. Rosa Sly is to safeguard my documents. Appx102-104. April 06, 2016 was my effective hire date in the release of information department and on August 24, 2019 I made a disclosure to my immediate supervisor regarding safeguards. Three years, four months and two weeks after my effective hire date I still had complaints about how patient information was safeguarded.

I find that the Administrative Judge erred in taking an overly restrictive view of the second Carr factor. Although Ms. Rosa Sly (Release of Information Supervisor), Ms. Donna Griffin Hall (Chief-Business Office), Ms. Gina Rhodes (Information Security Officer), Ms. Devona Hollingsworth (Assistant Chief HIMS), Mr. Jonathan Benoit (Associate Director) and Ms. Kristina Brown (Deputy Director) were not directly implicated or harmed by the disclosures, my criticisms reflected on both of their capacities as management officials and employees, which is sufficient to establish a substantial retaliatory motive.

See Whitmore, 680 F.3d at 1370-71 (the appellant's criticisms cast the agency, and by implication all of the responsible officials, in a highly critical light by calling into question the propriety and honesty of their official conduct); Chambers v. Department of the Interior, 116 M.S.P.R. 17, ¶ 69 (2011) (finding motive to retaliate because the appellant's disclosures reflected on the responsible agency officials as representatives of the general institutional interests of the agency); Phillips v. Department of Transportation, 113 M.S.P.R. 73, ¶ 23 (2010) (finding that comments generally critical of the agency's leadership would reflect poorly on officials responsible for monitoring the performance of the field staff and making sure that agency regulations are carried out correctly and consistently). Accordingly, I conclude that the second Carr factor weighs significantly against a finding that the agency would have taken personnel actions against me in the absence of my whistleblowing activity.

In respect to the third Carr factor, I contended that the agency did not take similar actions against Dr. Roula Baroudi a non-whistleblower who photographed patient records after she was charged with a failure to safeguard confidential information. Dr. Baroudi photographed patient records and provided them to her attorney in preparation for trial in which she alleged retaliation, retaliatory hostile work environment and discrimination. The Pinellas County, VA Medical Center, "Bay Pines, CW Bill Young, Medical Center", became aware of those photographs which it viewed it as a potential breach of the Privacy Policy. A Privacy Investigation was conducted against Dr. Roula Baroudi in which she was alleged of violating three of the medical center policies. After consulting with Human Resources, Management

officials decided to provide Dr. Baroudi with a fourteen-day suspension as penalty, but Director Suzanne Klinker reduced the fourteen-day suspension to a “seven-day suspension with pay”. This “paper suspension” as the medical center calls it, was not really a suspension as the term is generally understood; Baroudi was not only paid during the suspension, she continued to work during it (Appx183-187).

Our reviewing court has held that, under Carr, the requirement that comparator employees be “similarly situated” does not require “virtual identity” and that “[d]ifferences in kinds and degrees of conduct between otherwise similarly situated persons within an agency can and should be accounted for.” See Whitmore, 680 F.3d at 1373. This is particularly true where, as here, there is only a single person in the record for which a comparison can be made. Dr. Baroudi is a non-whistleblower who photographed patient records and I am a whistleblower who made a disclosure regarding how patient information is safeguarded and we are similarly situated because we both were investigated for privacy violations after reporting a failure to safeguard.

Furthermore, the record reflects that the Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge Initial Decision states that neither party presented meaningful evidence regarding the extent to which the agency may take similar action against employees who did not engage in protected activity but who are otherwise similarly situated. The Merit System Protection Board Administrative Judge erred in finding that the third Carr favored the agency because once a whistleblower shows that their protected disclosures contributed to adverse actions, the agency bears the burden of showing that it would have acted in the same way even absent any whistleblowing. 5 U.S.C. 1221 (e)(2); Miller, 842 F. 3d at 1257 (burdening the agency to prove independent causation by clear and convincing evidence). Though an agency need not introduce evidence of every Carr factor to prove its case, the “risk associated with having no evidence on the record” for a particular factor falls on the government. See Miller, 842 F. 3d 1262.

A. The Questions Presented raises Important Issues of Constitutional and Statutory Law

At issue is whether the whistleblower protection act places any limits on the authority of an agency official when an employee makes a protected disclosure or is an agency official allowed to disregard every aspect of the description whistleblower and then engage in ongoing and repeated reprisal and egregious harassment by targeting the whistleblower who is a member in a protected status which is a prohibited personnel practice solely because a whistleblower reported a violation of a law, rule, and or regulation. 5 U.S.C. 2302 (b)(8)-(9). When the United States Court of Appeals dismissed my complaint of whistleblower reprisal, they effectively ruled that whistleblower reprisal is invisible under the Whistleblower protection act of 1989, 5 U.S.C. 2302-, Pub.L. 101-12 as amended. There is a burgeoning controversy about

whistleblower reprisal after an employee makes a disclosure and the practices of how agency officials have responded to whistleblower complaints.

October 1973, The Justice Department filed a civil rights suit against Fred Trump, Donald J. Trump and their real estate company alleging that the firm had committed systemic violations of the Fair Housing Act of 1968 in their many complexes and after two years, the matter settled with a consent decree, signed June 10, 1975 it included the ordinary disclaimer of liability, but prohibited the Trumps from “discriminating against any person in terms, conditions, or privileges of sale or rental of a dwelling.” Fred and Donald Trump were ordered to acquaint themselves personally on a detailed basis with the Fair Housing Act. The agreement also required the Trumps to place ads informing minorities they had an equal opportunity to seek housing at their properties. In addition, Trump management was required to furnish the New York Urban League with a weekly list of all apartment vacancies, for two years. see United States v. Fred C. Trump, Donald Trump and Trump Management Inc. (1:73-01529).

April 19, 1989 Trisha Meili was beaten and raped as she jogged in Central Park. Six teenagers were indicted in relation to the assault. Charges against one, Steven Lopez, were dropped after Lopez plead guilty to a different assault. The remaining five: Antron McCray, Kevin Richardson, Yusef Salaam, Raymond Santana and Korey Wise were convicted and served seven to thirteen years in Prison. On May 01, 1989-Donald J. Trump at the time a real estate developer placed an Ad with the New York Daily News which stated, “Bring Back The Death Penalty Bring Back Our Police!”. The Central Park 5 were exonerated on December 19, 2002, of all charges related to Trisha Meili when another man confessed to the crime and DNA backed up his confession. see CNN Politics, Trump in 1989 Central Park Five Interview: “Maybe hate is what we need” (2016).

March 06, 2018: Stephanie Clifford aka Stormy Daniels filed suit against Mr. Donald J. Trump due to a signed Hush Money Agreement that was provided to her by Mr. Michael Cohen (on behalf of EC). Mr. Donald J. Trump did not sign the agreement and despite Mr. Trump’s failure to sign the Hush Money Agreement, Mr. Cohen proceeded to wire 130,000.00 to the trust account of Ms. Clifford’s attorney. Ms. Stephanie Clifford has requested that the court void any verbal or written contracts with Michael Cohen on behalf of Donald J. Trump. see Stephanie Clifford vs. Donald J. Trump (2:18-cv-06893).

E. Jean Carroll filed suit against Donald J. Trump on November 24, 2022 alleging that Mr. Donald J. Trump sexually assaulted her roughly 27 years ago at a luxury department store Bergdorf Goodman on Fifth Avenue in New York City when the Defendant’s behaviors took a dark turn when Donald J.

Trump seized Plaintiff E. Jean Carroll, forced her up against a dressing room wall, pinned her in place with his shoulder and raped her. Factual Allegations (1) Trump Rapes Carroll at Bergdorf Goodman, (2) Carroll confided in two friends about the Rape. (3) Carroll remains Silent for Twenty Years, (4) Carroll decides to Speak out. May 2023 writer E. Jean Carroll was awarded 5 million dollars by a Jury for sexual abuse and defamation. see E. Jean Carroll v. Donald J. Trump 1:22-cv-10016.

The People of the State of New York filed suit against Donald Trump alleging that the Defendant DONALD J. TRUMP repeatedly and fraudulently falsified New York business records to conceal criminal conduct that hid damaging information from the voting public during the 2016 presidential election. DONALD J. TRUMP is alleged of organizing a “Scheme” (1) The Catch and Kill Scheme to Suppress Negative Information this included (A) The 2015 Trump Tower Meeting, (B) Suppressing the Doorman’s Story, (C) Suppressing Woman 1’s Account by paying her 150,000 in exchange for her agreement not to speak out about the alleged sexual relationship, (D) Suppressing Woman 2’s Account by paying her 130,000 for the rights to her account. (E) Post-Election Communications with AMI CEO. The defendant DONALD TRUMP invited the AMI CEO to the White House for a dinner to thank him for his help during the campaign and for handling the stories of the Doorman and Woman 1. (2) DONALD TRUMP falsified Business Records, (3) The Investigation into Lawyer A and the Defendant’s Pressure Campaign, (4) Lawyer A and AMI Admit Guilt in Connection with Payoffs of Woman 1 and Woman 2. The People of the State of New York v. Donald J. Trump IND-71543-23

Furthermore, On July 28, 2020, Defendant Chad Wolf issued the Wolf Memorandum (Dkt.297-1), which purported to make certain changes to the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (“DACA”) program. On November 14, 2020, The United States District Court held that Mr. Wolf was not lawfully serving as Acting Secretary of Homeland Security when he issued the Wolf memorandum, because the Department of Homeland Security failed to follow its order of succession, as it was lawfully designated under the Homeland Security Act. Please Note: Defendants CHAD WOLF and DONALD J. TRUMP were out of compliance with laws, rules and regulations designated under the Homeland Security Act and the appropriate border security was not in effect dating back to February 11, 2019. see. United States District Court Eastern District of New York vs. Chad Wolf, 16-CV-4756

Conclusion

I am requesting a Peaceful Transfer in Power in which the Judicial Branch removes the title "Artificial Intelligence" and note that I am the Successor of the Crown for the United States and the United Kingdom.

I am also requesting that the Court provide a Notice of Eviction to the current tenants due to Non-Payment dating back to February 11, 2019.



TONYA KNOWLES
1201 Seminole Blvd
Apartment 474
Largo, Florida 33770
(239)672-5623
Pro Se

Certificate of Service

Rule 44.2 Rehearing: Its grounds shall be limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented. It is restricted to the grounds specified in this paragraph and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.

On this date, a true copy of the attached instrument was emailed to the below-named individual(s).

For Timeliness, purposes, it shall be presumed that the parties received the attached Brief on the same day when sent Electronically. The foregoing was sent on January 26, 2024.

Here List the Names of Individuals I sent Document to Below:

Solicitor General of the United States:
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue North West
Room 5614
N.W. Washington DC, 20530-0001

Kelly.Krystyniak@usdoj.gov

Supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov



Tonya Knowles

Certificate of Compliance

The document complies with the type volume limitation to include Federal Rule of Procedure 32(g)(i). The word Count is 9,354