

NO. 23-1892

In the Supreme Court of The United States

Courtney Green ,

Petitioner ,

V.


General Mills World HQ

Respondent.

On Petition For Writ of Certiorari to  
the United states Court of Appeals For the

**Petition for Writ of Certiorari**

Courtney Green  
P.O. Box 22444  
Kansas city,MO 64113



## Motion To Reconsider

The Supreme Court Denied the informa Pauperis in reference to rule 39.8. In the petition the petitioner explained how General mills participated in using monitoring capabilities to non consensually spy, monitor and note his culinary choices, shopping and eating habits for purposes of using this data to create flavors of breakfast cereal for its brand. This can be perceived as insider trading, theft of intellectual property and theft of trade secrets. The petitioner expresses that he was unaware of the monitoring of his person which also makes this non consensual and a method of exploitation. Throughout the petition accounts are given of how his shopping choices were stalked while on grocery store visits as well as specific dates, timeframes and locations of occurrences. Aside from these findings it is expressed how other intellectual property was also stolen due privacy intrusions of browsing activity (*Ref. Green V. Izod Corporate Office and & HQ 3:22-cv-6380*) Since then there has been several other incidents regarding the petitioners grocery visits where in these cases his culinary choices and creation have also been exploited and stolen/duplicated (*Ref. Courtney Green v. Walmart 24-1022, green v. Sunfresh market 23-00838-cv-w-BP*) In these cases it is further explained and pinpointed how these acts are organized,calculated and carried out; The petitioner even explains the connection between local distribution as well as the respondents local factory and how they collectively connect and link to grocery stores the petitioner is known to frequent. Through the efforts of the respondents employees in Kansas city,mo and Kansas city,KS; TheRespondent was able to duplicate the petitioner's creation and market it as its own product and sale for profit proving unjust enrichment. In the petition Courtney Green expressed that tv personnel made light of his at the time living situation, expressing in more ways than one that he was homeless and further exhibiting that tabe swerve being kept on his person. During this time MR. Green shopped daily for food items to consume, while doing so in a open place these meals were noted and later inspired creations of entertainment as well as for purpose of creation for profit.

In regards to the in forma pauperis submitted. The petitioner is now back, currently unemployed as of 02/15/2024 and still unable to afford the court fees. I respectfully motion that the Supreme Court Reconsider its decision on Petition for Writ Certiorari in case Green v. LG Electronics USA/LEG Electronics Inc.

Respectfully,  
Courtney Green

*Cg*