

NO. 23-1892

23-6201

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

AUG 11 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In the Supreme Court of The United States

Courtney Green,

Petitioner ,

V.

General Mills World HQ

Respondent.

On Petition For Writ of Certiorari to
the United states Court of Appeals For the

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Courtney Green
P.O. Box 22444
Kansas city,MO 64113

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

AUG 17 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Question Presented

During August of 2020 through February of 2021 I, the plaintiff Courtney Green Purchased and used a combination of honey o's, quaker bunches of oats granola and honey nut cheerios, cinnamon muffins and cinnamon tasty kake swirls to create a personal mixture of cereal for my morning breakfast consumption. During August of 2020 through February of 2021 Mine eating habits were unknowingly being stalked and observed amongst other things. During this timeframe Daily I would purchase these products and eat them in the lodge area of a Price Chopper located at 16611 e 23rd Independence, Mo 64055. I The plaintiff, Courtney Green express that beginning around the starting date of August of 2020 discovered amongst other events confirmed the notion that my eating and shopping habits were being monitored through means of in person stalking/people watching as well as methods of obtained surveillance Footage along with being watched through the television for purposes of collecting information and exploiting my daily living for profit. The respondent General Mills World HQ took advantage of collective methods of stalking in its various forms to conceal the act of partaking in exploiting the respondents personal culinary creations and intellectual property for financial gain. Through daily monitoring of the respondents shopping and eating choices, Did the respondent General mills use these findings to duplicate a breakfast creation for mass production of its breakfast cereal brand?

Petition For Writ of Certiorari

Petitioner Courtney Green respectfully requests the issuance of a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

Decision Below

The decision of the district of Minnesota is published in the Eighth circuit 2022. The decision of the United States Court of appeals is published at the Eighth Circuit 2023.

Question Presented 2

Petition For Writ of Certiorari 2

Decision Below 2

Jurisdiction 3

Federal Rule Involved 3

Statement of Case 4

I. Green's circumstantial evidence shows that General Mills World HQ openly participated in... 4
Reasons For Granting the Writ

II. The United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit Ruled ... 7

Unjust Enrichment 11

Terms and Conditions 12

Sec. 250.45 Unlawful surveillance in the second degree 13

Consent 13

Non consensual monitoring and surveillance 14
Reasonable privacy 15

Eavesdropping 16

Aggravated harassment 17

Reason for granting writ 18

Conclusion 19

Related Cases 21

Statutes and Rules 21

Table of Authorities 21

Related cases

- Green v. Lg electronics USA inc./LG Electronics Inc. 2:22-07429-PA-JEm
- Green v. Kansas city Public library- Waldo Branch USCA 22-2469
- Green V. Fox Corporation USCA 22-898
- Green V. ABC Entertainment inc. USCA 22-899
- Green V. NBC Universal Media LLC USCA 22-722
- Green V. live w Kelly and Ryan USCA 22-726
- Green V. Viacom CBS USCA 22-724
 - Green V. Izod Corporate Office and & HQ 3:22-cv-6380

Statues and Rules

American Data privacy act

Electronic communication privacy act

Federal consumer online privacy act

Invasion of privacy

16 CFR Part 313: **Privacy of Consumer** Financial Information Rule under the
Gramm-Leach-Bliley **Act**

Consumer Privacy Protection Act of 2017

Exploitation

Racketeering

Malice

Eavesdropping

Aggravated harassment

Unlawful Surveillance in the Second degree

Unjust Enrichment

Corrupt Intent

Table of Authorities

❖ **Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319 (1989) ➤**

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/490/319/>

❖ **Consumer Privacy Act (CPA)**

➤ <https://www.consumerprivacyact.com/>

❖ **H.R.4081 - Consumer Privacy Protection Act of 2017**

➤ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/4081>

❖ **16 CFR Part 313: Privacy of Consumer Financial Information Rule under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act**

➤ <https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/privacy-security>

❖ **Unjust Enrichment**

➤ <https://legaldictionary.net/unjust-enrichment/>

Jurisdiction

The initial complaint against the respondent General Mills World HQ was filed November 28, 2022 with the Minnesota district court for the Eight circuit. Case 0:22-cv-02737-ECT-ECW Green v. General Mills World Headquarters was ordered dismissed January 3, 2023 by the honorable judge Eric Totrud because it failed to state a claim in which relief could be granted. A motion for extension of time to file an appeal was submitted followed by a motion to vacate the order of dismissal and reconsider was also submitted to the courts February 13, 2023. This motion provided new findings supporting this claim as well as policy from the respondents terms and conditions. The motion to extend time to file an appeal was granted; the motion to vacate the order of dismissal was denied June 4, 2023.

A notice of appeal was submitted to the The United States court of appeals for the Eight circuit June 25, 2023. On May 19, 2023 The decision of the Minnesota District Court for the Eight circuit was summarily affirmed by JAMES B. LOKEN, STEVEN M. COLLOTON and DUANE BENTON. A petition for rehearing was filed by the petitioner May 25, 2023 but was denied June 23, 2023.

Federal Rule Involved

The respondent General Mills World HQ and its counterparts participated in combined acts including involvement in taking advantage of the theft of intellectual property and exploitation of culinary choices for profit; these methods were carried out and in violation of laws and statues such as stalking, harassment, eavesdropping, intellectual property theft, non-consensual monetary methods, exploitation and human rights; Having knowledge of the open use of spyware and other methods of non consensual electronic surveillance to collect data, eavesdrop and harass the

petitioner while exploiting this breach for financial gain; Participating in the act of Invasion of Privacy through the disclosure of private facts and intrusion of solitude, as well as violating laws regarding racketeering, exploitation, unjust enrichment, defamation of character, malice and non consensual rights.

Statement of Case

During August of 2020 through February of 2021 I, the petitioner Courtney Green Purchased and used a combination of honey o's, quaker bunches of oats granola and honey nut cheerios, cinnamon muffins and cinnamon tasty kake swirls to create a personal mixture of cereal for my morning breakfast consumption. This mixture was later used as an idea by the respondent General Mills World HQ to create General mills Cheerios oat crunch almonds, cinnamon and honey for profit, which began selling in 2021. The respondent General Mills world HQ is amongst one of many companies that actively participated in the exploitation, theft of intellectual property, and unjust enrichment.

I. Green's circumstantial evidence shows that General Mills World HQ openly participate in acts of stalking and methods of non consensual monitoring and surveillance, theft of intellectual property and racketeering influenced acts, exploitation of culinary choices for purposes of duplicating for profit and non consensual monitoring of the petitioners daily habits viewing data etc. for other ulterior motives and harassment.

In August of 2020 I began purchasing personal packs of honey nut cheerios as well as quaker bunches of oats granola with almonds on a daily and weekly basis from a Dollar tree that was at the time located at 16801 e 23rd street Independence, Mo 64055. I would typically make this purchase between 8am -10am using a combination of cash and debit card transactions with cards ending in 4480 and 7483. I would then go to the price chopper which was in the same lot and purchase a personal bottle of 2% milk and typically either a cinnamon muffin from the bakery or a pack of tastykake

cinnamon swirls for a dollar. After gathering these items, I would go to the Price chopper lodge area; I would then mix the granola and cheerios together in a bowl to create my personal mixture of cereal. On the days That I didn't get honey nut cheerios I would purchase a dollar box of wheat bran with raisin or a dollar box of honey o's cereal from price chopper or a belfonte or best choice brand yogurt cup always with a cinnamon muffin or tastykake cinnamon swirls. Sometimes when I purchased yogurt I would also buy a bag of mixed fruit and mix it with the quaker bunches of oats granola with almond to create a parfait. I would consume my breakfast daily in this price chopper lodge from 6am- 9am. During this timeframe due to certain events, I began noticing that while purchasing these items amongst others my shopping habits were being monitored. While eating in the lodge area of the price chopper store the channel would be set to channel 5 where either the KCTV5 morning news would be on or CBS this morning. While sitting in the lodge area I would notice that anchors of these shows would acknowledge in one form or fashion that they could physically see me and would at times attempt to converse with me or acknowledge me. This was mentioned in a New York district court filing(Ref. USCA Green v. Viacom CBS USCA 22-724). After eating breakfast I would then go to the library where I would use the public computer for purposes of daily usage along with communicating and conducting business ventures in which my online activity was also being monitored and stalked which was mentioned in several Missouri filings(Ref. Green V. Midwest Genealogy Center USCA 22-1915, green v. Kansas city public library- Trails west branch, green V. Mid continent public library-North Independence branch, green v. Schweitzer Brentwood branch library USCA 22-1906). During this timeframe, intellectual property was also stolen due to this cyber security breach which was mentioned in a New Jersey Filing (Green V. Izod Corporate Office and & HQ 3:22-cv-6380). Due to these issues, it further led me to believe that I was being targeted. In February of 2021 I began seeing hints of my intellectual property being sold for profit and people knowingly mockingly laughing about this issue in stores like Walmart, khols and macy's etc. In 2021 While shopping at a Walmart off of 40 hwy in Kansas city, Mo I discovered that The respondent General mills World HQ had begun selling Cheerio Oats crunch Almonds, cinnamon and honey; following coincidently around the same time that stalking of my person and breakfast choices had been occurring. Typically back in 2020 When I would create my personal mixture of cereal, after consuming I

would collect all empty packaging including the receipt and discard them in the trash. Since this timeframe in the year of 2021 The dollar tree that I would visit to purchase these items has moved to a building directly next to the price chopper previously stated and tore down the old building. I do however have an old receipt that confirms the purchase of the oats and on this receipt contains a authorization/transaction number that can be used to track down the other purchases made within this timeframe for the products stated. I am also submitting to the courts subpoenas for these locations that will show physical evidence of shopping habits being monitored as well as me, petitioner Courtney Green entering and purchasing said items along with the personal bottle of milk and the said products (single bag of honey nut cheerios and oats) in hand. Since 2020 there has been a steady continuous chain of events which still occur in the present that show that my shopping and eating habits have been and still are being closely monitored, studied, duplicated, mocked and exploited through duplicate culinary creations, implantation of the likeness of my person a place or things placed into show, skit, production and commercial criteria. There have also been several occasions where I have had issues with store bought food items being in some form tampered with, purposely altered or in some way contaminated as a form of retaliation or for purposes of inhuman experimentation. These instances have been reported to proper food safety organizations and further show how the monitoring of my shopping and culinary choices have also been used for malice and ulterior motives. I have submitted subpoenas for these dates and timeframes for visual proof as well. Between July of 2020 and October of 2020 an employee of price chopper even openly jokingly stated aloud that they were all in on it as if this was a joke being played by some form of organization or organized syndicate. The respondent General Mills World HQ owns and operates a plant/food distribution center located in the Kansas city, mo area where the petitioner Courtney Green resides (Ref.

General Mills Operations Inc. 2917 Guinotte Ave, Kansas City, MO 64120, United States) ; **This plant produces cheerios cereal.** As mentioned before It was stated that this was a collective effort. The respondent General Mills World HQ has hundreds of employees at the Kansas city, mo plant alone! who all live in or around Kansas city, mo and Kansas city, KS area. With a collective effort of daily stalking and monitoring of shopping habits through grocery stores it's not unlikely for an

employee, a marketing agent/exec or advertiser, someone from the creative/development department, manufacturing worker or just someone with this connection to publicly observe or conceal the act of monitoring and/or studying the petitioner Courtney Green's breakfast/culinary choices and use these findings to duplicate and create the Cheerios almond crunch, cinnamon, honey and berry flavors and put it on shelves for selling. It could even be likely that Respondent General Mills World HQ observed these culinary choices and shopping habits and thought it would be a good idea to make it easier for the petitioner/consumer by simply creating these combinations for purchase so it would be a one stop shop.

II. The United States Court of Appeals Ninth Circuit Ruled the Case be dismissed because it was insufficient in stating a claim upon which relief may be granted because the claims was not plausible

Although these scenarios play a part in the facts and are proven true through subpoenaed electronic footage, There is also the intrusion of privacy through an electronic device or television as well as the collection of footage and data through the collection of surveillance. During this time the petitioner purchasing the culinary choices and combining them to make a personal breakfast creation; The petitioner also observed tv personnel acknowledging that they could see him through the television as well as conversing and implementing the likeness of his person or things involving his person into show criteria, commercials or advertising. With both daily stalking as well as constant non consensual surveillance of the petitioner the method of exploitation could easily be concealed and used for purposes of financial gain such as in instances like this. This method of monitoring would also make it easy for the respondent to obtain these culinary creations without even having to be in the same place or area; making it a situation of intellectual property theft. Back during mid through the end of 2019 and early 2020 a commercial ran on the TNT station as well as other television channels in the Northwest viewing area. A commercial aired including a gold mining and oil theme; where an older male conversed with a laborer asking a few questions and then

shouted out and I quote *"We're going to make tons of money from this and you aint gonna get nothing!"*. The message was subliminal but showed to be true. It also shows that this actions were preplanned.

During 2020-2021 While enduring the monitoring of my person, In addition to discovering General mills using my personal culinary choices to create and profit from breakfast cereal, I also began seeing other traces and confirmations that the daily monitoring of my person was being exploited with other companies as well. In other instances I began seeing commercials for pepto bismol advertising new unusual flavors that miraculously happen to be different ingredients that I would purchase and consume, such as ginger and Chamomile flavor, peppermint and. During this time I often consumed tea which contained these ingredients and also used ginger spices amongst others for cooking which was purchased while shopping. During this time, while in between stable living I would frequent convenience stores such as 7 eleven and grab a coffee cup of hot water and double cup to prevent my hand from burning. I would then place my personal choice of tea bag mixture into the water, let it infuse and consume, I would also frequent starbucks and purchase a specific tea mixture which was offered on their menu which contained these ingredients amongst others. Often while purchasing this beverage I also notice that my cup of tea would be double cupped like when I personally frequented the 7 eleven stores and grabbed hot water. Which I found odd because some of the Starbucks locations were out of town and I had never been to because they were out of town and only visited while I was traveling. It could have been coincidental but this happened each time I purchased this beverage from a Starbucks location. This further led me to believe my daily habits were being monitored and was strange. Also during september of 2021 through november of 2021 While viewing the Drew Barrymore show, she hosted a movie star guest that while conversing made indirect comments about my person and shouted and I quote " go to the store" further alerting me that I was being stalked and that this footage was somehow being obtained and made a mockery of. This was mentioned in the NEW York Filing (Ref. *Green v. ABC Entertainment Inc. USCA 22-899*). In one instance, During the week of August 10, 2022 I purchased eggplant, cream of mushroom, collard greens, stuffed ravioli, tomatoes, cheese etc. to make a casserole dish. This same week Fox4 hosted a cooking segment

where a lady made a similar dish. This has not only been shown in instances like this but has also been expressed in commercials such as blue cross blue shield, beginning June of 2021 I began dental insurance in which a commercial was aired until December of 2021. I was at the time being referred to as 4 by tv personnel and is clearly shown in the blue cross blue shield commercial. In one instance while viewing a football game on NBC Sports it was stated by a sports reporter that I kept receipts in my back pocket. This further showed that Stalking was occurring and how the data collected was then dispersed to millions of viewers across the nation at once. With instances like this occurring numerous times throughout the accumulation of years; It can be seen how easy it is to conceal malicious acts while concealing involvement by taking advantage of exploited information further exemplifying how boldly the respondent took upon itself to exploit these culinary choices as if to show “everyone else is doing it why shouldn't I profit too”. This incident also shows how the surveillance of my person was used to avoid recourse, invoke malice in several ways and create mishaps.

For instance, It has been acknowledged and observed by tv personnel on several occasions in one form or another that I, The appellant like to cook and at times use specific and different ingredients to create enjoyable dishes. This is fact; In observance of this Television personnel and the masses also noticed that I had a knack for such culinary indulgences and projected that I would or could be putting these skills to use through means of pursuing some sort of meal plan or cookbook perhaps and began exploiting ingredients used or certain meal preparation routines or even duplicating these creations, hints one of the reasons for the stalking of my person through grocery stores and other forms of monitory methods further exhibiting truthfulness in claims regarding the theft of intellectual property, my creative genius and product development schemes etc. It also further exhibits how this issue has been used to derail one's advancement and control other aspects of my life and being; hints the reference to slavery mentioned in New York filing (ref. green v. Viacom CBS USCA 22-724 and green v. Paramount 1:23-cv-0035-UA, green v. Vizio Inc. 22-56083 and green v. LG Electronics USA Inc/LG Electronics Inc.USCA 23-1062). This was also the case regarding clothing garments disputed in the suit (ref. Green v. IZod Corporate Of ice 3:22-cv-6380). Which is the purpose for outlining the consecutive factual series of incidents over the course of the past years, In which clearly show the Appellee General Mills amongst others is also guilty in participating in the

act there of. These acts are also acknowledged during an November 17,2022 Airing of the Tonight show with Jimmy Fallon; While tuning in host Jimmy Fallon held a discussion with guest Martha Stewart and there were hints at surveillance and recent culinary choices were jokingly mocked (ref.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2aH7bY175Go&t=2s>) Further proving plausible reason to vacate the notions of coincidence and confirm suspicions of foul play on several levels. I ask the courts how is it ok for these major entities to take advantage and reap the benefits of my creativity and that which is me without some form of compensation, agreement or consent put in place? Furthermore, is this not illegal? It could only be admissible for the respondent General Mills World HQ to exploit eating choices if I had by chance submitted a suggestive idea for a new cereal and then it was created, distributed and sold on major store shelves across the world for profit, Which was/is not the case. Even then I am certain the respondent General Mills World HQ has some form of contractual agreement for the use of a voluntary idea that accumulates revenue for the company.

Most recently I visited a Golden Corral buffet consecutively every ^{#2605} Saturday and Sunday morning beginning 12/14/2022 until 04/29/2023. During this time it was openly shown how in real time my culinary choices were monitored,studied and noted. This information was then used to calculate and control my eating choices,manipulate eating choices, intentionally create mishaps and malice, even stirred disrest similar to actions carried out at grocery stores; While only simply visiting this establishment for the purposes of morning breakfast. There was a steady escalation of incidents involving food safety and culinary choices, somehow legal filings and proceedings were brought into the picture as well as other methods of harassment and my person being targeted, further showing that legal proceedings were being carefully followed or that my browsing activity was being monitored; I submitted further supporting facts along with other arguments and findings such as this one in a document title "Questionable arguments" the week of May 14,2023; on May 17,2023 USCA 23-1892 was dismissed and the decision of the Minnesota district court was deemed summarily affirmed miraculously days before the Questionable arguments documents were received by the court of appeals for the eighth circuit and after scanning the receipts for the dates visiting the Golden Corral for breakfast at the Waldo public library. Earlier, around

the time of April 27,2023 when a notice of appeal was submitted to the Minnesota district court it was stated by someone while on the mainstreet bus that and I quote “We’re headed to St. Louis” right before I received notice that the case had been docketed. These occurrences also prove that there are in fact ulterior motives involved and the careful monitoring of food choices is in fact occurring. It was mentioned during one of my last visits to the buffet restaurant that and I quote “We need to change cities and do it”” A few weeks later I was selected for an interview for an environmental works company that was offering a position that would allow me to reside in Kansas city,MO but travel weekly to Nebraska and parts of Kansas all expenses paid even offering a company vehicle. These efforts also show signs of retaliation, intended malice, experimental studies, the monitoring of daily habits for corrupt intent etc. These actions and occurrences were undoubtedly similar to the ones explained in missouri filings involving the monitoring of my online browsing activity, New york Filings involving the monitoring and stalking of my person by means of television and through daily commutes including but not limited to grocery store visits;as well as claims stated in the document title the “Statement of Facts” in this filing ref. 0:22-cv-02737-ECT-ECW. All this further proves that all these incidents were related and all were carried out in the same manner surrounding all the same motives of exploitation and malice.

I also began to see other products being affected such as personal hygiene products. These products include soaps, deodorants, lotions, hair and skin products. I began experiencing defects and issues in products that I was using and /or consuming. This gave the notion that this same organized syndicate had also begun experimenting with cosmetic preferences. Due to this I began seeing the same interruptions in purchasing options and also advertising and manipulative manners as in culinary food and grocery occurrences.

Unjust Enrichment

Unjust enrichment is a term used to describe a situation wherein one party benefits at the other party's expense, in a situation the law considers to be unjust. Unjust enrichment is usually used to describe benefits that are received either accidentally or in error, but which have not been earned, and ethically should not be kept. Unjust enrichment is typically considered to be unfair, and those who are declared unjustly enriched are required by law to pay the other party restitution.

What is Unjust Enrichment

When someone is said to have been “unjustly enriched,” this means that he has benefitted at someone else's expense, due to chance or mistake. In such situations, the law of equity demands that the enriched party make restitution to the person who was injured.

It could only be admissible for the defendant General Mills HQ to exploit eating choices if I had by chance submitted a suggestive idea for a new cereal and then it was created, distributed and sold on major store shelves across the world for profit (Which was not the case). Even then I am certain the respondent General Mills World HQ has some form of contractual agreement for the use of an voluntary idea that accumulates revenue for the company. This can be found on The defendant General mills HQ Company site, on the news and inquires page, under the “questions?”, by clicking the technology proposal or product inquiries link:

Terms and Conditions

Paragraph 4 of your rights and responsibilities

-By providing any information about your ideas to us through participation in the General Mills Worldwide Innovation Network, you agree that we may use such information for any legally permitted use, including product development, marketing and similar uses and may disclose such information to others as we see fit. You will not receive any compensation for submitting ideas to us, you will not obtain any rights in our proprietary information or the proprietary information of any other party unless we enter into a separate agreement to that effect. Your use of

*this site does not create any contract or other business relationship
between us, other than your agreement to abide by our policies and rules.*

I did not submit any form of voluntary idea for development, nor did I request or ask to be surveil, stalked nor my grocery shopping choices or food creations to be studied and noted. This was done without my knowledge or consent.

Sec. 250.45

Unlawful Surveillance in the Second Degree

A person is guilty of unlawful surveillance in the second degree when:

1. For his or her own, or another person's amusement, entertainment, or profit, or for the purpose of degrading or abusing a person, he or she intentionally uses or installs, or permits the utilization or installation of an imaging device to surreptitiously view, broadcast or record a person dressing or undressing or the sexual or other intimate parts of such person at a place and time when such person has a reasonable expectation of privacy, without such person's knowledge or

consent;

2. For his or her own, or another individual's amusement, entertainment, profit, sexual arousal or gratification, or for the purpose of degrading or abusing a person, the actor intentionally uses or installs or permits the utilization or installation of an imaging device to surreptitiously view, broadcast, or record such person in an

identifiable manner:

- For the purposes of this subdivision, when a person uses or installs, or permits the utilization or installation of an imaging device in a bedroom, changing room, fitting room, restroom, toilet, bathroom, washroom, shower or any room assigned to guests or patrons in a hotel, motel or inn, there is a rebuttable presumption that such person did so for no legitimate Purpose; or Without the knowledge or consent of a person,

- at a place and time when such person has a reasonable expectation of privacy, without such person's knowledge or consent.

Unlawful surveillance in the second degree is a class E felony.

• *Methods of surveillance were used to obtain private, personal information and data about the appellant and openly share these findings with associates for exploitation purposes, as well as amusement and defamation. Throughout the course of this issue it has been shown how these methods were used to surveil, harass, humiliate, stalk and cause intentional malice. Through this television malfunction tv network personnel were able to openly view, monitor the petitioner in real time while collecting and noting daily habits, viewing data and other findings at their convenience, **which would have otherwise been private or unseen.***

Ref. • *Through this television malfunction These findings were also used to inspire show criteria, the creation and innovation of new revenue streams such as new shows and ideas, products, services etc. further exhibiting the true ulterior motive of exploitation, corrupt intent and racketeer influenced acts*

Non-consensual monitoring and surveillance of someone
-Installation of Viewing Device

- *This element means that you either physically installed a viewing device yourself, or had someone install a viewing device on your behalf. A key part of this element is that you installed this viewing device without providing notice or obtaining the consent of the viewer or recorded person.*

- This method of concealed surveillance, monitoring and data tracking was unknown to the appellant until television personnel alerted the appellant of this matter; showing that this was unknown and non consensual. The appellant made several attempts to alert networks/broadcasters of the monetary issue and was ignored and the problem was neglected. The petitioner also reached out to consumer affairs regarding the monitoring and data problem and still saw no resolution.

Reasonable Privacy

- *The definition of Element 3 is the installation of the viewing device in a place where the viewer or recorded person had a reasonable expectation of privacy.*

- *This element means that the viewing or recording device was set up in a place where the recorded person felt that they could undress privately. This includes private residences, but also places that are generally expected to be private, such as bathrooms and changing rooms.*

- It was stated through the documents title "Statement of Facts" how the appellant frequented different Airbnb's and hotels while either traveling or in between homes and observed tv personnel openly stating in real time his whereabouts or things/objects seen in the background of the room etc. While in a private residence where only his person was residing.

S 250.55, which covers the dissemination of an unlawful surveillance image in the second degree. This covers intentionally distributing an image that was obtained through unlawful surveillance, as defined above.

S 250.60 It applies to anyone who is convicted of disseminating unlawful surveillance images more than once in a ten year period.

This applies to any time an image or video that was knowingly obtained through unlawful surveillance changes hands between two or more people. Any time you share, post, or otherwise spread the image, and you are liable for the dissemination of unlawful surveillance images.

Eavesdropping

-The definition of eavesdropping is intentionally overhearing or recording a conversation without consent, by means of a mechanical device.

Eavesdropping means any time that you intentionally access a private conversation between two or more people. This can mean the interception of electronic communications, like emails, texts, or phone calls, but it can also refer to recording conversations two people have in person with a reasonable expectation of privacy.

● *Since 2020 up to the present year of 2023, there has been a constant and gradual chain of events that show that several methods were used to carry out the act of*

eavesdropping. It was stated on numerous occasions that the monitoring of my person has been occurring unknowingly for an additional 10-12 years. Through this malfunction the appellee has enabled assailants/oppressors to carry out countless acts of malice that has undoubtedly affected and in many ways impacted the course of my life.

- *On countless occasions tv personnel openly exhibited how numerous methods of eavesdropping were executed while taking advantage of this neglected television malfunction. Through this monetary method tv personnel were able openly view the appellants personal life at their leisure, openly broadcast these personal findings to multiple viewing networks, openly intrude on private matters by encouraging scenarios, recommending and insisting actions. Through this electronic error the appellant was individually targeted, controlled and used to gather insight and avoid recourse. Through this manufacturing error the appellee enabled broadcasting networks unlimited access which has accumulated profit in numerous ways and has been used to manipulate outcomes in there favor and gradually gain and obtain electronic control through study trial and error.*

Aggravated Harassment in the Second Degree

-Aggravated harassment in the second degree is communicating with the intent to threaten, to such an extent that another person would reasonably fear for their safety, the safety of their property, or the safety of their family members. -In

this context, aggravated harassment could be charged if an image obtained

through unlawful surveillance is used to threaten harm. This could include threatening the livelihood of a person.

- *Through the electronic error, the viewing of my person was enabled. For over 3 years non consensually the petitioner has endured tv personalities openly viewing his person in real time, mocking, jokes, violations of the privacy in the sanctity of his own home, the gathering and collection of his daily habits which has caused tremendous hardship in everyday things such as shopping, stable employment, constant compromises to social media, mobile devices and financials, numerous retaliation acts, the constant ridicule from network followings for attempting to pursue legal recourse to resolve this issue that has been concealed and hidden. Through this manufacturing error*

this ongoing surveillance has also affected health, relationships, family matters, social stature, business and career.

- *This harassment spread across several areas, showing a collective involvement which also affected my mental and emotional health with comments like He's Delusional, crazy, stating that I would need therapy, mocking efforts to resolve this cyber breach and being denied on numerous occasions, with statements such as "This will go on forever" and "He has no proof". From television personalities, thus showing that this was indeed a collective effort. (ref. Green v. fox corporation USCA 22-898, green v. ABC Entertainment inc. USCA 22-899, green v. NBC Universal Media LLC USCA 22-722, green v. Viacom CBS USCA 22-724)*

- *There has been ongoing taunting through methods of implemented show criteria commercials as well as through the altering of and hidden messages of app titles and readings etc.*

Reasons For Granting the Writ

The court should grant Writ of Certiorari in this case because the respondent openly took advantage of a vulnerability that was at the time unknown to the petitioner and in doing so profited from his hardship.

The court should grant review in this case to oversee lawful integrity, examine factual findings that further exhibit a collective working in regards to relative cases regarding similar incidents. Weighing whether these actions were intentional and meant to target and cause unforeseen hardship and/or Malice to the petitioner. Furthermore, to examine the question of why the petitioner is being targeted and lastly why after 3 years and after numerous warnings from the petitioner has this issue not been addressed. With no response to complaints from The petitioner nor attempts to find a way to end the surveillance and correct behavior, these neglected actions have led to escalated matters that have

spiraled out of control which is why the respondent is in fact liable for restitution. Taking into consideration the fiscal evidence stated outlining the harassing circumstances repeatedly endured over the course of time, not only degrade and undermine the value of one's person but display these methods were in many ways used in attempts to conform and control the petitioners way of thinking and living and hint at modern day sovereignty. Repeated occurrences of similar incidents abandon the thought of coincidence and raise the suspicion of orchestrated plots. These chain of events along with the shown repetitive occurrence show that the petitioner was indeed targeted and these methods collectively aided in calculated misfortunes and clearly exhibits how easily information can be used to derail/delay and negatively impact someone's life if matters go unaddressed.

The show of consistency further proves that These events could only be carried out by careful planning and some form of studying one's habits. Information being exploited could only be obtained through the breach of cyber data and/or the physical viewing thereof. It is shown, The petitioner Courtney Green showed without doubt that actions were taken to stop this situation through legal measures. Due to the case being dismissed, I fear the bigger picture is being overlooked. USCA 23-1892 Green V. General Mills World HQ is one of many similar filings that are connected to racketeer influenced acts, exploitation, unjust enrichment, defamation, malice and invasion of privacy. This makes it a vital portion and very much relevant.

Conclusion

The petitioner Courtney Green respectfully asks that the court issue a Writ of Certiorari in United States Court of Appeals case 23-1892 Green v. General Mills World HQ.

Respectfully Submitted,

Courtney Green

Petitioner

P.O. Box 22444

Kansas City, Mo 64113

Table of Contents