

NO. 23-1062

In the Supreme Court of The United States

Courtney Green ,

Petitioner ,

V.

LG electronics USA Inc./LG electronics Inc.

Respondent.


On Petition For Writ of Certiorari to
the United states Court of Appeals For the

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Courtney Green

P.O. Box 22444

Kansas city,MO 64113



Motion to reconsider

The Supreme Court Denied the informa Pauperis in reference to rule 39.8. After ‘ Have provided sufficient proof to show that television and network personnel were using methods of spyware and other methods of electronic surveillance to collect data, eavesdrop and harass the petitioner; Actively participating in the act of Invasion of Privacy through the disclosure of private facts and intrusion of solitude, Illegal gathering and disbursement of private information through the Respondents Lg Electronics LG brand television. Through this defect and manufacturing error the electronic communications privacy act, the stored communications act, consumer privacy protections act, the cybersecurity information sharing act were violated. It is decided that the petition is frivolous and malicious but the petitioner has also submitted several other petitions for writ Certiorari with factual findings of tv personnel and networks exhibiting similar or identical actions conducted in similar manners. (Ref. *Green V. Fox Corporation* USCA 22-898, *Green V. ABC Entertainment inc.* USCA 22-899, *Green V. NBC Universal Media LLC* USCA 22-722, *Green V. live w Kelly and Ryan* USCA 22-726, *Green V. Viacom CBS* USCA 22-724, *Green v. Vizio* 2:22-cv-07429-PA-JEM/ 22-56083, *Green v. Paramount inc.*, *Green v. The Walt Disney Company* 23-55358.) Further showing a collective act of abuse of a known electronic breach and supports the claims of non consensual monitoring and surveillance, harassment and the Participation in racketeer influenced acts with corrupt intent. The petitioner also showed proof of personally contacting the respondent in attempts to alert and stop the issue and received no response even after forgoing the legal process and submitting a complaint to the consumer affairs; Which proves the respondents negligence. While incidents prove the Misconduct of tv and network personnel, it is also shown how LG Electronics has played a part in aiding the industry with a platform to openly do so. ‘With comments such as we have been doing this for 10-12 years’. Shows that it is a known fact that this concealed method of surveillance has and is being used and LG Electronics as a manufacturer creates these devices and has not even attempted to address, recall nor alter its method of creation to remedy this defect which makes them equally accountable for damages the petitioner has suffered. Due to the negligence on the respondents part; the petitioner's life has been compromised, exposed and taken advantage of as if he were property instead of as a consumer. As a consumer there are specific rights outlined which are meant to protect in situations such as this, Although the respondent LG Electronics can not control what content is viewed or aired it does have the power and capability to make its electronic products as consumer safe as possible. It is even mentioned within the petitioner's petition that I made several attempts to edit and adjust privacy and television settings as well even conducting a rebooting of the full system and still encountered the same issue.

In regards to the in forma pauperis submitted. The petitioner is now back, currently unemployed as of 02/15/2024 and still unable to afford the court fees. I respectfully motion that the Supreme Court Reconsider its decision on Petition for Writ Certiorari in case Green v. LG Electronics USA/LEG Electronics Inc.

Respectfully,
Courtney Green

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Cg' followed by a flourish.