

NO. 23-1062

**23-6200**

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

JUL 31 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In the Supreme Court of The United States

**ORIGINAL**

Courtney Green,

Petitioner ,

V.

LG electronics USA Inc./LG electronics Inc.

Respondent.

On Petition For Writ of Certiorari to  
the United states Court of Appeals For the

**Petition for Writ of Certiorari**

Courtney Green

P.O. Box 22444

Kansas city, MO 64113

## **Question Presented**

Whether the respondent LG Electronics Inc. aided in corrupt intent and racketeer influenced acts. These actions being undoubtedly ignored over a course of time, prove to show signs of negligence and little to no remorse for the malice bestowed upon the petitioner Courtney Green. At the expense of The petitioners Character, were methods of unconsented surveillance in fact used to exploit, defame, profit, control and gather personal data for ulterior motives?

## **Petition For Writ of Certiorari**

Petitioner Courtney Green respectfully requests the issuance of a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

## **Decision Below**

The decision of the district of New Jersey is published in the third circuit 2022.

The decision of the United States Court of appeals is published at the third Circuit 2023.

## **Jurisdiction**

Originally on 10/31/2022 The honorable Judge Susan D. Wigenton denied the appellees informa application to proceed without payment ordered Case 22-06057 Green v. LG Electronics USA Inc./LG Electronics be dismissed with prejudice. It was also implied in the opinion of the courts that the appellee failed to state a valid argument in which relief could be granted. The district of New Jersey Third Circuit entered judgment 12/14/2022 for dismissal deeming the amended complaint was insufficient in stating a claim upon

which relief may be granted because the claims outline do not permit to infer more than the mere possibility of misconduct. A motion to vacate the order of dismissal and reinstate was submitted to the district courts November 7,2022 and was denied when the courts claimed the appellee still failed to state a valid argument in which relief could be granted. A notice of appeal was filed with the New Jersey District Court January 10,2023. On June 8,2023 the The court of appeals for the third circuit ordered and Adjudged that the decision of the New Jersey district court on January 4,2023 be affirmed.

### **Federal Rule Involved**

Due to a manufacturing error and defects in the design of the respondent Lg electronics Inc. LG brand television media and network personnel were able to openly use spyware and other methods of electronic surveillance to collect data, eavesdrop and harass the petitioner; Enabling the act of Invasion of Privacy through the disclosure of private facts and intrusion of solitude, Illegal gathering and disbursement of private information. Through this the electronic communications privacy act, the stored communications act, consumer privacy protections act, the cybersecurity information sharing act, as well as laws regarding racketeering, exploitation, defamation of character, malice and non consensual rights were violated.

### **Statement of Case**

The respondent LG Electronics USA Inc./LG electronics Inc. Failed to warn of possible electronic breach that lead to non consensual surveillance and provided a platform/portal for Employees of the entertainment and media

industry to openly use methods of spyware and electronic surveillance to monitor, listen, surveil and attempt to converse with and continuously gather and share data through the use of the television device capabilities. In doing so, assailants were able to obtain television information such as model number, Upc and other codes to track and collect viewing data and manipulate and control viewing capabilities as well as limit viewing options and even jam and disconnect network connections to specific network channels.

Through this Design defect consecutively for 3 years beginning in 2020 Employees of the entertainment and media industry were able to use this platform to openly monitor, exploit, defame and facilitate the exchange of information to the masses for the purpose of malice towards the petitioner therefore aiding in the act of Racketeering and corrupt intent.

**I. Green's circumstantial evidence shows that LG Electronics USA inc./LG electronics Inc. provided a platform for networks and employees of the entertainment and media industry to openly participate in the act of racketeering, defamation of character, exploitation and non consensual monitoring of the petitioners daily habits viewing data etc. for ulterior motives and harassment.**

During October of 2019 I began noticing that while watching television shows employees of different television networks seem to look as if they were attempting to converse with me, the plaintiff Courtney Green through the television. This notion began while tuning into the espn show "highly questionable" when sports analyst Mina Kimes stated "You're going to pay

for this" along with mentions of ratings. Also during Fox morning news in early 2020, Tucker Carlson openly asked me if I felt helpless during a political interview. Also around this time I tuned into talk shows where the host would state things like, he's under contract so everything's legal. Following this while in between stable living I frequently visited a price

chopper and Hyvee off e 23rd street and another Hyvee off of noland rd in the Independence, MO area on a daily and weekly basis. During this time I sat in the eating area of these stores where there were 2 or more televisions mostly on the sports and news channels. During the CBS morning show between the dates 05/08/2020-02/13/2021 and hours of 6am-9am anchors acknowledged that they could physically view me while live on air in various ways such as acknowledging reactions to conversations and morning stories as well as making jokes and laughing at me being seen and my living situation, stating that I was homeless. During this time there were news stories hinting around things that I was doing for example business endeavors I was pursuing at the time such as a jewelry piece I was attempting to create via online which led me to believe my online browsing was being monitored as well. One morning while viewing this happening I stated out loud "Who do I talk to about this?" referring to the viewing of my person through the television. Anchors and host also conducted interviews and hosted virtual guest appearances where host and guest would make direct and indirect comments about my person while holding discussions; for example in one instance with Tyler Perry where comments were made about me being a slave. Also during this time on a daily basis I would also be in the sitting area during KCtv5 morning news where anchors would make jokes, acknowledge they could see me and implement information about my person into daily news stories and sketches. While entering a Hyvee one day in september of 2020 a television was in front of the entrance and the Live with kelly and

Ryan show was on and upon seeing me enter the store both host and co-host Kelly ripa and Ryan seacrest tauntingly waved as I walked by the tv monitor. Also during this time frame while eating in The Hyvee stores as well as the Price chopper, I would watch the games and while doing so I would in some

form or fashion be acknowledged by sports commentators, the crowd and at times the players in various ways. During this time frame I was often referred to as 4. Between June of 2020 - February of 2021 I stayed in a variety of Airbnb's and a few hotels where a LG brand Television were also present. During and around the time of the summer Olympics 07/23/2020- 08/10/2020 host of this event began hinting and speaking of a dress tie business endeavor I was pursuing, which led to pauses/delays in communication and production with suppliers aiding in unfair business practices. Also during the olympics, there were other mentions of me, the Plaintiff Courtney green with indirect comments and conversations about my person. between the dates 05/27/2021-06/28/2021 a news anchor Gayle King observed me tuning in to the show and shouted "What do you want?!" At that time my server was disconnected and all viewing capabilities were disabled. While live on CBS sports NFL season 2021 sports hosts on the show made joking comments on and about my person laughing at different occurrences happening at the time exchanging obtained information during on field interviews and halftime shows. Also on CBS sports during the DR. Pepper throw for free tuition challenge 12/04/2021 a sports anchor referred To me as 4 and signally at that camera, also hinting at and making verbal attempts at bribery towards participants stating "Remember we've been giving you free money and paying for your school for x amount of years", after hearing rumors of a suit being filed. On the Late night show with James Corden, during the dates 09/26/2021-12/21/2021 Host James Corden made blatant direct and indirect comments on or about my person. Not only acknowledging he could view me through the television in various ways such as pointing to the tv as I flipped through the channels or as I tuned in, carelessly participated in verbal abuse towards my person stating in one instance and I quote "He's a Bitch" he's not going to do anything. During this show host James Corden also referred to my business claiming that it

was just a “small business”. This misconduct was performed alone and with  
nightly guest. Some guest even warned him that he could not do this to  
people and that his behavior was unacceptable. The live in studio crowd  
showed that they were displeased with this behavior and that it was having a  
negative impact and less than enjoyable viewing experience. During the live  
w Kelly and Ryan show, on consecutive morning airing of the show between  
the dates of 09/20/2021-11/18/2021 Host Kelly Ripa along with co- host  
Ryan acknowledge in one form or another that they could physically see me  
through the tv by making direct and indirect comments about what I  
currently was doing, wearing, things I had eaten etc. also making indirect  
comments about my person such as financial status, state of mind (mainly  
referring to my mental health) stating that I was crazy and going to need  
therapy, living arrangements etc. This information was dispersed and  
gathered forms of internet stalking and gathering of data. through in person  
and virtual interviews at times referring to my person as 4. On the week of  
Halloween there was a prop of a giant skeleton that was placed on the show  
coincidentally identical to the giant skeleton prop that was on a street that I  
frequently walked down, on my daily route to the bus stop to further  
acknowledge that there were tabs kept on or about my person. During these  
occurrences, the live in studio crowd showed that they were displeased with  
this behavior by the reactions on their faces. Kelly Ripa also hinted at this  
behavior going on forever. One morning while viewing the show Host Kelly  
Ripa and cohost were making jokes and poking fun while playing a prize  
game on the show

with a call in viewer, she noticed what was happening and stated that she was  
recording this airing. This also occurred on other occasions with other stand  
in host also referring to me as 4. Also when Michael Strahan was a co- host  
on the show in 2021 the viewing of my person was also taking place. During  
the Drew Barrymore show between the dates 09/15/2021-11/15/2021, Drew

Barrymore as well as co host participated in jokingly comments on and about my person and even added in a bit about previous clothing style options such as green cargo pants that I had previously worn in the past amongst other things. In one particular instance drew Barrymore was conversing with a guest on the show and they begin joking things they had heard referring to daily stalking/ following that was occurring at the time shouted looking towards the camera and I quote “Go to the store”. This led me to believe there were actual tabs being kept on me or video footage was being somehow obtained in attempts to exploit and embarrass. In One instance between september of 2021 and october of 2021 I even shouted aloud “Turn this Shxt off” Showing my frustration regarding being constantly surveil. During fox sports airing of the NFL season beginning in September of 2021 through February of 2022 sports anchors acknowledged in one way or another that they could see me through the tv. Through the season, Sports anchors and reported participated in at time jokingly behavior towards my person through player interviews amongst each other and during the halftime segments. Between weeks 12-15 sports anchor Michael Strahan asked for this behavior to stop because the viewing experience was becoming less enjoyable and he saw that these actions were having a negative affect and they could get in trouble. During the month of December of 2021 I began attempting to pursue legal actions pertaining to this matter and somehow the employees of the appellee Fox Corporation heard of this and began talks about it in attempts to figure out if they were liable for the behavior displayed; stating things like “He has no proof”, “He won’t win by his self”, “Guys Fox Corporate in New York is watching. On Ozark fox Kolr10 around 10 pm after the viewings of the NFL Sunday night games reporters implemented outsourced information on or about my person into news stories as well as acknowledging that they could see me, attempting to converse with me as I viewed. Also on Ozarks first between the months (10/11/2021-11/27/2021) a meteorologist from the

show during a morning segment made joking comments about the situation acknowledging that he could see me and attempted to bring this to the studios attention by hinting at things that had been occurring over the past few months. There were also mentions of the viewing of me through the television during the 2021 American Music Awards and grammys. During the 2021 Airing of Dancing with the stars there were hints that I could be seen through the television and even could be seen how this situation not only affected me but was in some form or fashion affecting performances and the entertainers as well on this show as well as other programs. I began the process of pursuing legal proceedings against Television networks in late November, Host of the show Dancing with the stars stated “Can't get a hold of your lawyers, They're all busy” in a taunting fashion further alerting me that I was not only being monitored through means of television but my online browsing data was being stalked or somehow obtained as well. Also during this timeframe I would see mimics or duplication of meals that I had cooked or mentions of certain ingredients used in the preparation process which further raised suspicion that I was being surveil and continuously stalked and that this surveillance was used to study me as if I was a test subject. While flipping through the channels landing on HSN and QVC there would be mentions, one in particular being about an issue with food that I was consuming being tampered with. A lady in a yellow dress suit and a white hat stated “This is the perfect cover up because all the evidence is gone” referring to the food being eaten. I have also reached out to the proper organization concerning this issue. On local KOlr-10 new in Springfield, Mo during the month of November 2021 a meteorologist of a Saturday morning airing went on a rant about how he could physically view me and how this has been going on for a while hinting at different events that have taking place while I had been in that viewing area. Also on this local news station on Sunday nights after the nfl games between October 2021 through December

2021 lady anchors attempted to converse with me on several occasions also acknowledging they could view me through the television. All these actions aided in the continuous following of my person through grocery stores, shopping malls/stores and daily commutes. During the months of November 2021 through January 2022 Around the time I began pursuing legal proceeding against Television networks, shows begin airing segments where in some form or fashion I would be told to leave or during the show it would be recommended that I be kicked out. This is another way of how the cyber breach was used as a mentally persuasive platform to carry out ulterior motives. I also wrote a complaint to the CBS network via there online portal regarding the viewing of my person through the television as well as explaining the incidents that had occurred the week of February 28,2022 and have received no reply. Between the dates of 10/03/2021- 12/06/2021 host Jenna and Hoda of the Today show observed me sleeping between the 4am and 5am hour and attempted to wake me up by joking and laughing stating “wake up”, he’s homeless etc. The statement of my living situation have happened in other instances on the show as well. On Today 3rd hour between the dates 10/03/2021- 11/24/2021 I tuned into the show and observed not all but some of the host holding conversations along with implemented information about financial status along with other personal information mentioned in sketches and stories. In one instances a host stated and I quote “ now that quality reporting” jokingly laughing. Also on NBC mornings during this same time period between the hours of 5am -8am , host Laughed when I tuned into the show co hosting with an news anchor that also appears on Newsy Morning rush which I also have a similar open case with the Scripps network (Case: 1:22-cv-00009-TSB-SKB) hinting that they could physically view me. One news anchor stated and I quote “no one is watching you right now”. During this show information was implemented into news stories such as things hinting around my ethnicity and an anchor out in the

field stated that “the only way to beat 4 is to stay ahead of em”. Also on this same airing day Anchors spoke with another coworker who was abroad in Beijing and they laughed because they aided in manipulating inconvenient business endeavors that were happening that they had a hand in creating.

During the week of 11/10/2021-11/15/2021 Andrew Yang appeared as a guest on newsy tonight while conversing with a newsy in studio reporter, made direct and indirect comments one amongst others stating and I quote “I know you just applied for a call center position”. These occurrences have also been mentioned in other case filings Green v. NBC Universal Media Entertainment Inc. usca 22-722 and Courtney Green v. Scripps Corporate Headquarters, 1:22-cv-00009-TSB-SKB. On this day an over the counter prescription drug Ivermectin was mentioned. While tuning in to the television app plutotv while viewing a movie the app would cut to commercial and It would show the same news anchor that had been conspiring with NBC and the app would often crash, close out or have a viewing delay. During the 2021 NFL football Season 09/09/2021-01/08/2022 anchors of NBC Sports participated in joking about viewing me through the television implementing things they had seen or heard into discussions amongst each other before games and during halftime shows or interviews with players which spiraled into ongoing controversy weekly. After hearing that legal actions were taken against them, the host and anchors made claims that I had no proof. During week 13-16 an sports anchor stated and I quote “we should have never let him score” and another stated that he could clearly see me. At times even the sports crowd reacted with looks of disapproval for the behavior occurring making it a less enjoyable viewing experience. On 12/31/2021 during the Miley Cyrus and Pete Davidson New year’s eve Party airing on NBC Miley Cyrus acknowledged that she could see me and stated that I was sitting on my couch alone and joked about me not having friends amongst other things. In December of 2021 I filed lawsuits against the

following television networks Fox Corporation, NBCUniversal Media LLC, ABC Entertainment Inc, Viacom CBS as well as Scripps Corporation with mentions of these actions as well as others; along with claims of Negligence in addressing this situation I also submitted subpoenas to the courts for these incidents. Following my initial lawsuit filings Employees of the television industry began using this platform to alert the masses of legal actions being taken against them, further indicating that various forms of stalking were in fact occurring. I would watch a television show or movie through an app such as Hulu, netflix, Peacock or tubi and those actors would appear as guests on talk shows or on late night shows which further indicated that my viewing data was being monitored and tracked. Also during the 2021 Emmy awards there were further acknowledgments that I was being viewed through the television as well as clear indications that the viewing and monitoring of my person was being exploited through the film industry and made out as a mockery. During the Emmy's one actor while delivering a speech stated in a taunting mocking manner this is what it looks like to win. Since then, these incidents have continued and escalated while watching tv on other television brands so I the plaintiff Courtney Green wrote complaints to television manufactures LG Electronics USA and LG Electronics Inc. via letter, complaint portal and via email. I also submitted a complaint to the department of consumer affairs.

**II. The United States Court of Appeals third Circuit Ruled the Case be dismissed because it was insufficient in stating a claim upon which relief may be granted because the claims outline do not permit to infer more than the mere possibility of misconduct.**

## Failure to Warn

A product can also be considered “defective” under the New Jersey Products Liability Act if it doesn’t contain adequate safety instructions or warnings which are necessary to alert people of dangerous consequences of certain uses or applications of the product. Just because the product contains a safety warning doesn’t mean the product isn’t defective! A warning must be “adequate” according to New Jersey Products Liability Claim laws, rules and statute, meaning that the warning must be carefully worded and specifically illustrate and explain the dangers of certain product applications.

## Defects in Design

A company’s liability for a design defect occurs when there was a foreseeable risk posed by the product when the product was manufactured as intended and used for its intended purposes. In this instance the petitioner has shown that the risk could have been reduced or avoided by the adoption of a reasonable alternative design, which was:

- *Feasible, in other words, the manufacturer had the ability to produce it;*
- *Economically feasible, in other words, it would not cost too much to make the product with the modification;*
- *Not in opposition to the product's intended purpose, in other words, the product would still perform the function for which it was created.*

I raise the theory that The defendant-appellee LG Electronics Inc. was negligent in retrospect to the fact that the manufacturer knew or should have known of the risk associated with its product. Which provokes me to question the conduct of LG Electronics Inc. in regards to analyzing its manufacturing strategies regarding product safety and furthermore if this was a blatant convenient error in judgment chosen to satisfy a profit margin. Was this option more lucratively beneficial instead of taking extra precaution in closely examining all other alternatives to further prevent potential cyber breaches and security risk? Was the Respondent presented a safer option but the modification perhaps caused potential conflict with projected electronic upgrades. Even after taking steps to combat said breaches such as rebooting/manufacture resetting electronic television devices, opting out/disabling audio and camera capabilities, disabling data tracking options, the plaintiff-appellant was still a victim of these defects. While acknowledging this defect, it was also exploited by tv personnel on multiple occasions showing that this breach was publicly known worldwide and was still neglected to be addressed by any entity in any form; But instead conveniently used to exploit, monitor, study and note ones personnel behavior, habits, character, comings and goings whereabouts, viewing data and personnel preference.

### ***What is electronic surveillance?***

Electronic surveillance is a broad term used to describe when someone watches another person's actions or monitors a person's conversations without his/her knowledge or consent by using one or more electronic devices or platforms. In a relationship where there is domestic violence or stalking, an abuser may use

recording and surveillance technology to “keep tabs” on you (the victim) by monitoring your whereabouts and conversations. The motive for using electronic surveillance may be to maintain power and control over you, to make it hard for you to have any privacy or a life separate from the abuser, and/or to try to discover (and stop) any plans you may be making to leave the abuser.

Electronic surveillance can be done by misusing cameras, recorders, wiretaps, social media, or email. It can also include the misuse of monitoring software (also known as spyware), which can be installed on a computer, tablet, or a smartphone to secretly monitor the device activity without the user’s knowledge. Spyware can allow the abusive person access to everything on the phone, as well as the ability to intercept and listen in on phone calls.

If the person is not part of the activity or conversation: There are several criminal laws that address the act of listening in on a private conversation, electronically recording a person’s conversation, or videotaping a person’s activities. The names of these laws vary across the country, but they often include **wiretap, voyeurism, interception, and other recording laws.** When deciding which law(s) may apply to your situation, this may often depend on the circumstances of the surveillance and whether you had a “reasonable expectation of privacy” while the abuser recorded or observed you. Legally, a reasonable expectation of privacy exists when you are in a situation where an average person would expect to not be seen or spied on.<sup>1</sup> For example, a person in certain public places such as in a football stadium or on a main street may not reasonably have an expectation of privacy, but a person in his/her bedroom or in a public restroom stall generally would.

**1**See *Katz v. United States*, 389 U.S. 347 (1967) (noting that “what a person knowingly exposes to the public, even in his own home or office, is not a

**subject of Fourth Amendment protection. But what he seeks to preserve as private, even in an area accessible to the public, may be constitutionally protected.”)**

***What is spyware?***

Spyware is monitoring software that can be used to secretly monitor a device's activity

without the user's knowledge. Spyware can be installed on a:

- computer;
- tablet;
- smartphone; or
- other devices.

Spyware can allow an abuser access to everything on your device, as well as the ability to record and listen in on phone calls or other communications. Spyware software may be hidden on a device, and generally does not give a notification that the software has been installed or is in use. It can be hard to find spyware once it is installed and also hard to remove from a device.

- *Due to electronic errors in Lg brand device Spyware was used to gain access into the appellants dwelling place monitor, eavesdrop and gather private and personal data without his knowledge and/or against consent.*
- *It was also shown how these methods were used to control, intercept, interrupt and disconnect television and app services as well.*

**Sec. 250.45**

**Unlawful Surveillance in the Second Degree**

**A person is guilty of unlawful surveillance in the second degree when:**

1. For his or her own, or another person's amusement, entertainment, or profit, or for the purpose of degrading or abusing a person, he or she intentionally uses or installs, or permits the utilization or installation of an imaging device to surreptitiously view, broadcast or record a person dressing or undressing or the sexual or other intimate parts of such person at a place and time when such person has a reasonable expectation of privacy, without such person's knowledge or

***consent;***

2. For his or her own, or another individual's amusement, entertainment, profit, sexual arousal or gratification, or for the purpose of degrading or abusing a person, the actor intentionally uses or installs or permits the utilization or installation of an imaging device to surreptitiously view, broadcast, or record such person in an identifiable manner:

- For the purposes of this subdivision, when a person uses or installs, or permits the utilization or installation of an imaging device in a bedroom,

changing room, fitting room, restroom, toilet, bathroom, washroom, shower or any room assigned to guests or patrons in a hotel, motel or inn, there is a rebuttable presumption that such person did so for no legitimate Purpose; or Without the knowledge or consent of a person,

- at a place and time when such person has a reasonable expectation of privacy, without such person's knowledge or consent.

Unlawful surveillance in the second degree is a class E felony.

- *Methods of surveillance were used to obtain private, personal information and data about the appellant and openly share these findings with associates for exploitation purposes, as well as amusement and defamation. Throughout the course of this issue it has been shown how this malfunction was used to surveil, harass, humiliate, stalk and cause intentional malice. Through this television malfunction tv network personnel were able to openly view, monitor the appellant in real time while collecting and noting daily habits, viewing data and other finding at their convenience which would have otherwise been private and are meant to be Private.*

- *Through this television malfunction These findings were also used to inspire show criteria, the creation and innovation of new revenue streams such as new shows and ideas, products, services etc. further exhibiting the true ulterior motive of exploitation, corrupt intent and racketeer influenced acts.*

## **Non-consensual monitoring and surveillance of someone**

### **-Installation of Viewing Device**

- *This element means that you either physically installed a viewing device yourself, or had someone install a viewing device on your behalf. A key part of this element is that you installed this viewing device without providing notice or obtaining the consent of the viewer or recorded person.*

- This method of concealed surveillance, monitoring and data tracking was unknown to the appellant until television personnel alerted the appellant of this matter showing that this was unknown and non consensual. The appellant made several attempts to alert networks/broadcasters of the monetary issue and was ignored and the problem was neglected. The petitioner also reached out to

consumer affairs regarding the monitoring and data problem and still saw no resolution. In 2022 the appellant reached out personally to the Respondent LG electronics inc. and still received no response.

## **Reasonable Privacy**

- *The definition of Element 3 is the installation of the viewing device in a place where the viewer or recorded person had a reasonable expectation of privacy.*
- *This element means that the viewing or recording device was set up in a place where the recorded person felt that they could undress privately. This includes private residences, but also places that are generally expected to be private, such as bathrooms and changing rooms.*

- It was stated through the documents title “Statement of Facts” how the appellant frequented different Airbnb’s and hotels while either traveling or in between homes and observed tv personnel openly stating in real time his whereabouts or things/objects seen in the background of the room etc. While in a private residence where only his person was residing.

**S 250.55**, which covers the dissemination of an unlawful surveillance image in the second degree. This covers intentionally distributing an image that was obtained through unlawful surveillance, as defined above.

**S 250.60** It applies to anyone who is convicted of disseminating unlawful surveillance images more than once in a ten year period.

This applies to any time an image or video that was knowingly obtained through unlawful surveillance changes hands between two or more people. Any time you share, post, or otherwise spread the image, and you are liable for the dissemination of unlawful surveillance images.

## ***Eavesdropping***

-The definition of eavesdropping is intentionally overhearing or recording a conversation without consent, by means of a mechanical device.

Eavesdropping means any time that you intentionally access a private conversation between two or more people. This can mean the interception of electronic communications, like emails, texts, or phone calls, but it can also refer to recording conversations two people have in person with a reasonable expectation of privacy.

- *Since 2020 up to the present year of 2023, there has been a constant and gradual chain of events that show that several methods were used to carry out the act of eavesdropping. It was stated on numerous occasions that the monitoring of my person has been occurring unknowingly for an additional 10-12 years. Through this malfunction the appellee has enabled assailants/oppressors to carry out countless acts of malice that has undoubtedly affected and in many ways impacted the course of my life.*
- *On countless occasions tv personnel openly exhibited how numerous methods of eavesdropping were executed while taking advantage of this neglected television malfunction. Through this monetary method tv personnel were able openly view the appellants personal life at their leisure, openly broadcast these personal findings to multiple viewing networks, openly intrude on private matters by encouraging scenarios, recommending and insisting actions. Through this electronic error the appellant was individually targeted, controlled and used to gather insight and avoid recourse. Through this manufacturing error the appellee enabled broadcasting networks unlimited access which has accumulated profit in numerous ways and has been used to manipulate outcomes in there favor and gradually gain and obtain electronic control through study*

- *Also by disconnecting me from local viewing programs in attempts to cover up actions instead of addressing incidents, made me feel singled out and purposely Targeted.*

- *There has been ongoing taunting through methods of implemented show criteria commercials as well as through the altering of and hidden messages of app titles and readings etc.*

### **Reasons For Granting the Writ**

**The court should grant Writ of Certiorari in this case because the manufacturing defects enabled the networks and personnel from media and entertainment industry to carry out organized plots and racketeer influenced acts.**

The court should grant review in this case to oversee lawful integrity, examine factual findings that further exhibit a collective working in regards to relative cases regarding similar incidents. Weighing whether these actions were intentional and meant to target and cause unforeseen hardship and/or Malice to the petitioner. Furthermore, to examine the question of how this breach in privacy happened, why the petitioner is being targeted and lastly why after 3 years and after numerous warnings from employee personnel as well as the

petitioner has the respondent nor any of its counterparts of partnerships neglected to come forth to acknowledge the situation or work to end this electronic breach or address is manufacturing defect. With no response to complaints from The petitioner nor attempts to find a way to end the surveillance and correct behavior, these neglected actions have led to escalated matters that the respondent LG electronics USA Inc./LG Electronics Inc. can now not control which is why they are in fact liable. Taking into consideration the fiscal evidence stated outlining the harassing circumstances repeatedly

endured over the course of time, not only degrade and undermine the value of one's person but display these methods were in many ways used in attempts to conform and control the petitioners way of thinking and living. Repeated occurrences of similar incidents abandon the thought of coincidence and raise the suspicion of orchestrated plots. These chain of events along with the shown repetitive occurrence show that the petitioner was indeed targeted and these methods collectively aided in calculated misfortunes and clearly exhibits how easily information can be used to derail/delay and negatively impact someone's life if cyber security goes unaddressed. The show of consistency further proves that These events could only be carried out by careful planning and some form of studying one's habits. Information being exploited could only be obtained through the breach of cyber data and/or the physical viewing thereof. It is shown, The petitioner Courtney Green showed without doubt that actions were taken to stop this situation through legal measures as well as reaching out personally to the respondent Lg electronics Inc.. Due to the case being dismissed, I fear the bigger picture is being overlooked. USCA 23-1062 Green V. LG Electronics USA Inc./LG Electronics Inc. is one of many similar filings that are connected to racketeer- influenced acts, exploitation, defamation, malice and invasion of privacy. This makes it a vital portion and very much relevant.

## **Conclusion**

The petitioner Courtney Green respectfully asks that the court issue a Writ of Certiorari in United States Court of Appeals case 23-1062 Green v. LG Electronics USA Inc./LG Electronics Inc.

Respectfully Submitted,

Courtney Green  
Petitioner  
P.o. Box 2244

Kansas City, Mo 64113