


23-6159

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Eddie James KING — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Gregory Sampson — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeal 11th Cir
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Eddie James KING
(Your Name)

Dooly State Prison P.O. Box 750
(Address)

Unadilla, GA 31091
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

To the opposing Parties, the Plaintiff is clearly asking the true meaning of deliberate indifference? because through all of the Proceedings I'm being ignored. Serious medical needs has be shown where is the Justice?

Is it a 8th amend violation on Dr. Ulrich behalf to Prescribe an eye drop to cause more harm on an already glaucoma eye?

by the medical directors knowing my serious medical needs and not sending me to the Proper medical Provider is that a 8th amend Violation?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

WJH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Here the defendants clearly violated
Established Law when [Ulrich] Prescribe
an eye drop that would cause more harm
than good to an already damage eye, this
Prednisolone cause Cataract when glaucoma
is the case of the left and he had actual
Knowledge of that. Mason and Aikens had
the same Knowledge but fail to Provide
Care by not transporting to the doctor

STATUTES AND RULES

N/A

OTHER

N/A

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at it's enclosed; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/17; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at All in the file; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Enclosed court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was in enclosed.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: in closed, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was in file.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: in file, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on in file (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Plaintiff has shown 8th amend Violation base on deliberate indifference to serious medical needs on all Parties and dispute all objection of the defendants. Why would you give an eye drop that would cause future Problems [Ulrich] and why would you delay / not order Transport to a doctor that would cause future Problems [Aikens] [Mason].

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

These Prison Officials had a duty to uphold 8th amend and to carefully review all Problem or to send to a more qualified train Professional doctor

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Wherefore Plaintiff Pray that this Honorable Court Grant this Petition because this been an on going Problem since 2016, and has loss his right eye because staff has fail him at every angle and I simply ask for Justice

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Eddie Farns

Date: 9-19-23