

PROVIDED TO SANTA ROSA C.L.O.U

NOV 01 2023

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FILED

NOV 01 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. 23 - 6071

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

CHRISTOPHER TAKHVAR — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

WARNER BROS DISCOVERY INC RESPONDENT(S)
et al

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CHRISTOPHER TAKHVAR D# F60391

(Your Name)

Santa Rosa Correctional Institution Annex
5850 EAST MILTON ROAD

(Address)

Milton, FL 32583

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1) Does the STATE violate substantive Due Process clause of the fourteenth Amendment US Constitution, when the STATE utilizes Contested Trial court evidence [Custodial Interrogation videos] and edits, omits scenes, and rearranges facts to create a false light perspective, and publishes the false narrative version [of the contested evidence] via streaming Mass market broadcast services, thru defacto state actors while the citizens' [defendant in custodial interrogation video] Constitutional right to federal review of the state court conviction is pending pursuant to 28 USC 2254 HABEAS CORPUS Petition, and Art 1 Sect 9 United STATES Constitution. Wherein the custodial interrogations are contested evidence still subject to review in the federal proceeding [HABEAS CORPUS] Demonstrating a "Shock the Conscience" and does such state misconduct constitute the liberty prong of 42 USC 1983 Defamation Plus. civil rights action.

2) Does a 42 USC 1985(2) Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights claim, require the Plaintiff to be an animus class of persons when the provision states: "with intent to deny any citizen equal protection of the laws; and;

If an animus class of person is required for a 42 USC 1985(2) claim, then does "Convicted state prisoner" qualify as a separate class of persons, Wherein the specific civil rights Redress claim is "Interference with Judicial proceeding" of the federal review of state court criminal conviction pursuant to 28 USC 2254 HABEAS CORPUS Wherein the federal provision involved benefits the Plaintiff and states "Behalf of person in custody" twice and "Person in custody" four times in the 28 USC 2254 federal provision.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

CHRISTOPHER TAKHVAR
WARNER BROS DISCOVERY INC.
Tim Barney
Aaron Levy
Adam Eatros, Brandon Eatros
Alphabet INC.
AMAZON INC.
APPLE INC.
Dish Network
Facebook Group
FUBU TV
HULU
Investigation Discovery Channel
Marion County, FL
Microsoft
NBC Universal
PhiDIO
SLING TV
VUDU
WALT DISNEY COMPANY
YIDIO
YOU TUBE

RELATED CASES

CASE No: S:21-cv-207-RBD-PRL (2254 HABEAS CORPUS)
APPEAL No: 23-10990-B (APPEAL CURRENTLY PENDING)
TAKHVAR v SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Order from US District Court Dismissing Civil action
APPENDIX B	US Court of Appeals Clerks Order of Dismissal
APPENDIX C	Motion to Reconsider Vacate or Modify
APPENDIX D	Appellants Brief US Court of Appeals
APPENDIX E	Second Amended Civil Complaint
APPENDIX F	Deficiency Notice
APPENDIX G	Motion for Appointment of Counsel

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix "A" to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 2101(e)

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION Art. I Sect. 9
The privilege of the writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless in cases of rebellion or invasion the public safety may require it.

1st Amendment US Constitution Right to Redress Grievances

14th Amendment US Constitution Due Process Liberty

28 USC 1291 see also Fed.R.App.P. rule 4

28 USC 1915A

28 USC 1915 (a)(3)

28 USC 1331

28 USC 1343

28 USC 2101(e) Emergency Jurisdiction of US Supreme Court

28 USC 2254 Habeas Corpus Federal review of STATE Court criminal conviction

42 USC 1983 Defamation Plus (civil rights action)

42 USC 1985(2) Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights

Fed.R.App.P. 24 (a)(3)

Fed R App.P. 27 (b)

Fed R App.P. 12 (b)(6)

Fed R App.P. 4

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On Aug 15, 2018 the Petitioner was arrested in TX for a Grand THEFT warrant from Marion County, FL

On Aug 21, 2018 the Petitioner was interrogated in TX. During the video recorded interrogation the Petitioner made several requests for counsel and this right was not honored.

The Petitioner also made several declarations of Innocence stating "Self Defense" (To accusation of "Murder")

The Petitioner was later charged with Second Degree Murder and after a Motion to Suppress Evidence [custodial interrogation videos] was denied, the Petitioner lost Trial.

After a Per Curiam Affirm decision on direct appeal the Petitioner filed several State Post Conviction Motions to collaterally attack the conviction. The Petitioner then timely filed a 28 USC 2254 Habeas Corpus Petition in the US District Court Middle District of Florida, Ocala Division Case No: 5-21-cv-207-RBD-PRL, in April of 2021.

In May of 2021, the STATE was Ordered to Show cause to the 28 USC 2254 Petition. In June of 2021 the defendants "interfered" with the federal judicial proceeding of federal review of the State court conviction. Wherein the custodial interrogations are a main thrust of a claim in the Petition preserved for federal review thru being raised in direct appeal. In Nov of 2022 the Petitioner filed a civil Complaint in U.S. District Court Middle District of Florida Case No: 5:22-cv-576-PGB-PRL

In June 14, 2023 the Petitioner filed a Second Amended Civil Complaint (Appendix E) to cure deficiencies as stated in the US District Court Order giving 30 days to correct.

On Aug 23, 2023 the Petitioner timely filed a Notice of Appeal to the US Court of Appeals (APPENDIX C) after the US District Court issued a final order dismissing the Second Amended Civil Complaint, issued on Aug 17, 2023 (Appendix A) citing failure to state a claim.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The subject of the dismissal of the civil rights complaint should be heard by the US SUPREME COURT thru writ of certiorari "Emergency Appellate jurisdiction" pursuant to 28 USC 2101(e). Wherein the U.S. Court of Appeals for the ELEVENTH Circuit has "So far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings" by dismissing a Pro-Se, indigent Plaintiff's APPEAL (dismissed in Err by "Clerk's Order") Wherein the original cause of action for the appeal is the final Order of "Dismissal" of a civil rights complaint by the U.S. District Court. The US Court of Appeals ELEVENTH circuit has failed to adjudicate any of the Petitioner's Motions to include a "Motion for Appointment of Counsel" and a "Motion to Reconsider Vacate or Modify" (Regarding an Erroneous Clerk's Order).

If the STATE is allowed to utilize Contested Trial court evidence to create a false public narrative of claims made by the state, then the entire judicial process is rendered "Moot".

The citizen has a right to Due Process which includes APPEALS, and STATE Collateral Proceedings and the Right to Writ of Habeas Corpus to Challenge an unlawful conviction in State court, to include the "admission" of unlawful evidence if the Judicial PROCESS has failed to admit or exclude the evidence.

The petitioner avers that the issue is a "case of first impression" and has great public importance as to justify deviation from normal appellate practice and requires immediate determination in this court.

The citizens of the United STATES depend upon, and trust the decisions of the US SUPREME court, and that those decisions protect Constitutional rights.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature consisting of a stylized 'C' and a series of diagonal strokes.

Date: November 1, 2023