

23A225  
No. 23A225  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED  
OCT 26 2023  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

JEREMIE SAINTVIL, et al.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

---

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS***

Petitioner asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 39, the Petitioner, JEREMIE SAINTVIL, requests that the Court grant him leave to proceed *informa pauperis*. In support of his Motion, the Petitioner avers that:

1. Petitioner cannot afford the cost of representation in this matter and remains in custody.
2. Proceeded pro se in these post-conviction proceedings and was granted *in forma pauperis* by the United States district court for the Southern District of Florida to proceed in the Eleventh Circuit.


3. Petitioner has had no substantial change in circumstances to alter the previous factual finding that he proceeded *informa pauperis*. Petitioner's affidavit in support of this motion is attached hereto.

4. Because of his inability to afford counsel due in large part to the restitution order at issue, this Court should permit him to proceed *informa pauperis*.

WHEREFORE, the petitioner, JEREMIE SAINTVIL, respectfully requests that he be allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis* without prepayment of the filing fee or service of notice fees and for such other relief as the Court deems proper.

Respectfully submitted this 26th day of October 2023.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeremie Saintvil,  
#36269-509  
Butner Low FCI  
P O Box 999  
Butner, NC 27509

No. 23A225  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

JEREMIE SAINTVIL, et al.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

---

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO PROCEED**

***IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON WRIT***

The court has considered the appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on writ:

IT IS ORDERED that the motion be \_\_\_\_\_.

Washington DC, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

---

JUSTICE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
GAINESVILLE DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**Case No. 1:21-cr-13-AW-GRJ**

**JEREMIE SAINTVIL,**

**Defendant.**

---

**ORDER APPOINTING APPELLATE COUNSEL AND ADDRESSING  
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND MOTION TO PRODUCE**

On September 24, 2021, a jury convicted Jeremie SaintVil on all counts. This order addresses several documents that have been docketed since then.

There is a “Motion to Continue,” docketed September 27. ECF No. 103. Before trial, SaintVil moved to continue, and I denied the motion after a hearing. ECF No. 69. At the hearing, we discussed the “supplement” to the motion, ECF No. 51, and SaintVil explained that he had submitted another document. That other document, apparently, is ECF No. 103. At the hearing, I gave SaintVil the opportunity to present any argument in support of a continuance, and he presented several. I denied the motion and the subsequent motion for reconsideration. ECF No. 69. Having now reviewed Document 103, I conclude that nothing in that would have resulted in a different ruling.

Next, there is a document (ECF No. 104) that contains a request for appointed appellate counsel. This request is GRANTED, and Assistant Federal Public

Defender Darren Johnson (who served as standby counsel during trial) is appointed as appellate counsel.

Last, there is a “Request for Production,” which demands certain transcripts. ECF No. 108. The transcripts are “requested for appellate purposes.” *Id.* Because I have now appointed appellate counsel, that counsel may (at the appropriate time) complete the Eleventh Circuit Transcript Information Form. In the meantime, the clerk will terminate ECF No. 108.

In conclusion: The clerk will terminate ECF No. 103. The motion for appellate counsel (ECF No. 105) is GRANTED. The clerk will terminate ECF No. 108.

SO ORDERED on October 1, 2021.

*s/ Allen Winsor*  
United States District Judge