

23-5923

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

SEP 01 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

WALTER ROOSEVELT-TAFT DOWNING — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

STATE of michigan — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WALTER ROOSEVELT-TAFT DOWNING
(Your Name)

KINROSS CORR. FAC. - 4533
(Address)

WEST INDUSTRIAL PARK DRIVE
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

OCT 31 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I cecilia Quirindongo BAUNOE Attorney at law hope the COA agrees to re-issue their order. Then we will be able to file a motion for re-consideration of the first appeal & include all the arguments that the previous attorney missed.

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Trial Court court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>MI-COA DECISION</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>TRIAL COURT DECISION</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>(MSC) DECISION</i>
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____A____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 8/22/23.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____A____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The trial court Issue a order appointing petitioner a appellate Attorney
But was not provided that service & was denied his application for
leave to appeal.

REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Rule (10). For this Hon. Court to consider using its discretionary power's. (b) This court of last resort of michigan has decided an important question another state court of last resort or of a united states court of appeals.

This is why the petition for this writ of cert should be granted under R.10.

S

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Walter Rounning

Date: Sept. 1, 2023