

No. 23-5864

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ALFRED STARLING

Petitioner,

v.

CHARLES MIMS, Warden,

Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH

COMES NOW Petitioner, Alfred Starling, and makes certification that his motion for rehearing is presented to this Court in good faith pursuant to Rule 44. Mr. Starling further states the following:

1. This Court entered its judgement denying petitioner a Writ of Certiorari on January 8, 2024. Petitioner believes that he presents this Court with adequate grounds to justify the granting of rehearing in this case and said petition is brought in good faith and not for delay. Furthermore, petitioner believes that based upon the law of this Court and facts of this case, Starling is entitled to relief which has been unjustly denied him. He further believes that if the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals are continually allowed to deny him a COA, a number of people will be denied their right to the Great Writ of Habeas Corpus, to which violates the Suspicion Clause in the United States Constitution Art. I § 9.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 31st day of January, 2024.


Alfred Starling
Pro se