

23-5842 ORIGINAL
NO:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In RE: Jessica N. Smith AN ADULT

Ellis Keyes, Plaintiff- Appellant,

Petition for certiorari to the

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 23-60262

Appeal from the United States District Court for the

Southern District of Mississippi

USDC No. 1:23-CV-84

PETITION FOR CERTIORARY


To the Honorable Justice Samuel Alito of the Fifth Circuit and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court: Plaintiff, Ellis Keyes, Propria Persona, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of the United States from the ORDER entered in this action on June 20th, 2023 appendixes hereto at end and from all decisions, rulings, and orders that gave rise to that judgment.

Respectfully submitted



ELLIS KEYES, P O BOX 1073, WHITESBURG, KENTUCKY 41858-1073

elliskeyes@yahoo.com (606) 821-9815



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1.) SHALL the State of Mississippi be restrained, abolished and banned from the use of torture?

- 2.) Does the United States Constitution give to the private citizen protection against wrongful governmental invasion?

- 3.) Shall the Sovereignty of the State be reconciled with the happiness of the people, as the voice of every good citizen must be?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

The parties are myself, Ellis Keyes, my friend and biological Daughter Jessica Smith, the Mississippi State Hospital and its Doctors and Social Workers directly involved in these matters who are represented by Legal Counsel of the Mississippi Department of Mental Health C/O Attorney General of the State of Mississippi, 500 High Street, Suite 1200, Jackson, Mississippi 39205.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED.	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING.	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES Davila v. Patel, 415 F. Supp. 2d 528, 529 (E.D. Pa. 2005).....	7
Indian Child Welfare Act.....	17
Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). B. 28 U.S.C. § 5321(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).....	11.
CITATIONS OF THE OFFICIAL AND UNOFFICIAL REPORTS OF OPINIONS AND ORDERS ENTERED IN THE CASE.	5.
STATEMENT FOR THE BASIS OF JURISDICTION, CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, ECT	9.
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	12.
REASONS TO GRANT WRIT	12.
APPENDIX	
LOWER COURT RULINGS	
FIFTH CIRCUIT	A
DISTRICT COURT.....	B
STATE COURT.....	C

First and most importantly I will remove Jessica Smith from the home in Picayune MS because conditions there have deteriorated so much that Shannon Carson her Social Worker obtained an estimate of fifteen thousand dollars cost for the purpose of hazardous clean up, it is not fit for inhabiting at this time, utilities of her home are shut off and there has not been any cleaning or maintenance upkeep by her family that live on the site having possession and control they are unable to clean, so without their help I have done the best I can to clean up when I visit but recognizing the need for a safe and healthy environment me and Jessica have decided and agree to travel back and forth and everywhere in between to take care of maintaining her home in an organized manner bringing it into compliance with the health codes and so forth within the next six months and so as to visit her son Waylon Luke Smith residing in Picayune at his father's household and to build this family relationship for her child and pay child support to him and have regular visitations and she will be employed as my personal escort at all times so as to become acquainted with the family corporation business in the articles of corporation of Cook Keyes Land Inc. managing our ancestral family land that is her inheritance.

The United States Supreme Court has found a significant liberty interest in being free from unwanted antipsychotic medication. A similar right to refuse ECT exists under the Due Process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Courts should make a

judgment whether Electro Convulsive Therapy is medical treatment or not. I am seeking certiorari as supplemental remedy to the State action related concerning civil rights under the U S Constitution's Supremacy Clause as to those matters not addressed by the State herein.

Chancery Court # 22-PR-227-CS did nothing to respond to my concern to stop the torture of my adult Daughter Jessica in the State hospital this action originated from so this parallel action seeks to stop and to prevent the barbaric torture of my daughter by involuntary treatments. I am her biological father entitled to make these claims as of her consent to me as an advocate in her best interest and aspiring for appointment as guardian to protect her and our family interest. Authorization by my daughter's consent for medical records release to me is requested, although respondents are unresponsive and secretive continuing illegal practices in violation of our human rights in secrecy without responding and cutting communications, visitations and any information to petitioner as of this time. A dangerous religious death cult that equates torture and murder with love and salvation accurately describing the ideology of the administration of the State Hospital under color of law describes to this court accurately the known systemic dysfunction so as to correctly identify manifest errors of law and fact that permit such dehumanizing barbarism which is unfit for civil society in our day and age and so to present in the light of reason so that we may behold all things new again through rediscovered

evidence of passed egregious civil rights violations to bring into the light of reason with the substantial law of nature , reality and perfection so that the mental health system of the State may evolve beyond its controlling archaic superstitious practices of the dark ages through the liberation of constitutional law monolithically even to the Supreme court indoctrinated with such familiar ideology long established.

Because of the appearance of lack of ordinary care failure to care to protect our civil rights against the cruel and unusual punishment of imposing electroshock lobotomy against her will and operating under secrecy causing human rights violations without restraint by the courts as an important moral anchor of humanity I am asking that custody and control of Jessica be given to me immediately as she owns the family land and is a domicile resident of the state of Kentucky so will have more cooperative rehabilitation as needed in a different more caring an controlled jurisdiction and a change of environment is necessary because progress is diminishing in the current situation. On subsequent appeals I have unfortunately found deficiencies in the moral character of the District Court dismissal that seems more concerned

with adherence to technical rules than a lawful outcome upholding universal common constitutional human rights.

My Daughter asked me to demand her release and she loves me. She has made known that the therapy and treatment imposed without consent should be brought to light through lawsuit, I agree wholeheartedly to prosecute such injustice so we may build a life of justice free from the emotional abuse and stress associated with institutionalized torture as her advocate for our common cause with respect to humanity for the best interest of all concerned. There is a long history of persecution by criminal enterprise of scoundrels under color of law using mythological dialectical reasoning to commit fraud against native persons and the majority who are traditionally controlled by elitist government principles of colonialism that I was in the past falsely imprisoned on fake charges bought by a lawyer for a fee of five thousand dollars paid to buy influence and obtain a grand jury indictment so as to have me falsely arrested at gunpoint and falsely charged with kidnapping my daughter away from me when she was a baby but we have never been convicted of any felony, a corrupt lawyer now and Chancellor coerced me surrender parental rights. I was forced

to do so in order to protect the best interest of my child for my daughter to be returned to her family as soon as possible instead of the child protective service that took her.

CITATIONS OF THE OFFICIAL AND UNOFFICIAL REPORTS OF OPINIONS AND ORDERS ENTERED IN THE CASE

The existence of a massive torture program operated by the American military and intelligence agencies does not only implicate the individual torturers in war crimes. The fact that nobody has ever faced accountability or consequences incriminates all branches of government, the military, and both political parties, together with all the media, corporate, and academic institutions that have complacently reconciled themselves to this reality.

Many of the torture techniques were designed by professional psychologists with the specific intent of destroying the victims' sanity while leaving their bodies relatively intact. In addition to their physical injuries, many of the victims of this torture program now suffer from extreme psychological trauma. The sexual assaults, in particular, have been noted to have had a severe effect. In the cases of some victims, the

trauma—left untreated for years—was so severe that they are now effectively incompetent. They can no longer think or function normally.

The assimilation into genocide against my native American Cherokee identity happened by brainwashing my daughter through institutional cultural bias of behavioral controls to divide the mind against itself as an illusory duality of pretended good against evil as opposed to the natural unity of the common good of everything that is, causing a psychological conflicted injury to the mind and our person's well-being through ancient techniques of psychologically brainwashing as continues in concert with state actors in the Mississippi State Hospital through control and custody of my daughter making war against us and the common good as nature reality and perfection, so that there is no substantial legitimate authority but only an unsupported illusion to falsely enable the illegal, immoral conduct of human rights abuses perpetuated through the false logic. I removed this action from the chancery court because from these experiences it appears we cannot get a fair hearing however this is a changing and fluid situation so that now while seeking the same outcome of claiming the guardianship of Jessica together with prosecuting the wrongdoers

that I will accomplish these goals by any and all legal means available exhausting all remedies so that the federal remedy here is supplemental and therefore transfer it to this court jurisdiction as to what is not covered under state procedures, most obviously the civil rights violation aspect ignored thus far in the chancery court to stop the torture .

A Supreme Court case this year reflected how the abuses of the boarding school era are still echoing across institutions. The case involved a challenge to a 1978 law, known as the Indian Child Welfare Act, aimed at keeping Native American adoptees within tribes. The court upheld the law, bolstering the notion that tribal nations are distinct sovereign communities in the United States and alleviating fears of resurrecting policies giving authorities greater power to separate Native children from their families and cultures.

Dr. Jon Jackson explained that an Intern attempted to help Jessi to no avail but they believe in miracles and pray. The destruction of the brain does nothing to help but to the contrary instead disables crippling the psyche with irreversible damage and irreparable harm. What science is the treatment practice based on? Unsatisfactory evasive and deceptive answers I received to these questions disqualified trust in credibility of

the respondent to my questions and the dishonest lack of ordinary care shown to me therefore cannot be a reliable legitimate authority to be trusted. We therefor do not consent. Without consent electroshock lobotomy is torture, should and must be abolished, an abomination. I requested help and was denied help for her to make a call, they refused and hang up and never return calls. The Doctor and staff lies about everything, says updated information will be given but never followed through and says the ECT team third party can give me information on each reevaluation and will give them a message to call me and inform us but all that was promised was not followed through and done disrespectful a crime to have ordinary care omitted from humanity like the Indian boarding schools of torture revealed by church practices may not prevail, we must revoke the privacy of religious freedom separation from all its deceptive fraud business making eternal sadistic punishments of delusional psychiatric treatment that is not true but an indictment false world view of an evil world and life . We did not give consent for the physical loss of nature taken and my daughter Jessica Smith told them to stop it. She has had enough but they continued anyway with the torture, it is criminal. We hate torture. There is no

valid reason to torture anyone. Please stop the shock treatments? Stop the crime against humanity. Abolish the torture. Her head hurt from the shock treatments that have destroyed my daughter's brain with 800 volts and more permanently destroying the brain.

STATEMENT FOR THE BASIS OF JURISDICTION,
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, ECT

A compelling point of Mississippi law is if any family member objects to electroshock the lobotomy therapy must cease and be stopped but they found a different relative who consented, wrongfully and illegally ignoring, overriding the objection to proceed as if the state actors in the hospital had consent. We have a situation of torture, immoral, unethical and illegal because they have not provided informed consent as required by law to us and we sought truthful information.

Please return her to me immediately, Jessica Smith, pursuant to Miss. Code Ann §93-20-301 et seq. and Miss. Code Ann §93-20-401 et seq., and in support thereof, the Petitioner respectfully shows the Court the following matters, to-wit: Jessica Smith is an adult domiciled resident

citizen of Kentucky who is presently placed in Mississippi State Hospital at Whitfield by reason of mental weakness.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The facts alleged and the remedies show that the cause of action is of diversity between citizens of different states and also essentially for the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress, therefore constituting a federal question within the exclusive federal question jurisdiction of the United States courts. *Davila v. Patel*, 415 F. Supp. 2d 528, 529 (E.D. Pa. 2005)

The conduct described is extreme and outrageous, (2) the conduct is intentional or reckless, (3) the conduct causes emotional distress Brain injury, irreparable harm and (4) the distress is severe

The jurisdictional basis: (1) filing a notice of removal in federal court, (2) giving prompt written notice to adverse parties, and (3) promptly filing a copy of the notice in state court. Filing of this notice with the clerk that "*shall effect* the removal." notice to 10th chancery court.

STATEMENT FOR THE BASIS OF JURISDICTION,

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, ECT

I seek to supplement this action from the Chancery Court to the United States Supreme Court because of the obstacles presented in getting a fair hearing in the state with respect to diversity of citizenship and therefore supplement with this and to this court jurisdiction by necessity of defending civil rights to the biological family members and because Furthermore of the adversity that exist that the respondents are disciples of a dangerous religious death cult whose worship of the torture murder abomination Antichrist has warped their minds bent away from substantial reality of nature into nonexistence otherworldliness subjecting them to extreme delusions from the belief that Torture and Murder are equal to love and salvation, such bad logic renders the mind incapable of making a decision for the common good. Its criminal fantasy is based on a false belief that is an obviously logically impossibility because Cause and Effect are one and the same, so that the illegal crime of torture and murder cannot possibly be the cause of salvation and the source of everything good as the mythology wrongfully implies without justification.

This case is of such imperative public importance to require immediate determination in this Court. Jurisdiction here is obviously of Diversity, the amount in controversy to be determined by a jury in addition to the basis in civil rights federal question.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

. Rule 24-1-4.1.7 - CONSENT TO ECT A. Every patient and/or consenting party to whom ECT is proposed shall be given a full explanation of ECT by the physician. The patient and/or consenting party shall be given the opportunity to have any questions concerning the procedures answered.... The law is clear here and is not complied with, legal consent was not obtained for ECT by respondents. F. ECT shall not be administered to incompetent patients unless informed consent has been obtained.....And consent shall not be valid if there is disagreement between persons related to the patient to the same degree or unless treatment has been ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction.

This Civil Action is founded on a Claim or Right Arising under the Laws of the United States. A. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Every person who,

under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress. The State Court Action is removable to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) as plaintiff's cause of action is a federal question arising under the laws of the United States, specifically, the Civil Rights Act. Accordingly, this matter presents a federal question and removal is appropriate Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). B. 28 U.S.C. § 5321(d). A point of law is consent we have a situation of torture, immoral, unethical and illegal.

REASONS TO GRANT WRIT

An Intern attempted to help Jessi to no avail but the hospital staff believes in miracles and prayer. Miracles imagined as supernatural of polytheistic systems that are mere placebo induced psychology, actually trauma infliction? Please take the appropriate action to restrain

them from crimes against humanity. Where one family member objects the institutions are required to stop the ECT. Let the court grant that the appropriate relief be had from these pleadings.

An injunction is requested to stop the torture bring reform, to remove torture from practice in our institutions of peace so we shall respect life. The conduct described is extreme and outrageous, (2) the conduct is intentional or reckless, (3) the conduct causes emotional distress Brain injury, irreparable harm and (4) the distress is severe. Torture, should and must be abolished, an abomination. Please restrain them from crimes against humanity.

Clearly separation from church and state must not violate constitutional law of human rights. My first concern is the miracles placebo induced psychology irreparable trauma infliction of Voodoo witchdoctor casting out evil. The misconception of ordinary occurring miracles of life, self-evident facts of nature as a unified field single substantial whole united we stand rather than a duality conflict of good and evil divided conflict.

The cultural bias is far afield from the pantheistic reality of Native American society where all are the common good.

The unavoidable impression is that the hospital administration respondents do not mean what they tell us or do what they say they will so they cannot be a reliable legitimate authority to be trusted by any reasonable minded person.

Therefore, Let the respondents be restrained by law from further unjustifiable torture crimes against humanity so that what they have done to my daughter will never happen again to anyone because there is no justification for that in law and reason. They do not yet understand so we must and will show them. Liberty is eternal where it does not cause harm to anyone.

The reasons writ should be granted in the above named case defending civil rights of myself, my daughter and whosoever is similarly situated with such circumstances to uphold human dignity, respect for life and liberty to be free from torture by reason of law.

The facts show that the cause of action is essentially for intentional infliction of emotional distress constituting a federal question within

the exclusive federal question jurisdiction of the United States courts.

Davila v. Patel, 415 F. Supp. 2d 528, 529 (E.D. Pa. 2005)

The respondent's behavior and action appears as a majority of disciples of a dangerous religious death cult whose worship of the torture murder abomination Antichrist subjects them to extreme delusions from the belief that Torture and Murder are equal to Love and Salvation but such that this logical contradiction with reality renders them incapable of making a decision for the common good. The criminal fantasy is based on a false belief logically impossible because Cause and Effect are one and the same so that illegal torture and murder cannot be the cause of salvation.

Petitioner request she be released from the sadistic tyranny respondents have created and return her to her biological father and friend at once and to the custody and care as she has asked at once and without further delay.

Respondents are so delusional and dishonest that they do not understand that there is nothing wrong with nature, it is perfect and the only reliable frame of reference as the laws of the universe are

absolute but unfortunately through an inadequate conception of reality the respondents believe in an original sin and set forth to destroy the brain of my daughter and it was completely unnecessary, they did not need to turn her into a vegetative state she is now in and incapable of even making a call to me without assistance and the respondents refuse to assist her to help her make a phone call.

Please appoint me as Guardian and Conservator for her. AND Please take the appropriate action to restrain and abolish such crimes against humanity. Where the Law requires if one family member objects the institutions are required to stop the ECT. Let the court grant that the relief be had AND for such other further relief as is just and proper.

Let CERTIORARI be granted.

RESPECTFULLY



Ellis Keyes, Propria Persona

PO BOX 1073

WHITESBURG, KENTUCKY 41858-1073

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 23-60262

United States Court of Appeals

Fifth Circuit

FILED

June 20, 2023

IN RE JESSICA N. SMITH AN ADULT

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

ELLIS KEYES,

Plaintiff—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Mississippi
USDC No. 1:23-CV-84

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

Before KING, JONES, and SMITH, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:

This court must examine the basis of its jurisdiction on its own motion if necessary. *Hill v. City of Seven Points*, 230 F.3d 167, 169 (5th Cir. 2000). In this civil rights case, plaintiff removed from state to federal district court. The district court *sua sponte* remanded to state court, finding defects in the removal procedure. The removal is procedurally defective because 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) authorizes only defendants to remove a case from state court to federal court.

It is well settled that a district court's remand is interlocutory and unappealable if remand is based on defects in the removal procedure or lack of

No. 23-60262

subject matter jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d); *Price v. Johnson*, 600 F.3d 460, 462 (5th Cir. 2010). Accordingly, the appeal is DISMISSED for want of jurisdiction.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF JESSICA N.
SMITH AN ADULT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:23-cv-84-TBM-RPM

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Ellis Keyes filed a Notice of Removal [1] in this case on March 29, 2023. This Court then *sua sponte* ordered Keyes to file a document explaining how this Court had jurisdiction over this matter. [3]. Keyes has filed his response and it does not demonstrate that this Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this suit. Therefore, this case is remanded to the Chancery Court of Pearl River County, Mississippi.

Keyes's notice of removal indicates that he initially filed this suit as a petition for appointment of a guardian or conservator for his daughter. [1], p. 1. He notes that he has been told his daughter's alleged addiction and mental health require such an arrangement. [1], pp. 1-2. He also asserts, in his response to this Court's show cause order, that either his or his daughter's civil rights are being violated and references 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for the first time there. [4], p. 3

Civil cases can be removed from state courts to federal courts under 28 U.S.C. § 1441. That statute provides that "any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed *by the defendant or the defendants*, to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such action is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) (emphasis added). Keyes is not the defendant in this suit; as his notice of removal attests—as well as his response to this Court's show cause order—he filed this suit. Therefore, section 1441 does not allow him to remove this case. *See Valencia v. Allstate*

Tx. Lloyd's, 976 F.3d 593, 595 (5th Cir. 2020) ("The law is clear that a case filed in state court may be removed to federal court only be 'the defendant or the defendants.'" (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a))).

Because Keyes did not properly remove this case, it is not properly before this Court and must be remanded. *See id.* at 597. Separately, this Court notes that Keyes makes no reference to 28 U.S.C. § 1443 which provides for removal in certain civil rights cases. That section would also not avail Keyes though because it likewise restricts its application to "the defendant" in a given case. 28 U.S.C. § 1443.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this case is REMANDED back to the Chancery Court of Pearl River County, Mississippi. A certified copy of this opinion and order shall be mailed immediately by the Clerk's Office to the Chancery Clerk of Pearl River County, Mississippi, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

THIS, the 19th day of April, 2023.



TAYLOR B. McNEEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF PEARL RIVER COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP AND
CONSERVATORSHIP OF JESSICA N. SMITH

CAUSE NO. 55CH1:22-pr-00227-CS


ORDER OF DISMISSAL

THIS CAUSE came before the Court for hearing on April 25, 2023, on the *Petition for Emergency Guardianship and Conservatorship of Jessica N. Smith* filed by the Petitioner. Present in open court was the Petitioner, Mr. Ellis Keyes. The Court conducted an on the record hearing and received testimony from the Petitioner. Having considered same, and being advised in the premises, the Court finds that the *Petition* fails for insufficient evidence per MCA § 93-20-305 and § 93-20-407, fails for insufficient notice per MCA § 93-20-303 and § 93-20-403, fails for non-compliance with U.C.C.R. 6.01(A). The Petitioner was offered the opportunity on several occasions prior to trial to remedy these deficiencies, but failed to make the necessary changes in order for his *Petition* to meet the statutory requirements.

Having considered the foregoing, the Court hereby dismisses Plaintiffs' *Petition for Guardianship and Conservatorship* and dismisses this case without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this matter is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.


SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED on this, the 25th day of April, 2023.


CHANCELLOR M. CHADWICK SMITH

FILED

APR 28 2023

MELINDA SMITH BOWMAN CHANCERY CLERK

BY 

Case No. 55CH1:22-pr-00227-CS Document #: 10 Filed: 04/28/2023 Page 1 of 1

C 1

IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF PEARL RIVER COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP
OF JESSICA N. SMITH
ELLIS KEYES

PETITIONER

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 23-PR-154-CS

TEMPORARY ORDER

THIS CAUSE came on for hearing July 31, 2023, on the Petition for the Guardianship of Jessica Smith. Appearing before the Court were, the Petitioner, Ellis Keyes, her next friend, by and through his attorney Morgan D. Bishop. In addition, the following interested parties appeared before the Court, Ms. Leanne Lumpkin, sister of Jessica Smith; Ms. Marsha Delacroix, sister of Jessica Smith; Mr. Leon Smith, brother of Jessica Smith; and the Mississippi Department of Mental Health via attorney MaCall Chastain with the Mississippi Office of the Attorney General. The Court conducted a brief inquiry of the parties, and having considered the premises, hereby finds as follows:

1. The proposed ward, Jessica Smith, is currently housed at the Mississippi Department of Mental Health upon a twelve month commitment pursuant to Order of the Chancery Court of Pearl River County in Cause No. 22-cm-13. That pursuant to that proceeding Marsha Delacroix was named as the person of contact.
2. That Marsha Delacroix shall be named as temporary guardian of the Ward, Jessica Smith in this action until further order of the Court. This shall be addressed by separate Order of the Court.
3. That the Mississippi Department of Mental Health by and through the Mississippi State Hospital at Whitfield, shall conduct a medical evaluation of Jessica Smith pursuant to the

requirements of § 93-20-305 and 307 of the MS. Code of 1972 (Commonly known as the GAP Act) requiring:

The chancery judge shall be the judge of the number and character of the witnesses and proof to be presented, except that the proof must include certificates made after a personal examination of the respondent by the following professionals, each of whom shall make in writing a certificate of the result of that examination to be filed with the clerk of the court and become a part of the record of the case

- (a) Two (2) licensed physicians; or
- (b) One (1) licensed physician and either one (1) licensed psychologist, nurse practitioner, or physician's assistant.

The personal examination may occur face-to-face or via telemedicine, but any telemedicine examination must be made using an audio-visual connection by a physician licensed in this state and as defined in Section 83-9-351. A nurse practitioner or physician assistant conducting an examination shall not also be in a collaborative or supervisory relationship, as the law may otherwise require, with the physician conducting the examination. A professional conducting an examination under this section may also be called to testify at the hearing.

1. That upon completion of the Medical Affidavit(s) attached to this Order as Exhibit A, the same shall be transmitted to the office of Chancellor Chad Smith via USPS, at P.O. Box 1977 Hattiesburg, MS. 39403; or via email to Kristin McGee, Staff Attorney for Chancellor Smith at kmcgee@co.forrest.ms.us

IT IS FURTHER ORDERD AND ADJUDGED, that this action shall be continued and reset for a future date upon which the proposed Ward, Jessica Smith, shall be summoned and

commanded to appear before this Court and have the opportunity to present testimony on her own behalf and that all process is preserved until said date.

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this the 2nd day of August, 2023.



CHAD SMITH, CHANCELLOR

Prepared by:

/s/ Morgan D. Bishop

Ducker and Bishop LLP.

MSB # 104599

P.O. Box 217

Purvis, MS. 39475

Tel.: 601-794-8545

Bishop@duckerlawfirm.com