

23-5841

No. _____

FILED

OCT 04 2023

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

James Franklin Snyder PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

United States (Biden), et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals 9th Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

James Franklin Snyder
(Your Name)

125 North 8th West
(Address)

St. Anthony, Id. 83445
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Can Idaho State D.O.C. house 500 inmates with 5 kiosks and Terminate our tablets law libraries to stop inmates (me) from doing legal work ADA Title II deny services, Programs and Board?
2. Can a federal ^{court} order Snyder to Amend complaint knowing Idaho dept of corrections terminated my law library?
3. Knowing Snyder has TBI & severe learning Problems can this court review records and appoint Dept of Justice to represent Snyder. Snyder v Ramirez (22-7603) Snyder v Armstrong (223-cv-00831-TSZ) This case
4. In Appendix is New Idaho parole commission summary as to why they denied Snyder Parole. Soley based upon Snyders Mental disability. Snyder is Mentally disabled not mentally ill. Difference is medication cant fix a TRAUMATIC Brain Injury.
5. Can Mr Snyder ask this court to decide case since y'all have court record from Snyder v Ramirez 22-7603 and ask Washington DC Dept of Justice to start fining Idaho state agencies from hurting us disabled people?
6. Can Idaho Dept of Corrections run this Facility with no Paralegal and No lawbooks of law library for disabled people on our tablets like all other states and prisons, Soley discriminating on me based on my disabilities.
7. Why wont United States make sure local gov. & States follow federal disability laws and protect us disabled from the things my cases prove, and appoint A.G.'s to defend us or attorneys?
8. Why can States allow such irreparable harm to disabled people.
9. Why does U.S.A. allow Idaho to run institutions with no camera's, No paralegals and no law libraries?
10. Can Idaho I.D.O.C. steal Snyders appeal for Snyder v Robinson & Snyder v. Peck as this case proves and lock Snyder in Segregation, take all legal papers and shut off law library?

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

11. CAN IDOC contracted Medical, "Century" Employees Switch SNYDERS MEDICAL RECORDS without SNYDERS knowledge from Renown hospital reno, NV, to Washington States? Removing Snyders Highjacking, run over medical documents and replace with old irrelevant records to deny Snyder proper services? Only records IDOC had was 2014 Renown hospital records. After IDOC received ~~a~~ Snyders drivers license IDOC forged Snyders name to retain records without Snyders knowledge.

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Snyder v Ramirez U.S. Court Boise (1:22-CV-00311-REP)
U.S. S. Ct. (22-7603)

Snyder v Armstrong U.S. Court, Western District WA.
(2:23-CV-00831-TSZ-TLF)

Snyder v Washington U.S. S. Ct. 22-5780

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	13
JURISDICTION.....	14
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	15
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	16
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	17
CONCLUSION.....	18

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	U.S. District Court for Idaho Initial review order
APPENDIX B	United States Court of Appeals for Ninth Circuit order
APPENDIX C	Idaho parole Commission order
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	Supreme Court Rule 14 (i)(vi) material the petitioner believes the court justices need to review to understand why Idaho Dept of Corrections hinders my access to courts and continually violates all my rights (actual case filed)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Unable to cite any
due to idaho dept of corrections
violating ADA title II services, programs
shutting my tablet law library off.
Sorry

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER Review Appendix's, SNYDER v Ramirez 22-7603

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

1.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Sept 27, 2023

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

American Disabilities Acts 1990

Rehabilitation Acts 1973

All constitutional rights Applicable

F.R.C.P.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

As attached in Appendix F. The actual lawsuit Filed shows and the case is this Court Snyder v. Ramirez 22-7603. Idaho dept of Corrections and state gov. workers or certified by the state of Idaho, violates all snyder's protected rights. Snyder is discriminated against to such a degree it is Domestic terrorism if this court knew all <FACTS> Review U.S. Court case Washington Western Div. Snyder v. Armstrong 2:23-cv-00831-TSZ-TLF. The Idaho population is so small I believe Idaho has been getting away with Domestic Terrorism, Changing peoples criminal history and hating disabled people far to long

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Protecting us disabled people

STOP Corruption and Isolating
disabled people

~ See ~ (APPENDIX F)