

23-5824

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
OCT 11 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ALEX ADAMS #1181239 — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

BOBBY LUMPKIN — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeal FIFTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ALEX ADAMS #1181239
(Your Name)

McConnell Unit 300 Emily Dr.
(Address)

Beeville, TX, 78102
(City, State, Zip Code)

0
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. HOW CAN I PAY FILING FEE WHEN I PROVEN THEY TAKE ALL MY DEPOSIT'S?
2. IS IT RIGHT TO CHARGE ME FOR A ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLAIM BASE ON NEW EVIDENCE?
3. THIS IS A \$5 FILING DOLLAR FILING FEE IN U.S.D.C. WHAT IS IT \$50 IN FEE IT CHARGE?
4. IS IT RIGHT I HAVE HAD TO FILE THIS ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLAIM SO MANY TIMES.
5. THE INNATE TRUST FUND WILL SHOW I HAVE NO MONEY. I SHOULD HAVE A LOT OF DOCKET'S OF STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT'S, BUT THEY ERASE MY AFFIDAVIT'S ect. IS IT FAIR?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

ANTONIO TONION SLACK, PETITIONER 529 U.S. 473, 146 L.Ed.2d 542
ADAMS V. ROBBY WILKIN NO. 23-5498
ADAMS V. ROBBY WILKIN NO. 22-5945
ADAMS V. ROBBY WILKIN NO. 22-20558

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A LETTER DATE AUG. 28, 2023 FROM FIFTH CIRCUIT AND JUDGMENT ISSUED AS THE MANDATE.

APPENDIX B ORDER OF DISMISSAL DATED 6-9-23 FROM U.S.C.D.C.

APPENDIX C COPY OF NOTICE OF APPEAL DATED 6-30-23

APPENDIX D NOTICE OF THE FILING OF AN APPEAL DATED 7-5-23

APPENDIX E NOTICE OF THE DEFICIENT PLEADING DATED 4-24-23

APPENDIX F LETTER DATED 7-18-23 FROM FIFTH CIRCUIT TELLING ME PLEADING FEE IS DUE 8-1-23

APPENDIX G LETTER DATED 7-17-23 THAT APPEAL WAS DOCKETED IN FIFTH CIRCUIT

APPENDIX H NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE DATED 7-18-23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

ANTONIO TONION SLACK, PETITIONER 529 U.S. 473, 146 L.Ed.2d 542

HEABUS CORPUS

894,1

HEABUS CORPUS

319,1

STATUTES AND RULES

NEW EVIDENCE IS ANY THING JURY DID NOT HEAR.

DISTRICT COURT DENIED ON PROCEDURAL GROUNDS, WITHOUT READING THE PRISONER'S UNDERLYING CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM, A C.O.A. SHOULD HAVE BEEN ISSUED.

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the D court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 8-28-23.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

THIS IS A ACTUAL PUNNOLENCE CLAIM. I NOT A LAWYER I JUST TRYING TO
BT HEADS, SO I FILING ACL D CAN TO GET ATTENTION TO MY POSITION, AND
SHOWPNU HOW I BEING DENIED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT CAUSE I HAVE NO MONEY.

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 0.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix 0.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

ADEQUATE TO DESERVE ENCOURAGEMENT TO PROCEED FURTHER
ANONYMOUS TARRANT SLACK, PETITIONER 529 U.S. 473, 146 L.Ed. 2d. 542
DISTRICT COURT DENIED ON PROCEDURAL GROUNDS, WITHOUT REACHING THE
MERIT OF THE UNDERLYING CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM. A C.O.A. SHOULD HAVE BEEN
ISSUED.

HEBEAS CORPUS 894.1

A HEBEAS PETITION DISMISSED WITHOUT A HEARING ON THE MERIT'S
FOR FAILURE TO EXHAUST STATE REMEDIES IS NOT A "SECOND OR SUBSEQUENT
PETITION."

THUS THIS IS UNEXHAUSTED LITIGATION

HEBEAS CORPUS 315.1

THE RULE REQUIRING COMPLETE EXHAUSTION OF STATE REMEDIES BEFORE
SEEKING FEDERAL RELIEF IS NOT TO TRAP THE UNLAWY PRO-SE PETITIONER

THIS IS "SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF THE DENIAL OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS.

JUDGE WILL KNOW I DID NOT COMMIT THESE CRIMES,
YOU HAVE BRADED ME UPON PLATON.

MONEY SHOULD NOT STOP ME FROM JUSTICE!
I AM A INNOCENT MAN!

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

SINCE 2017 I HAVE BEEN FILING THATS ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLAIM.
I DDD NOT HAVE THE \$15 FIVE DOLLAR'S TO FILE IN U.S.P.L. THEY
HAVE BEEN TAKING ALL MY DEPOSIT'S.

I HAVE FILE 26 LAW SUIT'S. ALL RELATED TO MY EXPERIENCES OF
T.O.L.T. AND THE PLACES I WENT TROUBLE FIGHTING FOR MY
FREEDOM.

THIS COURT HAS RECENTLY DOCKETED ADAMS. v. WILKIN
NO. 23-5498

IT IS PROOF OF THE ON GOING STRUGGLE TO GET ME CASE HELP.
I HAVE FILED FIVE TIMES IN THIS COURT.

I HAVE HEARD ENRY EXCUSE IN THE BOOK, I CAN'T GIVE
UP.

I AM A ACTUAL INNOCENT MAN.

TEXAS D.N.A. MIX PROJECT CLEARS ME.

I HAVE ALREADY FORWARDED COPY OF CASE REPORT AFTER
RELEASE IN 2017 TO THIS COURT,

AND HAVE ASK FOR IT TO BE RETURNED.

PLEASE UNDER STAND I NOT A LAWYER, SO I JUST TRY TO
A SHOT IN THE DARK.

I KNOW A CAN'T FIGHTING, BUT I MUST DOCUMENT AND SHOW
HOW I TRYING TO FIGHT FOR MY FREEDOM.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

CRIMINAL PUNISHMENT REFORM IS NEEDED. A \$1 FIVE DOLLAR
PAWN FEE SHOULD NOT STOP A ACTUAL PUNISHMENT CLAIM.
LET ALONE \$505 FILING FEE IN FIFTH CIRCUIT.
I HAVE PUT THIS CASE FIVE TIME BEFORE THIS COURT OVER 40 YEARS
TO GET HEARD.

YOU HAVE THE ONLY COPY OF CASE REPORT FROM TEXAS
D.N.A. MIX PROJECT, IT STATES.

"ALL TEST DONE DO NOT LINK ME TO THOSE CRIMES"
NO ONE SHOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE PROCESS I HAVE HAD
BEEN BACK AND FOORTH.

WHERE IS THE JUSTICE IN THIS SYSTEM.
THE LETTER OF THE LAW.

I HAVE DOCUMENTED EVERY ATTEMPT, I NOT A LAWYER
I TRIED TO EXPOSE ALL I CAN TO EVOKE THE MORAL
CONSCIENCE.

THE NEW EVIDENCE DOES NOT EVEN GET APPRESED.
I DID NOT SHOOT THOSE COPS.

I BROKE I CAN'T PAY \$5 DOLLAR FILING FEE OR
\$1505, THE FIFTH CIRCUIT WANTS
HOW MANY. EXCUSE DO I HAVE TO HEAR?

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Alex Colvin

Date: 9-26-23