

In The 23-504
Supreme Court OF The United States

Joseph Alexander Clarke
- Plaintiff - pro-se

ORIGINAL

-VS-


Kimberly ESMOND ADAMS et.al,

Chris Carr

Catherine "TINA" Robinson

FANNI T. Willis,

- defendants -



ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
United States Eleventh Circuit Court OF Appeals

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Joseph Alexander Clarke

1002736404

Dooly State Prison E-1

P.O. Box 750

Unadilla, Georgia (31091)

Question(s) Presented

1) Did The Eleventh Circuit Court OF Appeals Fail IN
It's Fiduciary Duties When ON The FACE OF The RE-
CORD IT Failed To Administer Justly The LAWS OF
AN AFFIDAVIT ? Yes!

2) Did The Eleventh Circuit Court OF Appeals ERR By
Not Recognizing Plaintiffs' 5th. AND 14th. Amendment
Rights To Accept For Value And Return For Value
All The Charges IN The Charging Instrument ? Yes!

Table OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Question(s) Presented	i
Table OF Contents	ii
Table OF Authorities	iii, iv.
PRAYER	1
OPINIONS Below	2
JURISDICTION	3
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF CASE	4-8
CONCLUSION	9

TABLE OF Authorities Cited

CASES

Page Number

DAVIDSON V. NEW ORLEANS

96 U.S. 97, 25 ed 16 7

GA. Department V. Sistrunk

291 SE 2d. 594, 526 (1982) 8

Gideon V. Wainwright

372 U.S. 335 7

Melovich Builders V. SAN Bernardino County

207 CAL. Rptr. 47 (1984) 6

NATIONAL BANK V. WILEY

195 U.S. 257 8

PENNOYER V. NIFF

95 U.S. 714 8

Sertzer V. Sertzer

80 CAL. Rptr. 688 6

TRUSLOW V. WOODRUFF

252 CAL. Rptr. 2d. 158-164 8

World Wide Volkswagen V. Woodson

444 U.S. 256, 291 8

U.S. V. Holzer

816 F2d, 304, 317 (1987)

8

U.S. V. Kis

632 F2d, 536 (7th Cir.)

4, 5

U.S. V. Lopez

No. 07-3159

6

U.S. V. Tweel

550 F2d, 297-299

8

STATUTES

15 USC 1681a(b)

5

28 USC 3002 Sec 15 (a)(b)(c)

4, 5, 7.

28 USC 1254(1)

3

Regulations

27 CFR 72.11

4, 7.

Rules

IRS MANUAL

5

FRCP(a)(2)

4

MAXIMS OF LAW

4, 6

PRAYER

Plaintiff Joseph Alexander Clarke, pro-se respectfully request that a Writ of Certiorari issue to review the judgment and opinion of the United States Court of Appeals For The Eleventh Circuit in this case.

Omissions Below

The Opinion of The United States Court of Appeals
Appears At, 22-14330 decided on 8-21-23;

The Opinion of The United States District Court For
The Northern District of Georgia Appears At 1:22-CV-04408
LMM; decided on 12-05-22;

Jurisdiction

The Judgment OF The Court OF Appeals FOR The Eleventh Circuit was entered on 8-21-23;

This Petition For Certiorari is filed within the time period required. The Jurisdiction OF This Court is invoked under 28 USC 1254(1).

Constitutional And Statutory Provisions

U.S. Const. AM. V provides in pertinent part as follows;
Nor be deprived of Life, Liberty OR property
without due process of LAW.

U.S. Const. XIV provides in pertinent part as follows;
Nor shall Any State deprive Any person of
Life, Liberty or property without due process
of LAW.

STATEMENT OF CASE

Plaintiff amended the record on April 18, 2022 by way of filing his "Commercial Affidavit of Truth" therein Fulton County Clerk's Office, State of Georgia, Claiming under 27 CFR 72.11, All Crimes Are Commercial, further showing under title 28 USC 3002 Section 15(a)(b)(c), States that the United States is a Federal Corporation and not a government, including the judicial procedural section.

Plaintiff asserts that under U.S. V. KIS, 632 (7th Cir.), He needed only to make a prima facie showing and has properly filed "Commercial Affidavit of Truth" is all that was necessary to make the case against the defendants named in this Complaint, who continuingly deny or otherwise remain silent to his properly filed Affidavits.

Plaintiff further shows This Honorable Supreme Court of the United States that to his knowledge and beliefs, there's nothing frivolous nor malicious within this Plaintiff's Complaint, It contained a "short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief" [7] Fed. R. Civ. P. (8)(2), from his unrebutted "Commercial Affidavit of Truth".

Plaintiff would further assert that to his own knowledge and beliefs and according to the MAXIMS OF LAW and his U.S.