

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ROGER WILSON - PETITIONER,

VS

No. 23-5737

THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
RESPONDENT.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Roger Wilson

ROGER WILSON
62634 EAST RD.
CASSOPOLIS, MI 49031

PETITION FOR REHEARING

NOW COMES PETITIONER ROGER WILSON, IN FORMA PAUPERIS, Petitioning the Court for a rehearing *in* the case titled Roger Wilson, v The Department of Justice, which was denied by the Court on December 11, 2023. There are unavoidable and irrefutable facts that establish the vital importance of this case in upholding and preserving the credibility of the Constitution, and of this Court, they are as follows;

This case involves the constitutionality of same-sex marriage. According to the precedent set forth in this Court's ruling on Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization, which states:

"The Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. That provision has been held to guarantee some rights that are not mentioned in the Constitution, but any such right must be deeply rooted in this Nation's history and tradition, and implicit in the concept of ordained liberty."

same-sex marriage is unconstitutional.

In this Court's ruling on Dobbs, the Court established a precedent for determining if a right is constitutional or if it is not. The legalization of abortion rights were enacted in 1973. The legalization a same-sex marriage rights were enacted in 2015. Neither are mentioned in the Constitution, which meets the first criteria set forth by this Court for being unconstitutional. And if abortion rights, which were enacted in 1973, and overturned in June 2022, were not considered to be "deeply rooted in this Nation's history and tradition," same-sex marriage rights, which were enacted in 2015, cannot be considered to be "deeply rooted in this Nation's history and tradition."

This Court concluded in its ruling on Dobbs, that:

"The inescapable conclusion is that a right to abortion is not deeply rooted in the history and tradition of the United States."

And it is also the inescapable conclusion that if abortion rights, which were

in effect for 49 years, were not found to be “deeply rooted in the history and tradition of the United States,” that same-sex marriage rights, which have been in effect for 8 years cannot be found to be “deeply rooted in the history and tradition of the United States,” and are (according to the precedent established by this Court in Dobbs) unconstitutional.

Dobbs specifically indicates that this precedent does not just apply to abortion rights when it states, “any such right that is not mentioned in the Constitution must be deeply rooted in the history and tradition of the United States,” and same-sex marriage rights falls under the category of “any such right,” and is therefore unconstitutional.

The facts, evidence, and merits of this case are irrefutable, inescapable, and indefensible. I am simply asking this Court to equally enforce the precedents that have been established by this Court. And the facts and evidence supporting this claim require that the Court take this case and equally apply the standards of this Court to all proposed rights, as the Constitution requires.

Respectfully Submitted



Roger Wilson

Dated: December 28, 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ROGER WILSON - PETITIONER,

VS

NO. 23-5737

THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
RESPONDENT.

CERTIFICATE

I, PETITIONER ROGER WILSON DOES HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE GROUNDS FOR THIS PETITION FOR REHEARING ARE LIMITED TO INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES OF SUBSTANTIAL OR CONTROLLING EFFECT OR TO OTHER SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS NOT PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED.



ROGER WILSON

DATE: JANUARY 10, 2024