

23-5703

EXPERTE

NO: _____

Supreme Court U.S.
FILED

SEP 29 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FESTUS O. OHAN

PETITIONER

RECEIVED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
NINTH CIRCUIT

V.

JUL 18 2023

- (1) ABN AMRO GROUP
- (2) LEE BACA, SHERIFF LACO
- (3) JACKIE LACEY, COUNTY ATTORNEY
- (4) REMAX/OLSON, LACO ASSESSORS OFFICE.
- (5) LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERVISORS

RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIOTORI

Festus O. Ohan

Counsel of Record

1280 E. 17th Avenue, Unit 121

Anchorage, AK 99501, U.S.A.

(360)390-8921

Alternatively (907) 310-1866

festusokwudiliohan@gmail.com

May 10, 2023.

Prose

1

7

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- (1) DEFENDANTS AGREED THAT THEY FORECLOSED ~~THEY~~ PROPERTY IN AN ERROR "ERRONEOUS FORECLOSURE" AND CASE WAS BEING MITIGATED AND IN ARBITRATION. WHY DISMISS CASE?
- (2) THE PROPERTY THAT I BOUGHT WAS 10,000 sqft WITH A MAIN BUILDING AND A BUNGALOW AT THE BACK. AT ESCROW, THEY ~~SPLITTED~~ DIVIDED MY PROPERTY TO 5,300 sqft and 4,700 sqft WITH THE HELP OF MY MAID AS I WAS ON VACATION. SHE THREATENED ME BY TELLING ME "FUCK ME AND DIE". I CAME TO ALASKA TO BE FAR FROM THEM ALL. FOR THEY GANGED UP ON ME. WITH THE FULL KNOWLEDGE OF THE SHERIFF AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, THE COUNTY ASSESSOR'S STAFF OLSON DIVIDED THE PROPERTY INTO TWO AND ISSUE PROPERTY IDENTIFICATIONS FOR MY PROPERTY. THE BACK PROPERTY, A BUNGALOW WAS RENTED AND I NEVER RECEIVED A CENT WHY? BUT THE IRS FORCED ME TO BE PAYING THE PROPERTY TAX.
- (3) WRONGFUL ACTIONS, REGULATION X AND FD CPA. DEFENDANTS AGREED TO RETURN ALL 10,000 sqft TO ME AND PAY ME SOME PENALTIES BEFORE I GOT VERY SICK AND UNABLE TO PAY ATTORNEY GALLANT AND ATTORNEY ANYAETO THAT I HIRED. I WAS WORKING WITH THEIR ATTORNEY CALLED FRENICH AFTER THAT BUT, I GOT VERY SICK AND THIS CASE HAD TO WAIT. ATTORNEY FRENICH WAS SETTLING WITH ME BEFORE I GOT VERY SICK. HE WORKED FOR THE LAW CORPORATION OF DUNCAN AND DUNCAN IN ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA. ON BEHALF OF WHAT?
- (4) ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY ASSESSORS OFFICE, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE AND THE SHERIFFS OFFICE CLAIMED THAT THEY WERE UNAWARE THAT 47% OF THE PROPERTY WAS STOLEN. I WAS TO RECOVER ALL 10,000 sqft AND RENT PLUS COMPENSATIONS. WHY?
- (5) OLSON OF LA COUNTY ASSESSORS OFFICE ~~WHY?~~ COMPLETED THE SPLIT WAS GIVEN PARTNERSHIP OR REMAX, MY AGENT TO BECOME REMAX/OLSON AND ASSOCIATES - A "SMOKING GUN". THEY WERE CONTINUOUSLY OFFSETTING MY MAIDS AND CASHING OUT MY FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS. WHY?
- (6) I AM SEVERAL TIMES A DOCTOR, AN INSTITUTION AND DEVELOPED MILLIONS OF PATENTS. DEFENDANTS COHESED ON ME AND I WAS EARNING LOW LINE STAFF WAGES AS A TUTOR AND DEPUTY PROBATION OFFICER. I PETITIONED AND THEY FIRED ME. THEY WORKED WITH AX/NIGERIAN MAFIA++ TO OFFSET MY MAIDS AND CASH ON MY AND MY FAMILY'S FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS. THEY GAVE ME THEIR CHILDREN TO TRAIN FROM PREGNANCY. WHY?

2

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES : JUDGEMENTS ENTERED.

- (1) DHAN V. AXOS BANK DISTRICT NO. 3: 23-CV-00161-SLG
- (2) DHAN V. DURUHESTE et al " " 3: 23-CV-00176-SLG
- (3) " V. RITTIG et al " " 3: 23-CV-00046-SLG
- (4) " V. NATO et al WITH 9th Circuit #10. 23-35533
- (5) " V. ZION et al " " 3: 22-CV-00226-RRB, 9th Circuit-23-35473
- (6) " V. AMA et al " " 3: 23-CV-00226-RRB, 9th Circuit-23-35435
- (7) " V. FONTOURA et al " " 3: 23-CV-00047-SLG, " " -23-35571
- (8) " V. GREG SCHMIDT " " 3: 23-CV-00207-RRB, " " -23-35406
- (9) " V. ABN AMRO et al " " 3: 23-CV-00182-JMK, " " -23-58458
- (9) " V. ABN AMRO et al " " 3: 22-CV-00212-RRB, " " -23-35471

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	7
JURISDICTION.....	8
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	9
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	10
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	11
CONCLUSION.....	12

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A DECISION OF U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT.

APPENDIX B DECISION OF U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF ALASKA.

APPENDIX C ADMINISTRATIVE AND LOCAL COURTS

APPENDIX D REFERENCES/OTHERS

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

67

10) Paragon America, LLC V. James Price and/or Other Occupants, No-3:14-CV-678-P-BW, U.S. District Court Northern District of Texas.

Table of Authorities

CASES: All in appendix

- 1) County of Los Angeles, California, Council file No. 22-4393315*001
- 2) County of Los Angeles, California, Council file No. 19-1148977*001
- 3) Civil Service Commission, LA County, California Case No. 04-251
- 4) Blaine police Department, Incident No. 201702546, Wasington
- 5) Alaska Court System, Case No. IJU-18-0016
- 6) HM Courts and Tribunal Services, Ref No. MISC-489-2018, U.K.
- 7) HM Courts and Tribunal Services, Ref No. MISC-749-2018, U.K.
- 8) Alaska Dept. of Labor and Workforce dvmt Docket No. 201364
- 9) U.S. Tax Court Docket No. 5324-20
- 10) Essex County, New Jersey Docket No. MX371
- 11) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00714SC
- 12) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-22-0017SC
- 13) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-007138SC
- 14) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00712SC
- 15) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00715SC
- 16) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00952SC
- 17) U.S. District Court Case No. 2:09-CV-01977-FS-PS
- 18) U.S. District Court Case No. 3:23-CV-00047-SLG
- 19) U.S. District Court Case No. 3:22-CV-00266-RRB
- 20) U.S. District Court Case No. 3:22-CV-0022-RRB
- 21) U.S. District Court Case No. 3:22-CV-00226-SLG
- 22) U.S. District Court Case No. 3:22-CV-00212-RRB

18

23) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00171SC

24) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00713SC

25) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00711SC

26) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-00951SC

27) Alaska Court System Case No. 3AN-21-0095SC

STATUTES AND RULES

1) Fed. R. CVL. P.15 and local rule 15.1

2) Fed. Rule of civil procedure 41(b)

3) U.S.V. Hays, 575 U.S. 737, 742, (1995)

4) Savage V. Dickinson, 2013 WL 78475 act*3 (E.D. Cal. Jan 4, 2013)

5) Fed R. Civ. P. 8 (a) (2) and Fed R. Civ. P. (8 (d))(1)

6) Kokkonen, 511 U.S. act 377

7) Corzo V. Banco Central de Reserva del Peru, 243 F. 3d 519, 522 (9th cir, 2001) on sovereign immunity.

8) Big Sky Network Ca.,Ltd V. Sichuan Principal Gov't 533 F 3d (10th Cir, 2008)

9) Lucas V. Dept of Corr., 66 F. 3d, 248 (9th cir, 1995)

10) Accord Cuoco V. Moritsugu, 222 F. 3d 99,112

11) 42 U.S.C.A. 1983 (12) Federal Court Improvement Act of 1996

12) Civil Rule Act (ID. at pp.541-542) (13) Taylor V. Mitzel (1978) 82 Cali. App. 3d 665, 670-671)

13) Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.100 (a)(2)

14) Ex Parte Young

15) 42 U.S.C. 1988. Id., 743 N.W. 2d at 292-293

OTHER: Appendix F

1) U.S. District Court of Alaska Case No. 3:22-CV-00182JMK

2) U.S. District Court of Alaska Case No. 3:22-CV-00011-SLG

3) Other countries are sending their people to the U.S. in masses saying that they created them. And that U.S. and Europe are the Heavens in the Holy Bible.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

SEE NEXT PAGE

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES 190 - OTHER CONTRACTS, 896 - ARBITRATION
 140 - NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT - LANDED PROPERTIES.
 362 - OTHER PERSONAL INJURIES
 440 - OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS. 220 - ACKNOWLEDGED ^{AS} "ERRONEOUS
 FORECLOSURE ~~AND~~ COUNTY CLAIMED "ERRONEOUS SPLIT
 OF PROPERTY".
 896 - CASE WAS IN ARBITRATION.
 - REGULATION X IN THAT DEFENDANTS IN IMPLEMENTATION
 OF RESPA, DID NOT TAKE NECESSARY STEPS AND CLAIMED THAT
 ALL WERE ERRONEOUS.
 - UNDER FD CPA OR FAIR COLLECTION PRACTICE ACT. I HAD A
 DIRECT DEPOSIT ACCOUNT FOR ARSN AMRO AND PROPERTY TAX
 WAS EXCLUDED FROM OUR CONTRACT. THEY FORECLOSED FOR
 PROPERTY TAX AFTER LA COUNTY HAD GIVEN ME A
 \$7,000 PROPERTY TAX CREDIT FOR 2 YEARS.

OTHER 2020 CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE, PENAL PROVISIONS.
 (1) CRIME AGAINST A PERSON, PC 187-248.5 (2) CRIME AGAINST
 EXECUTIVE POWER OF THE STATE, PC 67-68 (3) CIVIL RIGHTS
 VIOLATION PC 422.6-423.2 (4) ATTEMPT TO KILL, PC 217.1-219.3
 AND 664/187 ESPECIALLY WITH POISONING ME AND ABUSE/ASSAULT
 BY RAMPART DIVISION OF LAPD ON NOVEMBER 3rd, 1993.
 (5) BIOLOGICAL AGENT, PC 11417-11419. (6) CONSPIRACY PC 182-185
 (7) DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE, PC 135 (8) FALSE DOCUMENTATIONS PC 112-116.5.
 (9) HATE CRIMES, PC 422.55-313.1. (10) MAYHEM, PC 203-206 (11) KIDNAPPING
 PC 207-210 (12) OTHER INJURIES TO PERSONS, PC 346-371F. OTHER
 OFFENSES AGAINST GOOD MORALS PC 302-310.5

7
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at INTERNET/PACER; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at INTERNET/PACER; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

8

11

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JULY 27th 2023.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: SEPTEMBER 1st 2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- MY CIVIL RIGHTS WERE ABOMINABLY VIOLATED BY DEFENDANTS FROM AT LEAST FEBRUARY 24th 1976. THEY KNEW AND WERE AWARE THAT I ^{VERY} AM SEVERAL TIMES A DOCTOR, AN INSTITUTION AND STRONG IN ALL DISCIPLINES OR THOUGHT. YET I WAS NEVER PROMOTED ON ANY JOB EVENTHOUGH I RUN EVERYTHING FROM LINE STAFF POSITIONS. THEY ONLY PAID ME AS A LINE STAFF. FOR DECADES, I WORKED AS "OFFICER OF THE DAY" FULLY IN CHARGE OF EVERYTHING THAT HAPPENS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND OTHER LOCALS FOLLOWED. LIKE IN AFRICA WHERE A STARTED AND IMPLEMENTED A ONES PER MONTH SANITARIAN DAY, I DID THE SAME BUT WITH COMMUNITY POLICING THAT INCREASED TOURISM AND PROPERTY VALUES IN THE AMERICA I WENT VIRAL.
- THEY WERE OFFSETTING MY AMBLS AND CASHING OUT MY FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS EVENTHOUGH I WAS DOING 'PUBLIC GOODS'. I COMPLETED MY STUDIES AS A LITTLE CHILD AND IN HEALTH FIELD, MY MEDICAL DOCTORS CER- TIFICATION NUMBER IS R158,322/66 ^{1966 at age 16} all 56 global zones. DOJ # 569640, DPO # 1981-0-353-365, NBME # 353365, BAR # 114445 local CALIFORNIA LAB 114445, LEGAL EXAMINERS # xxx xx ~~8180~~ my SS#, ~~judicial~~ local NH, JUDICIAL EXAMINERS # MO9-604586 local IOWA, REAL ESTATE COMPLETE # 01407572. ~~ETC~~ PLUS MBA, MPA AND MPH FROM CSUDH and Loma Linda Uni. YET I EARN THE LINE STAFF WAGES. I OWN BOFI FEDERAL BANK (B= BUSINESS OR, O= OHAN, R= RESTW, I= INVESTMENTS/INST. INTERNET BANK, NESTPEND ETC. I AM NOT ALLOWED ACCESS TO MY FUNDS/BUSINESSES EVEN AT AGE 68. EQUAL EMPLOY- MENT, EQUAL PAY, NO DISCRIMINATION ETC DID NOT APPLY IN MY CASE.
- I DEVELOPED PROGRAMS RAN IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE YET I AM BEING ASSAULTED ~~++~~ BY LAW ENFORCEMENT GROUP AND IN JAILS/PRISONS. MY CASE IS DIFFERENT BECAUSE WORST ABOMINABLE ACTS ON MY PERSON ARE INVOLVED.
- NOY DISCRIMINATION TESTING RSA (972) 241-0010, EEOC WORKPLACE DISCRIMINATION, PROTECTION AGAINST UNEMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. TITLE VII AND EQUAL PAY ACT OF 1963, AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT, AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. ALL PROTECT EMPLOYEES IN THEIR WORKPLACE.
- PLEASE SEE SECTION ON "STATUS AND RULES" PLUS "OTHER-SAME PAGE. THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 PROHIBITS DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX OR NATIONAL ORIGIN. IT PROHIBITS DISCRIMINATION IN HIRING, PROMOTING AND FIRING. CODE OF ETHICS 1) LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS FROM DISCRIMINATION. THEIR FUNDAMENTAL DUTY IS TO SAVE TO SAFEGUARD LIVES AND PROPERTIES; TO PROTECT THE INNOCENT ETC. TONY@lawenforcementguru.com.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- (1) ASIDE FROM FAMILY OWNERSHIP, I WAS THE FIRST TO ARRIVE IN THIS WORLD. LADIES ON HOT AIR BALLOONS WERE NEXT TO ARRIVE IN AMERICA. NEW YORK SINGER CHOR WAS ONE OF THEM AND SHE SECURED MY GOLD NECKLESS. I DID THE SAME IN OTHER CONTINENTS FOR THOSE ARRIVING AFTER ME. ASIDE FROM THAT, MY BIOLOGICAL FAMILY IS ROYAL. I AM STILL ROYAL AND WAS HUMANIZED BY YOUR GODS (IN 1962 and late 1961)
- (2) OLSON OF LA COUNTY ASSESSORS OFFICE, ^{SUPERVISORS OFFICE} GANGED UP WITH MY AGENT (REMAX) TO FORM REMAX/OLSON AND ASSOCIATES TO DO ME HARM AND SPLIT MY PROPERTY INTO TWO AND KEPT ONE. (DEFENDANT)
- (3) THEY WERE PREGNANTING A ROSE NGUZI EMOGWA OF THE NIGERIAN MAFIA AND PUTTING IT ON MY. I RAISED HER AND 3 OF HER CHILDREN TOWARDS DOCTORATE. THEY FORGED GENETIC PAPERS TO TRY TO CONVINCE ME THAT I WAS THEIR BIOLOGIC FATHER AND A ROSALINE CHILWABO AKA AND AKA OHANU ^(THEY MAND) WAS MY BIOLOGICAL MOTHER WITH MAXIMUM EFFORTS TO KILL ME. IT HAS BEEN CONFIRMED THAT I AM NOT BIOLOGICALLY RELATED TO ANY. MEANING THAT I AM AGE OF 68 AND MAXIMALLY WEALTHY EVENTHOUGH I AM NOT ALLOWED A CENT OF MY MEANS. I HAVE NO CHILDREN OF MY OWN AND ACTUALLY NEVER MARRIED.
- (4) MELMET DEFAULT COMPANY, PROVALUE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, ABN AMRO OF NETHERLANDS + USA, COUNTY OF LA ASSESSORS OFFICE WITH OLSON, LA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ^{SUPERVISORS} PROBATION DEPARTMENT COLLABORATED WITH OTHERS TO HARM ME FOR DECADES AND DECIDED THAT I MUST DIE TO CONCLUSIVELY KEEP ALL THAT THEY HAVE STOLEN. I THINK THAT WHAT THEY DID WAS WELL PLANNED BEFORE EXECUTING THEM.
- (5) IN DECEMBER OF 2008, I TRAVELED TO AMSTADAM, NETHERLANDS TO MEET ABN AMRO HEAD. THEY TOLD ME NOT TO WORRY THAT THEY WOULD SETTLE ME FOR THEIR OWN PARTS. BUT THEY WITHDREW WHEN I GOT VERY SICK FROM ^{PHYSICAL} INJURIES AND ABJECT POVERTY INFLECTED ON ME. THE ABN AMRO THAT I CONTRACTED WITH WAS A BIG FINANCIAL CORPORATION WITH HEAD QUARTERS IN AMSTERDAM, NETHERLANDS AND NOT THE WHAT JUDGE SLG OF ALASKA U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLAIMED.
- (6) IN AUGUST OF 1983, AN ELECTRODE FOR THOUGHT BROADCASTING WAS IMPLANTED RIGHT ABOVE MY LEFT EAR AS I KNEW IN THE AFTERNOON IN POMONA, CA BY A REMIGIUS O. DURKABWUSHI AKA OHANU. THE COMPOUND REACHED MY BROCA-WANIKE-KOSAKEN APHASIC-CONDUCTIVE-RECEPTIVE AREA OF MY BRAIN. AND THEY CARRY A DEAF/DUMB LIKE EQUIPMENT AND FOLLOW ME AROUND. IT WAS A TECHNOLOGY THAT I DISCOVERED IN 1979/81.
- (7) I STARTED - "COMMUNITY POLICING" HEAD START "WINNIPROGRAM", LAW AND MEDICAL MAGNET PROGRAMS IN LA, CA THAT WENT VIRAL. PLEASE SEE TABLE OF AUTHORITIES STATUS AND RULES SECTIONS.

11

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I. CERTIORARI SHOULD BE GRANTED TO REVIEW AN IMPORTANT QUESTION OF FEDERAL LAWS.

(A) HOLDING THAT THE 42 U.S.C. §1983 ACTION FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF IS GOVERNED BY STATE LAW AND BARRED FOR AN ALLEGED FAILURE TO EXHAUST THE STATE AND/OR FEDERAL REMEDIES AT LAW THE CALIFORNIA AND FEDERAL COURTS OF APPEALS DECIDED AN IMPORTANT QUESTION OF FEDERAL LAWS THAT CONFLICTS WITH THE COURTS §1983 JURISPRUDENCE. (TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; STATUS AND RULES- ATTACHED).

(B) THE "PLAINTIFF HAD A REMEDY AT LAW IN THE FORM OF AN APPEAL UNDER LABOR CODE SECTION 98.2." (APP. 15-16). PLAINTIFF ASIDE FROM ESSEX COUNTY, NJ OF 2005/6, WAS AGAIN INCARCERATED IN LOS ANGELES FOR 7 MONTHS FROM DECEMBER 2005 TO JULY 2006 FOR NO APPARENT REASON BUT AS A JOKE. AS IN ESSEX COUNTY, LOS ANGELES COUNTY DID NOT TRY THE CASE BECAUSE THERE WERE NOTHING TO TRY. THUS AGAIN, FALSE ARREST AND FALSE INCARCERATION. FOR LABOR, I WENT THROUGH LA COUNTY CIVIL SERVICE HEARING TO NO AVAIL. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; STATUS AND RULES ATTACHED WILL SHOW THAT ALL MEANS WERE EXHAUSTED. THEY FOLLOWED ME TO NIGERIA AND WASHINGTON STATE AND DETAINED ME

II. CERTIORARI SHOULD BE GRANTED TO RESOLVE A SPLIT BETWEEN FEDERAL CIRCUIT (CASE# 23-35471), U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF ALASKA (CASE# 3:22-cv-00212-RRB) AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT (CASE# PC038515).

(A) THE COURT SHOULD RESOLVE THE IMPORTANT QUESTION OF WHETHER 42 U.S.C. §1983 PROVIDES QUASI-JUDICIAL IMMUNITY TO CLAIMS FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.

THIS SECOND QUESTION PRESENTED IS VERY IMPORTANT AND VERY SIGNIFICANT. "WHEN CONGRESS ENACTED THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871, IT INTENDED TO PROVIDE A REMEDY, TO BE BROADLY CONSTRUED, AGAINST ALL FORMS OF OFFICIAL VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS." SIMMONS, 743 N.W.2ND U.S. 658, 660 (1978) AND SIMMONS, 743 N.W.2ND AT 285 (INTERNAL SUPREME COURT CITATIONS OMITTED).

ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS, CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT AND U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF ALASKA WERE EXHAUSTED AND THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT DISMISSED THE CASE FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION STATING THAT IT WAS FILED A LITTLE LATE WHILE AWAITED TO HEAR FROM THE SUPREME COURT CLERK.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

FESTUS O. OHAN

Date: SEPTEMBER 26, 2023