

23-5684

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
SEP 26 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

William J. Dahl — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Eau Claire County Court Ct A. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Wisconsin Supreme Court

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

William J. Dahl

(Your Name)

W 100 20 Bridge Rd

(Address)

Thorp, WI 54771

(City, State, Zip Code)

715-225-0757

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. How does one get charged with a Felony Substantial Battery without knowing about it had it not been for family and friends who saw it on Social Media?
2. How does not one get arrested for the offense?
3. How does one not get questioned about the offense?
4. How does one not get informed about the first court appearance? One only found out again through family and friends who saw it on Social Media.
5. How does one not get the option to plead guilty or not guilty at the first court appearance?
6. How is it the Judge never informed one of what the charge was at the first court appearance?
7. How is one put on a no drink no contact at the first court appearance without knowing what the charge was?
8. How is it that a case does not get dismissed after witnesses under oath state that the defendant was not present at the residence for where this charge stems from?
9. How is a Judge who is residing over this case continue to reside after having worked for the defendant's lawyer? ~~that the Judge not have recused herself?~~
10. How does a case go on for 2 1/2 years after starting with a Felony Substantial Battery to being lowered to a Misdemeanor or Disorderly to being dropped?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Judge Long
Judge Shumacher
Judge Harliss
D.A. Gunderson

Officer Vining
Officer Ohmann
Harry Hertel
Tonya Dubson

RELATED CASES

State vs. Dahl # 2020 CF 1193
State vs. Dahl # 2023 XY 000857-CR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Eau Claire Court

APPENDIX B Wisconsin Supreme Court

APPENDIX C Wisconsin Supreme Court

APPENDIX D Eau Claire Court

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
2020 CF 1193 A	July 5, 2023
2020 CF 1193 B	July 13, 2023
2023XX000857 CR	C July 12, 2023
2020 CF 1193	D July 8- 2023

STATUTES AND RULES

N/A

OTHER

N/A

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[X] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[X] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[X] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Eau Claire court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[X] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 13, 2023.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: July 13, 2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was July 13, 2023. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

42 USC 1983

28 USC 4101

Sec. 242 of Title 18

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. I was never informed about being charged. Felony Substantial Battery
2. I was never arrested.
3. I was never questioned or able to tell my side.
4. I was never informed about first court appearance.
5. I was not informed of the charge at the first court appearance.
6. I was not given the chance to plead guilty or not guilty.
7. There was no due process.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

First and foremost this case dragged on for two and a half years. It went from a Felony Substantial Battery to being lowered to a Misdemeanor Disorderly to being dropped. During this time once I was informed of the initial charge has substantially affected myself and my family. My reputation came into question. This never should have happened to an innocent man. There was no due process in this case. The rules of law were not followed. My rights were taken away. I would like accountability. This case changed my life.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William A. Hart". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath it.

Date: 9-25-23