


23-5657

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



ARTHUR RAY DEENE SR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.
CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
~~U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT~~
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ARTHUR RAY DEENE SR.
(Your Name)

CTC H404 - cell 17 P.O. Box 3456
(Address)

CONCORD, CALIFORNIA 93212-3456
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

① DOES THE CLERK OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT HAVE THE LAWFUL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO DENY A PRO-SE PETITIONER DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE 14TH AMENDMENT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, ALLEGING HE IS BEING HELD UNLAWFULLY AS THE RESULT OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION BEING SKINDED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA USURPING FEDERAL JURISDICTION IN THIS CASE, AS THE RESULT OF JUDGE REOL REFUSING TO ADJUDICATE THE JURISDICTION ISSUE IN MY CASE ON MY 2254 PETITION. THE USURPING FEDERAL JURISDICTION IN MY CASE MADE THE FEDERAL COURT A CO CONSPIRATOR WITH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS MY PETITION CLEARLY ALLEGED, WITH EXHIBITS, OF WHICH I AM ENCLOSEING WITH THIS PETITION.

② DO OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS HAVE AN ARBITRARY SHELF LIFE OR AS I UNDERSTAND THE CONSTITUTION OUR RIGHTS ARE PERPETUAL IN NATURE? IF THEY ARE PERPETUAL IN NATURE HOW CAN A DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DISMISS MY CASE WITH PREJUDICE? AND HOW DOES THE NINTH CIRCUIT, "STATE" I MUST HAVE THEIR ~~PERMISSION~~ PERMISSION TO EXERCISE MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO EXERCISE MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO GET THIS FALSE CONVICTION AFTER I HAVE BEEN IN UNLAWFUL CUSTODY FOR 19 YEARS SO FAR CRIMES THAT DOESNT EVEN MEET THE CRITERIA OF A CRIME I WAS CONVICTED OF

CONT. NEXT PAGE

OF THAT DIDNT EXIST BY LAW IN CALIFORNIA.

③ CAN STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS impose REGULATIONS LIKE NOT USING A COURT

APPROVED FORMS THAT JUST DELAYS PETITIONERS DUE PROCESS AFTER 19 YEARS

OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT, IN ORDER TO DELAY AND COVER UP THE EGREGIOUS VIOLATIONS

OF PETITIONERS CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

④ WITH ALLEGATIONS OF CORRUPTION IN THE COURT SYSTEM AND EXHIBITS SUPPORTING MY

ALLEGATIONS OF WRONGFULL CONVICTIONS AND VIOLATIONS OF MY CIVIL AND CONSTITUTION-

AL RIGHTS TO FURTHER DELAY MY RIGHT TO HABEAS RELIEF, DID THE RULES OF COURT

VIOLATE MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS. THE CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT AND CLERK

DENIED MY PETITION TO COVER ITS VIOLATION OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BY NOT

ADJUDICATING THE FEDERAL JURISDICTION ISSUE BECAUSE I DID NOT CITE THE USC

CODE TITLE 18 SECTION 1114 IN MY PETITION BACK IN 2012. THE JUDGE REFUSED TO

I ADJUDICATE THAT ISSUE.

5 STOP THE ASSEMBLY LINE LEGAL FIRMS FORMING CORRUPT ORGANIZATION

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO RESEARCH
NO ~~known~~ KNOWN CASES AGAINST CLERK OF COURT
REGULATING Legal Limits OF COURT CLERKS ON RULES
ISSUING THEM TO VIOLATING PETITIONERS DUE PROCESS RIGHTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION. <i>FEDERAL</i>	<i>28 USC 1254(1)</i>
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	<i>14TH AMENDMENT</i>
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	<i>2</i>
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	<i>76 Page</i>
CONCLUSION	<i>17 Page</i>

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>9TH CIRCUIT ORDER DATED 7-10-13</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>EXPLANATION FOR NOT HAVING COPY OF DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S DELIB</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>JUDGMENT IN EDCV 08-1009 DATED 7-23-12</i>
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

APPENDIX "B"

SINCE THE CLERK DID NOT FILE MY WRIT I HAD NO CASE NUMBER

TO ~~REFER~~ REFER TO I SENT ALL THE PAPERS DENYING MY WRIT

WITH MY WRIT TO THE COURT OF APPEALS NINTH CIRCUIT AND I DID NOT

HAVE ACCESS TO COPYING SO I MAILED THE ONLY COPY I HAD TO THE NINTH

CIRCUIT WITH MY PETITION. I WROTE THE CLERK OF THE 9TH CIRCUIT

REQUESTING A COPY OF THE DISTRICT COURT DENIAL FROM MY WRIT, I TOLD

THE CLERK I HAD NO MONEY TO PAY FOR THE COPIES BUT IF THEY WILL

ACCEPT STAMPS I WOULD COVER THE ^{COST} ~~EXPENSE~~ OF COPIES WITH STAMPS I

DONT HAVE THE NOTE I WROTE TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT IM WAITING FOR A

COPY OF MY COC 119 LEGAL LOG & WILL SEND AS SOON AS I GET IT

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED 9-18-23

Ray Dene Jr. F. 24040 9-18-23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO CASE LAW OR RESEARCH

STATUTES AND RULES

NO RULINGS ON THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE THAT I
KNOW OF

OTHER

COMMON SENSE AND MY OPINION BASED ON MY STUDY OF THE CONSTITUTION
AND THE FACT COURTS ARE STARTING TO GET LAZY AND ARE DELEGATING THEIR
JOBS TO CLERKS. NO CLERK OR LEGAL GO AND MAKE A DEMAND ON A PETITION THAT
ALLEGES SERIOUS VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS IN THIS CASE. THIS CASE THE
CLERK DENIED MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS OR UNNECESSARILY DELAYED MY DUE PROCESS

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 7-20-23.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 7-20-23, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

14TH AMENDMENT U.S. CONSTITUTION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner was falsely convicted of PC 264-187, PC 296
PC 12021(a)(1), PC 145(A)(2) in 2007 in the
Riverside County County Superior Court in the March, Petitioner

was sentenced to 77 years to life on October 31st in same court
conviction was upheld on direct appeal. Petitioner was filed in 2009

in the Central District Court of EDCU 08-1009 the court

denied my petition with prejudice on July 23, 2012.

Petitioner was devastated about my efforts on appeal then

my ~~last~~ death started declining had a bad heart attack on 11-9-13

had to have heart placed in my LAD. 4 years later I had to have a bypass

in the same LAD about 2011 I applied the state of California

had us appeal federal jurisdiction and judge and refused

to indicate the sur is a jurisdiction issue on account I am not state

THE SPECIFIC STATUTE THAT I DID NOT EVEN FIND OUT UNTIL 2019
WAS TITLE 18 - SEC 1114 U.S.C.A. - JUDGE RENTAL DENIED THIS ISSUE IN
PETITION I AND FILE THE SECOND PETITION I HAD FILED WAS IN 2015
JUDGE RENTAL HAD DENIED IT WITHOUT PETITIONING AS SUCCESSIVE IN 2015
I DON'T HAVE ALL THE PAPERWORK BECAUSE ALL THESE YEARS AND THE FACTS
*
DATE ON RECORDS IN THIS CASE AS THE PETITION AT BOE SHOWS THERE ARE
MANY IN THE STATE AND FEDERAL SYSTEM TRYING TO CORRUPT THIS SO IT WILL GO
AWAY. THERE WAS SOME SERIOUS CORRUPTION GOING ON HERE THAT THE
STATES AGENTS, TRIAL COUNSEL AND APPEAL COUNSEL. THE FACT MY DUE
PROCESS WAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED PER THE 14TH AMENDMENT AS I AM
ENTITLED TO AND AFTER MY GOING OVER EVERYTHING I FILED THIS 2254
WE ARE LOOKING AT NOW. WE NEED SOME GUARDS TO STOP THESE CORRUPT
AGENTS LIKE KAMATH WHATEVER WHO ~~COULD~~ COULD HAVE STOPPED ALL
THESE VIOLATIONS YET DID NOTHING HERE AS THE WHITE HOUSE

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

TO STAY OFF PETITIONER SUBMITS TO THIS COURT THAT 19 YEARS

OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT IN THESE CONCENTRATION CAMPS CALIFORNIA CALLS

A PRISON SYSTEM JUSTIFIES FOR HARBORS RELIEFS, AND NOT ANOTHER TWO

ON 3 YEARS AS THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAS IN 1960, IN ORDER ME ANY FURTHER

DUE PROCESS. THIS IS THE DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT OF THE CONSPIRACY BY

THE WANTED AGENTS OF CALIFORNIA TO FOLSY CONVICT ME BY #1 USURPING

FEDERAL JURISDICTION AND GLUE SOME CHEAP STATE CHARGES THAT ALONE

COULD NOT HAVE CONVICTED ME ON THE STATE CHARGES WITHOUT THE JUDGE

TESTIMONY TO BOOST THEM IN CASE, PETITIONER HENRY ASKS THIS COURT TO

MAKE AN EXCEPTION TO THE 40 PAGE RULE BY BLINDING ME TO DO MY PETITION

THAT WAS NESTLED THEIR AND 3 PAGES IN THE WORTHLESS AND 47 PAGES

IN EXHIBITS, AS OF THOSE ARE EXHIBIT SHEETS, THESE WILL SHOW

THIS COURT THE COURTS CONSPIRACY TO DENY ME MY CTA AGREEMENT

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. ALSO SHOWS THIS CARTEL OF
HOMOSEXUALS, JUDGES AND ATTORNEYS WHO HAVE TAKEN OVER THE INDIO
SUPERIOR COURT SYSTEM, AND CONSPIRED TO FALSLY CONDUCT ME AND OTHERS WHO
WOULD HAVE BEEN SHOWN TO BE INNOCENT EXCEPT FOR THIS STARCHAMBER OF JUDGES
AND CORRUPT HOMOSEXUAL ATTORNEYS WHO ARE TAKING VENGEANCE AGAINST HETEROSEX-
UAL, CLIENTS. I FILED AGAINST MY ATTORNEY JUDGE RYAN DID NOT HOLD A
HEARING ON RECORD ON THE HOMOSEXUAL ISSUE BUT SOMEONE FORGOT TO
GET RID OF THE PAPER COPY AND IT IS IN THE CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF
DOCUMENTS IN THIS CASE. I SPENT MOST OF MY TIME DURING THE TRIAL
TRYING TO KEEP BASHING MY ATTORNEYS. THE COURT REMOVED THE CONFLICT
PANEL FROM REPRESENTING ME ANY MORE THE FIRST TIME WAS IN OCTOBER
2006, THE COURT GRANTED MY FARRERA MOTION AT WHICH POINT THE CONFLICT
PANEL BY LAW SHOULD HAVE GIVEN ME THE DISCOVERY AND THEY DID NOT AND
CONTINUED TO REPRESENT ME POORLY. THE SECOND TIME WAS ON 6-8-07

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1
2 Before Judge Ryan two issues here my homosexual attorney told

3
4 Judge Ryan, no my client's finger prints was not on the gun police

5
6 found at the scene, then Torres stated and the GSR on my client's

7
8 hands was negative (this shows my trial attorney knew this and

9
10 denied it at trial and suppressed this at trial) now all of this was in

11
12 the Marsden hearing transcripts, also the court removed Barbara Brands

13
14 conflict from any further representation of me as in my writ on this

15
16 Judge Ryan then added his deniability to give him wiggle ~~the~~ room if it comes

17
18 to the surface as I'm bringing it up now. Now this is where the 4th district

19
20 Ct, Court of Appeals joins the conspiracy by denying me a copy of the 6-8-00

21
22 ~~Marsden~~ Marsden hearing. (Ryan asked Victor Torres if he was independent

23
24 of the conflict panel this was one of many lies told by Torres, Ryan knew

25
26 Torres was a homosexual and worked for Brands conflict panel this is

27
28 why the court must order the clerk to file the motion as filed as a proper

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE NINTH CIRCUIT FALSLEY CLAIMED THE DISTRICT COURT ADJUDICATED

THE PETITION ON MERITS THIS WAS NOT SO AGAIN THIS NINTH CIRCUIT IS

COVERING UP FOR THE LEFT WING DEMOCRATS AND WILL BE BURIED AS

YOU CAN SEE THE LAST FIVE LINES OF THE COURTS ORDER. WE WILL NOT

CONSIDER THE MERITS OF THE VARIOUS CLAIMS RAISED IN THIS

PETITION, AND THE LAST 3 LINES ALSO THE COURTS OF APPEALS IN THE

STATE AS WELL AS THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT ARE ALL IN CONSPIRACY TO

VIOLATE PETITIONERS LIKE ME. WHERE DOES ANY OF THIS FIT IN THE U.S.

CONSTITUTION?? WHERE WAS MY DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION, THIS

COURT NEEDS TO ADDRESS THESE ASSEMBLY LINE FIRMS THAT REPRESENT THE

INDIGENT CITIZENS OF THIS NATION, BECAUSE THE CONSTITUTION IS BEING CHANGED

BY CERTAIN POLITICAL PARTIES. AND NOTE TO THE WISE HOMOSEXUALS ARE

DEVISAT PEOPLE, AND NOT JUST IN SEXUAL DEVIANTNESS AS JUDGE RYAN AND MY

TRIAL ATTORNEY HAVE SHOWN BY THEIR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN MY CASE

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

AND THE FACT THEY BOTH KNEW WHAT THEY WERE DOING AND NEITHER HAVE

DONE ANYTHING TO RECTIFY THIS EGREGIOUS VIOLATIONS OF MY CIVIL

AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS THEY HAVE JUST GONE THEIR NONSEXUAL

WAYS VIOLATED MY RIGHTS AND LAUGHING ABOUT IT THIS COURT CAN CLEAN

UP A LOT OF CORRUPT CALIFORNIA AGENTS AS STATED IN MY WRIT AND ONE CORRUPT

U.S. BORDER PATROL AGENT VICTOR ALTAMIRANO. MY HEALTH IS DECLINING ON

PRISON CONDITIONS ARE SO EGREGIOUS ITS A SHAME

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED 9.7.23

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Roy Deere Jr.

Date: 9-7-20