

No. 23-5635

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
TERM,

FILED
AUG 10 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

William R Carter — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.
People of the State of Illinois — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of Illinois
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

William Raymond Carter
(Your Name)

Shawnee C.C. 6665 St. Rte. 146 E.
(Address)

Vienna, IL 62995
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Questions Presented

Why in all the 1 year, 1 month, and 17 days in the McLean County detention Center was cl William Raymond Carter, never read my Miranda Rights and denied my 5+14 constitutional right of due process.

Why in the first 20 words of my alleged witness testimony was she able to ~~perjure~~ herself under oath and testimony not be admitted

Why in all my months prior to my trial was it okay for my Lawyer Jennifer Patton to disregard any motions, subpoenas, or evidence to help me win my case. Was it cause she thought she could help the State railroad me, all for a check or because I didn't at the time understand the law, and thought I was an easy one to put away. Only a new trial can tell.

Why was it okay for my alleged witness to admit to what she appeared to say was an "under the table deal" with DCF's to prosecute me. (see cheat sheet to finish) People vs Olinger

witness Why was it okay for the State to have an Attorney that knew the lawyer (Adam Christ) of the and was the State Attorneys' (Ashley Scarbrough) old boss. (Spelling might be ~~off~~ off.) Scarbrough

Why was it okay for the States Attorney to credibility vouch for a witness before any testimony was ever given, and then constantly changes ^{his} story, which is a clear violation of falsly credibility vouching on the states behalf.

Why was it okay for my Public Defender (Jennifer Patton) to deny me access to my discovery. Also to deny me my 5th and 14th admenment rights and a fair trial in doing so.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1

JURISDICTION..... 7

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED..... 8

STATEMENT OF THE CASE..... ~~9~~ 9

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT..... ~~9~~ 10

CONCLUSION..... 11

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A
Supreme Court of Illinois 12

APPENDIX B
Petition for leave of Appeal B-1-2 13-14

APPENDIX C
Office of the State Appellate Defender
Fourth Judicial District C-1-3 15-~~16~~ 17

APPENDIX D
Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit
McLean County, Illinois 18

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
People v. Olinger	245 Ill. App. 3D 903, 185 Ill. Dec. 924 615 N.E.2D 794, 1993 Ill. App. Lexis 870 (ILL. App. Ct. 3D Dist. 1993)
- Naup v. Illinois	(cit omitted)
- U.S. vs. Giglio	(cit. omitted)
Miranda v. Arizona	(cit omitted)
- People v. Jimmerson	(cit. omitted)
Dickerson v. United States	530 U.S. 428, 434, 120 Ct. 2326, 147 L.E.2D 405 (confession test) (2000)
Gilmore v. Marks	613 F.Supp. 282 (C.A.3. PA. 1986)
Ohn v. Coulter	142 Ariz. 109, 688 P.2D 1001 (1984)
STATUTES AND RULES	
People v. Mason	60 Ill. App. 3D 463, 17 Ill. Dec. 730, 376 N.E.2D 1059, 1978, Ill. App Lexis 2675 (ILL App. Ct. 4th Dist. 1976)
269 F.R.D. 497, 531	(2010 D.M.C.)
Fed. Sup. 282	(CA3 PA. 1986)
Fed. R. of Procedure	102
Fed. R. of Evid	16(A) - G
Sup Ct. R. 802	Hearsay Testimony of States Witness
Sup. Ct. R. 701 & 702	Expert Testimony on Arson For Defen + Criminal Sexual Assault

OTHER

Cite Statute for perjury or case Law for perjury

6

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
TERM,

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Ill. Sup. Ct. court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

7

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 5/24/23. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 3/1/2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B-1.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

Jurisdiction

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

Ill. Const. Art. I § 2, 6, 7, 8. Right to due Process
U.S.C.A. 14th Amend. Right to due Process
+ Fair Trial

IAC. Const. And 6th U.S.C.A.

* *Napue v. Ill.* (1959) 360 U.S. 150, 153, 31 L. Ed. 2012, 17, 1221, 79 S.Ct. 1173, 1177

* *US v. Giglio* 405 U.S. 154, 31 L. Ed. 20108, 92 S.Ct. 766

* *Giglio v. US* [14] (1972). 405 U.S. 150, 153, 31 L. Ed. 20104, 108, 92 S.Ct. 763, 766

* *People v. Jimmerson* 1995. 166 Ill. 2D 211, 223, 209 Ill. Dec. 738 652 N.E. 2D 278

Dickerson v. U.S. 530 U.S. 428, 434, 120 Ct. 2326, 147 L.E. 2D 405 (2000) (confession test)

Oshroff v. Coulter 142 Ariz. 109, 688 P.2D 1001 (1984)

Gilmore v. Marks 613 F.Supp. 282 (C.A.3, PA. 1986)

Quarantaro v. Hanslmaier 28 F.Supp. 2D 749 (E.D.N.Y. 1998) 269 F.R.D. 497, 531 (2010 D.M.C.I.)

Fed. Supp 282 (CA.3 PA. 1986)

Fed. R. of Procedure 102

Fed. R. of Evid. 1(d)(A)-(G)

Sup. Ct. R. 802 Hearsay Testimony of States Witness

Sup. Ct. R. 701+702 Expert testimony on Criminal sexual assault + arson for Defense

Cite statute for perjury of case law for perjury or perjure
720 ICCS 5/32-2

Statement of Case

According Kylie North on Sept 4th I randomly arrived at 506 Madison Street, and brought all my inside the house, Refused to leave, and later assualted her. On the 6th of September she woke up with my hand around her neck asking her question. She said when I didn't like to question I squeezed harder. I later took her phone + hid it. Kylie said I then went to play video games. Kylie said I made her stay in her bedroom all day. On the 7th of September. Kylie said I had raped her + around noon was able to escaped to her grandparents for several hours. When she came back on Sept. 7th around 6:30 Kylie said she went into the house + found the stove burners on high, turned them off and ran out the house. Kylie then found out I had posted a picture of her on Facebook. Kylie then decided to google if Wisconsin extraditable before calling the police to report the rape + arson + battery she had recieved.

(Due to versions of her story to be impeached it is hard to accurately summarize the true versions of events.)

CONCLUSION

I, William Carter, believe it is not justice to be railroaded by the State of Illinois & the justice system. I mean it's right there in the name, that's why I think.
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 8/10/23