IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

CARLOS GILBERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ, PETITIONER

v.

STATE OF FLORIDA, RESPONDENT.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CAREY HAUGHWOUT
Public Defender

Benjamin Hunter Eisenberg
Assistant Public Defender
Counsel of Record

Office of the Public Defender Fifteenth Judicial Circuit of Florida 421 Third Street West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 355-7600 beisenberg@pd15.state.fl.us appeals@pd15.org

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT

CARLOS GILBERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ,

Appellant,

v.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

No. 4D22-110

[March 8, 2023]

Appeal from the Circuit Court for the Nineteenth Judicial Circuit, St. Lucie County; Robert L. Pegg, Judge; L.T. Case No. 562016CF003434A.

Carey Haughwout, Public Defender, and Benjamin Eisenberg, Assistant Public Defender, West Palm Beach, for appellant.

Ashley Moody, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Heidi L. Bettendorf, Senior Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed. See Guzman v. State, 350 So. 3d 72 (Fla. 4th DCA 2022). Gross, Conner and Artau, JJ., concur.

Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

Supreme Court of Florida

TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 2023

Carlos Gilbert Arellano-

SC2023-0349

Ramirez,

Lower Tribunal No(s).:

Petitioner(s)

4D22-110;

v.

562016CF003434AXXXXX

State of Florida, Respondent(s)

This case is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. See Wheeler v. State, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); Wells v. State, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); Jackson v. State, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); Gandy v. State, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); Stallworth v. Moore, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); Harrison v. Hyster Co., 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); Dodi Publ'q Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A., 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); Jenkins v. State, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy Test:

-0249 6/13/2023

John A. Tomasino

Clerk, Supreme Court SC2023-0349 6/13/2023



CASE NO.: SC2023-0349

Page Two

LC

Served:

HEIDI L. BETTENDORF BENJAMIN EISENBERG HON. MICHELLE R. MILLER HON. ROBERT LEE PEGG HON. LONN WEISSBLUM

ARGUMENT

ISSUE I

APPELLANT WAS ENTITLED TO A TWELVE-PERSON JURY UNDER THE SIXTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS AND HE DID NOT WAIVE THAT RIGHT

Appellant was convicted of felonies by a jury comprised of a mere six people. He argues that the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments guarantee the right to a twelve-person jury when the defendant is charged with a felony. The standard of review of constitutional claims is de novo. See A.B. v. Florida Dept. of Children & Family Services, 901 So. 2d 324, 326 (Fla. 3d DCA 2005).

Although the United States Supreme Court held in *Williams v. Florida*, 399 U.S. 78, 86 (1970), that juries as small as six were constitutionally permissible, *Williams* is impossible to square with the Supreme Court's ruling in *Ramos v. Louisiana*, 140 S. Ct. 1390 (2020), which concluded that the Sixth Amendment's "trial by an impartial jury" requirement encompasses what the term "meant at the Sixth Amendment's adoption." *Id.* at 1395.

Prior to 1970, subjecting Appellant to a trial with only six jurors would have unquestionably violated his Sixth Amendment

rights. As the Supreme Court observed in *Ramos*, even William Blackstone recognized that under the common law, "no person could be found guilty of a serious crime unless 'the truth of every accusation ... should ... be confirmed by the unanimous suffrage of twelve of his equals and neighbors[.]" 140 S. Ct. at 1395. "A 'verdict, taken from eleven, was no verdict' at all." *Id*.

After the Sixth Amendment was enacted, a bevy of state courts—ranging from Alabama to Missouri to New Hampshire interpreted it to require a twelve-person jury. See Miller, Comment, Six of One Is Not A Dozen of the Other, 146 U. Pa. L. Rev. 621, 643 n.133 (1998) (collecting cases from the late 1700s to the 1860s). In 1898, the U.S. Supreme Court added its voice to the chorus, noting that the Sixth Amendment protects a defendant's right to be tried by a twelve-person jury. Thompson v. Utah, 170 U.S. 343, 349-350 (1898). As the *Thompson* Court explained, since the time of Magna Carta, the word "jury" had been understood to mean a body of twelve people. Id. Given that understanding had been accepted since 1215, the Court reasoned, "[i]t must" have been "that the word 'jury" in the Sixth Amendment was "placed in the constitution of the United States with reference to [that] meaning affixed to [it]." Id. at 350.

The Supreme Court continued to cite the basic principle that the Sixth Amendment requires a twelve-person jury in criminal cases for seventy more years. For example, in 1900, the Court explained that "there [could] be no doubt" "[t]hat a jury composed, as at common law, of twelve jurors was intended by the Sixth Amendment to the Federal Constitution." Maxwell v. Dow, 176 U.S. 581, 586 (1900). Thirty years later, the Court reiterated that it was "not open to question" that "the phrase 'trial by jury" in the Constitution incorporated juries' "essential elements" as "they were recognized in this country and England," including the requirement that they "consist of twelve men, neither more nor less." Patton v. United States, 281 Ú.S. 276, 288 (1930). And as recently as 1968, the Court remarked that "by the time our Constitution was written, jury trial in criminal cases had been in existence for several centuries and carried impressive credentials traced by many to Magna Carta," such as the

necessary inclusion of twelve members. *Duncan v. Louisiana*, 391 U.S. 145, 151-152 (1968).⁴

In 1970, however, the *Williams* Court overruled this line of precedent in a decision that Justice Harlan described as "stripping off the livery of history from the jury trial" and ignoring both "the intent of the Framers" and the Court's long held understanding that constitutional "provisions are framed in the language of the English common law [] and ... read in the light of its history." *Baldwin v. New York*, 399 U.S. 117, 122-123 (1970) (citation omitted) (Harlan, J., concurring in the result in *Williams*). Indeed, *Williams* recognized that the Framers "may well" have had "the usual expectation" in drafting the Sixth Amendment "that the jury would consist of 12" members. *Williams*, 399 U.S. at 98-99. But *Williams* concluded that such "purely historical considerations" were not dispositive. *Id.* at 99. Rather, the Court focused on the "function" that the jury plays in the

⁴ See also, e.g., Capital Traction Co v. Hof, 174 U.S. 1, 13 (1899) ("Trial by jury," in the primary and usual sense of the term at the common law and in the American constitutions, is not merely a trial by a jury of 12 men" but also contains other requirements); Rassmussen v. United States, 197 U.S. 516, 529 (1905) ("The constitutional requirement that 'the trial of all crimes, except in cases of impeachment, shall be by jury,' means, as this court has adjudged, a trial by the historical, common-law jury of twelve persons").

Constitution, concluding that the "essential feature" of a jury is it leaves justice to the "commonsense judgment of a group of laymen" and thus allows "guilt or innocence" to be determined via "community participation and [with] shared responsibility." *Id.* at 100-01. According to the *Williams* Court, both "currently available evidence [and] theory" suggested that function could just as easily be performed with six jurors as with twelve. *Id.* at 101-102 & n.48; *cf. Burch v. Louisiana*, 441 U.S. 130, 137 (1979) (acknowledging that *Williams* and its progeny "departed from the strictly historical requirements of jury trial").

Williams's ruling that the Sixth Amendment (as incorporated to the States by the Fourteenth) permits a six-person jury cannot stand in light of Ramos. There, the Supreme Court held that the Sixth Amendment requires a unanimous verdict to convict a defendant of a serious offense. In reaching that conclusion, the Ramos Court overturned Apodaca v. Oregon, 406 U.S. 404 (1972), a decision that it faulted for "subject[ing] the ancient guarantee of a unanimous jury verdict to its own functionalist assessment." 140 S. Ct. at 1401-1402.

That reasoning undermines Williams as well. Ramos rejected the same kind of "cost-benefit analysis" the Court undertook in

Williams, observing that it is not the Court's role to "distinguish between the historic features of common law jury trials that (we think) serve 'important enough functions to migrate silently into the Sixth Amendment and those that don't." 140 S. Ct. at 1400-01. Ultimately, the Ramos Court explained, the question is whether "at the time of the Sixth Amendment's adoption, the right to trial by jury included" the particular feature at issue. Id. at 1402. As the history summarized above establishes, there can be no serious doubt that the common understanding of the jury trial during the Revolutionary War era was that twelve jurors were required—"a verdict, taken from eleven, was no verdict at all." See 140 S. Ct. at 1395 (quotation marks omitted).

Even setting aside *Williams*'s now-disfavored functionalist logic, its ruling suffered from another significant flaw: it was based on research that was out of date shortly after the opinion issued. Specifically, the *Williams* Court "f[ou]nd little reason to think" that the goals of the jury guarantee—including, among others, "to provide a fair possibility for obtaining a representative[] cross-section of the community"—"are in any meaningful sense less likely to be achieved when the jury numbers six, than when it numbers 12." *Id.* at 100.

The Court theorized that "in practice the difference between the 12-man and the six-man jury in terms of the cross-section of the community represented seems likely to be negligible." *Id.* at 102.

In the time since Williams, that determination has proven incorrect. Indeed, the Court acknowledged as much just eight years later in Ballew v. Georgia, 435 U.S. 223 (1978), when it concluded that the Sixth Amendment barred the use of a five-person jury. Although Ballew did not overturn Williams, the Ballew Court observed that empirical studies conducted in the handful of intervening years highlighted several problems with Williams' assumptions. For example, Ballew noted that more recent research showed that (1) "smaller juries are less likely to foster effective group deliberation," id. at 233, (2) smaller juries may be less accurate and cause "increasing inconsistency" in verdict results, id. at 234, (3) the with chance for hung juries decreases smaller juries, disproportionally harming the defendant, id. at 236; and (4) decreasing jury sizes "foretell[] problems ... for the representation of minority groups in the community," undermining a jury's likelihood of being "truly representative of the community," id. at 236-37. Moreover, the Ballew Court "admit[ted]" that it "d[id] not pretend to

discern a clear line between six members and five," effectively acknowledging that the studies it relied on also cast doubt on the effectiveness of the six-member jury. *Id.* at 239; *see also id.* at 245-46 (Powell, J.) (agreeing that five-member juries are unconstitutional, while acknowledging that "the line between five- and six-member juries is difficult to justify").

Post-Ballew research has further undermined Williams. Current empirical evidence indicates that "reducing jury size inevitably has a drastic effect on the representation of minority group members on the jury." Diamond et al., Achieving Diversity on the Jury: Jury Size and the Peremptory Challenge, 6 J. of Empirical Legal Stud. 425, 427 (Sept. 2009); see also Higginbotham et al., Better by the Dozen: Bringing Back the Twelve-Person Civil Jury, 104 Judicature 47, 52 (Summer 2020) ("Larger juries are also more inclusive and more representative of the community. ... In reality, cutting the size of the jury dramatically increases the chance of excluding minorities."). Because "the 12-member jury produces significantly greater heterogeneity than does the six-member jury," Diamond et al., Achieving Diversity on the Jury, supra, at 449, it opportunity for meaningful and appropriate increases "the

representation" and helps ensure that juries "represent adequately a cross-section of the community." *Ballew*, 435 U.S. at 237.

Other important considerations also weigh in favor of the twelve-member jury. For instance, studies indicate that twelvemember juries deliberate longer, recall evidence better, and rely less on irrelevant factors during deliberation. See Smith & Saks, The Case for Overturning Williams v. Florida and the Six-Person Jury, 60 Fla. L. Rev. 441, 465 (2008). Minority views are also more likely to be thoroughly expressed in a larger jury, as "having a large minority helps make the minority subgroup more influential," and, unsurprisingly, "the chance of minority members having allies is greater on a twelve-person jury." Id. at 466. Finally, larger juries deliver more predictable results. In the civil context, for example, "[s]ix-person juries are four times more likely to return extremely high or low damage awards compared to the average." Higginbotham et al., Better by the Dozen, supra, at 52.

Appellant recognizes that the state constitution provides:

SECTION 22. Trial by jury.—The right of trial by jury shall be secure to all and remain inviolate. The qualifications and the number of jurors, not fewer than six, shall be fixed by law.

Art. I, § 22, Fla. Const. And he recognizes that section 913.10, Florida Statutes, provides for six jurors except in capital cases. *See also* Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.270.

But Florida's provision for a jury of six stems from the dawn of the Jim Crow era, one month after federal troops were withdrawn from the state. The historical background is as follows:

In 1875, the Jury Clause of the 1868 constitution was amended to provide that the number of jurors "for the trial of causes in any court may be fixed by law." See Florida Fertilizer & Mfg. Co. v. Boswell, 34 So. 241, 241 (Fla. 1903).

The common law rule of a jury of twelve was still kept in Florida while federal troops remained in the state. There was no provision for a jury of less than twelve until the Legislature enacted a provision specifying a jury of six in Chapter 3010, section 6. See Gibson v. State, 16 Fla. 291, 297–98 (1877) (quoting and discussing Chapter 3010, section 6, Laws of Florida (1877)); Florida Fertilizer, 34 So. 15 241 (noting that previously all juries had twelve members).

The Legislature enacted chapter 3010 with the jury-of-six provision on February 17, 1877. *Gibson*, 16 Fla. 294. This was less than a month after the last federal troops were withdrawn from

Florida in January 1877. See Jerrell H. Shofner, Reconstruction and Renewal, 1865-1877, in The History of Florida 273 (Michael Gannon, ed., first paperback edition 2018) ("there were [no federal troops" in Florida after 23 January 1877").

The jury-of-six thus first saw light at the birth of the Jim Crow era as former Confederates regained power in southern states and state prosecutors made a concerted effort to prevent blacks from serving on jurors.

On its face the 1868 constitution extended the franchise to black men. But the historical context shows that that it was part of the overall resistance to Reconstruction efforts to protect the rights of black citizens. The constitution was the product of a remarkable series of events including a coup in which leaders of the white southern (or native) faction took possession of the assembly hall in the middle of the night, excluding Radical Republican delegates from the proceedings. See Richard L. Hume, Membership of the Florida Constitutional Convention of 1868: A Case Study of Republican Factionalism in the Reconstruction South, 51 Fla. Hist. Q. 1, 5-6 (1972); Shofner at 266. A reconciliation was effected as the "outside"

whites "united with the majority of the body's native whites to frame a constitution designed to continue white dominance." Hume at 15.

The racist purpose of the resulting constitution was spelled out by Harrison Reed, a leader of the prevailing faction and the first governor elected under the 1868 constitution, who wrote to Senator Yulee that the new constitution was constructed to bar blacks from legislative office:

Under our Constitution the Judiciary & State officers will be appointed & the apportionment will prevent a negro legislature.

Hume, 15-16. See also Shofner 266.

In Ramos, Justice Gorsuch noted that the Louisiana non-unanimity rule arose from Jim Crow era efforts to enforce white supremacy. *Id.* at 1394; see also id. at 1417 (Kavanaugh, J., concurring) (non-unanimity was enacted "as one pillar of a comprehensive and brutal program of racist Jim Crow measures against African-Americans, especially in voting and jury service."). The history of Florida's jury of six arises from the same historical context.

In view of the foregoing, a jury of six at a criminal trial for any felony offense, particularly a crime punishable by up to life

imprisonment, is unconstitutional under the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

Finally, Appellant did not waive his Sixth Amendment right to a twelve-person jury. A defendant may waive his right to a constitutional jury, but the "express and intelligent consent of the defendant" is required. *Patton*, 281 U.S. at 312.

In *Johnson v. State*, 994 So. 2d 960 (Fla. 2008), for example, Johnson was charged with felony DUI, which is committing DUI with three prior DUI convictions. *Johnson*, 994 So. 2d at 962. After a jury found Johnson guilty of the base offense of DUI, the trial court, by stipulation, became the factfinder as to the prior DUI convictions. The trial court found that Johnson had the requisite prior convictions and adjudicated him guilty of felony DUI.

Johnson appealed, and this Court affirmed, holding that Johnson's counsel's stipulation that the trial court act as factfinder was a valid waiver of Johnson's Sixth Amendment right to have a jury decide the prior-convictions element. *Johnson v. State*, 944 So. 2d 474, 476-77 (Fla. 4th DCA 2006).

Johnson sought review in the Florida Supreme Court. The supreme court held that defense counsel's stipulation was

insufficient, that Johnson's personal waiver of his jury-trial right was required. *Johnson*, 994 So. 2d at 963. "Further, a defendant's silence does not establish a valid waiver of the right to a jury trial." *Id.* Thus, Johnson could raise this issue for the first time on appeal: "[B]ecause a defendant's silence clearly does not constitute a valid waiver, it logically follows that defendants are not required to break their silence (through either a request for a jury trial or an objection to the bench trial) to preserve appellate review of this claim. Here, just as Johnson's silence was insufficient to waive his right to a jury trial, his silence was insufficient to waive appellate review of this claim." *Id.* at 964 (citation omitted).

As in *Johnson*, Appellant's failure to raise this issue in the lower court "does not constitute a waiver of appellate review on this claim."

Id.

The Third District's decision in *Jimenez v. State*, 167 So. 3d 497 (Fla. 3d DCA 2015), *rev. denied*, 192 So. 3d 38 (Fla. 2015), supports Appellant's argument. Jimenez was tried by a jury of six people when he should have been tried by a jury of twelve people (he was charged with first-degree murder, a capital offense). This violated section 913.10, Florida Statutes, and Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure

3.270. This was not fundamental error, the Third District said, because the "right to a jury of twelve persons is not of constitutional dimension. Rather, it is a right provided by state statute and in the corresponding Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure." *Jimenez*, 167 So. 3d at 499 (citations omitted). The court continued: "Jimenez was not denied his constitutional right to a trial by jury. Rather, he was provided with a trial by jury, but consisting of six rather than twelve persons. While this failed to comply with the statutory requirement, it was not fundamental error such that it could have been raised for the first time on appeal." *Id.* (citations omitted).

Jimenez was issued before Ramos effectively overruled Williams. Appellant's argument is that a jury of twelve persons is of "constitutional dimension." Jimenez implies that if it is an issue of "constitutional dimension," then it may be raised for the first time on appeal.

This Court should reverse the judgment and sentence and remand for a new trial with a twelve-person jury, as required by the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

IN THE CIRCUIT/COUNTY COURT OF THE NINETEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR ST LUCIE COUNTY, FLORIDA

	Modified Resentence Amended Corrected Mitigated Community Control Violator Probation Violator	Case Number:	562016CF003434AXXXXX
		Case Namber	302010Cl 003+3+AAAAAA
STATI	E OF FLORIDA		
- vs -	<u> </u>	Sexual Pi	redator
	OS GILBERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ	Sex Offer	nder
Defen	dant	Minor Vic	tim
		Sentence	ed in Absentia
Cour	The Defendant, CARLOS GILBERT ARELLANT represented by AttorneyADRIENNE M BUT represented by BRANDON ALEXANDER EDISONT been tried and found guilty by Jury of the following entered a plea of guilty to the following crime(s). The entered a plea of nolo contendere to the following crime(s). Admitted Violation of Probation Found Guilty of Violation of Probation Admitted a Violation of Community Control Found Guilty of Violation of Community Control	JCCHI, the Attorn N WHITE, and hav wing crime(s). rime(s)	ey of record, and the State ving:
Cour	t Crime	Offense Statute Number(s)	Level / OBTS Degree Number
1 2 3	BURGLARY OF A DWELLING FIRST DEGREE PETIT THEFT STALKING	810.02 812.014 784.048(2)	F-2 5601226235 M-1 5601226235 M-1 5601226235
4 5 6	BURGLARY OF A DWELLING WHILE ARMED POSSESSION OF BURGLARY TOOLS RESISTING OFFICER WITHOUT VIOLENCE	810.02 810.06 843.02	F-1-PB 5601226235 F-3 5601227160 M-1 5601226236

db/kb/dc/doc

Page 1 of 2



The Defendant in open Court was advised of the right to appeal from this Sentence by filing notice of appeal within 30 days from this date with the Clerk of this Court and the Defendant's right to the assistance of counsel in taking the appeal at the expense of the State on showing of indigency

FINGERPRINTS OF DEFENDANT

1. Right Thumb	2. Right Index	3. Right Middle	4. Right Ring	5. Right Little
1. Right Humb	Z. Right maex	o. Night Middle	14. Night King	5. Night Little
6. Left Thumb	7. Left Index	8. Left Middle	9. Left Ring	10. Left Little
Fingerprints taken by:	F.	Name		Title

I HEARBY CERTIFY that the above and forgoing fingerprints are the fingerprints of the Defendant

CARLOS GILBERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ

and that they were placed thereon by said Defendant in my

presence in open Court this date.

DONE AND ORDERED in Open Court at St. Lucie County, Florida, on

Thursday, January 6, 2022

Nunc Pro Tunc To:

Circuit Judge ROBERT L PEGS

-		of Community Cont	usly Adjudged Guilty rol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
	Modified	~~		Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
_	Amended			OBTS Number	5601226235
_	Mitigated			OD TO THE MINOR	3601220233
-	Corrected				
	Defendant		BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
	rad off process.		SENTEN	CÉ.	
			(As to Count	1)	
to	1 BUCCHI and offer matters and no cause be	d having been adjuing mitigation of so ing shown	udicated guilty, and the Court hav	ing given the Defe he Defense should	nt's Attorney of record ADRIENNE indant an opportunity to be heard and not be sentenced as provided by law, is date.
	ar	nd the Court havin	g previously entered a judgment	in this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
			g placed the Defendant on	and	having subsequently
I	t Is The Ser	itence Of Cou	rt that:		
	equired on 938	.04, Florida Statu	tes.		s, plus as the 5% surcharge
-			ommitted to the custody of the l		
_			nmitted to the custody of the Shei as a youthful offender in accorda		
7	o Be Impriso	ned (check one; i	ınmarked sections are inapplica	ible.):	
_		of Natural Life.	1. 11		
_			ith a 25 year mandatory minimun	n	
-		n_of15.00_YEA		1	
-	The SENT	ENCE IS SUSPE	NDED for a period of sul	eject to conditions	set forth in this Order.
Ī	f 'split' senten ppropriate Par	ce complete the agraph.	Followed by a period of Department of Corrections account a separate order.	on Commun	nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth
					nder the supervision of the Department ns of supervision as set forth in a
			sentence will be suspended and	the Defendant will partment of Correc	nent in PRISON, the balance of the be on Probation/Community Control tions according to the terms and forth in a separate order.
			ered to serve additional, split sent ice of the supervision terms.		

Page 1 of 13

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 1)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.	
Drug Trafficking	It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$ pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.		
Law Enforcement	It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.		
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.	
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.	
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.	
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)	
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)	
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes	
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.	
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	X	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 0 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.	
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)	
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)	
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.	
		The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.	
	r S	t is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following elease from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)	
Consecutive/Concurrent	<u>x</u>	It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONSECUTIVE with the sentence set forth in count 4 of this case.	

Violation of Probation, Previo	usly Adjudged Guilty rol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
Modified		Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
Amended Mitigated Corrected		OBTS Number	5601226235
Defendant CARLOS GIL	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
	SENTEN	CE:	
	(As to Count	2)	
M BUCCHI and having been adj	udicated guilty, and the Court hav	ing given the Defe	nt's Attorney of record ADRIENNE ndant an opportunity to be heard and not be sentenced as provided by law,
and the Court havi	ng on deferred imposition of	of sentence until th	is date.
and the Court having	ng previously entered a judgment i	n this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
and the Court having placed the Defendant on and having subsequently revoked the Defendant's			
It Is The Sentence Of Cou	rt that:		
required on 938.04, Florida Statu The Defendant is hereby co X The Defendant is hereby co		artment of Correct	County Florida.
To Be Imprisoned (check one;	unmarked sections are inapplica	ble.):	
X For a term of 1.00 YEAR	ith a 25 year mandatory minimum (S) NDED for a period of sub		set forth in this Order.
If 'split' sentence complete the appropriate Paragraph.	Followed by a period of Department of Corrections acco in a separate order. Followed by a period of of Corrections according to the separate order. However, after serving a p	on Communication under the Defendant will partment of Corrections	nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth under the supervision of the Department uns of supervision as set forth in a unent in CNTY JAIL, the balance of the be on Probation/Community Control tions according to the terms and
	ered to serve additional, split sent	ences, all incarcers	ation portions shall be satisfied

before the Defendant begins service of the supervision terms.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 2)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.		
Drug Trafficking		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$, pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.		
Law Enforcement		It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.		
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.		
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.		
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.		
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)		
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)		
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes		
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.		
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	X	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 1,789 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.		
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be alloweddays time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count(Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)		
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)		
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.		
		The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.		
	2	t is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following elease from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)		
Consecutive/Concurrent	<u>x</u>	It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONCURRENT with the sentence set forth in count 4 of this case.		
to To Other Course		N. D. M. D. Waller		

Page 4 of 13

	ously Adjudged Guilty trol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
Resentenced Modified		Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
Amended Mitigated Corrected		OBTS Number	5601226235
Defendant CARLOS GIL	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
	SENTENC	E.	
	(As to Count 3)	
M BUCCHI and having been adj	udicated guilty, and the Court havir	ng given the Defe	nt's Attorney of record ADRIENNE ndant an opportunity to be heard and not be sentenced as provided by law,
and the Court havi	ng on deferred imposition of	sentence until th	is date.
and the Court having	ng previously entered a judgment in	this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
	ng placed the Defendant on	and	having subsequently
It Is The Sentence Of Cou	irt that:		
required on 938.04, Florida Statu The Defendant is hereby co X The Defendant is hereby c	pursuant to section 775.083 ntes. mmitted to the custody of the Deparementated to the custody of the Sh as a youthful offender in accordance	rtment of Correct eriff of St. Lucie	ions. County Florida.
To Be Imprisoned (check one;	unmarked sections are inapplicab	ole.):	
X For a term of 1.00 YEAR	with a 25 year mandatory minimum (S) NDED for a period of subj	ect to conditions	set forth in this Order.
If 'split' sentence complete the appropriate Paragraph.			nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth
	Followed by a period of of Corrections according to the te separate order.		nder the supervision of the Department ns of supervision as set forth in a
		ne Defendant will artment of Correct	
In the event the Defendant is ord	ered to serve additional, split senter	nces, all incarcera	tion portions shall be satisfied

before the Defendant begins service of the supervision terms.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 3)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.		
Drug Trafficking		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$, pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.		
Law Enforcement		It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.		
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		t is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.		
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended erm in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.		
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.		
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be serve prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)		
Capital Offense	-	It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)		
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes		
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.		
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	X	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 1,789 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.		
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be alloweddays time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)		
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)		
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.		
		The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.		
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count . (Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)		
Consecutive/Concurrent	<u>x</u>	It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONCURRENT with the sentence set forth in count 4, of this case,		
to To Oak an Carrier				

Page 6 of 13

Violation of Probation, Previo			
Violation of Community Con Resentenced	trol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
Modified		Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
Amended Mitigated		OBTS Number	5601226235
Corrected			
Defendant CARLOS GIL	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
e e estra i Nice e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	SENTEN	ĈĒ	rang rang 9 mga kalang dan salah sal
	(As to Count	4)	
M BUCCHI and having been adj to offer matters in mitigation of s and no cause being shown	udicated guilty, and the Court havi sentence, and to show cause why th	ng given the Defe te Defense should	nt's Attorney of record ADRIENNE ndant an opportunity to be heard and not be sentenced as provided by law,
and the Court havi	ng on deferred imposition o	f sentence until th	is date.
and the Court havi	ng previously entered a judgment in	n this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
and the Court having revoked the Defen	ng placed the Defendant on	and	having subsequently
It Is The Sentence Of Cou	irt that:		
The defendant pay a fine of required on 938.04, Florida Statu	pursuant to section 775.08	3, Florida Statutes	s, plus as the 5% surcharge
•	committed to the custody of the D	epartment of Co	rrections.
	mmitted to the custody of the Sheri		
The Detendant is sentenced	as a youthful offender in accordan	ce with section 93	8.04, Florida Statutes.
To Be Imprisoned (check one;	unmarked sections are inapplica	ble.):	
	vith a 25 year mandatory minimum		
X For a term of 25.00 YEA			and founds in this Contain
	ENDED for a period ofsub		
If 'split' sentence complete the appropriate Paragraph.	Followed by a period of Department of Corrections according a separate order.		nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth
	Followed by a period of of Corrections according to the t separate order.		nder the supervision of the Department ns of supervision as set forth in a
		the Defendant will	nent in PRISON, the balance of the be on Probation/Community Control tions according to the terms and
	conditions of Probation/Commu	nity Control as set	forth in a separate order.
In the event the Defendant is are	lered to serve additional split sente	ences all incarcers	ation portions shall be satisfied

In the event the Defendant is ordered to serve additional, split sentences, all incarceration portions shall be satisfied before the Defendant begins service of the supervision terms.

Page 7 of 13

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 4)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm	•	It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Drug Trafficking		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$, pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.
Law Enforcement	-	It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.
Violent Career Criminal	-	The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes.
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	<u>X</u>	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 1.789 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.
		 The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.
	f j	t is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original fail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. (Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)
Consecutive/Concurrent As To Other Counts		It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run with the sentence set forth in count of this case.

Page 8 of 13

The second secon	of Community Cont	usly Adjudged Guilty rol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
Modified			Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
Amended Mitigated Corrected			OBTS Number	5601227160
Defendant	CARLOS GILI	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
Section in the second	an var Stand sayssand	SENTEN	CE	veden in the second
		(As to Count	5)	
M BUCCHI an	d having been adj in mitigation of s	udicated guilty, and the Court hav	ing given the Defe	nt's Attorney of record ADRIENNE ndant an opportunity to be heard and not be sentenced as provided by law,
a	nd the Court havi	ng on deferred imposition of	f sentence until th	is date.
aı	nd the Court havir	g previously entered a judgment i	n this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
a	nd the Court havir	g placed the Defendant on	and	having subsequently
It Is The Ser	ntence Of Cou	rt that:		
required on 938 X The Defer The Defen	3.04, Florida Statu Idant is hereby c dant is hereby con		Department of Co	unty Florida.
To Be Impriso	ned (check one;)	ınmarked sections are inapplica	ble.):	
For a term For a term X For a term	of Natural Life. of Natural Life w n of 5.00 YEAR	ith a 25 year mandatory minimum		set forth in this Order.
If 'split' senten appropriate Par				nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth
				nder the supervision of the Department ns of supervision as set forth in a
		sentence will be suspended and	the Defendant will partment of Correc	nent in PRISON, the balance of the be on Probation/Community Control tions according to the terms and forth in a separate order.
In the event the	e Defendant is ord	ered to serve additional, split sent	ences, all incarcera	ation portions shall be satisfied

In the event the Defendant is ordered to serve additional, split sentences, all incarceration portions shall be satisfied before the Defendant begins service of the supervision terms.

Page 9 of 13

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 5)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm	It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.			
Drug Trafficking	It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$ pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.			
Law Enforcement	It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.			
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School	It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.			
Habitual Felony Offender •	The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.			
Habitual Violent Felony	The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.			
Violent Career Criminal	The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)			
Capital Offense	It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)			
Prison Releasee	Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes.			
Sexual Predator	Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.			
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	X It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 0 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.			
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control	It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)			
	It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)			
	The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.			
	The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.			
	It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. (Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)			
Consecutive/Concurrent	X It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONSECUTIVE with the sentence set forth in count 1 of this case.			

Page 10 of 13

	Community Cont	usly Adjudged Guilty rol, Previously Adjudged Guilty BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ	Case Number OBTS Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX 5601226236
de de la composición	ouders et e	SENTEN	ĈE .	New York Contraction of the cont
	TO BELL TO SERVE TO THE SECURITY TO THE SERVE	(As to Count 6		delimina i i internati internativa (i internativa (i internativa (i internativa esperativa)). Alla deliminati
M BUCCHI and	having been adju n mitigation of s	udicated guilty, and the Court havi	ng given the Defe	nt's Attorney of record ADRIENNE ndant an opportunity to be heard and not be sentenced as provided by law,
an	d the Court havin	ng on deferred imposition o	f sentence until th	is date.
and	the Court having	ng previously entered a judgment is	n this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
		ng placed the Defendant on	and	having subsequently
It Is The Sen	tence Of Cou	rt that:		
required on 938. The Defend X The Defend	04, Florida Statu ant is hereby col lant is hereby co		artment of Correct	County Florida.
To Be Imprison	ed (check one; ı	ınmarked sections are inapplica	ble.):	
For a term of X For a term	of 1.00 YEAR	ith a 25 year mandatory minimum (S) NDED for a period of sub		set forth in this Order.
If 'split' sentence appropriate Para	e complete the graph.	Followed by a period of	on Communeding to the terms	nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth
		Followed by a period of of Corrections according to the t separate order.	erms and conditio	nder the supervision of the Department ns of supervision as set forth in a
			the Defendant will partment of Correc	
In the event the	Defendant is ord	ered to serve additional, split sente	ences, all incarcera	tion portions shall be satisfied

In the event the Defendant is ordered to serve additional, split sentences, all incarceration portions shall be satisfied before the Defendant begins service of the supervision terms.

Page 11 of 13

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 6)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Drug Trafficking	-	It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$, pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.
Law Enforcement		It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes.
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	<u>X</u>	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 1,789 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.
		The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.
	j	It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. (Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)
Consecutive/Concurrent	<u>X</u>	It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONCURRENT with the sentence set forth in count 4 of this case.

Page 12 of 13

	Previously Adjudged Guilty ty Control, Previously Adjudged Guilty
Defendant: CARLOS GILBE	Case Number: 562016CF003434AXXXXX CRT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ
Other provisions, continued:	
Consecutive/Concurrent To Other Convictions	
	It is further ordered that the composite term of all sentences imposed for the counts specified in this order will run (check one) [] Consecutive To [] Concurrent To
Concurrent with the following	g:
(check	one)
	any active sentence being served. specific sentences:
ordered and directed to deliver department together with a cop The Defendant in open court w	is to the Department of Corrections, the Sheriff of St. Lucie County, Florida, is hereby the defendant to the Department of Corrections and the facility designated by the y of this Judgment and Sentence and any other documents specified by Florida Statute. as advised of the right to appeal from this Sentence by filing notice of appeal within 30 erk of this Court and the Defendant's right to the assistance of counsel in taking the appeal a showing of indigency.
In imposing the above sentence	e, the Court further recommends / orders
DONE AND ORDERED in Op	pen Court at St. Lucie County, Florida, on January, 6 2022.
Nunc Pro Tunc to:	
	Circuit/County Judge ROBERT L PEGE

Violation of Probation, Previo Violation of Community Cont	usly Adjudged Guilty rol, Previously Adjudged Guilty	
Resentenced		Case Number 562016CF003434AXXXXX
X Amended TO REFLECT 21 Mitigated	154 DAYS CREDIT TIME SERVED	OBTS Number 5601226235
Corrected		
Defendant CARLOS GIL	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ	
	SENTENC	E
	(As to Count 2)	
been adjudicated guilty, and the 6 mitigation of sentence, and to sho being shown	Court having given the Defendant an	by the Defendant's Attorney of record and having opportunity to be heard and to offer matters in t be sentenced as provided by law, and no cause sentence until this date.
and the Court havin	ng previously entered a judgment in	this case on now resentence the Defendant.
	ng placed the Defendant ondant's	and having subsequently
It Is The Sentence Of Cou	irt that:	
The defendant pay a fine of required on 938.04, Florida Statu		Florida Statutes, plus as the 5% surcharge
	mmitted to the custody of the Depart	
	ommitted to the custody of the Sho as a youthful offender in accordance	with section 958.04, Florida Statutes.
To Be Imprisoned (check one;	unmarked sections are inapplicable	e.):
For a term of Natural Life.	vith a 25 year mandatory minimum	
X For a term of 1.00 YEAR		
		ct to conditions set forth in this Order.
If 'split' sentence complete the appropriate Paragraph.	Followed by a period of Department of Corrections accord in a separate order.	on Community Control under the supervision of the ing to the terms and conditions of supervision as set fort
		probation under the supervision of the Departmen ms and conditions of supervision as set forth in a
	sentence will be suspended and the under the supervision of the Depa	iod of imprisonment in CNTY JAIL, the balance of the e Defendant will be on Probation/Community Control rtment of Corrections according to the terms and ty Control as set forth in a separate order.
In the event the Defendant is ord before the Defendant begins ser		ces, all incarceration portions shall be satisfied

Page 3 of 13

562016CF003434AXXXXX

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 2)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm	_	It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Drug Trafficking		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$, pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.
Law Enforcement		It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes.
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	X	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 2,154 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.
		The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. (Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)
Consecutive/ Concurrent	<u>x</u>	It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONCURRENT with the sentence set forth in count 4 of this case.
4 - T- Oslan Carres		

Page 4 of 13

Violation of Probation, Previo	ously Adjudged Guilty		
	rol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
Resentenced Modified		Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
X Amended TO REFLECT 21	54 DAYS CREDIT TIME SERVED	OBTS Number	5601226235
Mitigated Corrected			,
Defendant CARLOS GIL	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
The state of the s	SENTENC	CE	
	(As to Count 3	3)	
been adjudicated guilty, and the	nally before this Court, accompanie Court having given the Defendant a low cause why the Defense should r	n opportunity to l	
and the Court havi	ng on deferred imposition of	f sentence until th	is date.
and the Court havin	ng previously entered a judgment in	this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
	ng placed the Defendant on	and	having subsequently
It Is The Sentence Of Cou	irt that:		
The defendant pay a fine of required on 938.04, Florida Statu	pursuant to section 775.08.	3, Florida Statutes	s, plus as the 5% surcharge
The Defendant is hereby co	mmitted to the custody of the Depa	rtment of Correct	ions.
	ommitted to the custody of the Sh		
The Defendant is sentenced	as a youthful offender in accordance	ce with section 95	8.04, Florida Statutes.
To Be Imprisoned (check one;	unmarked sections are inapplical	ole.):	
For a term of Natural Life.			
For a term of Natural Life w	vith a 25 year mandatory minimum		
X For a term of 1.00 YEAR	(S)		
The SENTENCE IS SUSPE	NDED for a period of subj	ect to conditions	set forth in this Order.
If 'split' sentence complete the appropriate Paragraph.			nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forti
•			nder the supervision of the Department ns of supervision as set forth in a
		he Defendant will artment of Correct	
In the event the Defendant is ord before the Defendant begins serv	lered to serve additional, split sente	nces, all incarcers	ation portions shall be satisfied

Page 5 of 13

562016CF003434AXXXXX

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 3)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Drug Trafficking		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$ pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.
Law Enforcement		It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes.
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	X	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 2,154 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)
		 The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.
		The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. (Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)
Consecutive/Concurrent	<u>x</u>	It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONCURRENT with the sentence set forth in count 4 of this case.
1 To Oak on Country		

Page 6 of 13

Violation of Probation, Previo			
Resentenced	trol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
Modified		Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
X Amended TO REFLECT 21	54 DAYS CREDIT TIME SERVED	OBTS Number	5601226235
Mitigated			0001220200
Corrected			
Defendant CARLOS GIL	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
	SENTENC	E	
	(As to Count 4	1)	*
been adjudicated guilty, and the	nally before this Court, accompanie Court having given the Defendant a low cause why the Defense should r	n opportunity to b	
and the Court havi	ng on deferred imposition of	f sentence until th	is date.
and the Court havin	ng previously entered a judgment ir	this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
and the Court having revoked the Defen	ng placed the Defendant on	and	having subsequently
It Is The Sentence Of Cou	irt that:		
required on 938.04, Florida Statu	pursuant to section 775.08: ites. ommitted to the custody of the D		
The Defendant is hereby con	mmitted to the custody of the Sheri	ff of St. Lucie Co	unty Florida.
The state of the s	as a youthful offender in accordance		
To Be Imprisoned (check one;	unmarked sections are inapplicat	ole.):	
For a term of Natural Life.			
For a term of Natural Life w	vith a 25 year mandatory minimum		
X For a term of 25.00 YEA	R(S)		
	NDED for a period of subj	ect to conditions	set forth in this Order.
If 'split' sentence complete the appropriate Paragraph.			nity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth
			nder the supervision of the Department ns of supervision as set forth in a
		he Defendant will artment of Correc	
In the event the Defendant is ord before the Defendant begins serv	lered to serve additional, split sente vice of the supervision terms.	nces, all incarcera	tion portions shall be satisfied

Page 7 of 13

562016CF003434AXXXXX

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 4)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Drug Trafficking		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$, pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.
Law Enforcement		It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.
Habitual Felony Offender	-	The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes.
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	X	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 2.154 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October I, 1989, and December 31, 1993)
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.
		_ The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.
	г 9	t is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following elease from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)
Consecutive/ Concurrent		It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run with the sentence set forth in count of this case.

Page 8 of 13

Violation of Probation, Previo	ously Adjudged Guilty		
Violation of Community Con-	trol, Previously Adjudged Guilty		
Resentenced		Case Number	562016CF003434AXXXXX
Modified		Case Number	302010010004047000
X Amended TO REFLECT 21 Mitigated Corrected	54 DAYS CREDIT TIME SERVED	OBTS Number	5601226236
	BERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ		
	SENTENC	CE.	
	(As to Count 6)	
been adjudicated guilty, and the omitigation of sentence, and to she being shown	Court having given the Defendant a low cause why the Defense should n	n opportunity to b	s provided by law, and no cause
and the Court havi	ng on deferred imposition of	sentence until thi	s date.
and the Court havin	ng previously entered a judgment in	this case on	now resentence the Defendant.
	ng placed the Defendant on	and 1	having subsequently
It Is The Sentence Of Cou	ırt that:		
The defendant pay a fine of required on 938.04, Florida Statu	pursuant to section 775.082	3, Florida Statutes	, plus as the 5% surcharge
The Defendant is hereby co	mmitted to the custody of the Depa	rtment of Correcti	ons.
X The Defendant is hereby c	ommitted to the custody of the Sh	eriff of St. Lucie	County Florida.
The Defendant is sentenced	as a youthful offender in accordance	e with section 95	8.04, Florida Statutes.
To Be Imprisoned (check one;	unmarked sections are inapplicat	ole.):	
For a term of Natural Life.	rith a 25 year mandatory minimum		
X For a term of 1.00 YEAR			
	NDED for a period of subj	ect to conditions s	et forth in this Order.
If 'split' sentence complete the appropriate Paragraph.			ity Control under the supervision of the and conditions of supervision as set forth
	Followed by a period of of Corrections according to the te separate order.		der the supervision of the Department as of supervision as set forth in a
		he Defendant will artment of Correct	-
In the event the Defendant is ord before the Defendant begins serv	ered to serve additional, split senter	nces, all incarcera	tion portions shall be satisfied

Page 11 of 13

562016CF003434AXXXXX

SPECIAL PROVISIONS (As to Count 6)

By appropriate notation, the following provisions apply to the sentence imposed Mandatory/ Minimum Provisions:

Firearm		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 775.087, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Drug Trafficking		It is further ordered that the minimum imprisonment provisions of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this court, and that the Defendant pay a fine of \$, pursuant to section 893.135, Florida Statutes, plus \$ as a 5% surcharge.
Law Enforcement		It is further ordered that the minimum mandatory imprisonment provision of section 784.07, Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Controlled Substance Within 1,000 Feet of School		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum imprisonment provision of section 893.13(1)(c), Florida Statutes, is hereby imposed for the sentence in this count.
Habitual Felony Offender		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(a), Florida Statutes. The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Violent Felony		The Defendant is adjudicated a habitual violent felony offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of sections 775.084(4)(b), Florida Statutes. A minimum term of year(s) must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court are set forth in a separate order as stated on the record in open court.
Violent Career Criminal		The Defendant is adjudicated a violent career criminal and has been sentenced to an extended term in accordance with the provisions of section 775.084(4)(d), Florida Statutes, A minimum of must be served prior to release. The requisite findings of the Court as set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. (For crimes committed on or after May 24, 1997.)
Capital Offense		It is further that the Defendant shall serve no less than 25 years in accordance with provisions of section 775.082(1), Florida Statutes. (For first degree murder committed prior to May 25, 1994, and for any other capital felony committed prior to October 1, 1995.)
Prison Releasee		Defendant is adjudged a prison releasee reoffender in accordance with the provision of section 775.082(9), FL Statutes.
Sexual Predator		Defendant is adjudged a sexual predator in accordance with provision of section 775.21, Florida Statutes.
Other Provisions: Jail Credit	<u>x</u>	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 2,154 DAY(S) as credit for time incarcerated before imposition of this sentence.
Credit for Time Served in Resentencing After Violation of Probation or Community Control		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date of arrest as a violator following Release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served and unforfeited gain time previously awarded on case/count (Offenses committed before October 1, 1989)
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed days time served between date or arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Correction shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served on case/count (Offenses committed between October 1, 1989, and December 31, 1993)
		The Court deems the unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count forfeited under section 948.06(6), Florida Statutes.
		The Court allows unforfeited gain time previously awarded on the above case/count. (Gain time may be subject to forfeiture by the Department of Corrections under section 944.28(1)), Florida Statutes.
		It is further ordered that the Defendant be allowed time served between date of arrest as a violator following release from prison to the date of resentencing. The Department of Corrections shall apply original jail time credit and shall compute and apply credit for time served only pursuant to section 921.0017, Florida Statutes, on case/ count. (Offenses committed on or after January 1, 1994)
Consecutive/ Concurrent	<u>x</u>	It is further ordered that the sentence imposed for this count shall run CONCURRENT with the sentence set forth in count 4 of this case.
1 - To Och an Carrier		

Page 12 of 13

Violation of Probation, Previously Adjudged Guilty Violation of Community Control, Previously Adjudged Guilty Resentenced Modified X Amended TO REFLECT 2154 DAYS CREDIT TIME SERVED Mitigated Corrected Case Number: 562016CF003434AXXXXX
Defendant: CARLOS GILBERT ARELLANO-RAMIREZ
Other provisions, continued:
Consecutive/Concurrent To Other Convictions
It is further ordered that the composite term of all sentences imposed for the counts specified in this order will run (check one) [] Consecutive To [] Concurrent To
Concurrent with the following:
(check one)
any active sentence being served. specific sentences:
In the event the above sentence is to the Department of Corrections, the Sheriff of St. Lucie County, Florida, is hereby ordered and directed to deliver the defendant to the Department of Corrections and the facility designated by the department together with a copy of this Judgment and Sentence and any other documents specified by Florida Statute. The Defendant in open court was advised of the right to appeal from this Sentence by filing notice of appeal within 30 days from this date with the Clerk of this Court and the Defendant's right to the assistance of counsel in taking the appeal at the expense of the state upon a showing of indigency.
In imposing the above sentence, the Court further recommends / orders
DOUBLE OF Local Country Plants on August 0 2002
DONE in St. Lucie County, Florida, on August, 9 2022.
Nunc Pro Tune to: 01/06/2022
Circuit/County Judge WILLIAM L ROBY

Page 13 of 13