

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. 1651a Extraordinary  
Relief and 2241 Habeas Corpus Relief

Appendix "One"

- |                                                                                                                                         | <u>PAGES</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Matthew Bender Master Agreement<br>Permitted Uses of Material .....                                                                  | 1.           |
| 2. Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 902<br>Evidence that is Self Authenticating<br>Certified Copies of Public Records .....               | 2-3          |
| 3. Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures of<br>the United States Code Service that<br>was violated .....                                 | 4-8          |
| 4. Complaint that was " <u>NOT</u> " filed on<br>the behalf of the Misconduct .....                                                     | 12-13        |
| 5. The Judicial Rules of the Code of<br>Conduct that was violated .....                                                                 | 16-22        |
| 6. <u>The Evidence of the Violation</u> .....                                                                                           | 23-31        |
| 7. The Supporting Case law from this<br>Court decisions .....                                                                           | 32-71        |
| *8. The Evidence of <u>Misprison of a</u><br><u>felony</u> and being denied access<br>to the courts to " <u>present a claim</u> " ..... | 72-101       |
| 9. Supporting case law .....                                                                                                            | 102-107      |

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1651a Extraordinary  
Relief and 2241 Habeas Corpus Relief

Appendix "Three"

Page(s)

- 1.) Coversheet to Judgment and Mandate .. 1-2
- 2.) Judgment 3
- 3.) Mandate 4
- 4.) Coversheet 5
- 5.) Correspondence of the re-Assigning Motion for Permission to file a successive habeas of the United States Court of Appeals 6-7
- 6.) Proposed Notice of Docket Activity for the Reply and Supplemental Reply to Response. 8-9
- 7.) Attachment that was presented to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals AS ANTI-TERRORISM and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 - Newly discovered evidence - "which was silenced"... 10-83
- 8.) The supported material facts that the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals is what another petitioner is being denied access to the courts to present a viable claim. 84-89
- 9.) Memorandum opinion and order .... 90-92

\* Appendix Three is the "fruit of invasion of Constitutional Rights" -

\* Brief for Respondent - Joint Appendix \*

\* Pages 11-92 is reason for Rule 20.4(1) \*

RECEIVED

JUL 12 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

United States Court of Appeals  
*For The Eighth Circuit*  
Thomas F. Eagleton U.S. Courthouse  
111 South 10th Street, Room 24.329  
St. Louis, Missouri 63102

2

Michael E. Gans  
Clerk of Court

VOICE (314) 244-2400  
FAX (314) 244-2780  
www.ca8.uscourts.gov

April 11, 2022

Mr. Reginald L. Shumpert  
U.S. PENITENTIARY FLORENCE HIGH  
45833-044  
P.O. Box 7000  
Florence, CO 81226-7000

RE: 22-1322 Reginald Shumpert v. United States

Dear Mr. Shumpert:

Enclosed is a copy of the dispositive order entered today in the referenced case.

Please review Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and the Eighth Circuit Rules on post-submission procedure to ensure that any contemplated filing is timely and in compliance with the rules. Note particularly that petitions for rehearing must be received by the clerk's office within the time set by FRAP 40 in cases where the United States or an officer or agency thereof is a party (within 45 days of entry of judgment). Counsel-filed petitions must be filed electronically in CM/ECF. Paper copies are not required. Pro se petitions for rehearing are not afforded a grace period for mailing and are subject to being denied if not timely received.

Michael E. Gans  
Clerk of Court

CNL

Enclosure(s)

cc: Mr. Anthony J. Debre  
Mr. Gregory J. Linhares  
Mr. Keith D. Sorrell

District Court/Agency Case Number(s): 1:22-cv-00011-SNLJ

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

3

---

No: 22-1322

---

Reginald L. Shumpert

Petitioner - Appellant

v.

United States of America

Respondent - Appellee

---

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - Cape Girardeau  
(1:22-cv-00011-SNLJ)

---

**JUDGMENT**

Before SHEPHERD, KELLY, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

This appeal comes before the court on appellant's application for a certificate of appealability. The court has carefully reviewed the original file of the district court, and the application for a certificate of appealability is denied. The appeal is dismissed.

★ The motion to recuse impartiality of judge is denied. ★

April 11, 2022

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

---

/s/ Michael E. Gans

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

4

No: 22-1322

Reginald L. Shumpert

Appellant

v.

United States of America

Appellee

---

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - Cape Girardeau  
(1:22-cv-00011-SNLJ)

---

**MANDATE**

In accordance with the judgment of 04/11/2022, and pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(a), the formal mandate is hereby issued in the above-styled matter.

June 03, 2022

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**