23-5543 ORIGINAL

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATE\$

SFP 0 2 2023 OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Dr. AHMAD J. ALJINDI,

Petitioner,

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Dr. AHMAD J. ALJINDI PO Box 60753 Irvine, CA 92602 Cell: 951-742-9773

Email: Dr.Ahmad.Aljindi@outlook.com Pro Se Litigant

QUESTION PRESENTED

- 1. Did the United States Federal Government (FG) violate the United States Constitution and the Charter of the United Nations (UN) when the corrupt Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Chief Judge Kimberly A. Moore called the Petitioner and insulted and called him "F***ing-Muslim" because he exposed her corruption as formally documented in Supreme Court Case # 22-5670 and lower court dockets and filed formal Judicial Misconduct complaints against her and against all other judges in lower courts as she conceded the illegal coordination to obstruct justice and conceded deprivation of rights under color of law as she conceded that she abused the prior 2 appeals 22-1118 & 22-1118 in addition to the third appeal 23-1230 and coordinated secretly and illegally with the corrupt government attorneys (Igor Helman & his corrupt superiors) after those attorneys did the same manipulations in the lower Court before the appeals in the U.S. Court for Federal Claims, and threatened him with retaliation and to fabricate false charges against him if he challenges and exposes the corruption again?
- 2. Did the United States Federal Government (FG) violate the United States Constitution and the Charter of the United Nations (UN) when the radical "alt-right" and corrupt Judge Stephen S. Schwartz escalated the radically extreme hate crimes and the deprivation of rights under color of law and retaliated because I exposed his wrongdoing in Supreme Court Case # 21-6181 and 22-5670 and committed a formally documented FORGERY CRIME in Case # 1:21-cv-01295-SSS after the remand as he intentionally altered and changed the Motion to Disqualify him to a so-called Motion to Transfer case and passed it to the Chief Judge to deny it illegally and to cover his formally documented hate crimes?
- 3. Did the United States Federal Government (FG) violate the United States Constitution and the Charter of the United Nations (UN) when the "so-called" Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States abused the prior Supreme Court Cases 19-7708, 21-6181, 22-5670, and will abuse this Supreme Court Case as well because it challenges and exposes their formally documented and exposed corruption?
- 4. Did the United States Federal Government (FG) violate the United States Constitution and the Charter of the United Nations (UN) when the "so-called" judicial branch is granting Constitutional Relief for people suing because a hot piece of chicken nugget burned the skin of a little girl, the size of the portion of the beef patty of Burger King's Sandwich is not like the picture, in addition to too many other frivolous lawsuit cases but not serious cases that exposes the scandalous corruption in the judicial branch and its declined integrity that impacts the American People and the United States?
- 5. Did the United States Federal Government (FG) violate the United States Constitution and the Charter of the United Nations (UN) when the "so-called"

Department of Justice (DOJ) is suing for employment discrimination violations by Tesla against refugees and non-citizens applicants for employment while the same DOJ is obstructing justice intentionally and maliciously since 2018 and abused the 17 related cases listed below because they want to steal the \$65.4 Million Constitutional Relief from the United States Citizen Petitioner for the same cause of action because the DOJ and other Federal Agencies are Defendants?

LIST OF PARTIES

[X] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Ahmad Jamaleddin Aljindi, Petitioner v. United States, et al, No. 22-5670, Supreme Court of the United States. Petition Denied 11/14/2022.

Ahmad Jamaleddin Aljindi, Petitioner v. United States, et al, No. 21-6181, Supreme Court of the United States. Petition Denied 12/06/2021.

Ahmad Jamaleddin Aljindi, Petitioner v. United States, et al, No. 19-7708, Supreme Court of the United States. Petition Denied 04/06/2020. Rehearing Denied 06/01/2020.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 1:21-cv-01295-SSS, United States Court of Federal Claims. Order entered 10/15/2021. Judgment entered 10/15/2021. Second Order entered 11/28/2022. Judgment entered 11/30/2022.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 23-1230, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Appeal filed 12/01/2022. Order entered 04/05/2023. Judgment entered 04/05/2023. Rehearing Denied 06/08/2023. Mandate issued 06/15/2023.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 22-1117, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Appeal filed 10/27/2021. Order entered 05/10/2022. Judgment entered 05/10/2022. Rehearing Denied 06/21/2022. Mandate issued 07/01/2022.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 1:21-cv-01578-DAT, United States Court of Federal Claims. Order entered 08/30/2021. Judgment entered 08/30/2021.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 22-1118, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Appeal filed 10/27/2021. Order entered 12/29/2021. Judgment entered 12/29/2021. Mandate issued 12/29/2021.

Dr. Ahmad J. Aljindi v. United States of America et al, No. 8:18-cv-02301-SJO-JC, United States District Court - Central District of California - Southern Division. Order entered 01/08/2019.

Ahmad Aljindi v. United States of America et al, No. 8:19-cv-01434-DOC-E, United States District Court - Central District of California – Southern Division. Order entered 08/05/2019.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, et al, No. 19-55926, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Order entered 12/31/2019. Mandate issued 01/08/2020.

Dr. Ahmad J. Aljindi v. United States of America et al, No. 8:20-cv-00002-DOC-E, United States District Court - Central District of California – Southern Division. Order entered 01/24/2020.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, et al, No. 20-55111, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Order entered 08/07/2020. Mandate issued 09/29/2020.

Dr. Ahmad J. Aljindi v. United States of America et al, No. 8:20-cv-00796-PSG-DFM, United States District Court - Central District of California – Southern Division. Order entered 07/22/2020.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, et al, No. 20-55688, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Order entered 07/23/2020. Second Order entered 09/25/2020. Mandate issued 10/05/2020.

Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, et al, No. 21-55166, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Order entered 08/16/2021. Mandate issued 10/08/2021.

Dr. Ahmad J. Aljindi Motion for Publication of Records, United States Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court. Filed & Served Federal Government 01/22/2020. Court did not docket the Motion and Federal Government was served but did not answer.

$\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{TABLE OF CONTENTS} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

OPINIONS BEL	.OW	1
JURISDICTION	,	2
CONSTITUTION	NAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT O	OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR	GRANTING THE PETITION	35
CONCLUSION.	***************************************	38
	INDEX TO APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A	Opinion, Judgment, Order Denying Petition for Rehearing,	and
	Mandate of United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	
APPENDIX B	Order and Judgment of United States Court of Federal Claims	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

QUESTIONS PRESENTEDi		
<u>Statutes</u>		
28 U.S.C. § 12571		
Constitutional Provisions		
United States Constitution, Amendment I		
United States Constitution, Amendment V3		
United States Constitution, Amendment VI3		
United States Constitution, Amendment VII3		
United States Constitution, Amendment XIV		

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the order below.

OPINIONS BELOW

$[\underline{\mathbf{X}}]$ For cases from federal courts :		
	The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is	
	[] reported at; or,	
	[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,	
	[\mathbf{X}] is unpublished.	
	The opinion of the United States Court of Federal Claims appears at Appendix B to the petition and is	
	[] reported at; or,	
	[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,	
	[X] is unnublished	

JURISDICTION

[X] For cases from federal courts:

The dates on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my cases was 04/05/2023 and 06/08/2023.

- [] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.
- [X] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 06/08/2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.
- [] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including (date) on (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254 (1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

United States Constitution, Amendment I:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

United States Constitution, Amendment V:

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

United States Constitution, Amendment VI:

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

United States Constitution, Amendment VII:

In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise reexamined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

United States Constitution, Amendment XIV:

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I, the Petitioner, Dr. AHMAD J. ALJINDI the most important Artificial Intelligence (AI) Scientist in the world and the legitimate Chief Scientist at the Office of Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) as formally proven in the formal EEO docket 2019-002 filed in case United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Appeal 22-1117 (ECF 7, page 237 – page 1139) appear as a "*Pro Se*," submitting this Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court in good faith and to the best of my knowledge and ability aiming to defend justice, the best interest of the United States and the American People, and to uphold the United States Constitution.

JUDICIAL COUP AGAINST THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

INTENTIONAL AND SYSTEMIC RETALIATION, HUMAN, CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, ABUSE, TORTURE, RADICALLY EXTREME AND MALICIOUS HATE CRIMES, AND DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW

- *Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 1:21-cv-01295-SSS, United States Court of Federal Claims. Order entered 10/15/2021. Judgment entered 10/15/2021. Second Order entered 11/28/2022. Judgment entered 11/30/2022.
- *Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 23-1230, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Appeal filed 12/01/2022. Order entered 04/05/2023. Judgment entered 04/05/2023. Rehearing Denied 06/08/2023. Mandate issued 06/15/2023.
- *Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 22-1117, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Appeal filed 10/27/2021. Order entered 05/10/2022. Judgment entered 05/10/2022. Rehearing Denied 06/21/2022. Mandate issued 07/01/2022.
- *Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 1:21-cv-01578-DAT, United States Court of Federal Claims. Order entered 08/30/2021. Judgment entered 08/30/2021.
- *Ahmad Aljindi v. USA, No. 22-1118, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Appeal filed 10/27/2021. Order entered 12/29/2021. Judgment entered 12/29/2021. Mandate issued 12/29/2021.

The corrupt Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal

Circuit Chief Judge Kimberly A. Moore called the Petitioner and insulted and called him

"F***ing-Muslim" because he exposed her corruption as formally documented in Supreme Court Case # 22-5670 and lower court dockets and filed formal Judicial Misconduct complaints against her and against all other judges in lower courts as she conceded the illegal coordination to obstruct justice and conceded deprivation of rights under color of law as she conceded that she abused the prior 2 appeals 22-1118 & 22-1118 in addition to the third appeal 23-1230 and coordinated secretly and illegally with the corrupt government attorneys (Igor Helman & his corrupt superiors) after those attorneys did the same manipulations in the lower Court before the appeals in the U.S. Court for Federal Claims, and threatened him with retaliation and to fabricate false charges against him if he challenges and exposes the corruption again.

The radical "alt-right" and corrupt Judge Stephen S. Schwartz escalated the radically extreme hate crimes and the deprivation of rights under color of law and retaliated because I exposed his wrongdoing in Supreme Court Case # 21-6181 and 22-5670 and committed a formally documented FORGERY CRIME in Case # 1:21-cv-01295-SSS after the remand as he intentionally altered and changed the Motion to Disqualify him from the case to a so-called Motion to Transfer case and passed it to the Chief Judge to deny it illegally and to cover his formally documented hate crimes.

As formally documented and evidenced in the Appeal 23-1230 and lawsuit case 1:21-cv-01295-SSS, at the United States Court of Federal Claims. The Fifth Amendment Claims were NEVER considered or decided in the past by ANY COURT EVER. This Court stated that it did not have enough information essentially which means it was not decided according to this Court's own opinion therefore it was vacated in part and remanded to the lower court.

The United States Court of Federal Claims Order on Appeal stated the following in the Order on appeal that confirms that the <u>Fifth Amendment Taking Claim</u> was <u>NOT</u> considered as I did <u>NOT</u> disclaim the <u>Fifth Amendment Taking Claim</u>.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit was aware that the Fifth Amendment Claim was **NEVER** legally considered or decided by the lower Court.

The corrupt Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Chief Judge Kimberly A. Moore conceded the radically extreme and malicious hate crimes and the deprivation of rights under color of law. The Federal Circuit judicial officers that I have filed a Judicial Misconduct complaint against are involved in a BIGGER HATE CRIME which is that the Federal Circuit did NOT actually affirm in part and vacate in part but, in fact, abused in part and vacated in part and remanded to the United States Court of Federal Claims using the same ongoing corrupt pattern since December 2018 to abuse the remaining in part.

The United States Constitution and the Equal Employment Opportunities (EEO) laws prohibit punishing job applicants or employees for asserting their rights to be free from employment discrimination including harassment. Asserting these EEO rights is called "protected activity," and it can take many forms. It is unlawful to retaliate against applicants or employees for:

- Filing or being a witness in an EEO charge, complaint, investigation, or lawsuit.
- Refusing to follow orders that would result in discrimination.

The United States Constitution prohibit the retaliation and the abuse against the victims for filing formal EEO Complaints and lawsuits in Federal Courts demanding justice and the rule of law. Respondent and the involved Federal Agencies in related

abused lawsuit cases practiced intentional Unlawful Discrimination, Retaliation, Abuse, and Corruption for years. Respondent and the involved Federal Agencies are practicing intentional and systemic Retaliation because Petitioner exposed the Judicial Scandals.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Petitioner's religion (Muslim), race (Arab/Middle Eastern), national origin (Syria) was subject for massive human, civil, and EEO rights hate crimes because of his protected classes, statuses and federally protected activities.

* The Judge that abused case 1:21-cv-01295-SSS and abused the case at the United States Court of Federal Claims and by coordinating secretly and illegally with the Judge David A. Tapp and Defendant's Representative Igor Hellman and his corrupt superiors:

Under the formal awareness of the United States Federal Government in its three branches:

- Under the formal awareness of the White House and the President of the United States of America President Joe Biden in his personal and official capacities. See Supreme Court Cases 19-7708, 21-6181, and 22-5670 and appeals 22-1117, 22-1118, and 23-1230.
- Under the formal awareness of the United States Court of Federal Claims, the
 United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and the Supreme Court of
 the United States.
- 3. Under the formal awareness of the Chief Justice John Roberts in his personal and official capacities. See Supreme Court Cases 19-7708, 21-6181, and 22-5670 and appeals 22-1117, 22-1118, and 23-1230.
- 4. Under the formal awareness of the United Stated Department of Justice (DOJ) and the United States Attorney General (AG) Merrick Garland in his personal and

- official capacities. See Supreme Court Cases 19-7708, 21-6181, and 22-5670 and appeals 22-1117, 22-1118, and 23-1230.
- 5. Under the formal awareness of the DOJ's Inspector General (IG) Michael

 Horowitz in his personal and official capacities. See Court of Federal Claims case

 1:21-cv-01295-SSS (ECF 33, 33-1, 33-2, 33-2, and 33-4).
- 6. Under the formal awareness of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and Director of FBI Christopher Wray in his personal and official capacities. See Court of Federal Claims case 1:21-cv-01295-SSS (ECF 33, 33-1, 33-2, 33-2, and 33-4).
- 7. Under the formal awareness of the United States Senators for the State of California Senator Dianne Feinstein and Senator Alex Padilla in their personal and official capacities. See Court of Federal Claims case 1:21-cv-01295-SSS (ECF 33, 33-1, 33-2, 33-2, and 33-4).

I, the aggrieved *Pro Se* Petitioner Dr. AHMAD ALJINDI, United States Citizen, formally declare that the FG is abusing and torturing me to death in my country since December 2018 because I filed lawsuit cases in federal courts to demand the justice, my Constitutional Relief, the rule of law, and my legal human, civil, and Constitutional rights. The FG abused around 17 legitimate lawsuit cases in a blatant judicial COUP against the United States Constitution so they can cover the exposed scandals and radically extreme and malicious hate crimes illegally, arbitrary, and under the color of law because of my protected classes and statuses and to assist the FG to steal my Constitutional Relief that I am entitled for. The DOJ coordinated secretly and illegally since December 2018 with the involved judicial officers to abuse my truthful lawsuit

cases maliciously so the Defendant United States of America and the involved federal agencies can prevail illegally and steal my Constitutional Relief maliciously.

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (FG)

Respondent, the United States of America and all involved [federal agencies (in prior cases 19-7708, 21-6181, and 22-5670 and appeals 22-1117, 22-1118, and 23-1230)] sued in their official capacities. Respondent is acting under color of law because the Respondent is obligated by the United States Constitution to uphold the United States Constitution and to prevent the unlawful discrimination against the protected classes, retaliation under the protected statuses, and because of the negligence on preventing the ongoing wrongdoing occurring against the aggrieved Petitioner which caused him sever harm and damages since December 2018.

These malicious intentional and systemic crimes are occurring inside the federal courts and by public officials and under the formal awareness of the United States leadership and FG in its three branches.

The FG STOLE my Constitutional Relief by abusing my lawsuit cases and by obstructing justice repeatedly as I have demanded a fair and unbiased Jury Trial since December 2018 in the United States District Court – Central District of California. However, the FG knew that I should win, and the involved public officials and federal agencies are GUILTY. Therefore, they decided to cover the public corruption in a blatant challenge to the United States Constitution and in an extremely malicious, rude, and unethical manner exactly like the Banana Republics in the third world countries. See Supreme Court Cases 19-7708, 21-6181, and 22-5670 and appeals 22-1117, 22-1118, and 23-1230 for the formally proven scandals which the FG conceded but the Supreme Court

covered because the involved corrupt public official are kings and above the law while I am only a proud Muslim American Patriot standing up for the corruption on behalf of the American People.

These malicious intentional and systemic crimes are occurring inside the federal courts and by public officials and under the formal awareness of the United States leadership and FG in its three branches.

It has been confirmed repeatedly that the involved public officials are GUILTY, and the involved judicial officers are practicing a straight and direct deprivation of law under color of law. They are trying to falsely act that they are doing their jobs, but the truth is they are only abusing justice, covering the formally proven corruption, and assisting the FG to steal the remaining of my Constitutional Relief.

The AG, DOJ's IG, FBI's Director, and Supreme Court's Chief Justice are formally accountable about the intentional crimes. I formally demand their resignations for their failure in their missions and for their failure to uphold the United States Constitution.

The involved corrupt public officials and judicial officers as formally proven, documented, and exposed are in CONTEMPT of our Courts!

WE THE AMERICAN PEOPLE!

Petitioner have suffered for years, currently suffering, and will continue to suffer for substantial injury because of the Respondent' intentional and systematic violations against the United States Constitution.

Since December 2018, ALL Federal Courts without any exceptions Granted ALL illegal demands submitted by the FG illegally to obstruct justice and Denied ALL

legitimate and truthful demands submitted by the aggrieved Petitioner so they can steal his Constitutional Relief and win illegally. I am the most important AI Scientist in the world. I challenged Respondent if they dare to allow for the requested Jury Trial to occur in the District Court and to allow the respectful jurors to witness the scientific challenge and to decide the verdict of the case. However, Respondent are fully aware that they will lose 100%. That's why their wrongdoing is still ongoing since December 2018, and they are refusing to allow for any Jury Trial to occur. They just want to steal my Constitutional Relief and win illegally to cover the corruption just like Banana Republics. Pure and straight corruption. I formally declare that I am being abused and tortured to death inside the federal courts and by the FG and judicial officers. I formally declare that Respondent stole my Constitutional Relief that I am entitled for and won illegally.

The FG abused around 17 lawsuit cases since December 2018 using the same malicious and corrupt pattern. The FG abused thousands of employment applications submitted by the aggrieved *Pro Se* Plaintiff. The FG abused 42 formal EEO complaints during the investigations level and during the litigation level inside federal courts. The FG abused around 17 lawsuit cases by obstructing justice and covering the formally proven and evidenced public corruption and by filing identical Motions to Dismiss repeatedly using identical and similar malicious FRAUD and illegal and unconstitutional arguments and illegitimate courts orders. The FG obstructed justice so the formally requested Jury Trial do not occur because the FG is completely aware that a fair and unbiased Jury Trial will hold the FG and the involved corrupt public officials accountable, and the aggrieved *Pro Se* Plaintiff will win and prevail according to justice and the United States Constitution. The FG is violating the law intentionally and

maliciously inside federal courts and is abusing the aggrieved *Pro Se* Plaintiff intentionally and systemically. The FG is abusing and torturing me and violating my human and civil rights intentionally and systemically because I am standing up for the public corruption.

There is NO legitimacy for the wrongdoing and the illegitimate and unconstitutional court orders. These illegitimate and malicious order are NOT judicial in nature and hold the involved judicial officers, public officials, and the AG, DOJ's IG, FBI's Director, and Supreme Court's Chief Justice accountable in their personal and official capacities.

Hence, the aggrieved Petitioner is entitled for his illegally stolen \$65.4 Million Constitutional Relief so he can survive and recover from the intentional harm and damages caused to him by the FG.

Therefore, and based on the facts presented above, the aggrieved Petitioner is demanding his stolen Constitutional Relief immediately for the best interest of justice.

The Petitioner has suffered, still suffering, and will continue to suffer due to the ongoing injustice and the intentional and systematic obstruction of justice and because of the wrongdoing, violations, and hate crimes.

The United States Constitution prohibit the intentional and systematic violations and crimes against the citizens Bill of Rights. The United States Constitution prohibit the unlawful discrimination, retaliation, negligence and tort, and intellectual property copyrights laws violations in addition to all wrongdoing formally documented and evidenced in the related cases and EEO dockets.

No public official alive today is better or more loyal than <u>Thomas Jefferson</u> who stated: "<u>The two enemies of the people are criminals and government</u>, so let us tie the second down with the chains of the Constitution so the second will not become the <u>legalized version of the first</u>." Thomas Jefferson

"When injustice becomes law, resistance becomes duty." Thomas Jefferson

Therefore, according to the United States Constitution and the facts presented above including the submitted evidence in this case and ALL related cases, Respondent malicious litigation is illegitimate and unconstitutional in its entirety and the aggrieved Petitioner is entitled for his \$65.4 Million Constitutional Relief. This is according and based on the United States Constitution and justice.

IT IS SO ORDERED BY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. Because by denying this Petition the Supreme Court's "so-called" Justices are violating the United States Constitution intentionally, systemically, and maliciously again and for the fourth time. The United States Constitution prohibit the formally proven, evidenced, and exposed scandals and radically extreme and malicious hate crimes formally documented in all related cases above.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

DATED this 02nd day of September 2023.

Submitted by,

By: Dr. ARMAD ALGINDO -

Dr. AHMAD J. ALJINDI

PO Box 60753 Irvine, CA 92602

Cell: 951-742-9773

Email: Dr.Ahmad.Aljindi@outlook.com

Pro Se Litigant