

JUL 26 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 22-3224

23-5518

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Wm Gamble PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

USA, — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals NY.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Wm Gamble
(Your Name)

68 Sullivan St
(Address)

Rochester, New York
(City, State, Zip Code)

585-867-2355
(Phone Number)

585-470-4136

14605
ORIGINAL

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. 8th Amendment Violation?
Constitution Protection for AMERICANS
CITIZENS.
2. Due Process, the Right to fair
treatment & equal protection.

93

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

DAVID G. LANIER, District Judge
United States of America,

RELATED CASES

1. Willie Gamble vs - U.S. A., 388 F2 12
2. United States of AMERICAN

John ^{VS} Son
Supreme Court.

10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	Justice is Service	1
JURISDICTION	USA, VS HARRIS - Supreme Court	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	8th - Amendment	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	Violation, Due Process, Violations	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	Justice, Equal Protection	
CONCLUSION	All legal matters be resolved according to established rules & principles.	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

1-	APPENDIX A	8th Amendment Violations
2-	APPENDIX B	DUE PROCESS RIGHTS VIOLATED
3-	APPENDIX C	Equal Protection, Constitution
4-	APPENDIX D	Constitutions protected all American citizens.
5-	APPENDIX E	District Court Denied. Motion: Refusing to issue Certificate of Appealability.
6-	APPENDIX F	+ United States Court of Appeals, Denied motions. Appellant was not in custody when motions were filed.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Willie Gamble vs U.S.A.
Johnson vs United States of American

STATUTES AND RULES

922 E. ACCA

OTHER

U.S.A. vs. Johnson
U.S.A. v. Gamble et al

12

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix 5 to the petition and is

☐ reported at N.A.; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix 5 to the petition and is

☐ reported at N.A.; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix 6 to the petition and is

☐ reported at N.A.; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the N.A. court appears at Appendix to the petition and is

☐ reported at ; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

13

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 25 2023.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A N.A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N.A..
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____ and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N.A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A N.A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1.A. 8th Amendments to the United States Constitution, protect All-American Citizens, Prohibits the Imposition of Excessive — Punishment.

And that All Statutory Provisions Involved Be Applied by Law with Respect,

its ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE That All MISFEASANCE of Justice ARE SURFACE AND — CORRECTED,

8th Amendment Violations
CRUEL & UNUSUAL Punishments

10 7A,
Provide a statement that identifies the relevant facts and makes a showing of likely merit as to each issue you intend to present on appeal. See Local Rule 24.1.

Of the Eight Amendment to the United States Constitution, prohibits, CRUEL AND UNUSUALLY Punishment, This Amendment prohibits The federal Government from Imposing Unduly HARSH PENALTIES - ON CRIMINALS DEFENDANTS.

SEE, United States of America vs - Willie Gamble, APPELLANT, 388 F3d 74, 2nd Circuit 2004. Gamble WAS sentenced to A statute THAT DOES or did NOT Apply to Him. 922, E.A.R.C.A. 18 YEAR SENTENCE.

16
Provide a statement that identifies the relevant facts and makes a showing of likely merit as to each issue you intend to present on appeal. See Local Rule 24.1

DUE PROCESS CLAUSES is found in
Both the fifth and the fourteenth
AMENDMENTS to the United States
Constitution, which prohibits the
DEPRIVATION of LIFE, LIBERTY,
or PROPERTY, by the federal and
STATE GOVERNMENT, the United States
SUPREME COURT INTERPRETS these
CLAUSES to GUARANTEE A VARIETY
of PROTECTION, THE BILL OF RIGHTS,
ETC, ETC,

11
2.B.

14

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS
THE RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL
AND EQUAL PROTECTION.

PLAINTIFF CONVICTED JUNE 30 2003. APPEALED
HIS CONVICTION. TWICE DENIED. STATE,
AND FEDERAL.

KNOW ASKED THIS COURT TO REVIEW
THE MISCARriage of Justice, 8th Amendment
AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION.

GAMBLE 2L AS SENTENCE AS A ARMED
CAREER CRIMINAL A.C.C.A. 922 G.

WHICH IS A STATUTE THAT DOES NOT
APPLY TO GAMBLE.

GAMBLE WAS GIVEN 18 YEARS
USA. VS. GAMBLE

UNCONSTITUTIONAL
BY LAW.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Gamble is and NEVER WAS AN A.C.C.A. 922E.
Gamble WAS CHARGED WITH A SIMPLY
POSSESSION CHARGE. THAT ENDED UP AT
THE FEDERAL LEVEL, AND UNJUSTLY
DEALT WITH BY THE COURTS.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS JOHNSON
WAS MY PLEA FROM THE DAY OF
CONVICTION UNTIL NOW.

AS THE SUPREME COURT NOTED - HARRIS,
AS ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE THAT MISDEAMOR
OF JUSTICES ARE CORRECTED.

A.C.C.A. DOES NOT
APPLY TO DEFENDANT *Phy.*

9
14

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted

William F. Hamble

Date:

8/25/2023