


23-5493 ORIGINAL
No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Brenda M Johnson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
Catholic Community Services , et., al. Attached defendants
United States, — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATE NINTH CIRCUIT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Brenda M Johnson
(Your Name) 25600 Lake Wilderness Country Club Dr SE
Maple Valley Wa 98038
Mailing address: 425 South Tacoma

(Address)

Tacoma Washington 98405

(City, State, Zip Code)

253-650-0498 or 253-425-428-8743
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Is Brenda M Johnson an employee under WSDOT for protective activity clause 6 USC 1142 ; 6 USC 1131 (5) Bankruptcy chapter 7 11 USC 524: Effect of discharge, Article I, Section 8, Clause 4:
2. Was she treated differently for under protective Activity under State and Federal laws?(Such as pay and benefit: discharge of debt , housing, property rights ,fair credit and personal injuries obtained)
3. The orders /Judgement were they legally bound by refusing to sign?
4. Did the defendants make a appearance and defend the allegations?
5. Was Brenda M Johnson demoted from FTA and Electronic Transaction Consultants Corporation due to retaliation for reporting fiancé, and safety and health issues within the organization?
6. Was Brenda M Johnson treated indifferent by the standards of laws in her discrimination claim and seized property?
7. Was Brenda M Johnson blacklisted in employment which violations of occurred denial 1 st amendment right du process of the law, enforcement, bill of rights , 13 amendment Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude,
8. Was Brenda M Johnson falsely imprisoned and held captive in 2016 and 2022
9. Was Brenda M Johnson second amendment right violated?
10. Was an abuse of Authority obstruction of justice and waste of government funding violated against Brenda M Johnson violation of humanity rights?
11. Did the defendants deny Brenda M Johnson her seventh amendment right of the Constitution?
12. Is Brenda M Johnson a frontline employee for WSDOT which works in the Judiciary Department?
13. Lower pay than colleges
14. Did the court give Ms. Brenda M. Johnson a trial by Jury?
15. When the Parties Did not appear & Defendant called Defendants/Appellees Was a Entry of Default entered and assets Placed a lien on under Rule 35(a)?

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Melissa Moss
 Director represented by Melissa Moss
 RO SE
 nited States
 lerrick B United States Gardland
 efendant
 ina Ekstrom
 ivision of Child Support Enforcement
 lbertsons Companies
 aul Fernandez
 efendant

ris Bauer United Food and Commercial Workers Local 367
 efendant
 rimnick Construction Company Inc
 efendant
 lectronic Transaction Consultants Corporation
 efendant
 indy Roberson
 efendant
 3-35233
 arry Lee Rembert
 efendant
 /almart
 ankcorp
 efendant
 afeway
 efendant
 ashington Department of Transportation
 efendant
 ioneer Human Services
 efendantPierce County
 efendant
 acoma Police
 efendant(s)
 merald Queen Casino
 enneth Johnson and Rennette Johnson
 n-site, Westside Estates
 nemployment

RELATED CASES

23-2-09483-2/23-2-09481-6
 King County Court of Appeals
 Pierce County Superior court
 JOHNSON, BRENDA 99-3-02048-0 PET BRENDA MARIE REMBERT
 TERRY LEE REMBERT 06/30/99
 Bankruptcy discharged 15-41795-bdl
 15-35959 ninth circuit court of appeals
 son v. Catholic Community Services, et al (0:20-cv-35473), Ninth
 Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals, Filed: 05/29/2020 – PacerMonitor ...
 Brenda JoChnson v. Catholic Community Services, et al 23-35233
 United States District Court of Western Washington
 Johnson v. Catholic Community Services et al (3:19-cv-05316),
 Washington
 Pierce County Superior court
 JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12645-5 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS.
 DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT 09/23/14
 JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12697-8 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS.
 ELECTRONIC TRANSACTION CONSULTANTS 09/24/14
 JOHNSON, BRENDA MARIE 13-2-01827-1 PET BRENDA MARIE
 JOHNSON vs. TERRY REMBERT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

23-2-09483-2/23-2-09481-6
King County Court of Appeals
Pierce County Superior court
JOHNSON, BRENDA 99-3-02048-0 PET BRENDA MARIE REMBERT & TERRY LEE REMBERT 06/30/99
Bankruptcy discharged 15-41795-bdl
15-35959 ninth circuit court of appeals
Brenda Johnson v. Catholic Community Services, et al (0:20-cv-35473), Ninth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals, Filed: 05/29/2020 – PacerMonitor ...
Brenda Johnson v. Catholic Community Services, et al 23-35233
United States District Court of Western Washington
Johnson v. Catholic Community Services et al (3:19-cv-05316), Washington
Pierce County Superior court
JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12645-5 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS. DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT 09/23/14
JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12697-8 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS. ELECTRONIC TRANSACTION CONSULTANTS 09/24/14
JOHNSON, BRENDA MARIE 13-2-01827-1 PET BRENDA MARIE JOHNSON vs. TERRY REMBERT

STATUTES AND RULES

6 usc 1142(A)(1)(a),(b),(c)(3). 6 usc 1131(4)

5 usc 2303 (2)(a)(v). 11 USC 362

Injunction

Title VIII of the Act 42 USC Ch. 45 , 25 CFR § 11.404 - False imprisonment.

12 CFR Part 1002 - Equal Credit Opportunity Act (Regulation B)

18 U.S. Code § 1519 RCW 9A.08.010

"Injury" means a sudden and tangible happening, of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result, and occurring from without, and such physical conditions as result therefrom.

Title 15 - COMMERCE AND TRADE

CHAPTER 47 - CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY

Sec. 2069 - Civil penalties RCW 9A.40.040: Unlawful imprisonment. - WA.gov

OTHER

Jump to essay: See, e.g., *Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v Radford*, 295 U.S. 555, 589 (1935) (The bankruptcy power, like the other great substantive powers of Congress, is subject to the Fifth Amendment); see also *Northern Pipeline Const. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.*, 458 U.S. 50, 72-73 (1982) (plurality) (explaining that when the requirements of Article II of the Constitution are applicable, Congress's Article I legislative powers—including the Bankruptcy Clause—are controlled by Article II).

Jump to essay: *Louisville Bank v Radford*, 295 U.S. 555, 602 (1935); see *Wright v Union Cent. Life Ins. Co.*, 304 U.S. 592, 598 (1938).

Jump to essay: *In re Klein*, 42 U.S. (1 How.) 277 (1843); *Hanover National Bank v. Moyses*, 186 U.S. 181, 188 (1902). For information on the Contract Clause, U.S. Const. art. I, §10, cl. 1, see Art. I, §10 Cl. 1 Overview of Contract Clause.

Jump to essay: *Shashon v. Camp on City Dist.*, 298 U.S. 513, 520 (1936).

Jump to essay: *United States v. Belins*, 304 U.S. 27, 31-32 (1938); see *Puerto Rico v. Franklin Cal. Tax-Free Trust*, 579 U.S. 115, 122 (2016) (Critical to the Court's constitutional analysis [in *Belins*] was that the State had first authorized its instrumentality to seek relief under the federal bankruptcy laws).

Jump to essay: U.S. Const. art. I, §8, cl. 4 (Congress is empowered "[t]o establish . . . uniform Laws on the subject of Bankruptcies throughout the United States") (emphasis added); see *Peretz v. Campbell*, 402 U.S. 637, 656 (1971) (explaining that to legislate in such a way that a discharge in bankruptcy means one thing in the District of Columbia and something else in the States—depending on state law—[would be to reach] a result explicitly prohibited by the uniformity requirement in the constitutional authorization to Congress to enact bankruptcy legislation).

Jump to essay: *Hanover Nat'l Bank v. Moyses*, 186 U.S. 181, 189 (1902). Personal uniformity is the principle—rejected by the Supreme Court—that the bankruptcy laws should apply identically to individual debtors, regardless of the state or locality in which the debtor resides. *Schultz v. United States*, 529 F.3d 343, 350-51 (6th Cir. 2008).

Jump to essay: *Shashon v. Camp*, 298 U.S. 513, 519 (1936); *Hanover National Bank v. Moyses*, 186 U.S. 181, 189 (1902); see *Wright v Union Branch of Mountain Trust Bank of Roanoke, Va.*, 300 U.S. 440, 463 n.7 (1937) (The problem dealt with may present significant variations in different parts of the country).

Jump to essay: *108 Blatchette v. Connecticut General Ins. Corporations (Railroad Reorganization Act Cases)*, 419 U.S. 102, 109 (1974).

Jump to essay: *11 Id.* at 109-61.

Jump to essay: *129 S. 457*.

Jump to essay: *Id.* at 470; cf. *Waller v. Palmer*, 310 U.S. 132, 137 (1940) (Railroad reorganization in bankruptcy is a federal complicity within the ambit of the bankruptcy powers of Congress).

Jump to essay: *14 See* U.S. Const. art. III.

Jump to essay: *15 For* information on Congress's power to establish non-Article III courts, see Art. III, §1 Overview of Congressional Power to Establish Non-Article III Courts.

Jump to essay: *16 42 U.S.C. § 4044*.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at UNITED STATE NINTH CIRCUIT San Francisco dkt 120; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at Superior Court of Appeals king County WA 85405-4 case; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 06/09/2023.

☐] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 06/08/2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Title VIII of the Act

5 U.S. Code § 2302 - Prohibited personnel practices

6 usc 1142(A)(1)(b) ,(c)(3). 6 usc 1131(4)

5 usc 2303

5 usc 55(b)(2) ,31 U.S.C. §§ 3729, ,

29 CFR 18.10 A 40 usc 2000e women, age 56, disabled

1 st amendment right -

Rule 55 Default, Default Judgment

(a) Entering a Default. When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default.

(b) Entering a Default Judgment.

2nd amendment right ⁽¹⁾

4th amendment right, 5 th Amendment right

7th amendment Constitutional rights violation

Seventh Amendment right to jury trial in bankruptcy cases).

10th amendment constitutional rights bill of rights violation

RCW 51.08.100

"Injury."

"Injury" means a sudden and tangible happening, of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result, an occurring from without, and such physical conditions as result therefrom.

Article I, Section 8, Clause 4:

11 USC §524. Effect of discharge

(a) A discharge in a case under this title-

(1) voids any judgment at any time obtained, to the extent that such judgment is a determination of the personal liability of the debtor with respect to any debt discharged under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of this title, whether or not discharge of such debt is waived;

(2) operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process or an act, to collect, recover or offset any such debt as a personal liability of the debtor, whether or not discharge of such debt is waived; and

(3) operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process or an act, to collect or recover from, or offset against, property of the debtor of the kind specified in section 541(a)(2) of this title that is acquired after the commencement of the case, on account of any allowable community claim except a community claim that is excepted from discharge under section 523, 1228(a)(1), or 1328(a)(1) 1 of this title or that would be so excepted, determined in accordance with the provisions of sections 523(c) and 523(d) of this title, in a case concerning the debtor's spouse commenced on the date of the filing of the petition in the case concerning the debtor, whether or not discharge of the debt based on such community claim is waived.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The non-compliance of the law s upon the land of the United States of America has cause damages against Brenda M Johnson and willful action of negligence . The different treatment by Brenda M Johnson being a woman, African American and other decent person of color, over 55 years old with a known history of disability by the attacks for reporting health and safety violations, financial embezzlement /or theft has been held captive and imprisonment without charges in which a injunction was placed by the bankruptcy court. Back wages never paid.

Brenda M Johnson was not reinstated onve complaint was filed and has suffered wages disparity, threats, the taking of liberty and prosperity by the non-compliance of law enforcement. . Humanity right violations for the standard of living conditions were denied and not taken into consideration which caused a spread of rodents, flies in commercial settings, throughout th City of Tacoma Washington State and structure of building were not within the codes of safety some had black molding causing health issues, kicking people out of apartment or doorms without a cause without addressing or fixing issues creating a epidemic in Washington State , placing hands on people called attacking with uniforms called security guard or known at all. Reporting actions of police officer not performing duty and watching the security guard take hand cuffs off of Brenda M Johnson without actions to place the parties under arrest. Brenda M Johnson was injured and stayed wit an attorney named Christy Tucker. She is also a witness to how my standards of living conditions are. The whole in the bathroom and she said quote " Brenda the whole is dangerous a Rat could fall through" Brenda M Johnson contacted the City of Tacoma which stated the whole done by employees ha not purchased a permit in the Bathroom area . Picture filled of whole in wall and unfixed window cited by Tacoma Housing Authority Jeffrey Fisher. Brenda M Johnson was injured at every placed reported and documented.. Brenda M Johnson had given proof to all agencies a letter from U.S. Department of Labor August 22, 2014 where the secretary of homeland security stated Brenda M Johnson is still an employee under the definition of the law and wages are lower than colleges. . The willful action of others reported they had no respect of law and shall do what they want to do."

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

In civil cases, damages are the remedy that a party requests the court award in order to try to make the injured party whole. Typically damage awards are in the form of monetary compensation to the harmed party. Damages are imposed if the court finds that a party breached a duty under contract or violated some right.

Ms. Brenda M Johnson is seeking relief in Compensatory , Punitive damages Nominal damages and Liquidated damages with interest of .12 the amount is over \$198,311, 000,000.00

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Brenda M. Johnson

Date: 8/25/2023