


23-5493 ORIGINAL
No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Brenda M Johnson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
Catholic Community Services, et, al. Attached defendants
United States, — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATE NINTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Brenda M Johnson
(Your Name) 25600 Lake Wilderness Country Club Dr SE
Maple Valley Wa 98038
Mailing address: 425 South Tacoma

(Address)

Tacoma Washington 98405

(City, State, Zip Code)

253-650-0498 or 253-425-428-8743

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Is Brenda M Johnson an employee under WSDOT for protective activity clause 6 USC 1142 ; 6 USC 1131 (5) Bankruptcy chapter 7 11 USC 524: Effect of discharge, Article I, Section 8, Clause 4:
2. Was she treated differently for under protective Activity under State and Federal laws?(Such as pay and benefit: discharge of debt , housing, property rights ,fair credit and personal injuries obtained)
3. The orders /Judgement were they legally bound by refusing to sign?
4. Did the defendants make a appearance and defend the allegations?
5. Was Brenda M Johnson demoted from FTA and Electronic Transaction Consultants Corporation due to retaliati for reporting fiance, and safety and health issues within the organization?
6. Was Brenda M Johnson treated indifferent by the standards of laws in her discrimination claim and seized property?
7. Was Brenda M Johnson blacklisted in employment which violations of occurred denial 1 st amendment right du process of the law, enforcement, bill of rights , 13 amendment Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude,
8. Was Brenda M Johnson falsely impressioned and held captive in 2016 and 2022
9. Was Brenda M Johnson second amendment right violated?
10. Was an abuse of Authority obstruction of justice and waste of government funding violated against Brenda M Johnson violation of humanity rights?
11. Did the defendants deny Brenda M Johnson her seventh amendment right of the Constitution?
12. Is Brenda M Johnson a frontline employee for WSDOT which works in the Judiciary Department?
13. Lower pay then colleges
14. Did the court give Ms. Brenda M. Johnson a trial by Jury ?
15. When the Parties Did not appear & Defendants called Defendants/Appellees Was a Entry of Default entered and assets Placed a lien on under Rule 35(a) ?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Melissa Moss
Director represented by Melissa Moss

ROSE

United States

Lerrick B United States Gardland

Defendant

Anna Ekstrom

Division of Child Support Enforcement

Albertsons Companies

Paul Fernandez

Defendant

Chris Bauer United Food and Commercial Workers Local 367

Defendant

Himmick Construction Company Inc

Defendant

Electronic Transaction Consultants Corporation

Defendant

Indy Roberson

Defendant

3-35233

Berry Lee Rembert

Defendant

3-35233

Berry Lee Rembert

Defendant

Walmart

Bankcorp

Defendant

Albertsons

Defendant

Washington Department of Transportation

Defendant

Pioneer Human Services

Defendant Pierce County

Defendant

Seattle Police

Defendant(s)

Emerald Queen Casino

Beneth Johnson and Rennette Johnson

In-site, Westside Estates

Unemployment

RELATED CASES

23-2-09483-2/23-2-09481-6

King County Court of Appeals

Pierce County Superior court

JOHNSON, BRENDA 99-3-02048-0 PET BRENDA MARIE REMBERT

TERRY LEE REMBERT 06/30/99

Bankruptcy discharged 15-41795-bdl

15-35959 ninth circuit court of appeals

Johnson v. Catholic Community Services, et al (0:20-cv-35473), Ninth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals, Filed: 05/29/2020 – PacerMonitor ...

Brenda JoChnson v. Catholic Community Services, et al 23-35233

United States District Court of Western Washington

Johnson v. Catholic Community Services et al (3:19-cv-05316), Washington

Pierce County Superior court

JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12645-5 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS. DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT 09/23/14

JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12697-8 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS. ELECTRONIC TRANSACTION CONSULTANTS 09/24/14

JOHNSON, BRENDA MARIE 13-2-01827-1 PET BRENDA MARIE JOHNSON vs. TERRY REMBERT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
23-2-09483-2/23-2-09481-6	
King County Court of Appeals	
Pierce County Superior court	
JOHNSON, BRENDA 99-3-02048-0 PET BRENDA MARIE REMBERT & TERRY LEE REMBERT 06/30/99	
Bankruptcy discharged 15-41795-bdl	
15-35959 ninth circuit court of appeals	
Brenda Johnson v. Catholic Community Services, et al (0:20-cv-35473), Ninth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals, Filed: 05/29/2020 – PacerMonitor ...	
Brenda JoChinson v. Catholic Community Services, et al 23-35233	
United States District Court of Western Washington	
Johnson v. Catholic Community Services et al (3:19-cv-05316), Washington	
Pierce County Superior court	
JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12645-5 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS. DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT 09/23/14	
JOHNSON, BRENDA M 14-2-12697-8 PLA BRENDA M JOHNSON VS. ELECTRONIC TRANSACTION CONSULTANTS 09/24/14	
JOHNSON, BRENDA MARIE 13-2-01827-1 PET BRENDA MARIE JOHNSON vs. TERRY REMBERT	

STATUTES AND RULES

6 USC 1142(A)(1)(a,)(b),(c)(3). 6 USC 1131(4)

5 USC 2303 (2)(a)(v). 11 USC 362

Injunction

Title VIII of the Act 42 USC Ch. 45 , 25 CFR § 11.404 - False imprisonment.

12 CFR Part 1002 - Equal Credit Opportunity Act (Regulation B)

18 U.S. Code § 1519 RCW 9A.08.010

"Injury" means a sudden and tangible happening, of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result, and occurring from without, and such physical conditions as result therefrom.

Title 15 - COMMERCE AND TRADE

CHAPTER 47 - CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY

Sec. 2069 - Civil penalties RCW 9a.40.040: Unlawful imprisonment. - WA.gov

OTHER

Jump to essay-13*et seq.*; *eg.* *Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v. Radford*, 295 U.S. 555, 589 (1935) (The bankruptcy power, like other great substantive powers of Congress, is subject to the Fifth Amendment); *see also Northern Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co.*, 438 U.S. 59, 72-73 (1982) (plurality) (explaining that when the requirements of Article II of the Constitution are applicable, Congress's Article I legislative powers—including the Bankruptcy Clause—are controlled by Article II).

Jump to essay-2*Louisville Bank v. Radford*, 295 U.S. 555, 589, 602 (1935); *see Wright v. Union Cent. Lifec. Co.*, 304 U.S. 502, 516 (1938).

Jump to essay-3*in re Klein*, 42 U.S. 1 (How.) 277 (1843); *Hanover National Bank v. Moyses*, 186 U.S. 181, 189 (1902). For information on the Contract Clause, U.S. Const. art. I §10, cl. 1, see *Art. I §10 Cl. 6.1 Overview of Contract Clause*.

Jump to essay-4*US Const. amend. X*. For information on the Tenth Amendment, see *Amend 10 1 Overview of Tenth Amendment: Rights Reserved to the States and the People to Amend* 0.4.4 *Commerce Clause and Tenth Amendment*.

Jump to essay-5*Shelton v. Camo on City Dist.*, 298 U.S. 93, 98 (1936).

Jump to essay-6*United States v. Bokins*, 304 U.S. 27, 51-53 (1938); *see Puerto Rico v. Franklin Cal. Tax Free Trust*, 579 U.S. 115, 122 (2016) (Critical to the Court's constitutional analysis [in Bokins] was that the State had first authorized its instrumentality to seek relief under the federal bankruptcy laws).

Jump to essay-7*US Const. art. I §8, cl. 4* (Congress is empowered “[t]o establish ... uniform Laws on the subject of Bankruptcies throughout the United States” (emphasis added)); *see Perez v. Campbell*, 402 U.S. 637, 656 (1971) (explaining that to legislate in such a way that a discharge in bankruptcy means one thing in the District of Columbia and something else in the States—depending on state law—would be to do) a result explicitly prohibited by the uniformity requirement in the constitutional authorization to Congress to enact bankruptcy legislation).

Jump to essay-8*Hanover Nat'l Bank v. Moyses*, 186 U.S. 181, 189 (1902). Personal uniformity is the principle—rejected by the Supreme Court—that the bankruptcy laws should apply identically to individual debtors, regardless of the state or locality in which the debtor resides. *Schultz v. Unit. States*, 529 F.3d 343, 350-51 (6th Cir. 2008).

Jump to essay-9*Wright v. Clurn*, 245 U.S. 605, 613 (1918); *Hanover National Bank*, 186 U.S. at 190; *see Wright v. Mountain Branch of Mountain Trust Bank of Roanoke, Va.*, 300 U.S. 440, 463 n.7 (1937) (The problem dealt with may present significant variations in different parts of the country).

Jump to essay-10*Baird v. Connecticut General Ins. Corporations* (Railroad Reorganization Act Case), 419 U.S. 102, 109 (1974).

Jump to essay-11*Id.* at 109-61.

Jump to essay-12*5 U.S. 45*.

Jump to essay-13*et al.* 470; *Warren v. Palmer*, 310 U.S. 132, 137 (1940) (Railroad reorganization in bankruptcy is a field completely within the ambit of the bankruptcy powers of Congress.)

Jump to essay-14*see US Const. art. I*.

Jump to essay-15 *For information on Congress's power to establish non-Article II courts, see Art. II.9.1 Overview of Congressional Power to Establish Non-Article II Courts*

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at UNITED STATE NINTH CIRCUIT San Francisco dkt 120; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at Superior Court of Appeals King County WA 85405-4 case; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 06/09/2023.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 06/08/2023, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix .

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Title VIII of the Act

5 U.S. Code § 2302 - Prohibited personnel practices

6 USC 1142(A)(1)(b), (c)(3). 6 USC 1131(4)

5 USC 2303

5 USC 55(b)(2), 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729, ,

29 CFR 18.10 A 40 USC 2000e women, age 56, disabled

1st amendment right -

Rule 55 Default Judgment

(a) Entering a Default: When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default.

(b) Entering a Default Judgment

2nd amendment right ⁽¹⁾

4th amendment right, 5th Amendment right

7th amendment Constitutional rights violation

Seventh Amendment right to jury trial in bankruptcy cases).

10th amendment constitutional rights bill of rights violation

RCW 51.08.100

"Injury."

"Injury" means a sudden and tangible happening, of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result, an occurring from without, and such physical conditions as result therefrom.

Article I, Section 8, Clause 4:

11 USC §524. Effect of discharge

(a) A discharge in a case under this title-

(1) voids any judgment at any time obtained, to the extent that such judgment is a determination of the personal liability of the debtor with respect to any debt discharged under section 727, 944, 1141, 1228, or 1328 of this title, whether or not discharge of such debt is waived;

(2) operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process or an act, to collect, recover or offset any such debt as a personal liability of the debtor, whether or not discharge of such debt is waived; and

(3) operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process or an act, to collect or recover from, or offset against, property of the debtor of the kind specified in section 541(a)(2) of this title that is acquired after the commencement of the case, on account of any allowable community claim except a community claim that is excepted from discharge under section 523, 1228(a)(1), or 1328(a)(1) of this title or that would be so excepted, determined in accordance with the provisions of sections 523(c) and 523(d) of this title, in a case concerning the debtor's spouse commenced on the date of the filing of the petition in the case concerning the debtor, whether or not discharge of the debt based on such community claim is waived.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The non-compliance of the law s upon the land of the United States of America has cause damages against Brenda M Johnson and willful action of negligence . The different treatment by Brenda M Johnson being a woman, African American and other decent person of color, over 55 years old with a known history of disability by the attacks for reporting health and safety violations, financial embezzlement /or theft has been held captive and imprisonment without charges in which a injunction was placed by the bankruptcy court. Back wages never paid.

Brenda M Johnson was not reinstated onve complaint was filed and has suffered wages disparity, threats, the taking of liberty and prosperity by the non-compliance of law enforcement. . Humanity right violations for the standard of living conditions were denied and not taken into consideration which caused a spread of rodents, flies in commercial settings, throughout th City of Tacoma Washington State and structure of building were not within the codes of safety some had black molding causing health issues, kicking people out of apartment or doorms without a cause without addressing or fixing issues creating a epidemic in Washington State , placing hands on people called attacking with uniforms called security guard or known at all. Reporting actions of police officer not performing duty and watching the security guard take hand cuffs off of Brenda M Johnson without actions to place the parties under arrest. Brenda M Johnson was injured and stayed wit an attorney named Christy Tucker. She is also a witness to how my standards of living conditions are. The whole in the bathroom and she said quote " Brenda the whole is dangerous a Rat could fall through" Brenda M Johnson contacted the City of Tacoma which stated the whole done by employees ha not purchased a permit in the Bathroom area . Picture filled of whole in wall and unfixed window cited by Tacoma Housing Authority Jeffrey Fisher. Brenda M Johnson was injured at every placed reported and documented.. Brenda M Johnson had given proof to all agencies a letter from U.S. Department of Labor August 22, 2014 where the secretary of homeland security stated Brenda M Johnson is still an employee under the definition of the law and wages are lower than colleges. . The willful action of others reported they had no respect of law and shall do what they want to do."


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

In civil cases, damages are the remedy that a party requests the court award in order to try to make the injured party whole. Typically damage awards are in the form of monetary compensation to the harmed party. Damages are imposed if the court finds that a party breached a duty under contract or violated some right.

Ms. Brenda M Johnson is seeking relief in Compensatory , Punitive damages Nominal damages and Liquidated damages with interest of .12 the amount is over \$198,311, 000,000.00

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Brenda M. Johnson

Date: 8/25/2023