

23-5492

No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

JUN 20 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Dennis Christensen — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Warden Reddish et al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US Court of Appeals Eleventh Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Dennis Christensen  
(Your Name)

Lancaster CI  
(Address)

3449 SW State Rd #26  
(City, State, Zip Code)

Trenton FL 32693  
(Phone Number)

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

was it abuse of discretion for middle district court to dismiss case number 3:22-cv-00942-mmH-PBB without chance to amend to show court why 10 hours monthly at law library is not adequate to file a meaningful case to court when the only access to legal material, books, caselaw, motion examples, any legal material, is only while physically in the law library as the law library loans out no media whatsoever, to prisoners in general population.

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

B. Starling  
C. Underhill  
B. King  
Bowden  
Reddish

## RELATED CASES

Simpson-v-Nickel 450 F.3d 303  
Cepulonis-v-Fair 732 F.2d 1  
Messere-v-Fair 752 F.Supp 48  
Cruz-v-Hauck 627 F.2d 720

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A

*Opinion of 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeal*

### APPENDIX B

*Petition for Rehearing 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeal*

### APPENDIX C

*Denial of Petition for Rehearing*

### APPENDIX D

### APPENDIX E

### APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at 22-13485; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at 3:22-cv-962-MMH-PJB; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is


☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 2\_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

 ☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 6-23-23, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

proper access to the court



### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

10 hours access to legal material is not enough, me to present a cognizant case to the courts when no other access to legal material is granted. Law library loaned out no material whatsoever. Law library provides no research assistance as shown on responses from library attached to 1983 form.

10 hour monthly access, to specify, as shown on the grievance forms submitted to PDOL for corrective action attached to 1983 form submitted to 11<sup>th</sup> district court in case # 3:22-cv-00962-MMH-PBB is not constitutionally adequate to allow cognizant motions to the court.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Federal Constitution guarantees the right, therefrom  
remedy is required.

Rights protected against state **CONCLUSION** invasion by the Due Process Clause  
of the Fourteenth Amendment are not watered-down versions of what  
the Bill of Rights guarantees.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 8-20-2023