

NO. 23-5386

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

BRYANT MATTHEW PARBER
PETITIONER

vs.

DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH

COMES NOW, BRYANT MATTHEW PARBER, PRO SE, AND MAKES CERTIFICATION that his PETITIONER FOR REFERRING is presented to this court in good faith pursuant to RULE 44. PETITIONER states the following:

1. This court entered its judgment denying PETITIONER's writ of certiorari on October 10, 2023. PETITIONER believes that he presents this court with adequate grounds to justify the


GRANTING OF REHEARING IN THIS CASE AND SAID PETITION IS BROUGHT IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY.

FURTHERMORE, PETITIONER BELIEVES THAT BASED ON THE LAWS OF THIS COURT, AND FACTS OF THIS CASE, PETITIONER IS ENTITLED TO RELIEF WHICH HAS BEEN UNJUSTLY DENIED HIM.

PETITIONER FURTHER BELIEVES THAT IF THE FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS IS CONTINUALLY ALLOWED TO APPLY STANDARDS OF LAW FOUND IN, STRICKLAND VS. WASHINGTON, COLEMAN VS. THOMPSON, MARTINEZ VS. RYAN, MAPLES VS. THOMAS, AND WOLLARD VS. FLORIDA, IMPROPERLY AS IT HAS DONE IN PETITIONER'S CASE, A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WILL BE DENIED THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT

EXECUTED ON THE 1ST DAY NOVEMBER 2023
OF OCTOBER, 2023


BRYAN MATTHEW PARKER