

NO: 23-5385

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

RECEIVED
EVERGLADES C.I.

NOV 1 2023

STAFF INITIALS



ADAM KNOLL – Petitioner

v.

STATE OF FLORIDA – Respondent

ON A PETITION FOR REHEARING TO THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Adam Knoll # L58953
Everglades Correctional Inst.
1599 S.W. 187 Ave.
Miami, Florida 33194

RECEIVED

NOV - 9 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

COMES NOW, the Petitioner, Adam Knoll, acting pro se, unlettered in the law, pursuant to the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Rule 44 and petitions this Honorable Court to grant the enclosed Petition for Rehearing in the matter of Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above captioned case.

In support of this Petition, Petitioner submits the following:

ARGUMENT

A. 1. In the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Rule 10, the Rule states: "A Petition for Writ of Certiorari will be granted only for compelling reasons."

2. Petitioner contends that there are compelling reasons for the granting of the instant Petition, and include but are not limited to:

(a) The statutes in question were signed into law under circumstances that were in violation of the Florida Constitution, and Florida Statutes;

(b) The fact that there is no Revisers Bill attached to the legislation as mandated by law and statute, makes Chapters 794 and 800 of the Florida Statutes invalid ab initio, and illegal and unconstitutional statutes under Florida law;

(c) Since their inception, § 794 and § 800 have been illegally utilized to charge, arrest, try, convict and incarcerated tens of thousands of people in Florida;

(d) These self-same statutes continue to be utilized by the State of Florida to charge, try, convict, and incarcerate people in Florida on a daily basis.

(e) As this petition is being read, tens of thousands of Florida citizens are incarcerated, deprived of their freedom in violation of the U.S. Constitution, as a direct result of being forced through the criminal legal process, under Florida statutory law that does not legally exist.

3. Petitioner submits to this Honorable Court that the facts as stated in 2(a)-(e) above, present compelling reasons for the Court to grant the instant petition.

B.1 Rule 11 states in part: “A Petition for Writ of Certiorari....will be granted only upon a showing that the case is of such great public importance as to justify deviation from normal appellate practice, and to require immediate determination in this Court (28 U.S.C. § 210(e)).

2. Petitioner submits that, it has been said over hundreds of years, and agreed upon by uncounted Courts, that it is better that ten guilty persons escape rather than one innocent be held.

3. In countless cases before this Honorable Court, the fate of one person has been decided by simple interpretation of a statute or a law, and if the U.S. Constitution was breached, that person was released, with an opinion that proves the Court’s decision was of great public importance, with those cases becoming precedent for all similar cases to come.

4. Petitioner further submits, that the undisputed fact that the statutes in question were enacted in an unconstitutional procedure and manner, and as a result, tens of thousands of persons are deprived of their liberty as a direct result, makes the following facts relevant;

(a) The fact that this Court's decision in this case, makes the importance of that decision directly relevant to tens of thousands of people currently at loss of their liberty, and is a showing of great public importance;

(b) The fact that the State of Florida continues to arrest, charge, try, convict and incarcerate Florida citizens under statutes that do not constitutionally exist, is a showing of great public importance;

(c) The fact that in the future these illegal statutes will continue to be used against Florida citizens is a showing of great public importance;

(d) The fact that innocent persons will be incarcerated as a direct result of being prosecuted under these illegal unconstitutional statutes, is a showing of great public importance.

5. Petitioner contends that the facts as presented in 4(a)-(d) above, are of a great enough public importance, for this Honorable Court to deviate from its normal practices, and this case requires an immediate hearing and determination by this Court, as required under 28 U.S.C. § 210(e).

CONCLUSION

1. In Rule 44 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Rehearing, states, "...it's grounds shall be limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect...."
2. Petitioner contends the following:
 - (a) The intervening circumstances of a controlling effect equate to the control of the circumstances of tens of thousands of persons denied of their freedom, said circumstances to be directly determined by this Court's decision:
 - (b) The constitutional questions presented in the instant Petition are substantial, and will present a controlling effect on tens of thousands of persons.
3. In consideration of the facts presented, the Petitioner respectfully moves this Honorable Court to grant this Petition for Rehearing.
4. The State of Florida can render all arguments in this case moot by simply producing the Revisers Bill in question.

NO: 23-5385

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

ADAM KNOLL – Petitioner

v.

STATE OF FLORIDA – Respondent

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Adam Knoll, do swear or declare that on this date October 31, 2023, as required by Rule, I have served the enclosed Petition for Rehearing on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing the Petition with an institutional official, to be mailed U.S. Postal Service, First Class Mail, to the following parties: United States Supreme Court, the Clerk, One First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20543.

I understand under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 31st day of October, 2023.


Adam Knoll # L58953

NO: 23-5385

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

ADAM KNOLL – Petitioner

v.

STATE OF FLORIDA – Respondent

I, Adam Knoll, Hereby Certify, that the foregoing Petition for Rehearing contains facts that are true and correct to my knowledge, information and belief, that this Petition is submitted in good faith, and it is not submitted in any attempt to delay or thwart the judicial process.

Respectfully submitted,



Adam Knoll # L58953