

23-5327

No. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.18

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re

TITUS LEE RADCLIFF

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

FILED

JUN 06 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

(Your Name)

TITUS LEE RADCLIFF

(Address)

1023 ALICE DRIVE

528 BELLEVUE AVENUE

(City, State, Zip Code)

DAYTONA BEACH, FL 32117

DAYTONA BEACH, FL 32114

(Phone Number)

386-226-2597 HOME (TEMP)

386-299-4771 (CELL)

RECEIVED

AUG - 9 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

TITUS L. RADCLIFF

1023 ALICE DRIVE

DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117

PH. 386-226-2597

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. WHY IS HOW GENERATION SKIPPING USED IN THIS CASE HAS BEEN OVERLOOKED WHEN IT IS REPETITIOUS?
2. WHY HAVE I NOT RECEIVED ANOTHER HEARING FOR BEING ILLEGALLY MARRIED UNDER A SIMULTANEOUS CONTESTED CLAIM CASE WHERE I ASKED FOR ANOTHER HEARING WITHIN THE 1 YEAR TIME LIMIT?
3. WHY WAS ENTRAPMENT USED AGAINST ME UNDER COPYRIGHT LAW IN LOCAL COUNTY JAIL, THEN PENNED AS A CRIMINAL CHARGE INCLUDING CONTEMPT CHARGES TWISTED WITH A VARIANCE INCORPORATING THIS JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT CASE FROM DETROIT MICHIGAN 3/23/2023 161134 IN-RE: KAHILDA DAVIS?
4. DOES RULE 20 (1) AND 20 (4) (a) HAVE TO MEET INDIVIDUALLY IN BOTH PARAGRAPHS OF THIS RULE IN ORDER TO OBTAIN A HEARING THAT COMBINES WITH THE METHOD OR MANNER OF RAISING THESE ISSUES AND THE WAY IN WHICH THEY ARE PASSED ON BY LOWER COURTS IN AN APPEAL EXPIRING MANDATE
5. UNDER SCOPE OF LIMITATION ON LIABILITY, WHY WAS VOLUNTEER TRAINING USED/TWISTED INTO TRAINING WHILE INCARCERATED OR THE INCARCERATION IS THE VOLUNTEER TRAINING.
6. WHY IS VOLUNTEERISM USED TO SUBSTITUTE A VICTIM AS A CRIMINAL FOUND IN RULE 35 (1)
7. WHY WAS THE 18 MONTH CIVIL RIGHTS LAW REQUIRED A FELONY TO A MISDEMEANOR AGENT OVER LOOKED? NOT INVESTIGATED WHEN IT WAS FILED IN U.S. JUSTICE DEPT?
8. WHY IN THE U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS CASE 1/7/2021 JUDGMENT, THE (11) PG. DISMISSAL THE SAME AS THE (11) TIMES I WENT TO JAIL IN COUNTY COURT AGENT PUT IN MY 13-5186J; 11-9300 CASE AGAIN OVERLOOKED?
9. WHY DOES U.S. FED. JUDGE DARTON RELY SOLELY ON THE 2ND INJUNCTION ORDER'S NOT FULLY LOOK AT THE ENTIRE RECORD, UNLESS HE IS PAID STATE BASED ON HIS COGNIZANT KNOWLEDGE WITH ANOTHER COLLEAGUE SUPPRESSING THE RECORD AS FOUND ON THE DATE 10/23/2000 COURT ORDER?
10. WAS LEGAL GYMNASTICS USED TO CONTORT THE CASE AS FOUND IN 14(2) (D)? WHY WAS THIS NOT EXAMINED FROM ANY ASPECT?
11. WHY EVERY TIME I ATTEMPT TO CLARIFY ITEMS, I LEADED ON TO SHOW SOME KIND OF ABUSE OF LAW EXAMPLE, PURCHASE OF RUBBER STAMP? EUL. I WASN'T CHARGED FOR INK, BUT LED TO BELIEVE THIS WAS SOME TYPE OF REWARD WHEN, YOU WANT ALL DOCUMENTS STAMPED OR WRITTEN 20FO ON

EACH DOCUMENT, WHEN I'M IN SURE ON CAMERA? COULD BE ACCUSED OF STEALING WHEN YOU STATE "THESE DOCUMENTS" IN YOUR CORRESPONDENCE TO ME? WHY?

12. WHY HAVEN'T THE JUSTICE REQUIRES WAIVER APPLY?

13. WHY DO YOU PEOPLE CONTINUE THIS BARRAGE OF INJUSTICE WITH PROCEDURE WHEN IT HAS BEEN DOCUMENTATED? THIS RELATES TO RETURN CORRESPONDENCE DATED 8/1/2023 "ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS" AS TO SAY THIS IS NOTHING?

14. WHAT DO YOU WANT WHEN I "APPEAL" TO THE APPEAL OR PETITION YOU DON'T EXAMINE OR LOOK AT THE MATERIAL? ~~QA~~

15. WHY DO YOU WANT EVERYTHING ON A 8 1/2 BY 11 INCH OPAQUE SHEET WHEN YOU SEND ME A LABEL?

16. CAN DD FORM 12 BE USED TO DISCIPLINE THE SHERIFF OF INDIANA COUNTY, FL? APPENDIX B-2

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138840/2.18.18

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL, 32114
PH. 386-228-2597

17. BASED UPON THE 2 DECISIONS/OPINIONS SHOWN ON THE CASES FROM FEDERAL COURT, WHY HASN'T NO ONE LOOKED AT THE ORIGINAL PETITION IN CASE 11-9300 TO DETRAME THE DOCUMENTED "VICTIM" "VICTIMIZATION"!??

18. WHAT ARE ~~ALL~~ ALL THESE COURTS FOR IF THEY CAN'T TEMPER JUSTICE?

19. WHY WAS SUBJUGATION USED AS A SUBSTITUTE IN RULE 35 AS TO CIRCUMVENT MY CASE INSERTING A QUALIFIED SUBSIDIARY OF THE ST. OF FL. WITH A TEACHING PROFESSIONAL INVOLVING GENERATION SKIPPING ENTANGLED WITH MILITARY CORRECTION OF RECORDS FOR DD215?

4
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.18

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL: 32117
PH: 386-226-2597

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW NONE 1

JURISDICTION APPENDIX C-1-12 1

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 1

STATEMENT OF THE CASE APPENDIX A-1-5(A)(B) CONTINUED A-B 1

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 1

CONCLUSION 1

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A A-1 1023 DISTRICT COURT ORDER, A-2 NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (3PGS)
A-3 COMPLAINT OR DISABILITY A-4 CERTIFICATE OF COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION, A-5 MOTION
GRANTED FROM DEPUTY CLERK 4/16/2019, (6) 7/31/2017 LETTER TO DEP. OFFICE OF PLANNING & ANALYSIS (0147)

APPENDIX B B-1 DA-FORM 12 REQUEST FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF A PUBLICATION ACCOUNT,
B-2 VYSTAR CREDIT UNION LOAN TO CHARLES E. GADSON, HERE I PROVIDED FUNDS TO BORROWER 2
APPENDIX B-2(A), B-2(b), 3(a)-(3) GENERAL DOCKET 2/8/2019-6/6/2023, (a) SUMMARY OF CASE 19-1010
3/10/2000

APPENDIX C 1. FOCUS ON THE FAMILY, 2. CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION 4/25/1996, 3. CITIZEN
VOLUNTEER 1/20/1998, 4. GRANT APPLICATION 8/20/1998, 5. CITIZEN BANQUET 4/26/1996, 6.
APPLICATION FOR GRANT 8/27/1998, 7. ORDINATION CERTIFICATE 8/31/2014, 8. UNIFORM TRAFFIC TICKET
5/14/2023, 9. I.R.S. FORM 5569 1/15/2002, 10. APPL FOR CERT. OF SUBORDINATION OF FED. TAX LIEN, 11. LITIG SUPP ENT.

APPENDIX D CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT 2. FLORIDA DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS
2(A), AGENT'S OFFICERS, D-2 I.R.S. FORM 2553 12/28/2001, D-3 EIN-59-3696168 2/16/2001
D-4 EIN 82-1215867 4/18/2017

APPENDIX E -1 DEPT. OF VETERANS AFFAIRS DISABILITY LETTER 12/8/2022, (A) V.A. BENEFIT
DETAILS: (2) PATENT & TRADEMARK CUSTOMER #138820 2/19/2016: (3) CLERK 6/7/2023 LETTER STAMP

APPENDIX F -1 TIMESHEET EMPLOYER DIRECT MAIL EXPRESS, F-2 EMPLOYEE SEPARATION
NOTICE: THIS DENOTES THE OPTIONS PRESENTED IN YOUR 1-15/2023 CORRESPONDENCE: (3) INS FORM 8718

APPENDIX G (TOOL PURCHASE)
-1-2: (3) NOTES OF EXPLANATION TO CERT. LETTER REFS. DD FORM 149 (4) 4-24-2023 LETTER TO SUP.
(3) A INSTRUCTIONS FOR FORM DD 149 COURT CLERK/W 1023 LABEL

(5) VYSTAR CREDIT UNION DIFFERENT ACCOUNTS: (6) MONT DD FORM 149 (A): 7 R.O.P. AR 2003098113

APPENDIX H (1) LETTER OF RECOGNITION 9/15/1981 (A-3(a-d)); (4, 2a) DRAWING OF TOOL

-CONTINUED-
APPENDIX C -12 OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR 9-17/2014: 12 SUPREME COURT OF FL. 5/19/2017

APPENDIX I (1) UNITED STATES FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT 3/12/2020 6 PGS
(2) U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS 11 PGS 1/7/2021

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

80 PGS. TOTAL

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

13-5186 "DENIED" REFERENCED TO U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS CASE
11-9300 "DENIED" LOWER STATE COURTS & FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES: PRACT #138820/2.19.18
TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

STATUTES AND RULES

U.S. SUPREME COURT RULE 35, 17(5) REQUIRE THAT OTHER PROCEEDINGS BE CONDUCTED
28 USC 1865 (b) (1): U.S. SUPREME COURT RULE 14(iii)
38 CFR 20.704 (D) CONNECTED TO REQUESTING NEW HEARING 7/31/2019 DIRECTOR OFFICE OF MGMT.
PLANNING & ANALYSIS (014) APPENDIX G (3): 37 CFR 1.183
EXECUTIVE ORDER 9397 AS AMENDED TITLE 10 CFR 1552
DD FORM 215 TO CHANGE DD 214 DISCHARGE CODE TO E.A.
37 CFR 10.100
37 CFR 1.139 RESTRICTED: RULE 20(1), RULE 14(4); 21 CFR 112.171 (b); 37 CFR 10.23
MISCONDUCT (4), (i), (ii), 18 USC 3553 2 (A): TITLE 18 241 SECTION 1985 (3)

OTHER

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION PROTECT PITCH 5/30/2023, 5:01 PM EDT-00062196
TOTAL OF 6 PGS.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
ST. PRAC #138820/2.19.18
TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32112
PH. 386-226-2597

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of habeas corpus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B(3)(a) to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix I(1) to the petition and is

☒ reported at 3/12/2020; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

3
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.16

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
JURISDICTION 906-226-2597

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 5/30/2023 DOCKETED 5/31/2023 FAVOR CLOSED ON 5/31/2023

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

THE CASES THAT I THOUGHT WERE "ALL EITHER DENIED OR DISMISSED" FOR
[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of "TWISTED" Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

RULE 17 (1) 28 USC 1251 (B) (2) (3)

THIS PART OF THIS STATUTE CONNECTS FIRST WITH THE CASE DOCKETING FOR THE GENERAL SERVICES APPEAL AS THE REGULATION WAS WRITTEN IN PARTS AS LISTED ABOVE TO ALSO CLAIM COMPLEX LITIGATION. THIS DOCKETING ALLUDES TO INCARCERATION. THIS DOCKETING WAS 4/21/2023 THAT CONNECTS OTHER MINISTERS AS SHOWN WITH APPENDIX C-1-6 DIFFERENT MINISTRY, FAMILY, APPRECIATION OF CITIZEN VOLUNTEER, (JAIL MINISTRY), GRANT, BANQUET; GRANT APPLICATION. THE INCARCERATION WAS SUPPOSE TO BE NOT PAYING CHILD SUPPORT BUT I WAS TO BE RECEIVING SUPPORT BECAUSE I WAS GIVEN CUSTODY AS WRITTEN; FILED IN - PRIOR CASE 11-9300. THIS WAS OVERLOOKED BECAUSE AS A VOLUNTEER THE JUDGE STATED I VOLUNTEERED TO GO TO JAIL. THE DIFFERENT FEDERAL DISTRICT IS THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. UNDER SUBSEC. 1978 (b)(1) PUB. L 95-393 § 8 (b) (2) SUBSTITUTED; 18 USC 2265 FOR STALKING INJ. THE VIOLATION OF FOLLOWING TOO CLOSELY. TICKET # 602330100.63148 5/14/2023. THIS, PART OF SUBSIDARY IAS. 8869 IN CONNECTION TO PROPERTY TAKING IRE 6325 (D) (2). THE STATE WHERE TICKET RECEIVED IS SOUTH CAROLINA. THUS DUE TO ORIGINAL PARTY BEING IN LAW ENFORCEMENT, THAT ELEMENT ALONE GRANTED QUALIFIED IMMUNITY EVEN WITH "COMPLAINTS", TO THE GOVERNOR OF ST. OF FLORIDA WITH DETAILS: PER MCR 9.251 (G). WRITTEN IN RULE 29.6, I MENTIONED VARIANCE THIS ELEMENT IS PART OF THE CONTENT FOR CERT RULE 14 AND I ADD THIS UNDER RE 14 (1) (b) (iii) "DIRECTLY RELATED"; NOTED IN MY BOOK "NORIGHTS", DA FORM 12 BECAUSE IT ARISES OUT OF THE SAME TRIAL COURT LISTED IN APPENDIX B-2 VYSTAR CREDIT UNION TO SHOW THE PARTIES TOGETHERNESS WORKING TO INVOKE RULE 17 (1) AND RULE 20 (1) WITH WHICH THE WRIT WILL BE IN AID OF THE COURT'S APPELLATE JURISDICTION & CANNOT BE OBTAINED FROM ANY OTHER COURT BECAUSE THE APPELLATE CASE WAS CLOSED IN ERROR FROM MY OPINION, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS NOT UNDERSTANDING ALL OF THE LEGAL INCIDENTS AND ACTIONS DONE TO AN INDIVIDUAL; THIS INDIVIDUAL BEING A CITIZEN PUT THESE ISSUES TOGETHER CLEARLY.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.16
TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT #138820/2.19.16

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTON BEACH, FL 32117
PH. 386-226-2500

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

ARTICLE 1, SECTION 9, CLAUSE 2

THIS INVOLVES A WAY OF DETAINING ME THRU DOUBLE JEOPARDY OF MY DUAL IDENTITY USED TO DENY ME OF RETIREMENT BENEFITS; PROPERTY JOINED WITH AN INSTRUMENTALITY OF WAR SIMULATION SKIRMISH.

FIRST AMENDMENT

PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS
TO RECEIVE INFORMATION

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES: PRACT#138820/2.18.18

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL 32117
PH 386-226-2597

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

ARTICLE 1, SECTION 9, CLAUSE 2

THIS INVOLVES A WAY OF DETAINING ME THRU DOUBLE JEOPARDY OF MY DUAL
IDENTITY USED TO DENY ME OF RETIREMENT BENEFITS; PROPERTY JOINED WITH
AN INSTRUMENTALITY OF WAR SIMULATION SKIRMISH.

FIRST AMENDMENT

PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS
TO RECEIVE INFORMATION

ARTICLE IV, SECTION IV

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT VIII

AMENDMENT 13 [RATIFIED 12/6/1865], SECTION 1 ARTICLE VIII

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 5

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 9

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 14, SECTION 3

ARTICLE VII, AMENDMENT 4

STATEMENT UNDER RULE 11 THAT THE CASE IS OF IMPERATIVE
PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

17

THIS STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 10.100 IS MADE WITH THE CITING OF A CASE FROM DETROIT MICHIGAN'S JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION ON 3/23/2023, CASE # 161134 IN RE KAHLEDA DAVIS CALENDER CASE PER MER 9.251(G) 36TH DISTRICT COURT WITH TITUS L. RADCLIFF BEING A SPECIAL POLICE UNDER AS APPEALED TO THE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION ON 4/12/2023 & DOCKETED IN THE U.S. CIVIC DOCKETED 4/21/2023. THE CASE CITING WAS PART OF THE TREATMENT THAT I HAVE BEEN RECEIVING AND DONE THAN THE U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AND PASTED TO THE RULE 20 (4) (A) STATEMENT. UNDER RULE 14 1 (G) i, WHICH IS THE PLACES WHERE I MADE APPLICATION TO THE U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT, THIS IS EXPLAINED AS TO TO AGAIN SHOW THE METHOD OR MANNER OF RISING THESE ISSUES; HOW THESE ISSUES WERE PASSED ON. ALSO ON RULE 20(4) a, I HAVE EXHAUSTED ALL OF THE STATE & FEDERAL COURTS TO INCLUDE CONSTITUTIONAL. THE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCE IS THE OCTOBER 23, 2000 ORDER WITH THE COMPLAINT OR DISABILITY FILING IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT WHERE I AM CONTINUALLY BEING HELD OR KEPT FROM "ANY" OF MY HOMES, EITHER ON EAST OR WEST SIDE OF THE CITY AS IN HOUSTON TEXAS EAST WEST RAILWAY-V - U.S. (1914). UNDER 28 USC 2101(C) SPEAKS TO ANY OTHER APPEAL; JUDGEMENT OR DECREE IN A CIVIL ACTION SHALL BE TAKEN OR APPLIED FOR WITHIN 90 DAYS WHICH WAS NOT OFFERED OR GRANTED TO ME. THIS IS REASON FOR PUBLIC IMPORTANCE & REVEAL UNDER PUBLIC OFFICIALS, WHICH I AM PUBLISHED IN WIT'S WHO FOR MY BUSINESS, THE 18TH EDITION. THIS IS TO SHOW THE SIMILAR PROCEDURES TO MAKE CLEAR OF THE TYPE OF CASE BEING FILED. HERE, TO FURTHER STATE THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS CASE IS FOUND ON APPENDIX C-12 WITH THE STATE OF FL SUPREME COURT KNOWLEDGE OF ANOTHER CASE, BUT NOT WILLING TO ASSIST A "VICTIM" FROM THEIR ACTS OF THE ADVERSARIAL PARTNERS ALSO LISTED ON APPENDIX C - 11 (a).

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES: PRACT #138820/2.19.16

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 HAWKWOOD DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL 32117
PP# 386-226-7597

PART OF THE TOOL THAT HAS SUBSTANCE IS THE CUP SLEEVE (5). THIS PART ALIGNS THE ROD IN THE CENTER FOR THE PISTON INSIDE THE CYLINDER. THIS ALIGNMENT ENSURES PROPER CONNECTION FROM THE TOOL TO THE PART (PISTON) INSIDE THE CYLINDER. THIS PART ALSO PROVIDES PROTECTION OR COVER AS FOR THE SHOWING OF APPENDIX G (2) SAFETY GLASSES AND CUT RESISTANT SAFETY GLOVES WHEN HANDLING METAL. THESE PARTS OF EQUIPMENT SHOWS WHAT THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SAFETY'S JOB IS WHERE THIS TOO WAS TWISTED.

THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF THE TOOL IS THE STUD SCREW LABELED ITEM (8) AS STUD. THIS PART OF THE TOOL ACTUALLY CONNECTS TO THE TOOL WHICH IS FIGURE 3. BOTTOM VIEW BY BEING THREADED INTO THE DRILLED HOLE LEFT HAND. THIS IS HOW THE CASE HAS BEEN TWISTED INSERTING ALL OF THE OTHER INFORMATION FOUND IN ALL THE OTHER DOCKETED CASES IN YOUR COURT.

THIS IS TO INCLUDE DOUBLE IDENTITY TO MAKE ME INTO A CRIMINAL, STALKING INJUNCTION. THE STUD SCREW USES THE TWO IDENTITIES SHOWN ON THE WING JAM NUT TO CONNECT THE (2) INJUNCTION ORDERS TO TWIST THE FACTS THIS WAS ALSO SHOWN IN THE COUNTY CASE OF CHARLES GADSON WHERE I IDENTIFY THE HOME LOAN FROM TITUS L. RADCLIFF TO CHARLES GADSON SETTING UP THE ACCOUNT WITH HIS NAME ON THE ACCOUNT. ADDITIONALLY ON FIGURE 3. BOTTOM VIEW OF THE TOOL ALSO 'ACCOUNTS' FOR WHERE I'M SETTING IN THIS MATTER AND ALSO SHOWS THE DIFFERENT ACCOUNTS #'S IN CONJUNCTION WITH HOW THIS MATTER IS TWISTED TO DISTORT THE ACTUAL FACTS FOUND IN THE CLOSED CASE IN C.A.V.C., REFERRING TO THE APPEALS COURT DOCKET APPENDIX B (3a). THIS AGAIN SHOWS THEIR VERSION OF EVENTS TO HOW THIS WAS CONTINUALLY PRESENTED TO YOU WITHOUT "HEARING" MY VERSION THE APPENDIX G (3) INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF DD FORM 149 ILLUSTRATES HOW CONVOLUTED THIS MATTER IS BUT INCORPORATES TEACHING ON DIFFERENT DISCIPLINES, MINISTRY, PARENTING AS IN BUSINESS, DECORUM'S CONVENTIONAL ACCEPTED STANDARDS OF BEHAVIOR.

TO FURTHER EXPLAIN THE STUD-SCREW'S USE IS FOR YOU TO NOTE THAT THIS SCREW HAS DIFFERENT THREAD DIRECTIONS, THE LEFT HANDED THREADS THAT TWIST INTO THE BOTTOM MACHINED ROD IS ABOUT 1 INCH LEFT THREADS WITH THE MIDDLE OF BOLT A BIT WIDER FOR THREADING STOP ON BOTH MIDDLE ENDS. THIS IS WHERE AGAIN YOUR DYNAMIN BEING THE U.S. SUPREME COURT OPERATES SHOWN IN FIGURE 4 OF THE DRAWINGS WITH NO THREADS. YOU AS THE COURT WEIGH THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED WITH BREVITY RULE 14(4).

UNDER REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION, EXAMPLE (2) THE NAME EACH IS WHERE THE SHERIFF PUBLICLY MADE A COMMENT TO THE MEDIA THAT HE HOPES MY BROTHER "LEONARD A. JACKSON" ROTS IN JAIL? ALSO CALLED HIM A SCUMBAG (SHERIFF CHITWOOD), BOOKING # B-611375-B3-1024 TOMOKA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTE 3950 TIGER BAY ROAD DAYTONA BEACH, FL 32124. THIS WAS NOT IN CASE 13-5186 MY TWIN IN WHICH YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ME AS WELL. THIS IS PART OF THE FOOD, DRUG, COSMETIC ACT, WHICH IS PART OF RAW MATERIALS "PRODUCE" REFS. PAGE 1 OF THIS NARRATION. THIS IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF THE STUD SCREW WITH LEFT'S RIGHT HAND THREADS ON 1 BOLT ON THE END OF POWER ASSEMBLY PULLER FOR PISTON'S ROD ASSEMBLY. THE STATEMENT SHERIFF MIKE CHITWOOD MADE WAS BEFORE TRIAL "THIS SHERIFF HAS A HISTORY OF CALLING CITIZENS OUT OF THEIR NAMES IN THE MEDIA, PREJUDICED THE POTENTIAL JURY POOL IN ADVANCE OF TRIALS, WHICH IS PART OF MISCONDUCT."

THIS MATTER BEING BROUGHT BEFORE YOU ARE THOSE WHICH RELY ON THE INCIDENTS DONE TO ME IN A MANNER "NOT," ^(KNOT) CONSISTENT WITH GOOD DECORUM ALONG WITH CONVENTIONAL ACCEPTED STANDARDS OF BEHAVIOR FOUND IN "REASONS." THIS DISPLAY WRITTEN HERE SHOWS THE TREATMENT OF A MILITARY VETERAN CITIZEN PARENT (IN PERSONAL OR BUSINESS) OF THE UNITED STATES NOT HAVING COMMITTED CRIMES, BUT MADE INTO A CRIMINAL FOR NOT CORRECTING MY MILITARY RECORDS REFS. TO 2ND TIME SENDING IN DD FORM 149 REFERENCE APPENDIX G (4) CERT # 70212720000350957804 7/19/2023. FIRST TIME 8/25/2004. THIS WAS DONE WITH THE CONCENTRATION OF TITUS L. RADCLIFF BEING MADE A CRIMINAL UNDER THE CHILD SUPPORT SAGA WHERE THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS WERE TWISTED CONNECTING THE DOUBLE IDENTITY WITH PUBLIC LAW OF A PUBLISHING COMPANY OUT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA I FEEL THAT THE LONGER I TAKE TO TURN IN DOCUMENTS, I CONTINUE TO ENDURE UNJUST BEHAVIOR CONNECTED TO "QUALIFIED IMMUNITY," AS A RESULT OF TWISTING THE FACTS IN CASE 19-1010 WHICH WAS CLOSED IN "ERROR" ON THE POSSIBLE DATE 5/31/2023 WITH THE SAME OR SIMILAR RESULT, NOTHING DONE AS IN NO FORM OR FROM ANY OTHER COURT; APPENDIX (B) a-3 THE (1) PART OF THE TOOL THAT SHOWS THE DUAL IDENTITY TO THE PHYSICAL EYE IS ITEM (4) WING JAM NUT WHERE IT SHOWS THE CONNECTION OF THE CHAIN, ITEM (9). ITEM 4 OF THE WING JAM NUT SHOWS TWO EARS CONNECTED TO A NUT. THIS IS (PARAPHRASING) BUT THE TWO EARS DISPLAY 2 PEOPLE CONNECTED TO 1 AS IN CO-JOINED TOTALS. THE JAM NUT SHOWN IN FIGURE 2 OF THE SIDE VIEW IS USED IN THIS DESCRIPTION.

SHOW A NUT WITH A DRILLED JAM NUT USED TO "STOP" MOVEMENT OR HOLD IN ONE POSITION. THIS IS THE PROPER TIME FRAME OF FILING IN YOUR COURT IN DESCRIPTION OF REASON (4) REQUESTING A NEW HEARING FOR FALSE IDENTITY. HERE, THIS PICTURE IS AN EXAMPLE OF 37CFR 1.139 JOINDER INVENTIONS IN (1) APPLICATION WHICH IS RESTRICTED. THIS IS ALSO IN REFERENCE TO YOUR JUNE 15, 2023 CORRESPONDENCE PARAGRAPH 2; IS WHY I REFER TO THE VARIANCE FOR MY GRAND-DAUGHTER WHO'S AN OFFICER IN MY ORGANIZATION FOUND ON APPENDIX D (2a) SECRETARY AND HOPE THIS EXPLANATION IS SUFFICIENT. THE VARIANCE WHICH WAS APPLIED TO ME IS FOUND IN 21CFR 112.171 (b) WITH A STATEMENT WHICH IS THE FULL FAITH & CREDIT INJUNCTION ORDER FOR STALKING PUT ON ME & EXECUTED BY THE STATE OF FL. 18 USC 2265 WHICH HAS AN INDIAN TRIBE REFERENCE. THIS DOESN'T APPLY TO ME, BUT WAS AGAIN USED IN CASE 13-5186 AGAIN, DENIED. THE VARIANCE I SPEAK TO IS MY GRAND-DAUGHTER TO ATTEND A SCHOOL OUT OF HER DISTRICT TO BETTER HER EDUCATION; THIS IS SIMILAR TO "PRODUCE" BUT THE PRODUCE I'M SPEAKING ABOUT IS RAW METAL MATERIALS.

THE CHAIN, SHOWN AS ITEM 9 HAS LINKS, THESE LINKS PROVIDE A PATH TO A REHEAR FOR ME BECAUSE I'M THE OPERATOR HOLDING THE CHAIN, WHICH APPLIES PRESSURE TO LOWER ROD ASSEMBLY ATTACHED TO THE PISTON INSIDE THE CYLINDER. THIS CYLINDER APPLIES TO THE BEHAVIOR OF OFFICERS OF THE COURT INSIDE THE COURT-ROOM WHICH IS YOUR DOMAIN. THE CHAIN ALSO SHOWS HOW THE U.S. AGENCIES CAN WORK TOGETHER TO TEMPER JUSTICE.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.16

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

(A)

18

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.16

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
& RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

THE APPLICATION(S) TO THE U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT IN ORLANDO, FL. WERE MADE PER REFS. U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE 11-9300 3/15/2012 DOCKETED, FOR FORMA PAUPERIS THAT REFLECT A REFERENCE TO POSSIBLE GRANTING OF FORMA PAUPERIS REQUEST. THE ADDITIONAL REASON WHERE APPLICATION WAS AGAIN MADE TO THE U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION 6, SHOWS CASE # DESIGNATION & TRACK 1 WHICH SWITCHES THE SUPPOSEDLY AGREEMENT. THIS IS BASED ON THE LABELED HOME ADDRESS 1023 ALICE DR. DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117. THIS NUMBERED LABEL ADDRESS IS ATTACHED TO AN ADDITIONAL CASE, FEDERAL THAT INCORPORATES THE NAME (LAST) OF JACH, MEANING WE'RE NOT BUDGETING 6: 20-CV-00119-RBD-GJK. THIS ADDITIONAL CASE # CONNECTS TO THE COURT ORDER OF OCTOBER 23, 2000 WHERE STRICKEN FROM SUBMISSION & USAGE AS EVIDENCE. THE NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ENTERED & FILED ON 1/29/2020 AT 1:31 PM E.S.T IS THE SAME DATE AS IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA'S RECEIPT FROM FOREST RESOURCE CONSULTANTS INC. 964 GEORGIA AVE. MACON, GA. 31201 INVOICE # 1006841 FOR TIMBER INVENTORY. THIS IS LABELED AS PG. 4 OF 5 ON THE CAUC DOCKETING ON 2/25/2020. THIS AFFIRMS THE SPECIAL POLICE TITLE 40, SECTION 318 ALSO DOCKETED ON 4/21/2023 IN CAUC. THE INSTANCE OF THE INVOICE AGAIN DONE AFTER DENIAL OF CASE 13-5186 ON 8/14/2014, THUS GIVING TIME TO FURTHER DRAG ON THIS MATTER.

ANOTHER "EXAMPLE" IS CLARIFYING MY JAIL TIME & INMATE # 406420 VOLUSIA COUNTY BRANCH JAIL (V.C.B.J). THIS WAS USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ELECTRONIC FILING CASE # LISTED ABOVE AND THE NUMBER "11" WRITTEN ON BOTTOM RIGHT CORNER ABOVE PG. # 12. THIS DOCUMENT REFLECTS THE BLOCKING OF ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE & GRANDFATHERING IN OF AN ATTORNEY, UNDER 37 CFR 10.4

HERE THE (3) PG. MOTION GRANTED FOR EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH I FILED A COMPLAINT TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS. MOTION GRANTED BY DEPUTY CLERK & NOT HAVE WENT BEFORE A JUDGE, REASON POSSIBLY FOR SHIR DAVIDOVICZ NOT BEING ON CASE FROM 2-5-2020.

APPROX. 3/30/2020 CERTAIN ITEMS ON DOCKET ARE LOCKED FROM MY VIEW. THE CONSULTANTS RECEIPT OF 1/29/2020 IS TO AFFIRM THE FILING OF 37 CFR 1.183 WITH FEE AND REQUESTING TO ARGUE MY CASE OR HAVE A HEARING AS IN 1970s A BARRISTER AND NOT HAVE PERSONAL KNOW BAR MEMBERS FOR 37 CFR 10.100. ADDITIONALLY, RULE 41 2(b)(6) MANDATE WHERE CASE HAS EXPIRED & ASKED TO BE REVIEWED UNDER RULE 35 (1) IN THAT MY DAUGHTER, WHO'S A PARTY SUGGEST THAT THIS CASE WILL PAUSE MY DEATH. THE CHARGE OF ENTRAPMENT INACTED WHILE INCARCERATED FOR COPY MACHINE USE WITH THE CASE CITING 3/23/2023 #161134 IN RE: KAHLEHA DAVIS PER MCR 9.251(G) 36th DISTRICT COURT DETROIT MICHIGAN (J.T.C.) JUDICIAL TENURE COMMISSION, REFS. APPENDIX C-11(a) & C(12)

RULE 17 IS DISPLAYED HERE WITH THE LOCAL U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT ORLANDO, FL. DIVISION 6 AND U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT HEADQUARTERS, MACON, GA. THIS IS THE P.R.C.

AND CONSULTING CO. RECEIPT 1/29/2020, WHICH ALONG WITH SPECIAL POLICE WITH 2 U.S. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS OR DISPUTE WITH 2 STATES AT THE SAME TIME. THE LOCKING OF THE DOCKET IS AN EXAMPLE OF COPYRIGHT VIOLATIONS. THE DOCUMENT CASE FROM 19-1010 IS BASED ON THE R.B.A AND CONFERS YOUR JURISDICTION FROM TAKING OF PRIMARY & SECONDARY HOMES IN THE SAME CITY BUT ON EAST & WEST SIDE INCORPORATING JAIL TIME

- STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

OF 64 DAYS. JAIL TIME IS USED UNILATERALLY AS A CRIMINAL ELEMENT TO NOT CORRECT OR RECOGNIZE THE FEDERAL TIME OF SERVICE OF 19.7 YEARS, WITH NO UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ALLOWED BY VIRTUE OF LEAVING THE BOX ON THE BASIS FORM EMPTY BOX FOR THE RAILROAD RETIREMENT FIELD OFFICE ADDRESS. THE TIME IN SERVICE IS AN AGGREGATE 38 USC 101 23(b) MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES OF THE UNITS TO WHOM THEY ARE ASSIGNED. I WAS IN ACTIVE SERVICE DURING THE PERIOD OF THE BEIRUT BOMBING AND REENLISTED IN THE ARMY RESERVES DURING A WAR PERIOD WHICH IS DOCKETED IN CAUC. THE AWARD GIVEN TO ME TO RECORD THIS DOCUMENTATION IS 9/1981 CHOSEN COLONEL'S ORDERLY AT FORT JACKSON, SOUTH CAROLINA. THIS DOCUMENT AUTHORIZED ME TO RETAIN RECORDS LONG BEFORE I WROTE THE BOOK "NO RIGHTS"; THIS COLONEL'S ORDERLY AWARD IS CONSISTENT WITH MY TRAVEL TO THE GRAND CANYON IN ARIZONA^{4/2023} WHERE I WAS GIVEN FREE ACCESS TO THE U.S. PARK BUT HAVE TO PAY TO CHANGE ADDRESS FOR DRIVER LICENSE IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA WHEN IT'S SUPPOSE TO BE FREE OF CHARGE ACCESS PASS CARD# 22 CA 99797 SKU: 012100003 THIS CARD STATES PERMANENTLY DISABLED. IN REFERENCE MY DAUGHTER STATING THAT THIS CASE WILL CAUSE MY DEATH IS DOCKETED ON 3/3/2023 CAUC, ADDITIONALLY; THE 2/15/2023 W-2 SUBMITTANCE TO REFLECT ACTIVE ENLISTMENT IN 2 SEPARATE SERVICE PERIODS. FURTHERMORE THE PREFERENCE IS TO BE FOR THE VETERAN IF BY OWN V.A. REGULATIONS STATE, BUT IN THIS MATTER, THIS SHOWS THAT THE COURT IS FOR THE V.A. IN ADDITION TO NOT ASSIGNING A JUDGE UNTIL JUST THIS YEAR!; IN RULE 35 OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS DEATH SUBSTITUTION: REVEAL OF PUBLIC FIGURES. THE 3/3/2023 DOCKETING IN CAUC WHICH SPEAKS OF GOING TO THE EMERGENCY ROOM, ADMITTED FOR HIGH GLUCOSE IS AN EXAMPLE OF RULE 35 (1). THE DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE IN THE R.B.A., SUCH AS A WOMAN: A MATH FROM THE MILITARY BACK IN 1970 WHERE HE WAS STATIONED IN BAD KREUZNAH, GERMANY YEARS EARLIER. THIS INSTANCE GIVES RISE TO THE DOUBLE IDENTITY WITH MY HALF BROTHER LEONARD A. JACKSON CO-MINGLING WITH THE HOROSCOPE: ZODIAC NUMBERS OF 5, 2, 10, 13, 26. THIS IS SHOWN WITH THE STALKING CHARGE THAT DERIVED CASE 13-5186. THIS CASE WAS ALSO PART G-RAP INVESTIGATION (GUARD RECRUITING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM). ON APPENDIX G(3) NOTES OF EXPLANATION IS WHERE I SENT CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT AS TO WHAT DOCUMENTS SHOW 37 CFR 1.183 AND WHERE THESE DOCUMENTS WERE SENT ATTACHED TO D.A. FORM 149, ALSO WHERE LISTED ON THIS FORM. FORM DD 149 INSTRUCTIONS IS THE APPENDIX G(3)a WHERE I WAS NOT ALLOWED TO TEACH, TRAIN OR REAR MY DAUGHTER SHAWNOREA R. RADCLIFF

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.16

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH: 386-226-2597

TITUS L. RADCLIFF REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

16

1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PP# 886-2267-2597

will Aid in Keeping with Good Decorum Along
with Conventional Accepted Standards of Behavior.

2. THIS CASE DERIVED FROM A CHILD SUPPORT CASE, THE METHOD WAS OF PROCEDURE IN GOING THRU ALL THE STATE'S FEDERAL COURTS WITH WHAT THEY ASK OF YOU TO DO WAS DONE IN ORDER TO GET A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THE ILLEGAL PLOT OF INCIDENTS.
3. THE MANNER WITH WHICH THIS WAS CARRIED OUT WAS SUCH THAT SINCE I'M NOT KNOWN TO ANYONE PERSONALLY IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, I CAN'T BRING OR ARGUE A CASE BEFORE THEM BECAUSE DUE TO THE ADVERSARIAL METHOD PROCEDURE DOESN'T ALLOW EQUALITY AMONG AN ATTORNEY'S A PRACTITIONER WHO HASN'T BEEN FULLY RECOGNIZED ON ACCOUNT OF THE WRONG STANDARD FOR CRIMINAL-VS-CIVIL CONNECTED TO DOUBLE JEOPARDY WITH DUAL IDENTITY.
4. THE LOWER COURTS ARE USING FRIENDSHIP AS A BASIS TO NOT GIVE PROPER INFORMATION ON HOW TO PROCEED ALONG WITH THIS CASE; EXAMPLE, APPENDIX (A-5) MOTION GRANTED FROM DEPUTY CLERK 4/16/2019 C.A.V.C. 19-1010. NEXT, THE U.S. APPELLATE COURT INSERTS AN ERROR TO COVER FOR THEIR UNDERSIGHT, WHICH BRINGS TO LIGHT TITLE 10 CFR 10.23 FAILURE TO REQUEST A HEARING CONNECTED TO APPENDIX (A-6) REQUESTING A NEW HEARING. THIS COULD BE APPLIED TO LOWER COURTS WHEN REQUESTING A HEARING NOT FOR IT TO COME UP THEN, IT IS SHUFFLED BACK TO THE BACK AS IT WAS DONE IN MY CHILD SUPPORT CASE, SIMILAR TO SHUFFLING CARDS.
5. NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IN SECTION 8, PART OF THE INSTRUCTIONS FORM ON Pg. 3 OF 3 OF DA FORM 149 ISN'T PROPERLY LISTED, LABELED APPENDIX 6-(3). HERE SHOULD BE WHERE 37 CFR 1.183 CAN BE INSERTED OR (VARIANCE) BECAUSE THE APPROPRIATE HIGHEST APPELLATE REVIEW SHOULD BE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS.
6. THE 1023 ADDRESS LABEL SENT TO ME CONNECTS TO RULE 14 WHICH IS THE CONTENT OF A WRIT OF CERT., BUT "NOTED" THAT THIS IS AN APPEAL WHICH SUPPORTS AND IN APPELLATE JURISDICTION, CO-JOINED WITH REASON "1" ABOVE WHERE 37 CFR 10.23 MISCONDUCT (4), (i), (ii), (3) IS STATED AS PRACTITIONER BUT DONE TO ME AS A "FAVOR" OF COMBINED ACTORS OF THE ADVERSARIAL PARTNERS FOUND USING NAMES; EXAMPLE VYSTAR CREDIT UNION. LOAN WHERE RULE 35 SUBSTITUTION IS USED. THIS WAS MENTIONED WITH THE WRITTEN PERMISSION REQUEST TO ADD A GLOSSARY OF TERMS. EXAMPLE (2) MARK INCH - WE WILL NOT BUDGE AN INCH, ALMA THREADCRAFT SUPERVISOR WITH POSTAL'S PROFESSIONAL CREDIT UNION & ALETHA BOLT AGENT FOR I.R.S. THESE NAMES WERE USED TO OVERRIDE MY INVENTION WITH THE NAMES SHOWN IN THE GLOSSARY. THE BOLT, THREADCRAFT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THE STUD SCREW LABELED AS ITEM 8 OF THE PATENT DRAWINGS OF THE POWER ASSEMBLY PULLER FOR PISTON'S ROD ASSEMBLY LABELED APPENDIX H (4)

STATEMENT TO CLARIFY

I RESEARCHED THIS MATTER TO ONLY FIND A REPETITIOUS
PATTERN OF "MISCONDUCT". THE INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS WERE CONNECTED TO
CORPORATION RIGHTS AND SWITCHED BACK & FORTH FOUND IN
SECTION 1 OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT. THIS PATTERN IS OUTLINED
WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF PATENT LAW INCORPORATING MY INVENTIONS TO
DISPLAY A BETTER PICTURE OF THIS ANOMALY. IF YOU RESEARCH INTO
IN THIS MATTER FROM THE LOCAL CITY OF DAYTONA BEACH, AT SOME POINT
MY BUSINESS WAS GIVEN TO MY YOUNGER BROTHER & HIS BEHAVIOR WAS ASSUMED
TO ME.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.18

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

Smelly,
Titus Radcliff

ALTHOUGH, 37 CFR 10.23 PERTAINS TO THE PRACTITIONER'S CONDUCT, THE CONDUCT OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED BASED ON EVIDENCE IN "NO RIGHTS" / DA FORM 12 REQUEST FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF A PUBLICATIONS ACCOUNT. THIS IS POSSIBLY WHERE APPENDIX B - 1 ^{IS} USED DD FORM 12.

TO FURTHER ELABORATE ON THIS PARTICULAR PART OF THIS INVENTION IS FOUND FOR THE STUD SCREW IS UNDER JURISDICTION, APPENDIX C-8, THIS IS THE TICKET GIVEN RECENTLY IN YEMASSEE, SOUTH CAROLINA ON 5/14/2023 FOR FOLLOWING TOO CLOSELY WHICH REFLECTS IN THIS MATTER UNDER SOME KIND OF PROBATION OR PAROLE THAT SUPPORTS THE VARIANCE STATUTE, 18 USC 3553 FOR WHICH I'M SEEKING, ALSO IN THIS CITY OF YAMASSEE IS THE ARCHITECT ^{FRANK} LLOYD WRIGHT WHO DESIGNED & BUILT A HOUSE WITH NO RIGHT ANGLES 1938 AND IS STILL STANDING.

TO ADD TO THE DD FORM 12 IS THE REPORTER MIKE DEWINE WHO I WROTE AN EMAIL TO CONCERNING THE CHARLES GADSON HOME, NEVER RESPONDED BACK (MEDIA) IT WAS DISCLOSED THAT THERE WASN'T CLEAR IF A POLICE REPORT HAD BEEN PUT IN BY THE FIRST MORTGAGE HOLDER TITUS L. RADCLIFF. THIS WAS DONE. NEXT THE STATUTE, 18 ^{TITLE} 241 SECTION 1905(3) DESIGN TO DEPRIVE IS WHERE THIS TICKET DATED ON 5/17/2023 OF \$232.50 SHOWS THE CRIMINAL ELEMENT OF EXTORTION TITLE 18 873 WHICH CROSSED STATE LINES: (CONTINUED TO DEMAND & REMOVE MONEY FROM ME).

THE EXTORTION ACTS ARE FURTHER EXPLAINED IN THE PROJECT PITCH TO NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION ON MAY 30, 2023, APPENDIX H (2)-(3) (a-d). THE APPENDIX G (4) CLEAR LETTER 4/24/2023 ESTABLISHES WITH THE LABEL ATTACHMENT ALONG WITH THE 100 YR OLD TRAVEL CASE AIDS IN REVIEWING THIS CASE OF MODERN DAY SLAVERY. THESE PARTS LISTED IN THIS LETTER AGAIN SUBSTANTIATES RULE 35 OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S SUBSTITUTION OF ACTORS OF THE ADVERSARIAL PARTNERS.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.16

TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

HERE, I'VE BEEN ON YOUR DOCKET (5) TIMES BUT HAVE CONTINUALLY BEEN HELD BACK FOR OVER 30 YEARS, HAVING BEEN "EXTORTED" FROM MY FREEDOMS & MY PROPERTY IN CONJUNCTION WITH MY RIGHTS. THIS UTILITY INVENTION BRINGS ABOUT CLARITY IN THIS MATTER. I HOPE IT BRINGS JUSTICE & MAKES THE SYSTEM MORE FAIRER!!

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: PRACT#138820/2.19.16
TITUS L. RADCLIFF
1023 ALICE DRIVE
DAYTONA BEACH, FL. 32117
PH. 386-226-2597

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Titus Lee Radcliff

Date: 7/25/2023

(1/1/2023)

CSRS 1/1/2023

