

23-5272

No. _____

FILED

MAY 15 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Grace Woodham

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

Comm'r Doe

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

NH S. Ct?? 2023-0005

217-2022-CV-389

Merrimack Superior NH ~~Superior~~ Merrimack Sup??

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

NH S. Ct chose not to weigh in. 2023-0005

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Grace Woodham

(Your Name)

27 Brook Ln (PO Box 872)

(Address)

Ctr. Conway NH 03813

(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A

(Phone Number)

currently at:

SPU 139265

281 N. State

Concord NH 03302

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Does Hazel-Atlas grant me the right to a new hearing when I am adequately paid "fraud against the court"?

as well as Consent v. O'Hare, Routenberg, RSAT 514:9 (NM codex 1861.1865)

was it abuse of discretion for the NH S.C. chief justice to ~~not~~ not receive himself such sports, when he had participated in the original prosecution?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Woodman v. Connors #2 217-2022-CV-632

Woodman v. Connors #1 217-2022-CV-389

both habeas petitions were originally filed in Merrimack Sup. NH.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *NH S. Ct dismissal*

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

*The NH S. Ct won't provide me a copy of my petition
I served a 2nd subpoena duces tecum 6/15/23.*

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Doe v. Comm'r 174 NH 239 (2021) habeas is the appropriate remedy for the illegally invol. committed.

Hered Atlas (U.S. S. Ct) 322 US 238 2015
9 HS property. Conant v. Ontario (N.H. S. Ct) et al.
Rosenberg (NH) ...
v. Mannis 109 NH 25
"a new trial is required in equity" upon a showing of perjury by opposing litigant.

STATUTES AND RULES

RSA 135-C (NH): involuntary commitments
explicitly indicates availability of habeas relief. (Sect 54)

RSA 54:9 statutory authority for new trial in equity
when "justice has not been done"

Rebuttal of Judgments (20 (1)(b)) a judgment may be avoided if it was based on a claim that the party obtaining the judgment knew to be fraudulent

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished. declined review

The opinion of the trial (Merrimack Superior) court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Apr 6, 2023.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The trial court decision was clearly erroneous.

The N.W. 5. Ct ~~was~~ showed discretion in deciding to review a partner when the issues were so obvious, while failing to thereby resolve a case-in-chief, i.e. the direct appeal. over 1 1/2 years

Five been illegally incarcerated 4 years, without a trial.

the NH AG's office fraudulently mis-stated prevailing case law,
and got away with it, because judicial review in this state is
non-existent.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee Grace Woodman

Date: 5/11/23